International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 10-No.4 (2024) pp. 3790-3796
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Copyright © IJCESEN

Research Article

Nursing Interventions to Reduce Unplanned ICU Transfers from General Wards

Amani Aqeel Jayez Al-Ruwaili[1]*, Alanazi, Hanan Tajir D[2], Jawaher Mohammed F. Alanazi[3], Tariq Jaafar Adhl Al-Rashid[4], Gharibah Ayesh Ali Alhazimi[5], Norah Munahi J Alruwaili[6], Raed Khalifah Mohmmed Alanazi[7], Alruwaili, Gharam Daham D[8], Alruwaili, Madihaah Thani A[9], Alruwaili, Asma Thani A[10], Alshammari, Waleed Owaymir D[11]

[1]Nurse Specialist – Specialized Dental Center, Qurayyat, Al Jouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Qurayyat, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia
* **Corresponding Author Email:** aaalrwailly@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5200-0050

[2]Nursing Technician – Turaif General Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Turaif, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: htalenazy@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5290-0050

[3]Nursing Technician – Onaizah Central Primary Health Care Center (Premarital Clinic), Qassim Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Onaizah, Qassim Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: jalaenzy@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5280-0050

[4]Nursing Technician – Branch of the Ministry of Health, Ministry of Health, Qurayyat, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: tari2q@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5270-0050

[5]Nurse Specialist – Quality and Institutional Excellence Department, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: aalhzama@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5260-0050

[6]Nursing Technician – Maternity and Children's Hospital, Al Jouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Sakaka, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: noor-2013-444@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5250-0050

[7]Nursing Technician – Prince Abdulaziz bin Musaed Cardiac Center, Arar, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: raedka@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5240-0050

[8]Nursing Technician – Al Jouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Sakaka, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: gdalrwili@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5230-0050

[9]Nursing Technician – Al Jouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Sakaka, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: mtalrwilly@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5220-0050

[10]Nursing Technician – Al Jouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Sakaka, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: aalraoely@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5210-0050

[11]Nurse Specialist – Al Jouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Sakaka, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: waoalshammari@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5110-0050

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4721
Received : 01 July 2024
Accepted : 30 July 2024

Keywords

Unplanned ICU Transfers,
Failure to Rescue,
Nursing Interventions,
Early Warning Score (EWS),
Clinical Deterioration,

Abstract:

Unplanned transfers from general wards to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) represent critical failure-to-rescue events associated with increased patient mortality and healthcare costs. These transfers are often preventable through a multifaceted bundle of nurse-driven interventions centered on the early detection and response to clinical deterioration. Foundational strategies include the consistent use of standardized Early Warning Score (EWS) systems to objectively identify at-risk patients, augmented by emerging technologies like continuous wireless monitoring for real-time surveillance. The efficacy of these tools is fully realized only when frontline nurses are empowered through targeted education in acute care assessment and simulation training, and are supported by robust structural systems such as Rapid Response Teams (RRTs) and

Rapid Response Team (RRT)

nurse-led outreach services. Furthermore, success hinges on fostering a culture of safety through strong nursing leadership, adequate staffing, and the implementation of structured communication tools like SBAR to ensure clear escalation of concerns. Ultimately, reducing unplanned ICU admissions requires a systemic commitment to transforming general ward nursing into a proactive, vigilant, and fully supported practice, thereby enhancing patient safety and optimizing critical care resource utilization.

1. Introduction

The phenomenon of unplanned transfers from general hospital wards to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) represents a critical challenge in modern healthcare systems, carrying significant implications for patient morbidity, mortality, and healthcare resource utilization. These events, often termed "failure to rescue," refer to the deterioration of a patient's condition on a general ward to the point where intensive care is urgently required, an escalation that could potentially be averted with timely and effective intervention [1]. The frequency of such unplanned ICU transfers serves as a sensitive indicator of the quality of ward-based care, surveillance, and early response systems. Studies consistently demonstrate that patients subjected to unplanned ICU admissions experience substantially higher mortality rates, longer overall hospital stays, and increased healthcare costs compared to those directly admitted from emergency departments or operating rooms [2, 3]. The psychological impact on patients and families is profound, as is the operational disruption caused by urgent, unplanned bed demands in often-capacity-constrained ICUs.

