International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 10-No.4 (2024) pp. 3878-3884
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Research Article

Nursing Management of Cognitive Overload in High-Acuity Clinical Environments

Abdulmgid Mrwy Alenezi[1]*, Ganiah Alnasmi T Alenezi[2], Intisar Taleb Nasser Alanazi[3], Fidaa Saleh Alanazi[4], Nawader Qasem Fahaad Alruwaili[5], Reem Shannan Khazin Alanazi[6], Alruwaili, Maali Farhan A[7], Fayez Abdulrahman M Almutairi[8], Alobaid, Asma Marshad S[9], Almohamdi, Wejdan Ahmed S[10], Najwa Owaidh Aljohani[11]

[1]Nursing Technician – North Medical Tower Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
* **Corresponding Author Email:** abdalmajeedma@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5200-005Z

[2]Nursing Technician – Long-Term Care Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: ghannoo1406@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5290-005Z

[3]Nursing Technician – Maternity and Children's Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: etalenazy@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5280-005Z

[4]Nursing Technician – Maternity and Children's Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: fealanzi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5270-005Z

[5]Nursing Technician – Turaif General Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Turaif, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: ngalrowaili@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5260-005Z

[6]Nursing Technician – North Medical Tower Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: reema@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5250-005Z

[7]S Nursing Technician – Branch of the Ministry of Health, Ministry of Health, Al Jouf, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: mafaranga@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5240-005Z

[8]Health Care Assistant (Nursing) – Long-Term Care Hospital, Hafr Al-Batin Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Hafr Al-Batin, Eastern Province, Saudi Arabia
Email: falmuterl@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5230-005Z

[9]Nursing Technician – Al Zahra Primary Health Care Center, Hail Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Hail, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: murshedasma1@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5220-005Z

[10]Nurse Specialist – King Abdullah Medical Complex, Jeddah, Second Jeddah Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Jeddah, Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: walmohammady@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5210-005Z

[11]Nurse – Alasili Health Center, Madinah Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Yanbu, Madinah Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: jojohmodi621@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5110-005Z

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4736
Received : 01 July 2024
Accepted : 30 July 2024

Keywords

Cognitive overload,
nursing management,
high-acuity care,
clinical decision-making,
situation awareness,
burnout

Abstract:

Cognitive overload in high-acuity clinical environments, such as intensive care and emergency departments, represents a critical threat to patient safety and nurse well-being. It occurs when the relentless demands of complex patient care, coupled with systemic inefficiencies like cumbersome technology, frequent interruptions, and excessive alarms, exceed the finite processing capacity of a nurse's working memory. This overload impairs clinical judgment, diminishes situation awareness, and increases the risk of medical errors, while simultaneously driving nurse burnout, moral distress, and workforce attrition. Effective management requires a holistic, systems-based approach that empowers nurses with individual cognitive strategies, fosters resilient teams through structured communication and psychological safety, and mandates organizational leadership to redesign workflows, implement human-centered technology, and ensure safe staffing ratios. Ultimately, mitigating cognitive overload is an ethical and operational imperative for building sustainable, high-reliability healthcare systems that protect both patients and the professionals who care for them.

1. Introduction

The high-acuity clinical environment, encompassing intensive care units (ICUs), emergency departments, trauma centers, and other critical care settings, represents the frontline of modern healthcare where patient conditions are severe, unstable, and complex. These environments are characterized by a relentless pace, a high density of technology, continuous streams of multidimensional data, frequent critical decisions, and often, emotionally charged situations. Within this crucible of care, nurses serve as the central coordinating hub, tasked with the continuous surveillance, interpretation, synthesis, and action upon vast amounts of patient information. The cognitive demands placed upon these nurses are extraordinary and unyielding. It is within this context that the phenomenon of cognitive overload emerges not as a peripheral concern, but as a central, pervasive threat to patient safety, nurse well-being, and the efficacy of healthcare systems themselves [1].

Cognitive load theory, originating in educational psychology, provides a valuable framework for understanding this challenge. It posits that human working memory—the cognitive space where information is actively processed—has severely limited capacity. When the volume or complexity of information exceeds this capacity, cognitive overload occurs, leading to a breakdown in information processing, decision-making, and performance [2]. In high-acuity nursing, this load is not merely academic; it is multimodal and intense. It includes intrinsic load from the inherent complexity of a septic patient's pathophysiology or a multi-trauma victim's injuries. It includes extraneous load generated by cumbersome electronic health record interfaces, frequent interruptions, poorly designed workflows, and ambient noise from monitors and alarms. Furthermore, it encompasses germane load, which is the cognitive effort required to construct new, lasting schemas or mental models—an essential process for novice nurses but also for experts facing novel, complex cases [3].

