



Optimizing Glycemic Control Through Nutritional Interventions: Joint Roles of Nurses and Dietitians in Non-Critical Care Settings

Hissah Abdullah H Almuqri^{1*}, Alshammari Turki Meshal S², Wayil Menwer R Alanazi³, Alham Mohammed Sahali⁴, Abdullah Mohammed F Al Thenian⁵, Nihal Hijrif Almajnuni⁶, Eman Radhi Mashouh Alanazi⁷, Mashaal Qtefan A Alenezi⁸, Marwa Mohammed Ibrahim Mokhadi⁹, Nader Ali Alanazi¹⁰, Yaser Abdullah A Alsayel¹¹

¹Senior Specialist, Nursing Administration, Third Riyadh Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Dawadmi, Riyadh Region, Saudi Arabia

* **Corresponding Author Email:** halmogare@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-8793-3862

²Senior Specialist, Emergency Care Nursing, Branch of the Ministry of Health, Ministry of Health, Hail, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: Tumalshammari@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2567-7937

³Male Emergency Nursing Specialist, King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital, Sakaka, Al Jouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Sakaka, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: wayilma@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2567-7937

⁴Nursing, Al Hurth General Hospital, Jazan Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Samtah, Jazan Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: Esahly@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2567-7937

⁵Nursing Technician, Al Jabr Eye, Ear, Nose and Throat Hospital, Al Ahsa, Al Ahsa Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Al Ahsa, Eastern Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: aalthenian@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2567-7937

⁶Patient Care Technician, Al Thaghr Hospital, First Jeddah Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Jeddah, Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: NAlmajnuni@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2567-7937

⁷Nursing Technician, North Medical Tower Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: ielanzy@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2567-7937

⁸Nursing Technician, Al Salihiyah Al Awsat Primary Health Care Center, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: mqalenezi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2567-7937

⁹Nursing Technician, Al Thaghr General Hospital, First Jeddah Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Jeddah, Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: mmokhadi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2567-7937

¹⁰Nursing Technician, North Medical Tower Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: nazila@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2567-7937

¹¹Nutrition Specialist (Food Science and Human Nutrition), Nutrition Services, Qassim Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Buraydah, Qassim Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: yalsayel@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2567-7937

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesn.4762

Received : 01 October 2024

Accepted : 30 October 2024

Keywords

glycemic control,
nutritional interventions,
nurses,
dietitians,
interprofessional collaboration,
non-critical care

Abstract:

Optimizing glycemic control in non-critical care hospital settings necessitates a robust, interdisciplinary approach where nutritional interventions are paramount. This process relies critically on the synergistic partnership between nurses and registered dietitians, who integrate their distinct expertise into a cohesive management strategy. Nurses provide continuous bedside monitoring, synchronize insulin administration with nutritional intake, and deliver immediate patient education. Dietitians conduct comprehensive nutritional assessments, prescribe individualized medical nutrition therapy, and offer in-depth counseling. Their collaborative efforts—facilitated through structured communication, shared protocols, and mutual respect—directly translate into improved patient outcomes, including enhanced glycemic stability, reduced complication rates, and shorter hospital stays. Ultimately, this partnership is foundational for translating evidence-based guidelines into effective, patient-centered care, ensuring that dietary management is seamlessly woven into the daily therapeutic fabric to achieve optimal metabolic outcomes.

1. Introduction

The management of hyperglycemia in hospitalized patients, particularly those outside intensive care units, represents a significant and persistent challenge for contemporary healthcare systems. Glycemic control, defined as the maintenance of blood glucose levels within a target range, is not merely a biochemical metric but a cornerstone of patient recovery, infection prevention, and reduction of both in-hospital complications and long-term morbidity. In the dynamic and often complex environment of non-critical care settings—encompassing general medical-surgical wards, specialty units, and post-operative recovery areas—achieving optimal glycemic control is a multifaceted endeavor. It extends beyond the prescription of insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents and delves deeply into the realm of daily patient habits, specifically nutritional intake. The interplay between diet, medication, metabolic stress, and variable hospital routines creates a perfect storm for glycemic instability, where hyperglycemia is common and hypoglycemia poses a constant risk [1].

