International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 10-No.4 (2024) pp. 4111-4117
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Research Article

Impact of Health Informatics–Driven Real-Time Clinical Dashboards on Nursing Performance, Health Administration Decision-Making, and Medical Records Accuracy

Fahad Abdulhamied Fahad Alonzi[1]*, Bander Menawer Noor Almutiri[2], Abdullah Mohmmed Awadallah Alahmadi[3], Abdalellah Shadad Mhaya Alreshidi[4], Albalawi, Abdulaziz Saleh H[5], Abdulaziz Saud M Albalawi[6], Naif Mudhhi M Alharbi[7], Shuruq Rahil Fayadh Alruwaili[8], Jamilah Mohammed Jubayr Alruwaili[9], Abdullah Obaid Muaid Al-Rashidi[10], Mohammed Jalawi Mohammed Alotaibi[11]

[1]Senior Specialist, Health Informatics – Executive Vice President of Technology and Digital Transformation – Ministry of Health – Al Jouf, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia
* **Corresponding Author Email:** faaalanazi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2137-085Y

[2]Health Informatics Technician – East Hawiyah Health Center – Taif Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Taif, Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: bmalmutiri@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5007-72030

[3]Health Services and Hospitals Management Specialist – King Fahd Hospital in Madinah – Madinah Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Madinah, Madinah Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: aal-ahmade@moh.gov.com.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5007-1931

[4]Medical Records Technician – Lower Al-Halifa Health Center – Hail Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Hail, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: abdalellaha@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5007-1832

[5]Health Informatics – Al Wajh General Hospital – Tabuk Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Al Wajh, Tabuk Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: aalbaliwi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5007-1739

[6]Health Informatics – Al Wajh General Hospital – Tabuk Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Al Wajh, Tabuk Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: aalbalawi30@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5007-1633

[7]Medical Secretary Technician – Riyadh Al-Khabra Hospital – Qassim Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Riyadh Al-Khabra, Qassim Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: nalharbi16@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5007-1538

[8]Specialist Nursing – Mental Health Hospital – Al Jouf Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Al Qurayyat, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: shraalruwaili@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5007-1434

[9]Nurse Technician – Branch of the Ministry of Health – Ministry of Health – Al Jouf, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: ganodah1409@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5007-1337

[10]ursing Technician – Al-Ghazala Hospital – Hail Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Al-Ghazala, Hail Region, Saudi Arab
Email: aoalrashidi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5007-1235

[11]Nurse Technician – Branch of the Ministry of Health – Ministry of Health – Riyadh, Riyadh Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: mjalotibi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5007-1136

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4856
Received : 01 May 2024
Accepted : 30 May 2024

Keywords

Health Informatics,
Real-Time Clinical Dashboards,
Nursing Performance,
Clinical Decision Support,
Health Administration,
Data-Driven Decision Making

Abstract:

Real-time clinical dashboards, powered by health informatics, represent a transformative force in modern healthcare by synthesizing disparate data streams into actionable visual intelligence. Their impact is profoundly tripartite: for nursing, they enhance situational awareness and task management, directly supporting clinical workflow and patient surveillance; for health administration, they enable data-driven strategic decision-making and optimize operational efficiency and resource allocation; and for foundational data integrity, they promote the accuracy, completeness, and consistency of medical records. Realizing this potential, however, requires navigating significant socio-technical challenges, including user-centered design to prevent alert fatigue, robust data governance to ensure quality, and organizational change management to foster a data-driven culture. When successfully implemented, these dashboards evolve from passive display tools into active components of a learning health system, ultimately driving improvements in patient safety, care quality, and systemic efficiency.