The consequences of unmitigated cognitive overload in these settings are profound and multifaceted. For the patient, the risk of medical error escalates dramatically. Errors of omission (missed changes in status), errors of commission (incorrect medication administration), and diagnostic errors can all stem from a nurse's overwhelmed cognitive apparatus [4]. Clinical judgment, the cornerstone of nursing practice, becomes impaired. The ability to recognize subtle patterns indicative of patient deterioration—a skill known as "situation awareness"—is compromised when attention is fragmented and working memory is saturated [5]. This degradation in situation awareness is a known precursor to adverse events.

For the nurse, the personal toll is significant. Chronic cognitive overload is a primary driver of occupational stress, burnout, and moral distress. The persistent feeling of being mentally overwhelmed, coupled with the fear of making a catastrophic error, erodes professional satisfaction and contributes to emotional exhaustion and depersonalization—core components of burnout syndrome [6]. This psychological strain has direct physiological correlates, including elevated cortisol levels, sleep disturbances, and impaired immune function. Furthermore, it fuels the nursing shortage crisis, as experienced nurses opt to leave high-acuity specialties or the profession altogether, creating a vicious cycle where fewer nurses manage increasingly complex caseloads, exacerbating the overload for those who remain [7, 8].

2. The Multifaceted Nature of Cognitive Overload in Nursing Practice

To effectively manage cognitive overload, one must first appreciate its diverse sources and manifestations within the high-acuity nursing role. The load is not monolithic; it arises from a confluence of factors that simultaneously attack a nurse's cognitive bandwidth. Intrinsic cognitive load is dictated by patient acuity. Managing a patient with multi-organ failure on several high-risk vasoactive infusions, renal replacement therapy, and an open abdominal wound represents an immense intrinsic load due to the sheer number of interdependent physiological variables and their complex interactions. Each piece of data—a mean arterial pressure, a lactate level, a wound assessment—is not isolated but must be integrated into a dynamic mental model of the patient's overall state [2, 9].

Extraneous cognitive load, however, is imposed by the environment and systems, and it is here where significant opportunities for mitigation lie. The electronic health record (EHR), while a repository of information, is often a major contributor. Poorly designed interfaces that bury critical data in multiple tabs, cumbersome medication administration processes requiring excessive clicks, and inefficient documentation mandates that pull attention away from the bedside all generate unnecessary cognitive friction [10]. Interruptions are endemic. Studies in critical care and emergency settings show nurses are interrupted every few minutes. These interruptions—for questions, phone calls, monitor alarms (many of which are non-actionable), or supplies—force a cognitive "switch cost," breaking focus and increasing the likelihood of task errors and memory failures [11]. The physical environment itself, with its constant auditory and visual noise from monitors, ventilators, and staff conversations, creates a background of distraction that depletes attentional resources.

Furthermore, germane cognitive load, while essential for learning, can be overwhelming. The novice nurse in the ICU experiences an immense germane load as they strive to build new mental schemas for disease processes and equipment. Even the expert nurse faces germane load when dealing with a rare disease, a new piece of technology, or a complex ethical dilemma requiring novel moral reasoning. The cognitive resources required for this schema construction compete directly with those needed for immediate patient care tasks [3, 12]. Finally, emotional labor adds a potent, often overlooked, dimension to the cognitive burden. Managing family distress, confronting patient suffering and death, and navigating interpersonal conflicts within the team all require significant emotional regulation and cognitive processing, further depleting the mental reserves available for clinical reasoning [13].

3. Consequences of Unmitigated Cognitive Overload: A Cascade of Risk

When cognitive overload remains unchecked, it initiates a cascade of negative outcomes that jeopardize the core mission of high-acuity care. The most direct and dangerous consequence is the increased propensity for error. Overload forces cognitive shortcuts, or heuristics, which can be flawed under pressure. It narrows perceptual fields, leading to "inattentional blindness," where critical cues are missed because attention is focused elsewhere. For example, a nurse preoccupied with troubleshooting a malfunctioning pump may fail to notice a subtle change in a patient's respiratory pattern on the monitor [4, 14]. Medication errors, particularly those involving wrong dose or wrong administration time, become more likely as memory fails and double-checking procedures are rushed or skipped.

