



Role of Nursing in Maintaining Care Continuity During Frequent Staff Rotation

Munirah Alsyqal S Alanazi^{1*}, Bushra Maleeh AlRowily², Jawza Mulayh Alruwaili³, Ahlam Owyah Mudshir Alanazi⁴, Khadijah Hamdan Kayd Alshammari⁵, Alruwaili, Sharifah Marji W⁶, Alruwaili, Mohammed Muryif A⁷, Alanazi, Mohammed Owayn M⁸, Maram Alasheer Noman Alanazi⁹, Taghred Homod Frekh Albanagi¹⁰, Ezzat Abdulqader E Farhan¹¹

¹Nursing Technician – Maternity and Children’s Hospital, Arar, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia

* **Corresponding Author Email:** mneraa@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5200-030X

²Nursing Technician – Maternity and Children’s Hospital, Sakaka, Al Jouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Sakaka, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: bmalrowaili@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5290-020X

³Nursing Technician – Prince Mutaib bin Abdulaziz Hospital, Al Jouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Sakaka, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: jalrwaili@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5280-0010X

⁴Nursing Specialist – Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: ahlmalawen@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5270-008X

⁵Nursing Technician – Diabetes and Endocrinology Center, King Salman Specialist Hospital, Hail Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Hail, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: khadijahhaa990@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5260-009X

⁶Nursing Technician – Maternity and Children’s Hospital, Al Jouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Sakaka, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: smalrowily@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5250-006X

⁷Nursing Technician – Prince Mutaib bin Abdulaziz Hospital, Al Jouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Sakaka, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: m_alrwilu@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5240-007X

⁸Nursing Technician – North Medical Tower, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: moowalanazi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5230-004X

⁹Nursing Technician – North Medical Tower, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: maramaa@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5220-003X

¹⁰Nursing Technician – Turaif General Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Turaif, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: talbanagi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5210-002X

¹¹Nursing Technician – Branch of the Ministry of Health, Ministry of Health, Tabuk, Tabuk Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: azfarhan@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5110-001X

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4737

Received : 01 July 2024

Accepted : 30 July 2024

Keywords

Care Continuity;
Nursing Staff Rotation;
Informational Continuity;
Relational Continuity;
Management Continuity;
Standardized Communication

Abstract:

Nursing plays a pivotal and active role in preserving care continuity amidst the pervasive challenge of frequent staff rotation, constructing a multi-faceted defense against the inherent risks of fragmentation. This is achieved not through passive adaptation but via the deliberate implementation of standardized communication protocols like SBAR, which safeguard informational continuity by ensuring critical patient data is accurately transferred during handovers. Strategically leveraged health information technology provides a persistent, real-time knowledge base accessible to all caregivers, bridging gaps between shifts. Crucially, nursing fosters team cohesion and a culture of shared accountability, ensuring relational and management continuity persist even as personnel change, supported by leadership that designs intelligent staffing models and continuity-conscious environments. These coordinated efforts directly translate into enhanced patient safety, improved clinical outcomes, and higher satisfaction, while simultaneously promoting nurse resilience, job satisfaction, and retention, ultimately demonstrating that continuity is a dynamic achievement of professional practice rather than a passive condition of static teams.

1. Introduction

The modern healthcare landscape is characterized by a dynamic and often turbulent operational environment, where high patient acuity, complex care regimens, and systemic pressures converge. Within this setting, the continuity of patient care stands as a fundamental pillar of quality, safety, and therapeutic effectiveness. Care continuity can be broadly conceptualized as the degree to which a series of discrete healthcare events is experienced by a patient as coherent, connected, and consistent with their medical needs and personal circumstances [1]. It encompasses several dimensions: informational continuity (the seamless use and transfer of patient information), relational continuity (an ongoing therapeutic relationship between a patient and one or more providers), and management continuity (a consistent and coherent approach to the management of a health condition across different providers and settings) [2]. This multifaceted continuity is critically linked to improved patient outcomes, including enhanced adherence to treatment plans, reduced hospital readmissions, higher patient satisfaction, and a decreased likelihood of medical errors [3, 4].

