



## **Crisis Intervention in Substance Use Disorders: The Synergistic Efforts of Nursing, Pharmacy, and Social Work**

**Essa Burayt Alanazi<sup>1\*</sup>, Talal Salamah T Alenezi<sup>2</sup>, Alenezi, Hamed Katep H<sup>3</sup>, Bader Dabbus Ghunaim Alanazi<sup>4</sup>, Wesam Abdulazizi T Alanazi<sup>5</sup>, Tahani Alfahat M Alenezi<sup>6</sup>, Mohammed Lafi J Alanazi<sup>7</sup>, Mansour Jabban A Alruwaili<sup>8</sup>, Mona Mohammed M Alanazi<sup>9</sup>, Yousef Hadi Alenezi<sup>10</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Nursing Technician, Northern Borders Health Cluster

\* **Corresponding Author Email:** [ealdahmashi@gmail.com](mailto:ealdahmashi@gmail.com) - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-8800

<sup>2</sup>Nursing Technician, Southern Health Center

**Email:** talal4915@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-0810

<sup>3</sup>Nursing, Irada Mental Health Complex

**Email:** khalid61360@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-1820

<sup>4</sup>Nursing Technician, Ministry of Health

**Email:** mnwer1111@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-2830

<sup>5</sup>Pharmacy Technician, Health Affairs Directorate

**Email:** Wesamy@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-3840

<sup>6</sup>Bachelor of Nursing, Endocrinology and Diabetes Center

**Email:** A8.y1234@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-4860

<sup>7</sup>Bachelor in Sociology and Social Work, Prince Abdulaziz Bin Mosaad Hospital

**Email:** m.s.sh1434@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-5870

<sup>8</sup>Nursing, Health Volunteer Management, Northern Borders Ministry of Health

**Email:** m-g-t2009@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-6880

<sup>9</sup>Nursing Specialist, Northern Borders Health Cluster

**Email:** Monaale696@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-7890

<sup>10</sup>Nursing Technician, Maternity and Children's Hospital in Arar

**Email:** you7773@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-9000

### **Article Info:**

**DOI:** 10.22399/ijcesen.4793

**Received :** 01 June 2024

**Accepted :** 30 June 2024

### **Keywords**

crisis intervention,  
substance use disorders,  
interdisciplinary collaboration,  
nursing role, pharmacy role,  
social work role,  
medication-assisted treatment,

### **Abstract:**

Effective crisis intervention in substance use disorders (SUDs) requires an integrated, multidisciplinary approach that leverages the unique expertise of nursing, pharmacy, and social work to address the complex biopsychosocial dimensions of addiction-related emergencies. Nurses provide immediate clinical stabilization, continuous monitoring, and therapeutic engagement, forming a critical human connection during moments of acute vulnerability. Pharmacists contribute specialized knowledge in toxicology, withdrawal management, and the initiation of medication-assisted treatment (MAT), ensuring precise pharmacological intervention. Social workers address the systemic and environmental determinants of crisis by conducting psychosocial assessments, securing resources, and facilitating linkages to ongoing care and recovery support services. The synergy of these professions—guided by shared principles of harm reduction, trauma-informed care, and recovery-oriented systems—transforms acute crises into opportunities for sustainable engagement and healing. Without such collaboration, interventions risk being fragmented and temporary, underscoring the necessity of structured, interdisciplinary models in improving outcomes and reducing the cyclical nature of SUD crises.

## 1. Introduction

Substance Use Disorders (SUDs) represent one of the most pervasive and challenging public health crises of the modern era, transcending geographical, economic, and social boundaries to impact individuals, families, and communities globally. Characterized by a compulsive pattern of substance use despite significant harm and adverse consequences, SUDs are chronic, relapsing medical conditions that fundamentally alter brain circuitry governing reward, stress, and self-control [1]. The trajectory of a SUD is often punctuated by acute crises—moments of intense vulnerability and danger that demand immediate, skilled, and coordinated intervention. These crises can manifest as severe intoxication or overdose, acute withdrawal syndromes, profound psychological distress including suicidality, or the catastrophic social disintegration of homelessness, incarceration, or family rupture. It is at these critical junctures that the window for intervention is both most urgent and most consequential, offering a pivotal opportunity to stabilize, engage, and redirect an individual toward the path of recovery [2].