The etiology of unplanned ICU transfers is multifactorial, often stemming from a complex interplay of patient-related factors, system-level issues, and gaps in clinical care processes. Patient factors include increasing age, higher comorbidity burden, and the presence of specific conditions like sepsis or respiratory compromise [4]. However, a substantial body of evidence points to systemic and process-oriented root causes, most notably failures in timely recognition of clinical deterioration, inadequate monitoring, delays in responding to early warning signs, and suboptimal communication among healthcare team members [5]. The general ward environment, characterized by higher nurse-to-patient ratios and less continuous monitoring than the ICU, inherently carries a risk that subtle signs of decline may go unnoticed until a crisis precipitates.

This is where the pivotal role of the nursing staff becomes undeniably central. Nurses constitute the frontline of patient care on general wards, providing 24-hour surveillance, conducting structured assessments, and serving as the crucial link between the patient and the broader medical team. Their capacity to detect subtle clinical changes, interpret data within the context of the patient's trajectory, and initiate appropriate protocols is the bedrock upon which patient safety on wards is built [6]. Consequently, developing, implementing, and rigorously evaluating nursing-led interventions is paramount to constructing a robust defense against avoidable clinical deterioration and subsequent unplanned ICU transfers. A comprehensive strategy must move beyond simple checklist approaches to embrace a cultural and systemic shift towards proactive, anticipatory care [7].

2. Systematic Assessment and Early Warning Systems

The cornerstone of preventing unplanned deterioration is the consistent, accurate, and systematic assessment of patients' vital signs and clinical status. Relying on intermittent, routine vital sign checks without a framework for interpretation has proven inadequate for identifying patients at risk. The introduction and nursing-led implementation of Early Warning Score (EWS) systems, or more recently, National Early Warning Score (NEWS) protocols, represent a fundamental intervention in standardizing the detection of clinical decline [8, 9]. These systems assign weighted scores to key physiological parameters—including respiration rate, oxygen saturation, systolic blood pressure, heart rate, level of consciousness, and temperature. The aggregate score triggers an empirically derived escalation pathway, prompting increased monitoring or a clinical review.

The effectiveness of these systems is wholly dependent on nursing vigilance and adherence. Nurses are responsible for the accurate measurement and documentation of each parameter. Crucially, they must move beyond mere data entry to become skilled interpreters of the trends. A single elevated score may be less significant than a steadily rising trend across multiple observations, even if individual values remain below formal trigger thresholds [10]. Nursing judgment contextualizes the score; for instance, a moderate tachycardia may be normal for a patient with chronic atrial fibrillation but alarming

in a post-operative patient. Studies have shown that the introduction of a mandatory EWS, coupled with mandatory response protocols, is associated with a significant reduction in cardiac arrests and unplanned ICU admissions, primarily because it provides nurses with an objective tool to articulate their concerns and demand attention [11].

However, barriers to optimal use exist. These include "alarm fatigue" from frequent triggers, inconsistent scoring due to human error or lack of training, and—most critically—the failure of the wider system to respond reliably when a score is escalated. Therefore, the intervention is not merely the adoption of a scoring chart but the creation of a reliable, nurse-empowered system around it. This requires ongoing education on accurate physiological measurement (e.g., counting respirations for a full minute), regular audits of compliance and documentation, and embedding the EWS into the nursing workflow so it becomes an integral part of every patient assessment rather than a burdensome add-on [12]. When fully embraced, EWS transforms nursing observation from a subjective impression to an objective, actionable metric, forming the essential first link in the chain of prevention.