However, the integrity of care continuity faces a formidable and pervasive challenge: frequent staff rotation, particularly within nursing teams. Staff rotation, defined as the regular and scheduled movement of nursing personnel between different units, shifts, or roles, is an entrenched reality in healthcare systems worldwide. It is driven by a complex interplay of factors, including the widespread implementation of 12-hour shift patterns, the use of internal agency or float pools to cover staffing shortfalls, high rates of nurse turnover and burnout, and the strategic deployment of personnel to match fluctuating patient census and acuity levels [5, 6]. While such rotations offer

managerial flexibility and can help distribute workload, they inherently disrupt the stable, predictable care environments that foster continuity. The constant flux of caregivers at the patient's bedside introduces a fundamental tension between operational logistics and the ideal of consistent, relationship-based care.

The nursing profession is uniquely positioned at the epicenter of this tension. Nurses are not merely passive recipients of staffing policies; they are the primary agents through which continuity is either preserved or fragmented. They constitute the most consistent human presence for hospitalized patients and those with chronic illnesses across the care spectrum, responsible for the continuous surveillance, interpretation of clinical data, execution of complex interventions, and provision of holistic, patient-centered support [7]. Consequently, the challenge of maintaining care continuity amidst rotating staff falls disproportionately on nursing systems, processes, and professional ethos. The core question, therefore, evolves from merely acknowledging the problem to understanding the mechanisms by which nursing practice, leadership, and systems design can actively mediate, mitigate, and overcome the discontinuities introduced by frequent staff changes [8].

2. The Disruptive Impact of Frequent Staff Rotation on Care Continuity

Frequent nursing staff rotation acts as a significant disruptor to the ideal of seamless care, creating fissures in all three core dimensions of continuity: informational, relational, and management. The consequences are multifaceted, affecting patient safety, care quality, and the professional environment for nurses themselves.

Firstly, informational continuity suffers acutely. The handover of patient information—a critical nursing responsibility—becomes more frequent, complex, and prone to error when caregivers change constantly. Essential data regarding subtle changes in a patient's condition, nuanced psychosocial concerns, or specific family dynamics are often embedded in the contextual knowledge of a nurse who has provided care over several shifts. During a brief handover between rotating staff, this "soft" information is at high risk of being lost, omitted, or inadequately communicated [9, 10]. This creates information gaps that can lead to delayed responses to clinical deterioration, medication errors, and repetitive patient assessments, which frustrate both patients and caregivers. The lack of a consistent informational thread means each new nurse must essentially "relearn" the patient, a process that is inefficient and compromises the depth of understanding necessary for proactive care.

Secondly, relational continuity is profoundly undermined. The therapeutic nurse-patient relationship, built on trust, mutual understanding, and personalized knowledge, is a cornerstone of effective care, particularly in managing chronic conditions, providing emotional support, and promoting patient engagement [11]. Frequent rotation fractures this relationship. Patients are repeatedly forced to adapt to new caregivers, retell their stories, and establish new rapport, which can lead to feelings of anxiety, vulnerability, and a perceived lack of control over their care [12]. For patients with complex needs or communication difficulties, such as the elderly or those with dementia, this instability can be especially distressing and can hinder their ability to participate actively in their care plan. The relationship becomes transactional rather than transformative, focused on immediate tasks rather than longitudinal therapeutic goals.

Thirdly, management continuity is jeopardized. A consistent, coherent approach to a patient's care plan requires that all team members share a common understanding of the goals, strategies, and rationale for interventions. With rotating staff, this shared mental model is difficult to establish and maintain. Variations in individual nursing practice, interpretation of orders, or prioritization of tasks can introduce inconsistency in how a care plan is executed from one shift to the next [13]. This can confuse patients and families, potentially leading to conflicting advice or uneven application of therapeutic regimens. Furthermore, the monitoring of long-term progress or the subtle evaluation of intervention effectiveness becomes fragmented, as

no single nurse may have a comprehensive, longitudinal view of the patient's journey.

Beyond the impact on patients, frequent rotation also carries significant implications for the nursing workforce. It can contribute to role ambiguity, reduce collective ownership of patient outcomes, and heighten professional isolation. Nurses on rotating assignments may feel like perpetual "visitors" to a unit, lacking deep integration into the team's culture and social support networks [14]. This can erode job satisfaction, increase stress, and paradoxically, contribute to the very turnover rates that make rotation a necessary staffing strategy in the first place. Thus, the disruptive cycle is self-perpetuating, creating systemic vulnerabilities that demand intentional nursing and organizational interventions [15].