Traditional approaches to SUDs, often fragmented and siloed within specific healthcare or judicial systems, have proven inadequate in addressing the complex biopsychosocial nature of these disorders. A crisis related to substance use is never a singular event; it is the acute exacerbation of a chronic condition, deeply intertwined with underlying mental health issues, physical comorbidities, social determinants of health, and systemic failures [3]. Effective crisis intervention, therefore, cannot be the purview of a single discipline. It necessitates a holistic, integrated response that simultaneously addresses the physiological emergency, the psychological trauma, and the social collapse that the crisis represents. This paradigm shift from isolated intervention to coordinated care is fundamental to improving outcomes, reducing mortality, and fostering sustainable recovery [4].

Within the multidisciplinary tapestry of healthcare, three professions stand at the frontline of this integrated crisis response: nursing, pharmacy, and social work. Each brings a unique and essential lens, skill set, and philosophy to the crisis scenario, yet their greatest power lies not in their individual actions but in their synergistic collaboration. Nursing provides the continuous, patient-centered bedside care, clinical assessment, and therapeutic engagement that forms the foundation of trust and safety. Pharmacy delivers specialized expertise in pharmacotherapy, toxicology, and medication safety, ensuring that the biochemical aspects of the crisis are expertly managed and that medication-

assisted treatment (MAT) is seamlessly integrated. Social work contributes its deep understanding of systems, social determinants, and advocacy, working to stabilize the patient's environment, navigate complex systems, and address the root causes that fuel the cycle of addiction and crisis [5]. The integration of these three disciplines creates a robust crisis intervention framework that is greater than the sum of its parts. This collaborative model aligns with the principles of harm reduction, trauma-informed care, and recovery-oriented systems of care (ROSC), which emphasize meeting individuals where they are, ensuring physical and emotional safety, and building a network of supports for long-term wellness [6]. The emergency department (ED), detoxification units, crisis stabilization centers, and community outreach programs become the arenas where this synergy is most critically tested and demonstrated. Here, the nurse's rapid assessment informs the pharmacist's antidote recommendation, while the social worker's immediate linkage to community resources ensures the crisis intervention translates into a continuum of care, breaking the cycle of repeat presentations and revolving-door policies [7, 8].

## 2. The Crisis Landscape in Substance Use Disorders

A crisis in the context of a SUD is a multidimensional event that signifies a breakdown in an individual's capacity to cope. It is a state of psychological and physiological disequilibrium where usual problem-solving mechanisms fail, leading to overwhelming distress and heightened risk. Understanding the typology of these crises is essential for targeted intervention. The most immediate and life-threatening crisis is acute toxicity or overdose, particularly involving opioids like heroin or fentanyl, central nervous system depressants like alcohol and benzodiazepines, or stimulants like cocaine and methamphetamine. Overdose constitutes a medical emergency requiring immediate pharmacological reversal (e.g., with naloxone) and respiratory support, with nurses and pharmacists playing directly complementary roles in administration, monitoring, and subsequent MAT induction [9].

Simultaneously, acute withdrawal syndromes present another dangerous crisis. Alcohol and benzodiazepine withdrawal can precipitate seizures and delirium tremens, a life-threatening condition requiring meticulous clinical management and pharmacologic protocol administration, often guided by clinical pharmacists and executed by nursing staff [10]. Beyond the physiological, psychological crises are omnipresent. The co-

occurrence of SUDs and mental health disorders—such as major depression, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), or psychosis—means intoxication or withdrawal can acutely exacerbate psychiatric symptoms, leading to severe agitation, suicidal ideation, or paranoia. This dual diagnosis requires a nuanced intervention that stabilizes both the substance-related and psychiatric components without exacerbating either [11].

Furthermore, crises are frequently socio-environmental. The loss of housing, employment, child custody, or familial support can both precipitate a substance use escalation and result from it, creating a devastating feedback loop. An overdose reversal in the ED is a temporary fix if the patient is discharged back to the same unstable, high-risk environment. Therefore, crisis intervention must be conceptualized as a two-phase process: acute stabilization of the medical and psychological emergency, followed immediately by intensive linkage to the social, economic, and recovery supports necessary for sustained stability. This is where the social work role becomes irreplaceable, bridging the gap between clinical care and community survivability [12].