1. Introduction

The contemporary healthcare landscape is characterized by an unprecedented deluge of data, emanating from electronic health records (EHRs), laboratory information systems, bedside monitors, pharmacy databases, and a multitude of other digital sources. While this data holds the potential to revolutionize patient care and operational efficiency, its sheer volume and complexity often render it overwhelming and underutilized by frontline clinicians and administrators alike. This gap between data availability and actionable insight represents a critical challenge in modern medicine, one that can impede clinical workflow, delay crucial decisions, and ultimately compromise patient outcomes [1]. In response to this challenge, the field of health informatics has developed sophisticated tools for data aggregation, visualization, and analysis, among which real-time clinical dashboards have emerged as a transformative technology. These dashboards are interactive, data visualization platforms that aggregate and synthesize key clinical, operational, and financial metrics from disparate sources into a single, coherent visual interface, updated in real-time or near-real-time [2]. They are designed not merely to display data, but to translate raw information into comprehensible, actionable knowledge tailored to the specific needs of the user.
The genesis of clinical dashboards is rooted in the broader business intelligence movement and has been accelerated by the widespread adoption of EHRs, which provided the necessary structured digital data infrastructure. Early applications were often retrospective and focused on regulatory reporting. However, advances in computing power, data interoperability standards like HL7 and FHIR, and user-centered design principles have propelled the evolution towards dynamic, real-time systems integrated directly into clinical workflows [3]. These dashboards are now poised to fundamentally alter how care is delivered and managed. For nurses, who form the backbone of patient care and are often inundated with alerts and documentation tasks, a well-designed dashboard can serve as a command center, offering a holistic view of patient status, task lists, and early warning signs. For health administrators, dashboards provide a macro-level view of organizational performance, enabling data-driven stewardship of resources, quality improvement initiatives, and strategic planning. Furthermore, by streamlining data entry and highlighting discrepancies, these systems hold significant promise for enhancing the accuracy and completeness of the medical record itself, which is the foundational source of truth for patient care, billing, and research [4].
The imperative for such tools has been further underscored by global pressures to improve healthcare quality, safety, and cost-effectiveness. Initiatives focusing on value-based care, the reduction of hospital-acquired conditions, and the management of population health all require timely, accurate, and accessible data [5]. Traditional methods of data retrieval—manual chart reviews, static reports generated days or weeks after the fact—are too slow and labor-intensive to support proactive intervention or rapid-cycle improvement. Real-time dashboards address this temporal gap, offering the potential for predictive analytics and just-in-time decision support. For instance, a dashboard can integrate vital signs, laboratory results, and nurse assessments to calculate and visually flag a patient's risk of clinical deterioration using an early warning score like NEWS or MEWS, enabling pre-emptive care before a crisis occurs [6].
However, the implementation of these powerful tools is not without significant complexity. The impact of health informatics-driven dashboards is mediated by a multitude of factors that extend far

beyond the technology itself. These include human factors such as usability, workflow integration, and clinical trust in the displayed data; organizational factors like leadership support, training, and change management strategies; and technical factors encompassing data quality, system interoperability, and algorithmic transparency [7]. A dashboard that is poorly designed, presents inaccurate data, or is perceived as an added burden rather than an aid can lead to alert fatigue, user disengagement, and even clinical error. Therefore, a critical examination of their impact requires a nuanced understanding of these interacting dimensions [8].

2. The Impact on Nursing Performance and Clinical Workflow

2.1 Enhancing Situational Awareness and Patient Surveillance

At the core of nursing practice is the continuous process of patient surveillance—the systematic and ongoing collection, interpretation, and synthesis of patient data to inform clinical decision-making. Real-time clinical dashboards profoundly augment this capability by integrating fragmented data points into a unified patient story. Instead of logging into multiple systems to check vitals, review lab results, see pending medications, and assess intake/output, a nurse can glance at a dashboard that presents all this information on a single screen, often using intuitive graphical elements like trend lines, color-coded alerts, and summary widgets. This consolidated view significantly enhances situational awareness, which is the perception of elements in the environment, the comprehension of their meaning, and the projection of their status in the near future [9]. For a nurse managing multiple patients, a dashboard can instantly highlight which patient requires immediate attention, such as one with a rising temperature, falling blood pressure, or a critical lab value that has just resulted. Studies have shown that improved situational awareness through dashboard use can lead to earlier detection of patient deterioration, reducing the need for rapid response team activation and potentially decreasing rates of failure-to-rescue events [10]. By presenting data in a synthesized, visualized format, dashboards reduce cognitive load, allowing nurses to spend less time searching for information and more time on critical thinking and direct patient care.