3. Standardized Communication Protocols as the Bedrock of Informational Continuity

In response to the inherent informational risks of staff rotation, nursing has championed the development and implementation of standardized communication protocols. These structured methodologies are not merely administrative tools but are fundamental clinical safety strategies designed to ensure that critical patient information is accurately transmitted, understood, and acted upon during caregiver transitions. They form the essential bedrock upon which informational continuity is rebuilt in a fluid staffing environment. The most prominent and evidence-based of these protocols is the SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) framework. SBAR provides a concise, predictable structure for organizing and conveying patient information, moving logically from an immediate concern (Situation), through relevant clinical context (Background), to the nurse's objective analysis (Assessment), and finally to a clear proposed action (Recommendation) [16]. Its power lies in its discipline and universality. When nurses from a float pool or a rotating shift use SBAR, they employ a common language that reduces ambiguity and focuses the handover on actionable intelligence. Studies have demonstrated that SBAR improves the clarity and completeness of communication, reduces omission errors, and enhances the receiver's understanding of the patient's status and needs, thereby directly bolstering informational continuity during staff changes [17, 18].

Beyond handover conversations, standardized protocols extend to documentation practices. The principle of "if it wasn't documented, it wasn't done" takes on heightened importance in a rotating

staff model. Consistent, thorough, and accessible nursing documentation in the Electronic Health Record (EHR) serves as a permanent, shared repository of patient information. However, its effectiveness depends on standardization. The use of structured flowsheets, standardized nursing terminologies (like NANDA-I, NIC, NOC), and clear narrative note formats ensures that any nurse, regardless of their familiarity with the unit or patient, can quickly locate and interpret key data [19]. This transforms the EHR from a passive record into an active communication tool that supports continuity across time and between different caregivers. Effective documentation must capture not only tasks and vital signs but also the patient's expressed concerns, family interactions, and nuanced changes in condition—the very "soft" data most vulnerable to loss during rotation [20]. Furthermore, structured interdisciplinary tools like I-PASS (Illness severity, Patient summary, Action list, Situation awareness and contingency planning, and Synthesis by receiver) have been adapted from medical handovers to nursing and team-based care. Such comprehensive frameworks ensure that during shift changes or patient transfers, the entire plan of care—including pending tasks, contingency plans, and specific patient preferences—is communicated in a systematic way [21]. This elevates handover from a simple information exchange to a shared mental model-building exercise, crucial for maintaining management continuity when multiple disciplines and rotating nurses are involved. The consistent application of these protocols acts as a safety net, catching and codifying information that might otherwise slip through the cracks of a rotating schedule, thereby ensuring that the patient's story remains coherent even as the narrators change [22].

4. The Strategic Integration of Health Information Technology

While standardized protocols provide the structure for communication, Health Information Technology (HIT), particularly sophisticated Electronic Health Records (EHRs) and clinical decision support systems, provides the dynamic medium through which informational continuity can be actively sustained and enhanced in the face of staff rotation. When optimally designed and utilized, HIT moves beyond being a digital chart to become a central nervous system for patient care, accessible to all authorized caregivers regardless of their physical location or shift schedule.

A well-integrated EHR is the cornerstone of this technological support. It ensures that every member of the rotating nursing team accesses the same,

updated patient information. Critical results, new physician orders, updated care plans, and nursing assessments are entered in real-time and are immediately available to the incoming nurse. This eliminates the dangerous reliance on memory, paper scraps, or verbal messages that can be lost or distorted. Features like prominent clinical dashboards that highlight key parameters (e.g., vital sign trends, risk scores for falls or pressure injuries, outstanding tasks) allow a rotating nurse to rapidly gain situational awareness for their entire patient assignment [23]. Alerts and reminders for medications, assessments, or preventive interventions serve as a safeguard against omissions that might occur when a nurse is unfamiliar with a patient's specific routine or history.

The potential of HIT extends further into enhancing direct care coordination. Secure messaging platforms embedded within EHRs enable asynchronous, traceable communication between nurses, physicians, and other team members. A rotating nurse can quickly clarify an order with a covering provider or alert a case manager to a newly identified discharge barrier without playing "phone tag," ensuring that management decisions are timely and consistent [24]. Furthermore, the emergence of patient portal integration offers a novel pathway for continuity. By engaging patients and families as partners in documentation—allowing them to report symptoms, update goals, or ask questions—the EHR can capture a more continuous stream of data that enriches the clinical picture for every caregiver who accesses it, bridging the gaps between formal nursing shifts [25].