3. Technological Augmentation: Continuous and Remote Monitoring

While EWS systems rely on intermittent "snapshots" of patient status, technological advances offer the potential for more continuous surveillance, aiming to identify deterioration in the vulnerable window between scheduled observations. The deployment of continuous monitoring technologies on general wards is a rapidly evolving nursing intervention with significant promise for reducing failure-to-rescue events. These systems typically involve wireless wearable sensors that continuously track heart rate, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation, and sometimes blood pressure or other parameters, transmitting data in real-time to a central station or directly to nurses' mobile devices [13].

The nursing role in this technological intervention is multifaceted. First, nurses are key advocates for identifying which patients would benefit most from continuous monitoring, such as those recently stepped down from ICU, post-operative patients, or individuals with chronic conditions predisposing them to rapid decline. Second, nurses must be trained to interpret continuous data streams, distinguishing artifact from genuine clinical change and identifying concerning trends—like a gradual increase in respiratory rate or a decrease in heart rate variability—that may precede a catastrophic event by hours [14]. This shifts the nursing model from reactive (responding to a crisis) to proactive (intervening to prevent the crisis). Furthermore, by automating the collection of core EWS parameters, these systems can free up nursing time for more complex patient care tasks while ensuring physiological data is captured more frequently and accurately.

Evidence is accumulating to support their efficacy. Research indicates that implementation of wireless continuous monitoring on surgical wards can lead to earlier detection of clinical deterioration, reduced rates of ICU transfer for sepsis and respiratory failure, and decreased rescue events [15]. Crucially, the success of this intervention hinges not on the technology alone, but on how it is integrated into nursing practice. This requires clear protocols defining alarm thresholds, appropriate responses to alerts, and strategies to prevent alarm fatigue. Nurses must be partners in the design and implementation process to ensure the technology supports, rather than disrupts, clinical workflow and the human aspects of care. When effectively integrated, continuous monitoring acts as a powerful technological adjunct to nursing clinical judgment, extending the "safety net" for at-risk patients on general wards.

4. Education and Competency: Empowering the Frontline Nurse

The most sophisticated monitoring system is only as effective as the nurse interpreting its data. Therefore, a foundational intervention to reduce unplanned transfers is the targeted education and competency development of ward-based nursing staff. This goes beyond basic training in taking vital signs to encompass advanced assessment skills, critical thinking in acute care, and mastery of communication and escalation protocols. A nurse must not only recognize abnormal physiology but also understand its potential causes, initiate first-line interventions, and communicate concerns with clarity and confidence [16].

High-fidelity simulation training has emerged as a particularly effective educational strategy. By recreating realistic scenarios of a deteriorating patient in a safe environment, nurses can practice systematic assessment, application of EWS, initiation of basic interventions (like administering oxygen or changing patient position), and rehearsing the structured communication required to call for help [17]. Simulation builds both technical skills and "softer" skills like situational awareness, teamwork, and leadership under pressure. Studies link simulation-based training for ward nurses to improved knowledge retention,

better performance during real clinical deteriorations, and subsequently, lower rates of adverse events including unplanned ICU admissions [18].

Education must also focus on specific high-risk conditions. For example, nurse-driven sepsis education programs that emphasize the early recognition of systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) criteria and the imperative of rapid lactate measurement and antibiotic administration have been shown to improve bundle compliance and outcomes, preventing progression to septic shock that necessitates ICU care [19]. Similarly, training in respiratory assessment, including the identification of subtle signs of increased work of breathing and hypoxemia, is critical. Ultimately, this educational empowerment transforms the nurse from a data collector to an autonomous, knowledgeable clinician capable of early problem identification and initial management, forming the human bulwark against patient deterioration.

5. Structural Support: Rapid Response Teams and Nurse-Led Outreach

Recognizing that even expert nurses may encounter situations beyond their scope or require urgent support, healthcare institutions have implemented structural support systems designed to bridge the gap between the general ward and intensive care. The most prominent of these are Rapid Response Teams (RRTs) or Medical Emergency Teams (METs). While composition varies, these teams typically include an ICU nurse, a respiratory therapist, and often a physician or advanced practice provider, and are activated by ward staff—primarily nurses—based on specific trigger criteria (often linked to EWS) or serious concern [20].