### **3. The Indispensable Role of Nursing in Crisis Intervention**

Nursing is the cornerstone of crisis intervention, providing the continuous, holistic, and humanistic presence essential during a patient's most vulnerable moments. The nursing role begins with rapid, yet comprehensive, triage and assessment. Utilizing clinical judgment and standardized tools, nurses assess the patient's level of consciousness, vital signs, respiratory status, and potential for harm to self or others. This biopsychosocial assessment extends beyond physical symptoms to include mental status, emotional distress, motivation for change, and readiness for treatment, forming the initial database for the entire interdisciplinary team [13].

A core nursing function in crisis intervention is the management of withdrawal through structured protocols. For patients in alcohol or benzodiazepine withdrawal, nurses administer symptom-triggered or fixed-schedule pharmacotherapies (like benzodiazepine tapers), closely monitoring Clinical Institute Withdrawal Assessment (CIWA) scores to prevent progression to severe complications. This requires vigilant observation, skilled medication administration, and constant reassessment—a task that blends clinical expertise with compassionate support as patients endure profound physical and psychological discomfort [14]. Furthermore, nurses are often the first to identify and respond to

overdose, administering lifesaving intranasal naloxone in pre-hospital settings or within emergency departments, and providing immediate respiratory and cardiovascular support until further medical help arrives.

Perhaps most critically, nurses operationalize the principles of trauma-informed care and therapeutic engagement during crisis. Recognizing that individuals with SUDs have often experienced significant trauma, violence, and stigmatization, nurses create a "safe space" within the chaos of a crisis setting. Through non-judgmental communication, active listening, and motivational interviewing techniques, nurses can build rapport where it may seem impossible. This therapeutic alliance is not ancillary; it is a primary intervention. By affirming the individual's worth and validating their struggle, nurses can cut through the shame and defensiveness that often accompany addiction, potentially transforming the crisis into a "teachable moment" or a point of engagement with longer-term treatment options [15].

Finally, nurses serve as the central coordinators of care during and after the crisis. They communicate findings to physicians and pharmacists, implement care plans, educate patients and families on overdose prevention and recovery resources, and facilitate warm handoffs to social workers or addiction specialists. Their 24/7 presence at the bedside makes them pivotal in observing subtle changes, managing behavioral disturbances, and ensuring patient safety throughout the precarious stabilization period, thereby forming the essential human bridge between acute medical intervention and the subsequent stages of recovery planning [16].

### **4. The Critical Expertise of Pharmacy in Crisis Management**

The pharmacist's role in SUD crisis intervention is one of specialized pharmacological expertise, essential for both emergent response and strategic treatment initiation. In the acute overdose scenario, particularly with opioids, the pharmacist is a key resource on the interdisciplinary team, providing definitive information on toxicology, expected clinical course, and appropriate dosing of antidotes. They ensure the timely availability and proper use of naloxone, not only in its administration for reversal but also in guiding post-overdose care, including monitoring for re-narcotization as short-acting naloxone wears off in the context of long-acting opioids like fentanyl analogues [17].

Pharmacists are paramount in the management of complex withdrawal syndromes. They develop, implement, and monitor evidence-based medication

protocols for alcohol, benzodiazepine, and opioid withdrawal. This goes beyond simply dispensing medications; it involves active participation in therapeutic decision-making, calculating precise benzodiazepine tapering schedules based on CIWA scores, recommending adjunctive medications for symptom control (e.g., alpha-agonists for autonomic hyperactivity, anticonvulsants), and monitoring for adverse drug reactions or interactions. This pharmaceutical care ensures withdrawal is as safe and comfortable as possible, reducing the risk of patient-directed discharge against medical advice due to untreated suffering [18].

A transformative contribution of pharmacy in the crisis setting is the initiation of Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT). The crisis moment, when motivation may be heightened, is a critical opportunity to begin long-term pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (OUD). Clinical pharmacists can lead or co-lead programs for initiating buprenorphine directly in the Emergency Department or inpatient unit. They possess the expertise to determine patient eligibility, manage the timing of induction relative to last opioid use to avoid precipitated withdrawal, titrate doses for optimal effect, and provide crucial patient education on how the medication works. This "bridge" treatment initiated during crisis stabilization dramatically increases the likelihood of a patient engaging in ongoing outpatient addiction care [19].