However, the effectiveness of HIT in supporting continuity is not automatic. It is contingent upon thoughtful system design and sustained user competence. Poorly designed, fragmented, or difficult-to-navigate EHRs can create information silos and increase cognitive burden, paradoxically impeding continuity [26]. Therefore, nursing input in the selection, design, and optimization of HIT systems is crucial. Additionally, robust and ongoing training for all nursing staff, including temporary and rotating personnel, is essential to ensure they can efficiently and effectively use the technology's full suite of continuity-supporting features. When aligned with nursing workflow and clinical needs, HIT transforms from a passive repository into an active agent of continuity, making critical information persistently visible and actionable for a constantly changing care team [27].

5. Fostering Team Cohesion and a Culture of Shared Accountability

Technical solutions like protocols and technology, while vital, are insufficient on their own to maintain the rich tapestry of care continuity. The human elements of teamwork, shared cognition, and collective responsibility are equally critical. In environments of frequent staff rotation, deliberate efforts to foster team cohesion and cultivate a culture of shared accountability are non-negotiable nursing strategies for bridging relational and management gaps.

A cohesive nursing team, where core staff and rotating members function as an integrated unit, is a powerful buffer against discontinuity. This requires intentional team-building practices that transcend mere acquaintance. Strategies such as structured pre-shift huddles, which include *allstaff*—permanent and rotating—serve to align the team on unit priorities, safety concerns, and patient-specific goals for the shift [28]. These brief meetings create a sense of shared purpose and provide rotating nurses with immediate contextual understanding of the unit's climate and patient flow. Furthermore, fostering a unit culture of psychological safety, where any nurse feels comfortable asking questions, voicing concerns, or admitting knowledge gaps without fear of reprisal, is paramount [29]. A rotating nurse from a float pool must feel empowered to say, "This is my first day here, can you clarify this protocol?" This open dialogue prevents assumptions and errors, directly protecting patient safety and care consistency.

The concept of shared accountability is the ethical and practical engine of continuity in a rotating system. It moves beyond the model of an individual nurse being solely responsible for "her" patients to a model where the entire team—across shifts and roles—collectively owns patient outcomes. This is operationalized through practices like peer checking, where medications or high-risk procedures are double-checked by another nurse, regardless of team seniority [30]. It is also embodied in a "leave no hole" mentality, where nurses ending their shift proactively communicate not only about their own patients but also about general unit issues that might impact the oncoming team. Mentorship and buddy systems, pairing a rotating nurse with a designated unit-based colleague for a shift, can accelerate integration and provide a direct channel for support and information sharing, reinforcing both relational and informational continuity [31].

Ultimately, leadership at the charge nurse and unit manager level is the catalyst for this culture. Leaders must explicitly model and reward collaborative behaviors, recognize the contributions of rotating staff, and design workflows that facilitate interaction rather than isolation. By

consciously building a team identity that is inclusive of all caregivers, nursing leadership can transform a collection of rotating individuals into a resilient, adaptive care system where continuity is a collective achievement, not an individual burden [32]. In such an environment, the patient experiences care not from a succession of strangers, but from a unified team that, despite changes in personnel, operates with a consistent philosophy and a shared commitment to their well-being.

6. The Pivotal Role of Nursing Leadership and Management

The strategies to mitigate the impact of staff rotation cannot be implemented in an ad-hoc or piecemeal fashion; they require deliberate orchestration and sustained support from nursing leadership and management. Nurse managers, directors, and clinical leaders are the architects of the care environment, and their policies, decisions, and behaviors fundamentally determine whether continuity thrives or erodes amidst workforce flux. Their role is multifaceted, encompassing strategic staffing, resource allocation, support systems, and the embodiment of a continuity-focused philosophy.

At the most fundamental level, nursing leadership is responsible for crafting staffing models that strategically balance operational necessity with continuity preservation. While complete elimination of rotation may be impractical, leaders can design more intelligent schedules. This includes minimizing the use of float pool staff for the same patient across consecutive days where possible, creating "continuity pairs" where teams of nurses rotate together, and stabilizing core staff assignments to provide a backbone of familiar caregivers on each unit [33, 34]. Leaders must also advocate for and manage adequate staffing levels, as excessive patient loads exponentially magnify the risks associated with staff changes, making thorough handovers and relationship-building impossible [35]. By treating staffing not just as a numbers game but as a core determinant of care quality, leaders lay the foundational conditions for continuity.