2.2 Streamlining Task Management and Prioritization

Nursing workload is inherently dynamic and multifaceted, involving a complex interplay of direct care, documentation, communication, and care coordination. Real-time dashboards are increasingly being designed to function as intelligent task management hubs. They can aggregate tasks from the EHR, such as medication administration times, required assessments, and pending orders, and present them in a prioritized list. Some advanced systems can even employ algorithms to suggest prioritization based on patient acuity, task urgency, and nurse assignment [11]. This functionality directly addresses the issue of task fragmentation and interrupts, which are major contributors to nurse burnout and medication errors. With a clear, centralized task list, nurses can better plan their rounds, batch similar activities, and reduce the mental effort of remembering numerous deadlines. Furthermore, dashboards can improve team coordination by making the nursing plan visible to all members of the care team. For instance, a dashboard visible at a central nursing station can show the status of all patients on a unit, including which patients are awaiting discharge clearance, who is due for turning, or which rooms have fall risk alerts active. This shared visual management tool fosters a team-based approach to care, ensuring that tasks are not overlooked and that workload can be balanced more effectively among staff [12].

2.3 Reducing Documentation Burden and Improving Charting Accuracy

A significant portion of nursing time is consumed by documentation in the EHR, which is often cited as a primary source of professional dissatisfaction. Real-time dashboards can mitigate this burden in two key ways. First, by pulling data automatically from monitoring devices (e.g., vital signs monitors, infusion pumps, ventilators), dashboards can auto-populate flowsheets, eliminating the need for manual transcription and reducing the risk of entry errors. This seamless data capture ensures that the record reflects the most current physiological state of the patient [13]. Second, some dashboard systems are integrated with structured documentation pathways or offer "one-click" charting options for common observations linked to the data displayed. For example, if a dashboard flags a patient for meeting sepsis screening criteria based on lab and vital sign data, it can provide a direct link to a sepsis assessment bundle for documentation. This tight integration of data display and documentation not only saves time but also promotes adherence to clinical guidelines and compliance with quality measures. By making relevant data immediately visible at the point of

care, dashboards also encourage more accurate and timely charting, as nurses can document their assessments and interventions while the information is fresh, rather than relying on memory at the end of a shift [14].

2.4 Challenges and Considerations for Nursing Implementation

Despite the clear benefits, the successful integration of dashboards into nursing practice faces substantial hurdles. A primary challenge is alert fatigue. A dashboard that is overly sensitive, generates too many non-actionable alerts, or uses alarming colors indiscriminately can lead to nurses ignoring critical notifications—a phenomenon known as "alarm desensitization" [15]. Therefore, alert logic must be carefully calibrated and evidence-based, with severity levels clearly differentiated. Usability is another critical factor. A dashboard designed by IT professionals without nurse input may have a confusing layout, use unclear terminology, or disrupt established workflows, leading to resistance and workarounds. Engaging frontline nurses in the design and testing phases through participatory design methods is essential for creating a tool that is truly useful and used [16]. Furthermore, the introduction of a dashboard represents a significant change in practice. Its impact is contingent upon effective training, ongoing support, and a culture that values data-driven practice. Nurses must trust the data presented; if the dashboard displays outdated or incorrect information due to poor data integration, it will be quickly abandoned. Therefore, robust technical infrastructure and data governance are prerequisites for clinical trust and sustained adoption [17].

3. The Impact on Health Administration Decision-Making and Operational Efficiency

3.1 Enabling Data-Driven Strategic and Tactical Decisions

Healthcare administrators operate in an environment of constrained resources, regulatory pressures, and escalating demands for quality and transparency. Real-time clinical dashboards provide them with an unprecedented, high-fidelity view of organizational performance across multiple dimensions. Strategically, dashboards can track key performance indicators (KPIs) related to population health management, such as rates of chronic disease management, preventive service delivery, and hospital readmissions across different patient cohorts. This enables administrators to identify areas for program development, assess the impact of new care models, and make informed decisions about resource allocation and service line investments [18]. At a tactical level, dashboards are indispensable for managing day-to-day hospital operations. Bed management dashboards, for instance, provide a real-time view of bed occupancy, patient discharge status, emergency department wait times, and operating room turnover. This allows patient flow coordinators to make dynamic decisions to alleviate bottlenecks, reduce ambulance diversion, and optimize capacity. By transforming operational data into visual insights, dashboards shift decision-making from intuition and guesswork to an evidence-based, proactive process [19].