Furthermore, pharmacists are guardians of medication safety, a paramount concern given the high risk of polypharmacy and interaction in this population. They conduct thorough medication reconciliation to identify prescriptions that may contribute to risk (e.g., uncontrolled benzodiazepine prescriptions alongside opioids) and counsel patients on the dangers of combining substances. Their role extends to community outreach, where they dispense naloxone kits, provide education on overdose response to patients and families, and serve as accessible points of contact for harm reduction supplies, effectively expanding the safety net beyond the clinical setting into the community where crises often occur [20].

## 5. The Foundational Advocacy of Social Work in Crisis Resolution

While nursing and pharmacy address the immediate biomedical and psychological facets of the crisis, social work intervenes at the systemic and environmental level, tackling the very conditions that precipitate and perpetuate crises. The social work role begins with a comprehensive

psychosocial assessment concurrent with medical stabilization. This assessment explores housing status, employment, income, legal issues, family dynamics, trauma history, social supports, and past experiences with treatment systems. This holistic picture is vital to understanding the individual not just as a patient in a bed, but as a person within a complex, often fractured, life context [21].

A primary function in crisis is intensive case management and system navigation. Social workers are experts in navigating the labyrinthine systems of healthcare, housing, income assistance, and legal aid. During the crisis intervention, they immediately begin working to secure basic needs: contacting shelters or advocating for emergency housing vouchers, applying for emergency welfare assistance, arranging for food security, and addressing urgent legal issues such as upcoming court dates or child protective services involvement. By stabilizing these foundational social determinants of health, social workers directly reduce the external stressors that drive substance use and hinder recovery, making the clinical interventions by nursing and pharmacy sustainable [22].

Central to the social work mission is facilitating linkages to the continuum of care. The crisis intervention is a failure if it ends at discharge. Social workers perform "warm handoffs," actively connecting patients to detoxification facilities, inpatient or outpatient addiction treatment programs, mental health counseling, peer support groups (like SMART Recovery or 12-step fellowships), and primary care providers. They overcome barriers such as insurance complexities, transportation issues, and long waitlists through persistent advocacy and creative problem-solving. This role as a connector is crucial for breaking the cycle of "revolving door" emergency department visits, transforming a one-time crisis response into an entry point into a recovery-oriented system of care [23].

Furthermore, social workers provide essential counseling and advocacy from a strengths-based, client-centered perspective. Using techniques from motivational interviewing, cognitive-behavioral therapy, and harm reduction, they help patients explore ambivalence, identify personal goals, and develop coping strategies. They also serve as powerful advocates, challenging the stigma and discriminatory practices that patients with SUDs often face within healthcare and social service systems. Whether advocating for equitable treatment in the ED, for humane housing policies, or for the rights of parents in recovery, social workers address the structural barriers to health and

dignity, working to change systems as they support individuals within them [24].

## **6. Synergistic Collaboration: Models and Practice Frameworks**

The individual strengths of nursing, pharmacy, and social work are potent, but their integration into a cohesive unit is what defines exceptional crisis intervention. Several models and frameworks facilitate this synergy. The Screening, Brief Intervention, and Referral to Treatment (SBIRT) model is a prime example of integrated practice. Nurses often conduct universal screening for risky substance use. A positive screen triggers a brief intervention, which may be delivered by the nurse, social worker, or a health coach, employing motivational techniques to enhance insight and encourage change. The "Referral to Treatment" component is then spearheaded by the social worker, in consultation with a pharmacist if MAT is indicated, ensuring a seamless pathway from identification to specialist care [25].

Interdisciplinary team huddles and rounds are the operational engine of collaboration. In the ED or on an inpatient unit, daily or per-shift huddles involving the nurse, clinical pharmacist, and social worker allow for shared situational awareness. The nurse reports on clinical status and patient-expressed concerns, the pharmacist updates on medication efficacy and plans, and the social worker shares psychosocial barriers and linkage progress. This real-time communication allows for agile adjustment of the care plan, ensures all team members are aligned, and prevents crucial details from falling between disciplinary silos [26]. Shared electronic health records (EHRs) with dedicated spaces for nursing notes, pharmaceutical care plans, and social work assessments further enhance this communication, creating a unified narrative of the patient's crisis and recovery plan.