Historically, the focus of inpatient diabetes management was predominantly pharmacological, centered on adjusting insulin regimens in response to elevated blood glucose readings. However, a paradigm shift has occurred, recognizing that nutrition is not a passive background factor but an active and modifiable therapeutic tool. Nutritional interventions, tailored to the individual's metabolic needs, medical condition, and personal preferences, are now understood to be co-equal with pharmacotherapy in the management of inpatient dysglycemia [2]. The failure to integrate effective nutritional strategies can render even the most sophisticated insulin protocols ineffective, as unregulated carbohydrate intake leads to unpredictable glucose excursions. Conversely,

inappropriate dietary restriction can contribute to malnutrition, delayed wound healing, and increased susceptibility to infection, undermining the very goals of hospitalization [3].

This intricate task of harmonizing nutrition with medication to achieve stable blood glucose levels cannot be the sole responsibility of a single profession. It necessitates a collaborative, interdisciplinary approach. Within this model, nurses and registered dietitians (RDs) emerge as the central, frontline orchestrators of day-to-day glycemic management. Their roles, while distinct in expertise and primary focus, are deeply interdependent and mutually reinforcing. Nurses, with their 24-hour presence at the bedside, are the constant observers, the executors of care plans, and the first responders to acute changes in patient status. They administer medications, monitor vital signs and point-of-care glucose levels, and provide immediate education and reassurance to patients [4]. Their holistic view of the patient integrates glycemic data with overall clinical progress, medication tolerance, and psychosocial factors.

Dietitians, as the experts in medical nutrition therapy (MNT), bring a deep, specialized knowledge of metabolism, nutrient composition, and therapeutic diet modifications. They conduct comprehensive nutritional assessments, interpret intricate data on energy needs and macronutrient distribution, and design personalized meal plans that align with medical goals for glycemic control, renal function, cardiac health, or wound healing [5]. Their work translates broad dietary guidelines into concrete, actionable food choices for the patient and the food service department. However, the dietitian's plan, however scientifically sound, exists in abstraction without effective implementation. This is where the nurse's role becomes critical. The nurse is the key agent who ensures that the prescribed meal plan is understood by the patient, that meals are consumed in relation to the timing of

insulin administration, that appetite changes or meal omissions are noted and communicated, and that the practical realities of the patient's ability to eat (e.g., nausea, dysphagia, physical limitations) are factored into the ongoing management strategy [6].

The synergy between nursing and dietetics is therefore not merely beneficial but essential. Fragmented care, where dietary advice is disconnected from bedside nursing practices or where glucose monitoring occurs in isolation from meal patterns, leads to suboptimal outcomes. Effective collaboration bridges these gaps, creating a continuous feedback loop: nurses report real-world patient responses to the dietitian, and dietitians adjust recommendations based on this clinical feedback and evolving patient needs. This team-based approach is consistently highlighted in clinical guidelines as a best practice for improving glycemic outcomes, reducing length of stay, and preventing readmissions [7, 8].

2. The Scientific Foundation of Nutrition for Glycemic Control

2.1 Physiology of Glucose Metabolism in Illness

The hospital setting introduces a multitude of stressors that profoundly disrupt normal glucose homeostasis. The physiological stress response, mediated by counter-regulatory hormones such as cortisol, catecholamines, glucagon, and growth hormone, leads to increased hepatic glucose production (gluconeogenesis) and promotes insulin resistance in peripheral tissues like muscle and fat. This state of "stress hyperglycemia" occurs even in individuals without a prior diagnosis of diabetes and exacerbates pre-existing diabetes [9]. Concurrently, conditions like infection, inflammation, and surgery further elevate cytokine levels, which amplify insulin resistance. Nutritional intake in the hospital is often inconsistent—periods of fasting for procedures alternate with the provision of standard hospital meals, oral nutritional supplements, or enteral/parental nutrition. This variability, combined with reduced physical activity, creates a highly challenging environment for maintaining euglycemia. Pharmacological agents, notably corticosteroids which are widely used for their anti-inflammatory effects, are potent inducers of hyperglycemia, adding another layer of complexity [10]. Understanding this altered metabolic milieu is the first step for both nurses and dietitians, as it underscores why standard outpatient dietary approaches may be insufficient and why vigilant, adaptive management is required.