3.2 Optimizing Resource Allocation and Staffing

One of the most complex and costly challenges in healthcare administration is the optimal alignment of staffing with patient demand, which fluctuates unpredictably. Real-time dashboards that incorporate predictive analytics are revolutionizing this domain. By integrating data on current admissions, projected discharges, seasonal trends, and even local disease incidence, advanced dashboards can generate forecasts for patient census and acuity for the coming hours and days [20]. Nurse managers can use these forecasts to make more precise staffing assignments, calling in additional staff proactively during predicted surges or approving time off during slower periods. This leads to more efficient labor utilization, reduced reliance on expensive agency staff, and improved nurse-to-patient ratios, which are directly linked to patient safety outcomes. Similarly, dashboards can monitor the utilization of expensive equipment, such as MRI machines or operating rooms, identifying patterns of underuse or overuse and enabling scheduling adjustments to maximize throughput and return on investment [21].

3.3 Monitoring Quality, Safety, and Financial Metrics in Tandem

The shift towards value-based reimbursement models necessitates that administrators monitor clinical quality and financial performance as interconnected domains. Integrated executive dashboards are designed for this very purpose, displaying metrics such as hospital-acquired infection rates (e.g., CLABSI, CAUTI), patient fall rates, or core measure compliance alongside associated cost data, length of stay, and reimbursement figures [22]. This holistic view allows leadership to immediately see the financial

impact of quality improvements or lapses. For example, a dashboard might reveal that a particular unit has a higher-than-average rate of post-operative complications, leading to extended lengths of stay and reduced margin for surgical DRGs. This insight can trigger a targeted quality improvement project, with the dashboard then used to track the intervention's effect on both clinical and financial outcomes over time. This ability to correlate disparate data streams empowers administrators to steward resources in a way that simultaneously advances the mission of improved patient care and the imperative of financial sustainability [23].

3.4 Barriers to Effective Administrative Dashboard Utilization

For administrators, the value of a dashboard is directly proportional to the reliability and comprehensiveness of its underlying data. Inconsistent data entry, lack of interoperability between source systems (e.g., EHR, pharmacy, finance), and poor data governance can render a dashboard misleading or useless. Administrators must champion strong data governance frameworks that ensure data quality, standardized definitions, and clear ownership [24]. Another barrier is information overload. An executive dashboard crammed with hundreds of metrics can obscure the truly vital few. Effective dashboard design for leadership must follow the principle of "simplicity for clarity," focusing on the 10-15 most critical KPIs that align with organizational strategic goals, with the ability to drill down into underlying details as needed [25]. Finally, the presence of a dashboard alone does not guarantee improved decision-making. It must be embedded into management routines. This requires cultivating a data-centric culture where leaders regularly review dashboard metrics in management meetings, hold teams accountable for their performance against targets, and use the data to ask probing questions rather than simply monitor compliance. Without this cultural and procedural integration, even the most sophisticated dashboard risks becoming a mere digital ornament [26].

4. The Impact on Medical Records Accuracy and Data Integrity

4.1 Promoting Completeness and Timeliness of Documentation

The accuracy of the medical record is a cornerstone of patient safety, continuity of care, legal protection, and appropriate reimbursement. Real-time dashboards contribute to enhanced accuracy first by promoting more complete and timely documentation. As previously discussed in the nursing context, dashboards that auto-populate data from connected devices ensure that vital signs, infusion rates, and ventilator settings are recorded accurately and continuously, without gaps or transcription errors [13]. Furthermore, dashboard systems can be configured with "best practice advisories" or "hard stops" that prompt clinicians to complete required documentation before moving on. For instance, a dashboard for a surgical patient might highlight that an antibiotic was administered but the corresponding pre-operative checklists or post-operative notes are still pending. By surfacing these documentation gaps in real-time, dashboards facilitate immediate correction, as opposed to retrospective audits that identify problems long after the patient has been discharged. This proactive approach ensures the record is a more faithful and contemporaneous representation of the care provided [27].

4.2 Identifying Discrepancies and Enhancing Data Consistency

Complex patient care involves multiple providers across different disciplines, all documenting in the same record. This can lead to inconsistencies or contradictions—for example, a medication reconciliation list that differs between the nurse's notes and the physician's orders, or conflicting allergy information. Advanced clinical dashboards can perform continuous data consistency checks by comparing entries across different sections of the EHR. They can flag discrepancies for review, such as a patient documented as "NPO" (nothing by mouth) in the nursing plan of care but who has a diet order active in the system, or a lab value that is critically abnormal but has not yet been acknowledged by a provider [28]. By automatically identifying these potential errors, dashboards act as a safety net, prompting reconciliation before the inconsistency leads to an adverse event. This function is crucial for meeting regulatory requirements for data accuracy and is a key component of a robust clinical governance strategy.