Integrated care protocols, such as those for ED-initiated buprenorphine, formally structure collaboration. In such protocols, the nurse identifies the patient, performs initial assessment, and administers the first dose per order. The pharmacist ensures medication availability, verifies appropriate dosing based on the patient's use history, and provides education. The social worker simultaneously conducts a psychosocial assessment, begins addressing barriers (e.g., insurance for follow-up), and schedules the definitive follow-up appointment with a community provider. This choreographed sequence ensures that the clinical, pharmacological, and social components of treatment initiation happen concurrently, not sequentially, dramatically

increasing protocol fidelity and patient success [27].

Finally, a shared philosophical foundation in Harm Reduction and Trauma-Informed Care (TIC) binds these disciplines together in purpose. All three professions, in their unique ways, operationalize the principle of meeting the patient "where they are." The nurse does this by accepting a patient in active withdrawal without judgment, the pharmacist by providing clean syringes to prevent infection, and the social worker by advocating for housing first models that do not mandate abstinence. Similarly, a shared commitment to TIC—recognizing the pervasiveness of trauma, ensuring physical and emotional safety, fostering trustworthiness, and empowering patient voice and choice—creates a consistent, healing environment. This common ethical framework ensures that despite their different tools, the team works towards the unified goals of reducing immediate harm, promoting dignity, and supporting self-determined recovery [28].

## **7. Challenges and Barriers to Effective Interdisciplinary Crisis Intervention**

Despite the clear imperative and proven efficacy of interdisciplinary collaboration, significant systemic and professional barriers impede its full realization in crisis settings for SUDs. A primary challenge is the persistent stigma surrounding addiction, both within society and, detrimentally, within healthcare systems themselves. This stigma can manifest as "compassion fatigue" among frontline staff, moralistic attitudes that view SUDs as a choice rather than a disease, and a lower prioritization of addiction crises compared to other medical emergencies. This environment can erode the morale and cohesion of the interdisciplinary team, particularly if members hold differing levels of bias, making consistent, non-judgmental care difficult to sustain [29].

Structural and resource constraints are equally formidable. Emergency departments and crisis centers are often overcrowded, understaffed, and oriented toward rapid throughput for physical emergencies. In such a high-pressure environment, taking the time for an interdisciplinary huddle, a comprehensive social work assessment, or a nuanced buprenorphine induction can be seen as a luxury. Lack of dedicated funding for integrated SUD services, insufficient availability of social workers and clinical pharmacists specifically trained in addiction, and poor reimbursement for counseling and case management services create a system that incentivizes fragmented, episodic care over integrated, longitudinal intervention [30].

Professional territoriality and role ambiguity can also hinder collaboration. In traditional healthcare hierarchies, the boundaries between nursing, pharmacy, and social work can be rigid. Lack of understanding and respect for each other's distinct competencies and scopes of practice can lead to miscommunication, duplication of efforts, or critical tasks being overlooked. Without clear protocols for collaboration and shared goals, teams can devolve into working in parallel rather than in an integrated fashion. Furthermore, the absence of interprofessional education (IPE) in many training programs means that nurses, pharmacists, and social workers may enter practice with little experience in how to effectively communicate and collaborate with one another, a deficit acutely felt in high-stakes crisis situations [31].

Finally, the fragmentation of the broader healthcare and social service system itself poses a massive barrier. Even the most effective in-crisis collaboration falters if the social worker has no detox beds to refer to, the pharmacist cannot find a community pharmacy willing to dispense buprenorphine, or the nurse knows the patient will be discharged to a shelter system with no support for recovery. The lack of a truly seamless continuum of care—with integrated electronic records, shared care plans, and formal agreements between crisis, acute, and community providers—often leaves the interdisciplinary team feeling they are building a bridge to nowhere, undermining their efforts and demoralizing patients [32].