A key cognitive function that deteriorates under overload is situation awareness (SA). SA is defined across three levels: perception of elements in the environment (Level 1), comprehension of their meaning (Level 2), and projection of their future status (Level 3). The high-acuity nurse must maintain SA for multiple patients simultaneously. Cognitive overload directly impairs Level 1 perception by sensory saturation. It hinders Level 2 comprehension by preventing the integration of fragmented data into a coherent whole. Consequently, Level 3 projection—the ability to anticipate a patient's likely decline or response to treatment—becomes unreliable [5, 15]. A nurse with diminished SA is reacting to crises rather than preventing them.

The professional and personal impact on nurses constitutes a parallel crisis. Chronic exposure to cognitive overload is a primary antecedent to burnout, characterized by emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and a reduced sense of personal accomplishment. The brain's constant state of high alert, without adequate cognitive recovery, leads to chronic stress. This state is associated with anxiety, depression, and somatic symptoms [6]. Moreover, it fosters moral distress—the psychological anguish experienced when one knows the ethically right action to take but feels constrained from taking it, often due to systemic barriers like excessive workload. The combination of burnout and moral distress is a powerful driver of intent to leave, directly exacerbating staffing shortages and perpetuating the cycle of overload for remaining staff [7, 16]. From an organizational perspective,

this results in skyrocketing costs related to turnover, recruitment, training, and increased rates of adverse events and associated litigation.

Individual Cognitive Strategies for the Nurse

While systemic change is crucial, individual nurses can employ evidence-based cognitive strategies to better manage their mental workload and build personal resilience. The foundation of this is metacognition—"thinking about one's thinking." Nurses must develop an awareness of their own cognitive limits and recognize the early signs of overload, such as feeling mentally "scattered," increased irritability, or forgetting routine steps. This self-awareness allows for the deployment of compensatory strategies [17].

One powerful set of techniques involves cognitive aids and externalization of memory. The humble notepad or a structured patient-specific worksheet is a vital tool for offloading working memory. Writing down tasks, lab values, and key goals frees cognitive space for higher-order thinking. Similarly, the use of checklists for complex procedures (e.g., central line insertion, sepsis resuscitation bundles) ensures critical steps are not missed under pressure, standardizing care and reducing cognitive demand [18]. Mental rehearsal and visualization are techniques used by experts in high-stakes fields. Before entering a complex patient's room, a nurse can mentally walk through the assessment sequence and anticipate potential problems, priming the brain for efficient action.

Mindfulness-based practices, though sometimes viewed as peripheral, have strong empirical support for building cognitive resilience. Brief, practiced mindfulness exercises can improve attentional control, allowing a nurse to better focus on the task at hand and disengage from distracting stimuli. It enhances emotional regulation, reducing the cognitive drain of reactive stress and fostering a non-judgmental awareness that can improve clinical decision-making [19]. Furthermore, deliberate, strategic pause points must be integrated into the shift. Even a 30-second "sterile cockpit" rule during medication preparation or a moment of quiet reflection after a critical event can allow for cognitive reconstitution and error checking [20].

4. Team-Based and Communication-Focused Interventions

High-acuity care is a team sport, and cognitive load is a shared burden. Effective teamwork and structured communication are powerful levers for distributing and managing this load. Standardized communication tools are essential. The Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation (SBAR) protocol structures handoffs and urgent communications, ensuring critical information is transferred concisely and completely, reducing the need for clarification and the risk of misinterpretation [21]. Similarly, structured interdisciplinary bedside rounds, where the entire team (physician, nurse, pharmacist, respiratory therapist) reviews the plan of care at the patient's bedside, ensure a shared mental model. This prevents cognitive silos, where each discipline holds a fragmented piece of the puzzle, and allows the nurse's vital bedside observations to directly inform medical decision-making [22].

The concept of psychological safety within a team is paramount for managing cognitive load. In a psychologically safe environment, a nurse feels empowered to speak up about concerns, ask clarifying questions without fear of ridicule, and admit to feeling overwhelmed or unsure. This enables early correction of potential errors and allows for the redistribution of tasks before overload leads to a mistake. Teams that practice graded assertiveness, using tools like the "Two-Challenge Rule" or "CUS" words (I am Concerned, I am Uncomfortable, This is a Safety issue), create a culture where cognitive burdens can be voiced and shared [23].