The RRT is a critical nurse-enabled intervention. It formalizes and legitimizes the ward nurse's request for expert help, removing barriers related to hierarchy or hesitation. The RRT provides immediate bedside expertise to assess and stabilize the deteriorating patient. Crucially, many RRT interventions—such as administering fluids, initiating non-invasive ventilation, or adjusting medical therapy—are performed at the bedside, potentially averting the need for a full ICU transfer [21]. Furthermore, the ICU nurse on the RRT acts as a valuable resource and mentor to the ward nurse, providing real-time education and support. The presence of an RRT has been associated with significant reductions in hospital-wide cardiopulmonary arrests and, in many studies, a reduction in unplanned ICU admissions, as crises are managed *in situ* [22].

A complementary model is the Critical Care Outreach (CCO) service, often nurse-led. Unlike the RRT which responds to acute triggers, outreach involves proactive surveillance. The outreach nurse, an experienced critical care practitioner, reviews high-risk patients (e.g., those recently discharged from ICU, or with high EWS) on the wards, advising the ward team on management, monitoring, and escalation plans [23]. This anticipatory model identifies patients on a downward trajectory earlier, allowing for corrective action before a rapid response call is needed. Both RRT and CCO models underscore a systems-level commitment to supporting frontline nurses. They acknowledge that preventing unplanned ICU transfers is not solely the ward nurse's responsibility but a system-wide goal, achieved by providing nurses with immediate access to specialized knowledge and skills when they are most needed.

6. Communication and Handover: Ensuring Continuity of Care

Breakdowns in communication are a ubiquitously cited factor in sentinel events and clinical deterioration. For nurses working in shifts and caring for patients under the management of multiple medical teams, precise and structured communication is a non-negotiable intervention for patient safety. Ineffective handover between nurses at shift change, or unclear communication from nurses to physicians about concerns, can lead to missed information, delayed action, and ultimately, preventable deterioration [24].

The implementation of standardized communication tools is therefore a vital nursing intervention. For shift handovers, frameworks like ISBAR (Identification, Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) provide a clear structure that ensures critical information is transmitted consistently and comprehensively. When handing over a patient with rising EWS, the nurse can succinctly state: "*This is Mr. Smith in bed 5 (I). He is concerning me because his respiratory rate has increased from 20 to 28 over my shift and his NEWS is now 5 (S). He is post-op day 2 from a laparotomy (B). On assessment, he has mild crackles at the lung bases and is requiring 2L oxygen to keep sats >94% (A). I recommend the doctor reviews him and considers a chest X-ray and blood gases (R)*" [25]. This structured approach minimizes ambiguity and ensures the oncoming nurse is aware of active issues and required surveillance.

Similarly, tools like SBAR are used for communicating concerns to physicians. They

empower nurses by giving them a framework to present their assessment professionally and assertively, ensuring key clinical data and their own recommendation are heard. Research demonstrates that training nurses in structured communication improves the quality and clarity of information exchanged, increases physician responsiveness to nurse-initiated calls, and is associated with improved patient outcomes [26]. Furthermore, incorporating the patient's "worst" physiological values in the past 24 hours into handover and documentation, rather than just current values, helps convey trends. By mastering and utilizing these communication tools, nurses become more effective advocates for their patients, ensuring that concerns are not lost in translation and that continuity of care is maintained across the continuum, a key factor in preventing failures to rescue.

7. Patient and Family Engagement: The Untapped Resource

An often-underutilized strategy in preventing deterioration is the active engagement of patients and their families in the monitoring process. Patients and families are constant observers at the bedside and are often the first to notice subtle changes in condition that may be missed during intermittent nursing checks, such as increased confusion, fatigue, or discomfort. Empowering them to speak up is a powerful, low-cost nursing intervention [27].