2.2 Key Principles of Medical Nutrition Therapy (MNT) for Inpatient Glycemia

Medical Nutrition Therapy, as defined by the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, is the evidence-based application of nutrition interventions to treat medical conditions. For inpatient glycemic control, MNT is built upon several core principles rather than a single, rigid "diabetic diet." The overarching goal is to provide adequate calories and protein to meet metabolic demands and support healing while minimizing large, rapid swings in blood glucose. **Carbohydrate consistency and quantification** is a fundamental strategy. This involves providing a predictable amount of carbohydrate at each meal and snack, which allows for safer and more effective matching of prandial (mealtime) insulin doses [11]. The focus is on the total grams of carbohydrate rather than the source alone, though emphasizing high-fiber, complex carbohydrates is encouraged for their slower absorption profile. **Individualized calorie and protein provision** is critical; an undernourished patient with pressure injuries requires a different plan than an obese patient with hyperglycemia. Indirect calorimetry or validated equations are used by dietitians to estimate needs. **Meal timing** is synchronized with insulin pharmacokinetics, particularly for regimens involving basal-bolus insulin, to prevent pre-meal hypoglycemia or post-meal hyperglycemia [12]. Finally, the integration of **carbohydrate counting** principles into patient education, even during a short admission, empowers self-management and prepares for discharge.

2.3 Evidence for Nutritional Interventions

A robust body of evidence supports the impact of structured nutritional interventions on inpatient glycemic outcomes. Studies demonstrate that consistent carbohydrate meal plans lead to improved postprandial glucose levels and reduced glycemic variability compared to traditional approaches [13]. The use of specialized, carbohydrate-controlled nutritional supplements for patients at risk of or with existing malnutrition has been shown to improve glycemic control and reduce the need for corrective insulin doses [14]. Furthermore, protocols that standardize the management of nutrition-related scenarios—such as how to adjust insulin for missed meals, how to manage glucose during enteral feeding, or how to treat hypoglycemia with appropriate carbohydrate sources—have been linked to significant reductions

in both hyperglycemic and hypoglycemic events [15]. This evidence forms the basis for the standardized protocols and collaborative practices that nurses and dietitians implement at the bedside.

3. The Distinct and Essential Role of the Registered Nurse

3.1 Frontline Monitoring and Assessment

The nurse functions as the primary sensor for the glycemic control system. This role extends far beyond the mechanical task of obtaining fingerstick blood glucose (BG) readings at prescribed intervals. It involves **interpretive surveillance**: recognizing patterns in glucose data—such as persistent pre-lunch hyperglycemia or nocturnal hypoglycemia—and correlating them with timing of meals, insulin administration, corticosteroid doses, and the patient's clinical status (e.g., signs of infection, pain, anxiety) [16]. Nurses assess **appetite and oral intake** at every meal, noting percentages of food consumed, preferences, and any barriers like nausea, fatigue, or difficulty swallowing. They monitor for **symptoms of dysglycemia**, from the subtle (sweating, tremor, confusion) to the overt, and initiate prompt treatment per protocol. This continuous, holistic assessment generates the real-time data upon which daily management decisions are made, forming a crucial link between the patient's lived experience and the formal care plan.