Beyond scheduling, leadership is critical in equipping the workforce with the tools and resilience to perform well in a rotating context. This involves ensuring that all nurses, especially those in float or per-diem pools, receive comprehensive orientation not just to hospital policies, but to specific unit layouts, equipment, and culture. Investing in cross-training that is deep and meaningful, rather than superficial, ensures rotating nurses possess the genuine competence needed for

different specialties [36]. Furthermore, leaders must champion and fund the training required for standardized communication protocols and health information technology, creating systems where proficiency is expected and supported. Perhaps most importantly, nurse leaders must actively address the well-being of their staff. Recognizing the unique stressors faced by rotating nurses—such as feeling peripheral or lacking social support—and implementing interventions like resilience training, access to debriefing, and ensuring equitable treatment can reduce burnout and turnover, indirectly promoting a more stable care environment over time [37].

Finally, nursing leadership sets the ethical and cultural tone. By visibly prioritizing continuity—for example, by participating in handovers, auditing the quality of documentation, and celebrating examples of seamless care coordination across shifts—leaders signal its importance to the entire team [38]. They hold all staff, permanent and rotating, accountable to the same high standards of communication and collaboration. In doing so, they transform the challenge of staff rotation from an insurmountable obstacle into a managed variable, around which a robust, continuity-conscious system of care is consciously built and maintained.

7. Impacts on Patient Outcomes and Nursing Profession Outcomes

The concerted efforts of nursing to maintain continuity through standardized communication, technology, teamwork, and leadership are not merely theoretical exercises; they yield tangible, measurable impacts on both the recipients and the providers of care. Evaluating these outcomes provides compelling evidence for the critical importance of investing in continuity-preserving practices, even within the constraints of frequent staff rotation.

For patients, the benefits are direct and multifaceted. Enhanced informational continuity reduces the incidence of adverse events. Studies have linked structured handovers like SBAR to significant reductions in medication errors, delays in treatment, and clinical deterioration going unrecognized [39]. When management continuity is upheld through consistent application of care plans, patients experience better clinical outcomes, such as improved glycemic control in diabetics, reduced infection rates, and more successful management of heart failure, leading to lower readmission rates [40]. Relational continuity, though harder to quantify, is strongly correlated with higher levels of patient satisfaction and trust. Patients who feel known and understood by their caregivers, even

within a rotating system, report less anxiety, greater perceived involvement in their care, and better adherence to discharge instructions [41]. Ultimately, the patient's journey through the healthcare system becomes less fragmented, less confusing, and safer.

For the nursing profession, the successful navigation of staff rotation to deliver continuous care has profound implications. Work environments that proactively support continuity through good leadership, strong teams, and effective tools see higher levels of nurse job satisfaction and professional engagement. Nurses feel more competent and effective when they can provide high-quality care despite systemic challenges. This sense of efficacy is a powerful buffer against burnout. Furthermore, a culture of shared accountability and psychological safety reduces professional isolation and fosters a sense of belonging, even for rotating staff. This can improve retention rates, reducing the very turnover that exacerbates staffing instability [15, 37]. Conversely, units where discontinuity is rampant become stressful, error-prone environments that accelerate nurse exhaustion and departure. Therefore, the strategies to preserve care continuity are also, fundamentally, strategies for preserving the nursing workforce. They demonstrate that what is good for the patient—consistent, coherent, relationship-aware care—is also intrinsically good for the nurse, creating a virtuous cycle that enhances the resilience and sustainability of the entire healthcare system.

8. Conclusion

The challenge of maintaining care continuity during frequent nursing staff rotation is a defining issue in contemporary healthcare, testing the resilience of systems and the ingenuity of the profession. As this analysis has demonstrated, nursing does not merely react to this challenge but actively constructs a multi-layered defense against fragmentation. Through the disciplined application of standardized communication protocols like SBAR, nursing codifies and secures the vital flow of patient information. By leveraging health information technology strategically, it creates a persistent, accessible knowledge base that transcends individual shifts. Perhaps most crucially, by consciously fostering team cohesion, a culture of shared accountability, and through proactive, thoughtful leadership, nursing builds the social and professional infrastructure that allows relational and management continuity to persist even as personnel change.