Nurses play the central role in this engagement through the concept of "condition help" or "family-activated rapid response." This involves educating patients and designated family members at admission about the signs of potential deterioration—framed in simple, non-alarming language—and providing a clear, direct mechanism to summon help if they are concerned, even if the nurse is not immediately worried [28]. For example, a nurse might say, "If you notice your mother becoming more confused, much more short of breath, or if she just looks much worse to you in a way that worries you, please call the number on this card immediately. You know her best, and your concerns are important to us."

This intervention serves multiple purposes. It provides an additional safety layer, capitalizing on continuous family presence. It also fosters a therapeutic partnership and improves patient satisfaction. Studies on family-activated escalation systems show they are used appropriately, often for concerns different from but complementary to clinical observations, and can lead to earlier intervention [29]. For this to work, nurses must cultivate a culture of openness and respect, genuinely welcoming input from families and assuring them that their activation will be treated seriously and without reprimand. Overcoming the historical hierarchical barrier where families may feel hesitant to "bother" the staff is a key nursing responsibility. When successfully implemented, patient and family engagement transforms them from passive care recipients into active partners in the safety net.

Organizational Culture and Nursing Leadership

The success of all the aforementioned interventions is profoundly influenced by the overarching organizational culture and the strength of nursing leadership. A culture that prioritizes safety, values nursing judgment, and embraces transparency in discussing near-misses and adverse events is the fertile ground in which specific interventions thrive. Conversely, in a punitive, hierarchical culture where nurses fear reprisal for calling a rapid response or challenging a physician, even the best EWS system will fail [30].

Nursing leaders—from charge nurses to chief nursing officers—are the architects and sustainers of this culture. Their roles are multifaceted. First, they must advocate for adequate nurse staffing and skill mix on general wards. Overwhelming evidence links higher nurse-patient ratios and a greater proportion of registered nurses to lower rates of patient mortality, cardiac arrest, and unplanned ICU admissions [31]. Adequate staffing is not an intervention in itself but the fundamental prerequisite that allows nurses the time to perform thorough assessments, monitor trends, and engage in critical thinking. Second, leaders must champion psychological safety, making it clear that calling for help early is a sign of excellent nursing, not incompetence. They can model this behavior and celebrate cases where early recognition and escalation prevented a worse outcome [32].

Furthermore, nursing leadership is essential for driving quality improvement projects focused on reducing failure to rescue. This involves collecting and analyzing data on unplanned ICU transfers, conducting structured root cause analyses to identify systemic flaws, and leading multidisciplinary teams to design and test new processes [33]. They also ensure that policies and protocols (e.g., EWS, RRT activation) are living documents, regularly reviewed and updated based on audit data and frontline feedback. By fostering a just culture, providing necessary resources, and actively engaging in system redesign, nursing leadership creates the environment where frontline nurses can effectively execute the clinical interventions that keep patients safe on the wards.

8. Conclusion

Unplanned transfers from general wards to the ICU are complex, high-stakes events that signal failures in the continuum of ward-based care. However, they are not inevitable. A robust and multi-pronged approach centered on empowered, knowledgeable, and well-supported nursing staff offers a powerful strategy for mitigation. This paper has delineated the critical interventions that form this strategy: the systematic application of early warning scores, the judicious use of continuous monitoring technology, and the non-negotiable need for ongoing education in acute care recognition and response. These clinical tools must be underpinned by strong structural supports like rapid response teams and bolstered by flawless communication practices and the strategic engagement of patients and families.