3.2 Medication-Nutrition Synchronization

One of the nurse's most critical technical roles is the precise coordination of insulin administration with nutritional delivery. This is a high-risk, high-responsibility task, especially with rapid-acting insulin analogues whose action profile is designed to match meal-derived glucose excursions. The nurse must ensure that **insulin is given at the correct time** relative to the meal—typically just before or immediately after the patient begins eating. They must be prepared to **withhold or adjust prandial insulin** if a patient is unexpectedly nil-by-mouth, has a poor appetite, or receives a late meal tray [17]. This requires not only strict protocol adherence but also critical thinking and communication with the dietary department. For patients on complex regimens like multiple daily injections or insulin pumps, this synchronization becomes even more vital to prevent dangerous mismatches.

3.3 Patient Education and Motivational Interviewing at the Bedside

While dietitians provide in-depth nutritional education, nurses deliver essential, just-in-time education and reinforce key messages during countless routine interactions. This includes **explaining the connection** between the food on the tray and the insulin injection the patient is about to receive, **demonstrating carbohydrate estimation** using the plate method during meal service, and **reviewing hypoglycemia treatment** plans. Nurses employ principles of **motivational interviewing**—exploring a patient's readiness to change, addressing ambivalence, and supporting self-efficacy—to encourage dietary adherence [18]. For a patient newly diagnosed with diabetes, the nurse's calm, repeated explanations can reduce anxiety and lay the groundwork for more formal education. This ongoing, contextual education is often more impactful than a single teaching session.

Advocacy and Coordination of Care

The nurse serves as the central node of communication and advocacy. They **liaise with the food service department** to ensure meal deliveries align with medication schedules and to request appropriate meal substitutions based on patient tolerance or cultural preferences. They **communicate essential assessment findings** to the dietitian—for instance, reporting that a patient is consistently eating only 50% of their carbohydrate choices, which may necessitate a dietitian's reassessment of the meal plan or the physician's adjustment of insulin doses. They **advocate for the patient's needs** within the interprofessional team, ensuring that nutritional concerns are voiced during rounds and that discharge planning includes appropriate dietary supports [19]. This coordinating function is indispensable for translating the static care plan into dynamic, responsive care.

4. The Specialized Expertise of the Registered Dietitian

4.1 Comprehensive Nutritional Assessment and Diagnosis

The dietitian's process begins with a thorough assessment that is both science and art. This involves a **detailed nutrient intake analysis**, often utilizing tools like food records or patient interviews to understand habitual patterns. They calculate **estimated energy and protein requirements** using evidence-based equations, adjusting for factors like age, stress level, and clinical condition. The assessment includes evaluating **anthropometric data** (weight history, body mass index), **biochemical markers** (albumin,

prealbumin, glucose trends, lipid profiles), and a **clinical examination** for signs of malnutrition (muscle wasting, edema) [20]. From this data, the dietitian formulates a **nutrition diagnosis**—a standardized problem statement such as "Inadequate oral food intake related to nausea and poor appetite as evidenced by consumption of <50% of meals for three days"—which directs the subsequent intervention.

4.2 Development and Prescription of Individualized Meal Plans

Based on the assessment, the dietitian prescribes a therapeutic meal plan. This is a precise prescription, not a suggestion. It specifies **total daily caloric goal, macronutrient distribution** (grams of carbohydrate, protein, and fat), and **meal pattern** (e.g., three meals and two snacks). The dietitian selects the appropriate **hospital diet order** (e.g., Consistent Carbohydrate Diet, Renal Diet, Heart Healthy) and personalizes it further. This may involve **carbohydrate counting assignments** (e.g., "60 grams carbohydrate per lunch"), recommendations for **specific nutritional supplements** that are diabetes-friendly, or modifications for **texture** (e.g., pureed) or **allergies** [21]. The plan balances glycemic goals with other nutritional priorities, such as promoting wound healing with adequate protein or managing fluid balance in heart failure.

4.3 Advanced Education and Counseling

Dietitians provide the comprehensive education that underpins long-term self-management. Sessions are tailored to health literacy, cultural background, and readiness to learn. Key topics include **principles of carbohydrate counting, label reading** for packaged foods and supplements, **meal planning strategies** for home, **dining out guidance**, and **management of sick days**. They use counseling techniques to help patients set realistic goals, problem-solve barriers, and understand the synergistic relationship between food, medication, and activity [22]. For inpatients, this education often focuses on "survival skills" but also initiates the conversation for post-discharge follow-up, making the RD a key bridge from hospital to home.