The evidence is clear: these nursing-driven strategies have a direct and positive impact on the bedrock goals of healthcare—improving patient safety, enhancing clinical outcomes, and increasing patient satisfaction. Simultaneously, they cultivate healthier, more sustainable work environments for nurses themselves, promoting engagement and retention. Therefore, the imperative for healthcare organizations is unambiguous. They must actively support and resource these nursing practices. This means investing in training for communication and technology, designing smarter, more continuity-conscious staffing models, and empowering nursing leadership to build the necessary cultures and systems.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Alvaro C., Wilkinson A.J., Gallant S.N., Kostovski D., Gardner P. Evaluating Intention and Effect: The Impact of Healthcare Facility Design on Patient and Staff Well-Being. *Herd*. 2016;9:82–104.
2. Blegen M.A., Spector N., Ulrich B.T., Lynn M.R., Barnsteiner J., Silvestre J. Preceptor Support in Hospital Transition to Practice Programs. *J. Nurs. Adm.* 2015;45:642–649.
3. Moher D., Liberati A., Tetzlaff J., Altman D.G. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *Int. J. Surg.* 2010;8:336–341.
4. Al Sabei S.D., Labrague L.J., Al-Rawajfah O., AbuAlRub R., Burney I.A., Jayapal S.K. Relationship between interprofessional teamwork and nurses' intent to leave work: The mediating role of job satisfaction and burnout. *Nurs. Forum*. 2022;57:568–576.
5. Duffield C., Roche M., Homer C., Buchan J., Dimitrelis S. A comparative review of nurse turnover rates and costs across countries. *J. Adv. Nurs.* 2014;70:2703–2712.
6. Çamveren H., Kocaman G., Vatan F. The effects of a preceptorship program on newcomer nurses' turnover intention, commitment and job satisfaction: Quasi-experimental study. *Nurse Educ. Pract.* 2022;63:103358.
7. World Health Organization. International Council of Nurses. State of the World's Nursing 2020; Investing in Education, Jobs and Leadership. World Health Organization; Geneva, Switzerland: 2020. Nursing Now.
8. Brennan N., Langdon N., Bryce M., Burns L., Humphries N., Knapton A., Gale T. Drivers and barriers of international migration of doctors to and from the United Kingdom: A scoping review. *Hum. Resour. Health*. 2023;21:11.
9. Chen S.-F., Fang Y.-W., Wang M.-H., Wang T.-F. Effects of an Adaptive Education Program on the Learning, Mental Health and Work Intentions of New Graduate Nurses. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*. 2021;18:5891.
10. Kovner C.T., Brewer C.S., Fatehi F., Jun J. What does nurse turnover rate mean and what is the rate? *Policy Politics Nurs. Pract.* 2014;15:64–71.
11. Reporting the Results of the MMAT (Version 2018) 2020.
12. Cavanagh S.J., Coffin D.A. Staff turnover among hospital nurses. *J. Adv. Nurs.* 1992;17:1369–1376.
13. Brewer C.S., Kovner C.T., Greene W., Tukov-Shuser M., Djukic M. Predictors of actual turnover in a national sample of newly licensed registered nurses employed in hospitals. *J. Adv. Nurs.* 2012;68:521–538.
14. Schloss E.P., Flanagan D.M., Culler C.L., Wright A.L. Some hidden costs of faculty turnover in clinical departments in one academic medical center. *Acad. Med. J. Assoc. Am. Med. Coll.* 2009;84:32–36.
15. Humphries N., Connell J., Negin J., Buchan J. Tracking the leavers: Towards a better understanding of doctor migration from Ireland to Australia 2008–2018. *Hum. Resour. Health*. 2019;17:36.
16. Halter M., Boiko O., Pelone F., Beighton C., Harris R., Gale J., Gourlay S., Drennan V. The determinants and consequences of adult nursing staff turnover: A systematic review of systematic reviews. *BMC Health Serv. Res.* 2017;17:824.
17. Brabson L.A., Herschell A.D., Kolko D.J., Mrozowski S.J. Associations Among Job Role, Training Type, and Staff Turnover in a Large-Scale Implementation Initiative. *J. Behav. Health Serv. Res.* 2019;46:399–414.
18. World Health Organization. Working Together for Health. World Health Organization; Geneva, Switzerland: 2006. The World Health Report.
19. Husted H., Hansen H.C., Holm G., Bach-Dal C., Rud K., Andersen K.L., Kehlet H. What determines length of stay after total hip and knee arthroplasty?