4.3 The Dual-Edged Sword: Data Quality as a Prerequisite and Outcome

It is essential to recognize a fundamental circular relationship: the utility and impact of a clinical dashboard are entirely dependent on the quality of the data it ingests, while its successful use can, in turn, improve that underlying data quality. This creates a potential vicious or virtuous cycle. If

source data is inaccurate, incomplete, or entered with significant delays, the dashboard will propagate and amplify these flaws, potentially leading to misguided clinical or administrative actions based on faulty information. This phenomenon is often described as "garbage in, garbage out" [29]. Therefore, the implementation of a dashboard must be accompanied by intensified efforts to audit and improve data quality at the point of entry. This includes standardizing documentation practices, providing feedback to clinicians on their documentation patterns, and ensuring seamless integration between devices and the EHR. Conversely, when a dashboard is trusted and integrated into workflow, it creates positive feedback loops. Clinicians who rely on the dashboard for decision-making become more motivated to enter data promptly and accurately, knowing that their colleagues and the system itself depend on it. Administrators who use the dashboard to monitor performance can identify units with poor documentation compliance and target them for additional training and support. Thus, the dashboard becomes both a mirror reflecting data quality and a tool for its continuous improvement [30].

5. Conclusion

The advent of health informatics-driven real-time clinical dashboards represents a paradigm shift in healthcare delivery, moving from reactive, retrospective data review to proactive, predictive, and precision-oriented management of both individual patients and entire health systems. The evidence and analysis presented demonstrate their multifaceted impact across the care continuum. For nursing professionals, these tools offer a powerful means to enhance situational awareness, streamline complex workflows, manage tasks efficiently, and reduce the burden of documentation, thereby potentially increasing time for direct patient care and improving job satisfaction. For health administrators, dashboards unlock the potential for truly data-driven leadership, enabling optimized resource allocation, dynamic operational management, and integrated oversight of quality and financial performance. Most fundamentally, by promoting timely, complete, and consistent documentation, these systems contribute significantly to the integrity of the medical record, which is the essential substrate for all other improvements in care quality and safety.

However, this technological promise is not self-actualizing. The successful implementation and sustained positive impact of clinical dashboards are contingent upon overcoming significant human, organizational, and technical challenges. Poor design leading to alert fatigue, lack of clinical engagement in development, weak data governance, and failure to embed dashboards into daily management routines can all lead to costly implementation failures. Therefore, the journey toward effective dashboard utilization must be approached as a socio-technical endeavor. It requires collaborative design involving end-users, investment in change management and continuous training, unwavering commitment to data quality at the source, and leadership that fosters a culture of inquiry and improvement based on data. When these conditions are met, real-time clinical dashboards transcend their role as mere data display tools to become integral components of a learning health system—one that continuously generates knowledge from its own operations and applies that knowledge to deliver safer, more efficient, and higher-quality care for all patients.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.
- **Use of AI Tools:** The author(s) declare that no generative AI or AI-assisted technologies were used in the writing process of this manuscript.

References

[1] Yuan MJ, Finley GM, Long J, Mills C, Johnson RK. Evaluation of user interface and workflow design of a bedside nursing clinical decision support system. Interact J Med Res. 2013;2(1):e4.

[2] Carrington JMEA. Strengths and limitations of the electronic health record for documenting clinical events. Comput. Inform. Nurs. 2011;29(6):360–367.

[3] Johnson CM, Johnson TR, Zhang J. A user-centered framework for redesigning health care interfaces. J Biomed Inform. 2005;38(1):75–87.

[4] National Library of Medicine. What is precision medicine? Your guide to understanding gentoc conditions. 2018.

[5] Campion TR, Jr, May AK, Waitman LR, Ozdas A, Lorenzi NM, Gadd CS. Characteristics and effects of nurse dosing over-rides on computer-based intensive insulin therapy protocol performance. J. Am. Med. Inform. Assoc. 2011;18(3):251–258.