4.4 Monitoring, Evaluation, and Protocol Development

The dietitian's role is cyclical. They **monitor the effectiveness** of the nutrition intervention by reviewing glucose logs, dietary intake records, and weight trends. They **evaluate outcomes** against goals: has glycemic variability decreased? Is the

patient meeting protein needs? Based on this evaluation, they **adapt the plan** as the patient's condition, appetite, or clinical goals evolve [23]. Beyond individual patient care, dietitians are instrumental in **developing and revising institutional protocols** for nutrition-related glycemic management, such as standards for tube feeding in diabetes, hypoglycemia prevention bundles, or pre-operative carbohydrate loading protocols. This system-level work amplifies their impact across the entire patient population.

5. Synergy in Action: Models and Outcomes of Effective Collaboration

5.1 Structured Interprofessional Rounds and Communication

The most effective collaboration is systematized. **Daily or weekly interdisciplinary rounds** that include nurses, dietitians, physicians, and pharmacists provide a dedicated forum for discussing patients with glycemic challenges. The nurse presents bedside observations on glucose patterns and intake; the dietitian offers analysis and proposes nutritional adjustments; the team collectively decides on pharmacological changes. **Shared electronic health record (EHR) tools** are critical, allowing nurses to document meal intake in structured fields easily visible to dietitians, and dietitians to enter clear nutrition recommendations and education notes in prominent locations [24]. **Standardized screening tools**, such as a malnutrition screening tool (MST) or a glycemic risk screen completed by nursing upon admission, can trigger automatic referrals to dietetics, ensuring timely intervention for high-risk patients [25].

5.2 Joint Management of Complex Clinical Scenarios

Collaboration shines in managing high-risk situations. In **enteral nutrition (tube feeding)**, the dietitian selects the formula type and rate to minimize glycemic excursions, while the nurse monitors gastric residuals, BG levels every 4-6 hours, and administers the matching insulin protocol, alerting the dietitian to any feeding interruptions [26]. For the **patient on corticosteroid therapy**, the dietitian may recommend a lower-carbohydrate afternoon snack to counter the typical late-day hyperglycemic effect, while the nurse ensures this snack is provided and monitors the corresponding glucose check. In **preparing for discharge**, the nurse assesses the patient's ability to perform self-

injection or glucose monitoring, while the dietitian ensures the patient can plan a basic meal and arranges outpatient follow-up for continued MNT [27]. This parallel, coordinated effort addresses all facets of the problem.

5.3 Impact on Patient and System Outcomes

A robust nurse-dietitian partnership yields measurable benefits. For the **patient**, it leads to **improved glycemic metrics**: reduced mean glucose, less glycemic variability, and fewer episodes of severe hyper- and hypoglycemia [28]. This translates to **lower rates of clinical complications** such as surgical site infections, delayed wound healing, and prolonged length of stay [29]. Patients report greater **satisfaction and understanding** when they receive consistent, coordinated messages from their care team. For the **healthcare system**, effective collaboration contributes to **reduced length of stay, decreased readmission rates** for diabetes-related issues, and more **efficient use of resources** by preventing complications [30]. It also fosters a **positive safety culture** where nutritional care is valued as integral to quality.

5.4 Barriers and Enablers to Optimal Collaboration

Despite its proven benefits, effective collaboration faces obstacles. **Professional silos** and traditional hierarchies can impede open communication. **Heavy clinical workloads** and **staffing shortages** limit the time nurses and dietitians have for formal consultation or joint education [31]. **Lack of role clarity** can lead to duplication of efforts or, conversely, gaps in care if each assumes the other is responsible for a task. **Inadequate institutional support**, evidenced by a lack of dedicated time for interdisciplinary meetings or insufficient access to shared technology, can stifle collaboration [32].