## 8. Future Directions and Recommendations

To optimize crisis intervention for SUDs, a concerted effort must be made to strengthen the synergistic model. First, **interprofessional education (IPE)** must be embedded in the curricula of nursing, pharmacy, and social work programs. Simulations and joint training on SBIRT, trauma-informed care, and team communication will prepare future professionals for collaborative practice from the outset of their careers [33- 35]. Second, healthcare systems must **invest in integrated staffing models**. This includes funding for dedicated addiction clinical pharmacists, embedded social workers in EDs, and nurses with certified addiction training (CARN). Payment models must shift to value-based care that reimburses for team-based crisis intervention, case management, and warm handoffs, not just procedural codes [36].

Third, **technology** should be leveraged to enhance collaboration. Integrated EHR platforms with shared care plans, secure messaging for rapid consultation between disciplines, and telehealth

capabilities for post-discharge follow-up by the team can maintain continuity. Furthermore, expanding the **scope of practice** strategically can enhance synergy, such as through policies allowing pharmacists to initiate and manage MAT under collaborative practice agreements, and empowering nurses and social workers with community-based naloxone distribution authority [37].

Finally, a systemic shift towards a **Recovery-Oriented System of Care (ROSC)** is essential. Crisis intervention should be designed as the on-ramp to a coordinated network of peer support, housing, employment, and ongoing treatment. This requires breaking down policy silos between health, substance use, and social services and fostering true cross-sector partnerships. The interdisciplinary team in the crisis setting should function as the hub that actively connects to these community spokes [38].

## 9. Conclusion

Crisis intervention in Substance Use Disorders represents a profound test of our healthcare system's compassion, competence, and capacity for integration. As this article has detailed, the crises born of addiction are multifaceted, demanding responses that are equally complex and nuanced. No single profession holds the solution. Nursing provides the essential human connection and clinical management that stabilizes the body and begins to heal the spirit. Pharmacy delivers the precise pharmacological expertise that reverses toxicity, alleviates suffering, and initiates evidence-based medical treatment. Social work addresses the fractured social ecology, providing the advocacy, resources, and system navigation without which clinical gains are ephemeral.

It is in the purposeful synergy of these three disciplines—their shared communication, mutual respect, and coordinated action—that crisis intervention transcends mere stabilization to become a transformative gateway. This collaborative model, grounded in harm reduction and trauma-informed care, turns the acute moment of despair into an opportunity for engagement, hope, and the beginning of a sustainable recovery journey. To meet the escalating challenge of the SUD crisis, healthcare systems must move beyond siloed approaches and actively cultivate, resource, and champion this interdisciplinary alliance. The lives and recoveries of millions depend on our ability to not just intervene in crises, but to do so with the unified strength of nursing, pharmacy, and social work working in concert.

## Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

## References

- [1] Volkow ND, Baler RD. Now vs later brain circuits: implications for obesity and addiction. *Trends Neurosci* 2015;38:345-52.
- [2] Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation . Smoking – Level 3 risk. *Lancet* 2020;396:S258-9.
- [3] World Health Organization . Tobacco. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2022.
- [4] Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation . Global smoking prevalence and cigarette consumption 1980-2012. Seattle: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, 2022.
- [5] Degenhardt L, Charlson F, Ferrari A et al. The global burden of disease attributable to alcohol and drug use in 195 countries and territories, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet* 2018;5:987-1012.
- [6] Hasin DS, O'Brien CP, Auriacombe M et al. DSM-5 criteria for substance use disorders: recommendations and rationale. *Am J Psychiatry* 2013;170:834-51.
- [7] Murray CJL, Aravkin AY, Zheng P et al. Global burden of 87 risk factors in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet* 2020;396:1223-49.
- [8] Volkow ND. The epidemic of fentanyl misuse and overdoses: challenges and strategies. *World Psychiatry* 2021;20:195-6.
- [9] Volkow ND, Wise RA, Baler R. The dopamine motive system: implications for drug and food addiction. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2017;18:741-52.
- [10] Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation . Drug use disorders – Level 3 cause. [www.thelancet.com](http://www.thelancet.com).
- [11] Maiorov VI. The functions of dopamine in operant conditioned reflexes. *Neurosci Behav Physiol* 2019;49:887-93.
- [12] Reitsma MB, Kendrick PJ, Ababneh E et al. Spatial, temporal, and demographic patterns in prevalence of smoking tobacco use and attributable disease burden in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet* 2021;397:2337-60.
- [13] Vasilenko SA, Evans-Polce RJ, Lanza ST. Age trends in rates of substance use disorders across ages 18-90: differences by gender and race/ethnicity. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2017;180:260-4.
- [14] Schenk S, Highgate Q. Methylendioxyamfetamine (MDMA): serotonergic and dopaminergic mechanisms related to its use and misuse. *J Neurochem* 2021;157:1714-24.
- [15] Palamar JJ, Ciccarone D, Rutherford C et al. Trends in seizures of powders and pills containing illicit fentanyl in the United States, 2018 through 2021. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2022;234:109398.
- [16] Han B, Compton WM, Jones CM et al. Methamphetamine use, methamphetamine use disorder, and associated overdose deaths among US adults. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2021;78:1329-42.
- [17] United Nations . World drug report 2021. New York: United Nations, 2021.
- [18] United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime . World drug report – drug market trends: cannabis and opioids. New York: United Nations, 2021.
- [19] Ritchie H, Roser M. Opioids, cocaine, cannabis and illicit drugs. [ourworldindata.org](http://ourworldindata.org).
- [20] World Health Organization . Global status report on alcohol and health 2018. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2018.
- [21] United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime . World drug report – other drug policy issues. New York: United Nations, 2020.
- [22] Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation . Drug use – Level 2 risk. [www.thelancet.com](http://www.thelancet.com).
- [23] Volkow ND, Morales M. The brain on drugs: from reward to addiction. *Cell* 2015;162:712-25.
- [24] Patel V, Chisholm D, Dua T et al. Mental, neurological, and substance use disorders: disease control priorities. Washington: International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/World Bank, 2016.
- [25] James SL, Abate D, Abate KH et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2018;392:1789-858.
- [26] Imtiaz S, Nafeh F, Russell C et al. The impact of the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic on drug overdose-related deaths in the United States and Canada: a systematic review of observational studies and analysis of public health surveillance data. *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy* 2021;16:87.

- [27] Wise RA. Dopamine and reward: the anhedonia hypothesis 30 years on. *Neurotox Res* 2008;14:169-83.
- [28] National Center for Health Statistics . Provisional drug overdose death counts. Hyattsville: National Center for Health Statistics, 2022.
- [29] American Psychiatric Association . Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, 5th ed. Arlington: American Psychiatric Association, 2013.
- [30] Mitchell MR, Berridge KC, Mahler SV. Endocannabinoid-enhanced “liking” in nucleus accumbens shell hedonic hotspot requires endogenous opioid signals. *Cannabis Cannabinoid Res* 2018;3:166-70.
- [31] Volkow ND, Ding YS, Fowler JS et al. Is methylphenidate like cocaine? Studies on their pharmacokinetics and distribution in the human brain. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1995;52:456-63.
- [32] Degenhardt L, Bharat C, Bruno R et al. Concordance between the diagnostic guidelines for alcohol and cannabis use disorders in the draft ICD-11 and other classification systems: analysis of data from the WHO's World Mental Health Surveys. *Addiction* 2019;114:534-52.
- [33] Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation . Alcohol use – Level 2 risk. *Lancet* 2020;396:S264-5.
- [34] Cruz MT, Bajo M, Schweitzer P et al. Shared mechanisms of alcohol and other drugs. *Alcohol Res Health* 2008;31:137-47.
- [35] Olfson M, Crystal S, Wall MM et al. Causes of death after nonfatal opioid overdose. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2018;75:820-7.
- [36] Franco S, Olfson M, Wall MM et al. Shared and specific associations of substance use disorders on adverse outcomes: a national prospective study. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2019;1:212-9.
- [37] Blanco C, Wall MM, Hoertel N et al. Psychiatric disorders and risk for multiple adverse outcomes: a national prospective study. *Mol Psychiatry* 2021;26:907-16.
- [38] UK Office for National Statistics . Deaths related to drug poisoning in England and Wales: 2021 registrations. London: UK Office for National Statistics, 2021.