[6] McGonigle D, Hunter K, Sipes C, Hebda T. Why Nurses Need to Understand Nursing Informatics. AORN J. 2014;100(3):324–327.

[7] Booker KJ. Hemodynamic monitoring in critical care, in Critical Care Nursing: Monitoring and Treatment for Advanced Nursing Practice. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

[8] Clinical Decision Support. How to Implement EHRs. 2017.

[9] Lakanmaa R-L, Suominen T, Perttilä J, Puukka P, Leino-Kilpi H. Competence requirements in intensive and critical care nursing – Still in need of definition? A Delphi study. Intensive Crit. Care Nurs. 2012;28(6):329–336.

[10] Tsoukalas A, Albertson T, Tagkopoulos I. From data to optimal decision making: a datadriven, probabilistic machine learning approach to decision support for patients with sepsis. JMIR medical informatics. 2015;3(1):e11.

[11] Clinical Decision Support: More than Just 'Alerts' Tipsheet. 2014. 2017.

[12] Dowding D, Randell R, Mitchell N, et al. Experience and nurses use of computerised decision support systems. Stud. Health Technol. Inform. 2009;146:506–510.

[13] De Backer D. Is there a role for invasive hemodynamic monitoring in acute heart failure management? Curr. Heart Fail. Rep. 2015;12(3):197–204.

[14] Anderson JA, Willson P. Clinical decision support systems in nursing: synthesis of the science for evidence-based practice. Comput. Inform. Nurs. 2008;26(3):151–158.

[15] Fife CE, Walker D, Thomson B. Electronic Health Records, Registries, and Quality Measures: What? Why? How? Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle) 2013;2(10):598–604.

[16] Churpek MM, Yuen TC, Park SY, Gibbons R, Edelson DP. Using Electronic Health Record Data to Develop and Validate a Prediction Model for Adverse Outcomes on the Wards. Crit. Care Med. 2014;42(4):841–848.

[17] Boston-Fleischhauer C. Enhancing healthcare process design with human factors engineering and reliability science, part 2: applying the knowledge to clinical documentation systems. J. Nurs. Adm. 2008;38(2):84–89.

[18] Harrison RL, Lyerla F. Using nursing clinical decision support systems to achieve meaningful use. Comput. Inform. Nurs. 2012;30(7):380–385.

[19] Nursing Knowledge 2016; Paper presented at: Big Data Science Conference2016; Minneapolis, MN.

[20] Boy GA. The handbook of human-machine interaction: A human-centered design approach. Burlington, VT: Ashgate; 2011.

[21] Bakken S, Currie LM, Lee NJ, Roberts WD, Collins SA, Cimino JJ. Integrating evidence into clinical information systems for nursing decision support. Int. J. Med. Inform. 2008;77(6):413–420.

[22] Campion TR, Jr, Waitman LR, Lorenzi NM, May AK, Gadd CS. Barriers and facilitators to the use of computer-based intensive insulin therapy. Int. J. Med. Inform. 2011;80(12):863–871.

[23] Piscotty R, Kalisch B. Nurses' use of clinical decision support: a literature review. Comput. Inform. Nurs. 2014;32(12):562–568.

[24] Jeffery AD, Novak LL, Kennedy B, Dietrich MS, Mion LC. Participatory design of probability-based decision support tools for in-hospital nurses. J. Am. Med. Inform. Assoc. 2017.

[25] Zaleski J. Integrating device data into the electronic medical record. Erlangen, Germany: Publicis Publishing; 2008.

[26] Effken J, McGonigle D, Mastrian KG. The human-technology interface. In: McGonigle D, Mastrian KG, editors. Nursing informatics and the foundation of knowledge. 3. Burlington, MA: Ashgate; 2015. pp. 201–216.

[27] Staggers N, Parks PL. Description and initial applications of the Staggers & Parks Nurse-Computer Interaction Framework. Comput. Nurs. 1993;11(6):282–290.

[28] Blumenthal D, Tavenner M. The "meaningful use" regulation for electronic health records. N. Engl. J. Med. 2010;363(6):501–504.

[29] Chen ES, Sarkar IN. Mining the electronic health record for disease knowledge. Methods Mol. Biol. 2014;1159:269–286.

[30] Kohn LT, Corrigan JM, Donaldson MS. Errors in health care: A leading cause of death and injury. To err is human: Building a safer health system. 1999.