Conversely, several factors enable success. **Strong administrative leadership** that champions interprofessional practice and allocates resources is fundamental. **Co-location** of dietitians on specific units, rather than a centralized department, increases informal interaction and rapport with nursing staff [33]. **Joint education and training** sessions, where nurses learn basics of MNT and dietitians learn about insulin action profiles, build mutual respect and a shared language [34]. **Clear, co-developed protocols** that delineate responsibilities (e.g., nurse: assess intake; dietitian: adjust meal plan) reduce ambiguity. Finally, a **culture of mutual respect** that values the unique

contribution of each profession is the bedrock upon which all practical strategies are built.

6. Conclusion

The optimization of glycemic control in the non-critical care inpatient setting is an intricate clinical puzzle that cannot be solved by medication alone or by any single healthcare discipline. It demands a holistic approach where nutritional management is recognized as active, potent therapy. Within this paradigm, the nurse and the registered dietitian form an indispensable alliance. The nurse, as the constant, vigilant presence, provides the continuous stream of clinical data, executes the synchronized care plan, and offers the moment-to-moment education and support. The dietitian, as the nutrition scientist and strategist, provides the deep expertise to diagnose nutritional problems, prescribe precise dietary interventions, and deliver comprehensive counseling. Their roles are not sequential but simultaneous and intertwined, creating a synergistic effect greater than the sum of its parts.

This collaboration transforms glycemic management from a reactive task of correcting numbers into a proactive process of metabolic stewardship. It ensures that the insulin prescribed is matched intelligently to the food consumed, that patient education is consistent and reinforced, and that the plan adapts to the patient's daily reality. The evidence is clear: when nurses and dietitians work in a structured, communicative, and respectful partnership, patient outcomes improve, risks diminish, and healthcare systems function more efficiently and effectively. Therefore, investing in models that foster this collaboration—through shared rounds, integrated technology, joint training, and supportive leadership—is not merely an operational improvement but a fundamental necessity for delivering high-quality, patient-centered care in the management of inpatient diabetes and hyperglycemia. The path to optimal glycemic control is walked together, with the nurse and dietitian guiding each step.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper

- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

- [1] Whiting D.R., Guariguata L., Weil C., Shaw J. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global Estimates of the Prevalence of Diabetes for 2011 and 2030. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2011;94:311–321.
- [2] Azami G., Soh K.L., Sazlina S.G., Salmiah M.S., Aazami S., Mozafari M., Taghinejad H. Effect of a Nurse-Led Diabetes Self-Management Education Program on Glycosylated Hemoglobin among Adults with Type 2 Diabetes. *J. Diabetes Res.* 2018;2018:4930157.
- [3] Boström E., Isaksson U., Lundman B., Sjölander A.E., Hörnsten Å. Diabetes Specialist Nurses' Perceptions of Their Multifaceted Role. *Int. Diabetes Nurs.* 2012;9:39–44b.
- [4] Cho N.H., Shaw J.E., Karuranga S., Huang Y., da Rocha Fernandes J.D., Ohlrogge A.W., Malanda B. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global Estimates of Diabetes Prevalence for 2017 and Projections for 2045. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2018;138:271–281.
- [5] Azami G., Soh K.L., Sazlina S.-G., Salmiah M.S., Aazami S., Mozafari M., Taghinejad H., Badakhsh B. Developing and validating the educational materials for a nurse-led self-management education in adults with type 2 diabetes. *Dubai Diabetes Endocrinol. J.* 2019;25:1–10.
- [6] Dabelea D., Mayer-Davis E.J., Saydah S., Imperatore G., Linder B., Divers J., Bell R., Badaru A., Talton J.W., Crume T., et al. Prevalence of Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes among Children and Adolescents from 2001 to 2009. *JAMA.* 2014;311:1778–1786.
- [7] Klein H.A., Jackson S.M., Street K., Whitacre J.C., Klein G. Diabetes Self-Management Education: Miles to Go. *Nurs. Res. Pract.* 2013;2013:581012.
- [8] American Diabetes Association Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2015 Abridged for Primary Care Providers. *Clin. Diabetes.* 2015;33:97.
- [9] Hee-Sung K. Impact of Web-Based Nurse's Education on Glycosylated Haemoglobin in Type 2 Diabetic Patients. *J. Clin. Nurs.* 2007;16:1361–1366.
- [10] Raballo M., Trevisan M., Trinetta A.F., Charrier L., Cavallo F., Porta M., Trento M. A Study of Patients' Perceptions of Diabetes Care Delivery and Diabetes: Propositional Analysis in People with Type 1 and 2 Diabetes Managed by Group or Usual Care. *Diabetes Care.* 2012;35:242.
- [11] Powers M.A., Bardsley J., Cypress M., Duker P., Funnell M.M., Fischl A.H., Maryniuk M.D., Siminerio L., Vivian E. Diabetes Self-Management Education and Support in Type 2 Diabetes: A Joint Position Statement of the American Diabetes Association, the American Association of Diabetes Educators, and the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. *Diabetes Care.* 2015;38:1372–1382.
- [12] Mcauley C. A Nurse-Led Inpatient Diabetes Self-Management Education and Support Program A Nurse-Led Inpatient Diabetes Self-Management Education and Support Program to Improve Patient Knowledge and Treatment Adherence. *J. Health Educ. Teach.* 2019;10:1–10.
- [13] Wexler D.J., Beauharnais C.C., Regan S., Nathan D.M., Cagliero E., Larkin M.E. Impact of Inpatient Diabetes Management, Education, and Improved Discharge Transition on Glycemic Control 12 Months after Discharge. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2012;98:249.
- [14] Roberts D.P., Maree B., Rn W., Jane D., Mbbs R., Gabrielle O' B., Bphysio S., Dip G., Epi A. Accessibility and Outcomes from a Rural Diabetes Nurse-Educator Led Self-Management Program. *Aust. J. Adv. Nurs.* 2017;34:26–33.
- [15] Alshammari M.A. Nurses' Perspectives about Their Role in Diabetes Care: A Case Study from Kuwait Perspective. *Am. J. Nurs. Stud.* 2021;2:1012.
- [16] Galicia-Garcia U., Benito-Vicente A., Jebari S., Larrea-Sebal A., Siddiqi H., Uribe K.B., Ostolaza H., Martín C. Pathophysiology of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020;21:6275.
- [17] Selvaraj K., Ramaswamy G., Radhakrishnan S., Thekkur P., Chinnakali P., Roy G. Self-Care Practices among Diabetes Patients Registered in a Chronic Disease Clinic in Puducherry, South India. *J. Soc. Health Diabetes.* 2016;4:025–029.
- [18] Sherifali D., Greb J.L., Amirthavasagar G., Hunt D., Haynes R.B., Harper W., Holbrook A., Capes S., Goeree R., O'Reilly D., et al. Effect of Computer-Generated Tailored Feedback on Glycemic Control in People with Diabetes in the Community: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Diabetes Care.* 2011;34:1794–1798.
- [19] George J.T., Warriner D., Mcgrane D.J., Rozario K.S., Price H.C., Wilmot E.G., Kar P., Stratton I.M., Jude E.B., McKay G.A., et al. Lack of Confidence among Trainee Doctors in the Management of Diabetes: The Trainees Own Perception of Delivery of Care (TOPDOC) Diabetes Study. *QJM Int. J. Med.* 2011;104:761–766.
- [20] Kang C.M., Chang S.C., Chen P.L., Liu P.F., Liu W.C., Chang C.C., Chang W.Y. Comparison of Family Partnership Intervention Care vs. Conventional Care in Adult Patients with Poorly Controlled Type 2 Diabetes in a Community Hospital: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Int. J. Nurs. Stud.* 2010;47:1363–1373.
- [21] Zhou B., Lu Y., Hajifathalian K., Benthall J., Di Cesare M., Danaei G., Bixby H., Cowan M.J., Ali