Ultimately, reducing unplanned ICU transfers is less about any single tool and more about building a resilient, proactive care system on general wards. Nurses are the indispensable agents of this system. Their continuous presence, skilled assessment, and clinical judgment form the first and most critical line of defense. When healthcare organizations invest in nursing through adequate staffing, targeted education, supportive technology, and a culture that values their voice, they invest in patient safety. The evidence is clear: where nurses are empowered to observe, interpret, communicate, and act, patients are less likely to deteriorate unnoticed and more likely to recover safely on the general ward, reserving precious ICU resources for those with unavoidable critical illness. The path forward requires sustained commitment to implementing and refining these nurse-driven interventions, ensuring that every patient on every ward benefits from vigilant, proactive, and expert nursing care.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Smith GB, Prytherch DR, Meredith P, Schmidt PE, Featherstone PI. The ability of the National early warning score (NEWS) to discriminate patients at risk of early cardiac arrest, unanticipated intensive care unit admission, and death. Resuscitation. 2013;84(4):465–70.
2. Bailey TC, Chen Y, Mao Y, Lu C, Hackmann G, Micek ST, et al. A trial of a real-time alert for clinical deterioration in patients hospitalized on general medical wards. J Hosp Med. 2013;8(5):236–42.
3. Flaatten H, Brattebo G, Alme B, Berge K, Rosland JH, Viste A, et al. Adverse events and in-hospital mortality: an analysis of all deaths in a Norwegian health trust during 2011. BMC Health Serv Res. 2017;17(1):465.
4. Alam N, Hobbelink EL, van Tienhoven AJ, van de Ven PM, Jansma EP, Nanayakkara PW. The impact of the use of the early warning score (EWS) on patient outcomes: a systematic review. Resuscitation. 2014;85(5):587–94.
5. Goulden R, Hoyle MC, Monis J, Railton D, Riley V, Martin P, et al. qSOFA, SIRS and NEWS for predicting inhospital mortality and ICU admission in emergency admissions treated as sepsis. Emerg Med J. 2018;35(6):345–9.
6. Rogne T, Nordseth T, Marhaug G, Berg EM, Tromsdal A, Saether O, et al. Rate of avoidable deaths in a Norwegian hospital trust as judged by retrospective chart review. BMJ Qual Saf. 2019;28(1):49–55.
7. Hasselbalch RB, Pries-Heje M, Schultz M, Plesner LL, Ravn L, Lind M, et al. The Copenhagen triage algorithm is non-inferior to a traditional triage algorithm: A cluster-randomized study. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(2):e0211769.
8. Brabrand M, Hallas J, Knudsen T. Nurses and physicians in a medical admission unit can accurately predict mortality of acutely admitted patients: a prospective cohort study. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(7):e101739.
9. Kivipuro M, Tirkkonen J, Kontula T, Solin J, Kalliomaki J, Pauniaho SL, et al. National early warning score (NEWS) in a Finnish multidisciplinary emergency department and direct vs. late admission to intensive care. Resuscitation. 2018;128:164–9.
10. Iversen AKS, Kristensen M, Ostervig RM, Kober L, Soletormos G, Lundager Forberg J, et al. A simple clinical assessment is superior to systematic triage in prediction of mortality in the emergency department. Emerg Med J. 2019;36(2):66–71.
11. Langkjaer CS, Bove DG, Nielsen PB, Iversen KK, Bestle MH, Bunkenborg G. Nurses' experiences