- A nationwide study in Denmark. *Arch. Orthop. Trauma Surg.* 2010;130:263–268.
20. Campbell M., McKenzie J.E., Sowden A., Katikireddi S.V., Brennan S.E., Ellis S., Hartmann-Boyce J., Ryan R., Shepperd S., Thomas J., et al. Synthesis without meta-analysis (SWiM) in systematic reviews: Reporting guideline. *BMJ.* 2020;368:16890.
 21. Shanafelt T., Goh J., Sinsky C. The Business Case for Investing in Physician Well-being. *JAMA Intern. Med.* 2017;177:1826–1832.
 22. Baik D., Zierler B. RN Job Satisfaction and Retention After an Interprofessional Team Intervention. *West. J. Nurs. Res.* 2019;41:615–630.
 23. Demerouti E., Bakker A.B., Nachreiner F., Schaufeli W.B. The job demands-resources model of burnout. *J. Appl. Psychol.* 2001;86:499–512.
 24. Galvin G. Nearly 1 in 5 Health Care Workers Have Quit Their Jobs during the Pandemic. *Morning Consult*; Washington, DC, USA: 2021.
 25. Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool Public. FAQ.
 26. Adovor E., Czaika M., Docquier F., Moullan Y. Medical brain drain: How many, where and why? *J. Health Econ.* 2021;76:102409.
 27. Heavey A.L., Holwerda J.A., Hausknecht J.P. Causes and consequences of collective turnover: A meta-analytic review. *J. Appl. Psychol.* 2013;98:412–453.
 28. Chang H.-Y., Huang T.-L., Wong M.-K., Ho L.-H., Wu C.-N., Teng C.-I. How Robots Help Nurses Focus on Professional Task Engagement and Reduce Nurses' Turnover Intention. *J. Nurs. Scholarsh.* 2021;53:237–245.
 29. Baillie L., Thomas N. Changing from 12-hr to 8-hr day shifts: A qualitative exploration of effects on organising nursing care and staffing. *J. Clin. Nurs.* 2019;28:148–158.
 30. Aull M., Nobles P.M. The Academic Partnership Unit: Educating, Recruiting, and Retaining BSN Nurses. *J. Nurs. Adm.* 2022;52:E1–E2.
 31. Arora R., Chamnan P., Nitiapinyasakul A., Lertsukprasert S. Retention of doctors in rural health services in Thailand: Impact of a national collaborative approach. *Rural Remote Health.* 2017;17:1–10.
 32. Kabbash I., El-Sallamy R., Zayed H., Alkhyate I., Omar A., Abdo S. The brain drain: Why medical students and young physicians want to leave Egypt. *East. Mediterr. Health J. = La Rev. De Sante De La Mediterr. Orient. = Al-Majallah Al-Sihhiyah Li-Sharq Al-Mutawassit.* 2021;27:1102–1108.
 33. Misra-Hebert A.D., Kay R., Stoller J.K. A review of physician turnover: Rates, causes, and consequences. *Am. J. Med. Qual.* 2004;19:56–66.
 34. Jones T.L., Hamilton P., Murry N. Unfinished nursing care, missed care, and implicitly rationed care: State of the science review. *Int. J. Nurs. Stud.* 2015;52:1121–1137.
 35. De Vries N., Boone A., Godderis L., Bouman J., Szemik S., Matranga D., de Winter P. The Race to Retain Healthcare Workers: A Systematic Review on Factors that Impact Retention of Nurses and Physicians in Hospitals. *Inq. J. Med. Care Organ. Provis. Financ.* 2023;60:469580231159318.
 36. Adams A., Hollingsworth A., Osman A. The Implementation of a Cultural Change Toolkit to Reduce Nursing Burnout and Mitigate Nurse Turnover in the Emergency Department. *J. Emerg. Nurs.* 2019;45:452–456.
 37. Wu L.T., Low M.M.J., Tan K.K., Lopez V., Liaw S.Y. Why not nursing? A systematic review of factors influencing career choice among healthcare students. *Int. Nurs. Rev.* 2015;62:547–562.