- M.K., Taddei C., et al. Worldwide Trends in Diabetes since 1980: A Pooled Analysis of 751 Population-Based Studies with 4.4 Million Participants. *Lancet*. 2016;387:1513–1530.
- [22] Tamiru S., Dugassa M., Amsalu B., Bidira K., Bacha L., Tsegaye D. Effects of Nurse-Led Diabetes Self-Management Education on Self-Care Knowledge and Self-Care Behavior among Adult Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Attending Diabetes Follow up Clinic: A Quasi-Experimental Study Design. *Int. J. Afr. Nurs. Sci.* 2023;18:100548.
- [23] Carstensen B., Rønn P.F., Jørgensen M.E. Prevalence, incidence and mortality of type 1 and type 2 diabetes in Denmark 1996–2016. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res. Care*. 2020;8:e001071.
- [24] Lou Q., Chen Y., Guo X., Yuan L., Chen T., Wang C., Shen L., Sun Z., Zhao F., Dai X., et al. Diabetes Attitude Scale: Validation in Type-2 Diabetes Patients in Multiple Centers in China. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9:e96473.
- [25] Alhaiti A.H., Senitan M., Shanmuganathan S., Dacosta C., Jones L.K., Lenon G.B. Nurses' Attitudes towards Diabetes in Tertiary Care: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Nurs. Open*. 2019;6:1381–1387.
- [26] Shi Q., Ostwald S.K., Wang S. Improving Glycaemic Control Self-Efficacy and Glycaemic Control Behaviour in Chinese Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Randomised Controlled Trial. *J. Clin. Nurs*. 2010;19:398–404.
- [27] Hailu F.B., Hjortdahl P., Moen A. Nurse-Led Diabetes Self-Management Education Improves Clinical Parameters in Ethiopia. *Front. Public Health*. 2018;6:418124.
- [28] Brunisholz K.D., Briot P., Hamilton S., Joy E.A., Lomax M., Barton N., Cunningham R., Savitz L.A., Cannon W. Diabetes Self-Management Education Improves Quality of Care and Clinical Outcomes Determined by a Diabetes Bundle Measure. *J. Multidiscip. Healthc.* 2014;7:533.
- [29] Comino E.J., Harris M.F., Islam M.D.F., Tran D.T., Jalaludin B., Jorm L., Flack J., Haas M. Impact of Diabetes on Hospital Admission and Length of Stay among a General Population Aged 45 Year or More: A Record Linkage Study. *BMC Health Serv. Res.* 2015;15:12.
- [30] Awang Ahmad N.A., Sallehuddin M.A.A., Teo Y.C., Abdul Rahman H. Self-Care Management of Patients with Diabetes: Nurses' Perspectives. *J. Diabetes Metab. Disord.* 2020;19:1537.
- [31] Funnell M.M., Brown T.L., Childs B.P., Haas L.B., Hoseney G.M., Jensen B., Maryniuk M., Peyrot M., Piette J.D., Reader D., et al. National Standards for Diabetes Self-Management Education. *Diabetes Care*. 2011;34:S89.
- [32] Hunt C.W. Self-Care Management Strategies among Individuals Living with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Nursing Interventions. *Nurs. Res. Rev.* 2013;3:99–105.
- [33] Akiboye F., Sihre H.K., Al Mulhem M., Rayman G., Nirantharakumar K., Adderley N.J. Impact of Diabetes Specialist Nurses on Inpatient Care: A Systematic Review. *Diabet. Med.* 2021;38:e14573.
- [34] Yu X., Chau J.P.C., Huo L., Li X., Wang D., Wu H., Zhang Y. The Effects of a Nurse-Led Integrative Medicine-Based Structured Education Program on Self-Management Behaviors among Individuals with Newly Diagnosed Type 2 Diabetes: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *BMC Nurs.* 2022;21:217.