Collaborative models like primary nursing or dedicated partner-based nursing, where a consistent nurse-nurse team cares for a group of patients, also reduce load. They foster deep, shared knowledge of the patients, reducing the "start-up" cognitive cost of familiarizing oneself with a new patient's history and plan. This continuity facilitates more nuanced monitoring and anticipatory care [24].

5. Environmental and Technological Redesign

The physical and technological workspace can be engineered to be a cognitive ally rather than an adversary. The principles of human factors engineering must be applied to reduce extraneous load. This involves simplifying the interaction with technology. EHR interfaces must be redesigned with clinician cognitive workflow in mind, featuring customizable dashboards that surface the most critical patient data (e.g., vital signs, key labs, active drips) in a single, intuitive view. Standardization of equipment across units reduces the cognitive load of having to relearn different pumps or monitor interfaces [10, 25].

A major focus must be on alarm and interruption management. "Alarm fatigue," caused by a high volume of non-actionable alarms, is a direct assault on attention. Implementing evidence-based alarm management strategies—such as customizing alarm parameters to the individual patient, using delayed alarms for transient violations, and employing

middleware that filters and prioritizes alarms—can drastically reduce noise and distractions [26]. Similarly, creating "interruption-free zones" for high-concentration tasks like medication preparation, and using visual cues (e.g., a designated vest or sign) to signal when a nurse should not be disturbed, can protect precious cognitive focus [11].

The physical layout of the unit can support cognitive flow. Ensuring supplies and medications are logically organized and consistently located minimizes the cognitive energy spent searching. Adequate lighting, noise-absorbing materials, and well-designed workspaces (nursing stations with visual access to patients) can reduce sensory strain and support better situation awareness [27].

6. Organizational and Leadership Responsibilities

Sustainable solutions to cognitive overload require commitment at the organizational and leadership levels. This begins with establishing safe, evidence-based nurse-to-patient staffing ratios that are mandated, not just aspirational. Research consistently shows that improved staffing is associated with lower mortality, fewer errors, and reduced nurse burnout. Staffing must be dynamic, accounting not just for patient count but for acuity, using validated tools to measure nursing workload [28]. Leadership must also champion the implementation of "cognitive walkthroughs" or human factors audits to proactively identify and redesign system-based sources of extraneous load in workflows and technology [29].

Investment in professional development is a strategic imperative. Ongoing training should not only cover clinical skills but also cognitive skills training, including high-fidelity simulation focused on managing multiple complex demands, developing situation awareness, and practicing decision-making under pressure. Training in resilience-building techniques, such as mindfulness and stress management, should be offered and normalized [19, 30]. Furthermore, organizations must foster a culture of restorative breaks. Policies that encourage nurses to take their meal breaks and short rest periods without guilt are essential. Some forward-thinking units are experimenting with designated "quiet rooms" for brief mental resets [31].

Perhaps most critically, leadership must cultivate a "just culture" that balances accountability with system-focused learning. When an error occurs, the primary response should be a non-punitive analysis of the systemic factors (including cognitive overload) that contributed to it, rather than solely blaming the individual. This encourages error reporting, which is vital for understanding and fixing broken systems [32].

7. The Future Frontier: Technology as a Cognitive Partner

Looking ahead, technology holds promise not just as a tool, but as an active partner in managing cognitive load. Clinical decision support systems (CDSS) embedded within the EHR can move beyond simple alerts to become sophisticated cognitive aids. For instance, an intelligent CDSS could synthesize data from flowsheets, labs, and notes to generate a concise, personalized "patient summary" highlighting key risks and suggesting evidence-based next steps, acting as a second set of "eyes" for the nurse [33].

Predictive analytics and early warning scores, such as the National Early Warning Score (NEWS), are powerful tools for directing attention. By algorithmically analyzing vital signs, these systems can identify patients at risk of deterioration earlier than human observation alone, helping nurses prioritize surveillance and intervention, thus allocating cognitive resources more efficiently [34].

The advent of interoperable "smart" rooms, where data from monitors, ventilators, and IV pumps is seamlessly integrated into a central display, could reduce the cognitive effort of data gathering and synthesis, presenting a more holistic, real-time picture of patient status [35].

8. Conclusion

Cognitive overload in high-acuity clinical environments is a critical, pervasive, and multifaceted challenge that stands at the intersection of patient safety, nurse well-being, and healthcare system integrity. It is not a reflection of individual weakness but an inevitable consequence of the immense demands placed upon the finite cognitive architecture of the human mind within complex, high-pressure systems. The management of this overload cannot be relegated to the individual nurse’s coping strategies alone, though such strategies are vital components of personal resilience.