and perceptions of two early warning score systems to identify patient Deterioration-A focus group study. Nurs Open. 2021;8(4):1788–96.
12. Alam N, Vegting IL, Houben E, van Berkel B, Vaughan L, Kramer MH, et al. Exploring the performance of the National early warning score (NEWS) in a European emergency department. Resuscitation. 2015;90:111–5.
13. Williams B. The National early warning score: from concept to NHS implementation. Clin Med (Lond). 2022;22(6):499–505.
14. Physicians RCo. National Early Warning Score (NEWS) 2.
15. Spangfors M, Molt M, Samuelson K. In-hospital cardiac arrest and preceding National early warning score (NEWS): A retrospective case-control study. Clin Med (Lond). 2020;20(1):55–60.
16. Spangfors M, Bunkenborg G, Molt M, Samuelson K. The National early warning score predicts mortality in hospital ward patients with deviating vital signs: A retrospective medical record review study. J Clin Nurs. 2019;28(7–8):1216–22.
17. Bedoya AD, Clement ME, Phelan M, Steorts RC, O'Brien C, Goldstein BA. Minimal impact of implemented early warning score and best practice alert for patient deterioration. Crit Care Med. 2019;47(1):49–55.
18. Covino M, Sandroni C, Della Polla D, De Matteis G, Piccioni A, De Vita A, et al. Predicting ICU admission and death in the emergency department: A comparison of six early warning scores. Resuscitation. 2023;190:109876.
19. Haegdorens F, Van Bogaert P, Roelant E, De Meester K, Misselyn M, Wouters K, et al. The introduction of a rapid response system in acute hospitals: A pragmatic stepped wedge cluster randomised controlled trial. Resuscitation. 2018;129:127–34.
20. McGaughey J, Fergusson DA, Van Bogaert P, Rose L. Early warning systems and rapid response systems for the prevention of patient deterioration on acute adult hospital wards. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2021;11(11):CD005529.
21. Linnen DT, Escobar GJ, Hu X, Scruth E, Liu V, Stephens C. Statistical modeling and Aggregate-Weighted scoring systems in prediction of mortality and ICU transfer: A systematic review. J Hosp Med. 2019;14(3):161–9.
22. Rhee C, Jones TM, Hamad Y, Pande A, Varon J, O'Brien C, et al. Prevalence, underlying causes, and preventability of Sepsis-Associated mortality in US acute care hospitals. JAMA Netw Open. 2019;2(2):e187571.
23. Green M, Lander H, Snyder A, Hudson P, Churpek M, Edelson D. Comparison of the between the flags calling criteria to the MEWS, NEWS and the electronic cardiac arrest risk triage (eCART) score for the identification of deteriorating ward patients. Resuscitation. 2018;123:86–91.
24. Sabir L, Ramlakhan S, Goodacre S. Comparison of qSOFA and hospital early warning scores for prognosis in suspected sepsis in emergency department patients: a systematic review. Emerg Med J. 2022;39(4):284–94.
25. Flaatten H, De Lange DW, Morandi A, Andersen FH, Artigas A, Bertolini G, et al. The impact of frailty on ICU and 30-day mortality and the level of care in very elderly patients (>/= 80 years). Intensive Care Med. 2017;43(12):1820–8.
26. Torvik MA, Nymo SH, Nymo SH, Bjornsen LP, Kvarenes HW, Ofstad EH. Patient characteristics in sepsis-related deaths: prevalence of advanced frailty, comorbidity, and age in a Norwegian hospital trust. Infection. 2023;51(4):1103–15.
27. Intensive Care S. Levels of Adult Critical Care Second Edition Consensus Statement. 2021.
28. Braun EJ, Singh S, Penlesky AC, Strong EA, Holt JM, Fletcher KE, et al. Nursing implications of an early warning system implemented to reduce adverse events: a qualitative study. BMJ Qual Saf. 2022;31(10):716–24.
29. Chen J. In search of the 'best' rapid response early warning system - The journey has just begun. Resuscitation. 2018;123:A1–2.
30. Gerry S, Bonnici T, Birks J, Kirtley S, Virdee PS, Watkinson PJ et al. Early warning scores for detecting deterioration in adult hospital patients: systematic review and critical appraisal of methodology. BMJ. 2020:m1501.
31. McGaughey J, O'Halloran P, Porter S, Blackwood B. Early warning systems and rapid response to the deteriorating patient in hospital: A systematic realist review. J Adv Nurs. 2017;73(12):2877–91.
32. Marshall JC, Bosco L, Adhikari NK, Connolly B, Diaz JV, Dorman T, et al. What is an intensive care unit? A report of the task force of the world federation of societies of intensive and critical care medicine. J Crit Care. 2017;37:270–6.
33. Haegdorens F, Lefebvre J, Wils C, Franck E, Van Bogaert P. Combining the nurse intuition patient deterioration scale with the National early warning score provides more net benefit in predicting serious adverse events: A prospective cohort study in medical, surgical, and geriatric wards. Intensive Crit Care Nurs. 2024;83:103628.