A comprehensive solution demands a multi-layered, systemic approach. It begins with empowering individual nurses through metacognitive training, mindfulness, and the use of cognitive aids. It is reinforced by fostering highly functional teams built on standardized communication, psychological safety, and shared mental models. It requires the deliberate redesign of environments and technologies using human factors

principles to minimize extraneous load and interruption. Ultimately, it hinges on unwavering organizational and leadership commitment to safe staffing, a culture of continuous learning and support, and the implementation of policies that protect cognitive recovery.

The path forward requires a fundamental recognition: that the most sophisticated technology in a high-acuity unit is the nurse's mind. Protecting and augmenting this cognitive capacity is therefore the highest-order safety intervention. By proactively designing systems that support human cognition rather than overwhelm it, healthcare organizations can create sustainable high-acuity environments where nurses can practice to the full extent of their expertise, where errors are minimized, and where the well-being of both patients and the nurses who care for them is prioritized. The journey toward cognitive sustainability in nursing is not merely an operational goal but an ethical imperative for the future of safe, compassionate, and effective critical care.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Leppink, J., Paas, F., Van der Vleuten, C. P., Van Gog, T., & Van Merriënboer, J. J. (2013). Development of an instrument for measuring different types of cognitive load. Behavior Research Methods, 45(4), 1058–1072.
2. Tubbs-Cooley, H. L., Mara, C. A., Carle, A. C., & Gurses, A. P. (2018). The NASA task load index as a measure of overall workload among neonatal, paediatric and adult intensive care nurses. Intensive & Critical Care Nursing, 46, 64–69.
3. Habibi, E., Taheri, M. R., & Hasanzadeh, A. (2015). Relationship between mental workload and musculoskeletal disorders among Alzahra hospital nurses. Iranian Journal of Nursing and Midwifery ResearchIran, 20(1), 1–6.
4. Bradbury-Jones, C., Aveyard, H., Herber, O. R., Isham, L., Taylor, J., & O'Malley, L. (2022). Scoping reviews: The PAGER framework for improving the quality of reporting. International Journal of Social Research Methodology, 25(4), 457–470.
5. Jennings, B. M., Baernholdt, M., & Hopkinson, S. G. (2022). Exploring the turbulent nature of nurses' workflow. Nursing Outlook, 70, 440–450.
6. Gregg, A. C. (1993). Relationship among subjective mental workload, experience, and education of cardiovascular critical care registered nurses.
7. Holden, R. J., Brown, R. L., Scanlon, M. C., Rivera, A. J., & Karsh, B.-T. (2015). Micro- and macroergonomic changes in mental workload and medication safety following the implementation of new health IT. International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 49, 131–143.
8. Hoogendoorn, M. E., Brinkman, S., Spijkstra, J. J., Bosman, R. J., Margadant, C. C., Haringman, J., & de Keizer, N. F. (2021). The objective nursing workload and perceived nursing workload in intensive care units: Analysis of association. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 114, 103852.
9. Ceballos-Vasquez, P., Rolo-Gonzalez, G., Hernandez-Fernaud, E., Diaz-Cabrera, D., Paravic-Klijn, T., & Burgos-Moreno, M. (2015). Psychosocial factors and mental work load: A reality perceived by nurses in intensive care units. Revista Latino-Americana de Enfermagem, 23(2), 315–322.
10. McGowan, J., Sampson, M., Salzwedel, D. M., Cogo, E., Foerster, V., & Lefebvre, C. (2016). PRESS peer review of electronic search strategies: 2015 guideline Statement. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 75, 40–46.
11. Huggins, A., & Claudio, D. (2018). A performance comparison between the subjective workload analysis technique and the NASA-TLX in a healthcare setting. IISE Transactions on Healthcare Systems Engineering, 8(1), 59–71.
12. Forsyth, K. L., Hawthorne, H. J., El-Sherif, N., Varghese, R. S., Ernste, V. K., Koenig, J., & Blocker, R. C. (2018). Interruptions experienced by emergency nurses: Implications for subjective and objective measures of workload. Journal of Emergency Nursing, 44(6), 614–623.
13. Neill, D., & Davis, G. C. (2015). Development of a subjective workload assessment for nurses: A human factors approach. Journal of Nursing Measurement, 23(3), 452–473.
14. Kremer, L., Lipprandt, M., Röhrig, R., & Breil, B. (2022). Examining mental workload relating to digital health technologies in health care:

Systematic review. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 24(10), e40946.
15. Sitterding, M. C., Broome, M. E., Everett, L. Q., & Ebright, P. (2012). Understanding situation awareness in nursing work: A hybrid concept analysis. Advances in Nursing Science, 35(1), 77–92.
16. Reid, G. B., & Nygren, T. E. (1988). The subjective workload assessment technique: A scaling procedure for measuring mental workload. In Advances in psychology (Vol. 52, pp. 185–218). Elsevier.
17. Holden, R. J., Scanlon, M. C., Patel, N. R., Kaushal, R., Escoto, K. H., Brown, R. L., Alper, S. J., Arnold, J. M., Shalaby, T. M., Murkowski, K., & Karsh, B. T. (2011). A human factors framework and study of the effect of nursing workload on patient safety and employee quality of working life. BMJ Quality and Safety, 20(1), 15–24.
18. Li, S. (2009). Assessment of workload of registered nurses in the telemetry unit.
19. Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., … Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Systematic Reviews, 10(1).
20. Moray, N. (1979). Mental workload: Its theory and measurement. Springer.
21. Mirzaei, S., Zamanian, Z., & Hasan Zade, J. (2015). Effects of work shifts and mental workload on chronic fatigue among female nurses in intensive care units. Journal of Health Sciences & Surveillance System, 3(3), 113–118.
22. Shan, Y., Shang, J., Yan, Y., Lu, G., Hu, D., & Ye, X. (2021). Mental workload of frontline nurses aiding in the COVID-19 pandemic: A latent profile analysis. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 77(5), 2374–2385.
23. Barzani, K. I. S., & Dal Yilmaz, U. (2022). The relationship between mental workload and fatigue in emergency department nurses. Cyprus Journal of Medical Sciences, 7(3), 381–386.
24. Pourteimour, S., Yaghmaei, S., & Babamohamadi, H. (2021). The relationship between mental workload and job performance among Iranian nurses providing care to COVID-19 patients: A cross-sectional study. Journal of Nursing Management, 29(6), 1723–1732.
25. Hart, S. G., & Staveland, L. E. (1988). Development of NASA-TLX (task load index): Results of empirical and theoretical research. Human Mental Workload, 52, 139–183.
26. Aromataris, E., & Munn, Z. (Eds.). (2021). JBI manual for evidence synthesis. JBI.
27. Debska, G., Pasek, M., & Wilczek-Ruzyczka, E. (2017). Sense of coherence vs. mental load in nurses working at a chemotherapy Ward. Central European Journal of Public Health, 25(1), 35–40.
28. Abbaszadeh, A., Borhani, F., Ajri-Khamesloo, F., Afshar, P. F., Tabatabaeifar, S. M., & Ajri-Khameslou, M. (2021). Explaining the process of dealing with nursing errors in the emergency department: A grounded theory study. International Emergency Nursing, 59, 101066.
29. Longo, L., Wickens, C. D., Hancock, G., & Hancock, P. A. (2022). Human mental workload: A survey and a novel inclusive definition. Frontiers in Psychology, 13, 883321.
30. Neill, C. D. (2006). Development of a subjective workload assessment for nurses.
31. Connor, J. A., LaGrasta, C., & Hickey, P. A. (2022). Refinement of the intensive care unit complexity assessment and monitoring to ensure optimal outcomes III acuity tool. Dimensions of Critical Care Nursing, 41(3), 144–150.
32. Belji Kangarlou, M., Fatemi, F., Paknazar, F., & Dehdashti, A. (2022). Occupational burnout symptoms and its relationship with workload and fear of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic among hospital nurses. Frontiers in Public Health, 10, 852629.
33. Tubbs-Cooley, H. L., Mara, C. A., Carle, A. C., Mark, B. A., & Pickler, R. H. (2019). Association of nurse workload with missed nursing care in the neonatal intensive care unit. JAMA Pediatrics, 173(1), 44–51.
34. Guastello, S. J., Shircel, A., Malon, M., & Timm, P. (2015). Individual differences in the experience of cognitive workload. Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science, 16(1), 20–52.
35. Kim, J. H., Parameshwara, N., Guo, W., & Pasupathy, K. S. (2019). The impact of interrupting nurses on mental workload in emergency departments. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 35(3), 206–217.