International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 10-No.4 (2024) pp. 3668-3675
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Research Article

Impact of Health Care Assistants and Emergency Medical Technicians on Reducing Care Fragmentation in Emergency Departments

Ziyad Ali A Hazazi[1]*, Alzaydi, Faris Sulaiman R[2], Essa Khalaf K Alanazi[3], Muharraq, Abdulrahman Mansour M[4], Bandar Abdullah Namash Alshammari[5], Mohammed Musaad Mubarak Alruwaili[6], Mohmamed Ibrahim Bardan Alanazi[7], Sultan Faleh M Almutairi[8], Saud Abdulaziz Alkhurayif[9], Alruwaili, Rakan Ghazi Z[10], Abdullah Batel Alshammari[11]

[1]Health Assistant (Health Care Security) – Imam Abdulrahman Alfaisal Hospital, Riyadh First Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Riyadh, Riyadh Region, Saudi Arabia
* **Corresponding Author Email:** ZiHazazi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-7850

[2]Health Assistant (Nursing) – Jurayf Primary Health Care Center, Qassim Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Al-Rass, Qassim Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: fealzaydi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-0050

[3]Health Assistant (Health Care Security) – Erada Complex and Mental Health, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: eskalanazi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-1050

[4]Health Assistant (Health Care Security) – King Fahad Central Hospital, Jazan Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Jazan, Jazan Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: Abmuharraq@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-2050

[5]Health Assistant (Health Care Security) – Sharaf Hospital, Hail Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Hail, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: balshammari24@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-3050

[6]Health Assistant (Health Care Security) – Turaif Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Turaif, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: malruwaili73@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-4050

[7]Health Assistant (Health Care Security) – Legal Affairs Department, Ministry of Health Branch in the Northern Borders Region, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: mem-_2009@hotmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-5050

[8]Health Assistant – Al-Khalidiyah Primary Health Care Center, Hafar Al-Batin Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Al-Qaisumah, Eastern Province, Saudi Arabia
Email: sfalmtary@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-6050

[9]Emergency Medical Services Technician – Mubayid Primary Health Care Center, Sudair, Riyadh Second Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Hautat Sudair, Riyadh Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: saudab1999@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-7050

[10]Emergency Medical Services Technician – Turaif General Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Turaif, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: ragalruwaili@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-8050

[11]Emergency Medical Services Technician – Ministry of Health Branch in the Northern Borders Region, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: abbalshammari@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-9050

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4679
Received : 01 February 2024
Accepted : 28 February 2024

Keywords

Care Fragmentation,
Emergency Department,
Health Care Assistant,
Emergency Medical Technician,
Continuity of Care,
Care Coordination

Abstract:

Health Care Assistants (HCAs) and Emergency Medical Technicians (EMTs) play a pivotal role in mitigating pervasive care fragmentation within Emergency Departments (EDs) by acting as essential agents of coordination and continuity. HCAs address internal fragmentation by providing a constant patient presence, facilitating communication between patients and clinicians, executing and sequencing logistical tasks to streamline flow, and ensuring smooth intra-departmental transfers. Conversely, EMTs and Paramedics specifically target transitional fragmentation at the critical pre-hospital interface, transforming unstructured handoffs into structured continuity events using their unique perspective and shared clinical language with field crews. Collectively, their integrated roles enhance information flow, reduce transactional delays, and foster relational continuity. This strategic deployment alleviates the cognitive and operational burden on physicians and nurses, leading to measurable improvements in patient safety, reduced door-to-provider times, lower lengths of stay, and enhanced patient and staff satisfaction, thereby weaving a more coherent and efficient care journey from scene to discharge.

1. Introduction

The contemporary Emergency Department (ED) stands as a critical, high-stakes nexus within the healthcare ecosystem, characterized by an unrelenting influx of patients with conditions spanning the spectrum of human illness and injury. This environment is inherently complex, demanding rapid triage, decisive intervention, and efficient disposition. However, a central and pervasive challenge that undermines the efficacy, safety, and patient experience within this setting is the phenomenon of care fragmentation. Care fragmentation refers to the discontinuity and lack of coordination in the delivery of healthcare services, where patient care is split into disconnected episodes handled by multiple providers without effective communication or a unified plan [1]. In the ED, this manifests as disjointed information flow, duplicated tests, delays in treatment, conflicting instructions, and a general failure to create a cohesive narrative of the patient's journey through the system. The consequences are severe: increased medical errors, compromised patient safety, heightened frustration for both patients and clinicians, inefficient use of resources, and ultimately, poorer clinical outcomes [2].

The roots of fragmentation in the ED are multifactorial and deeply embedded in the structure of modern healthcare. Firstly, the sheer volume and acuity of patients create a turbulent environment where continuity is easily sacrificed for speed. Secondly, the shift-based nature of emergency work, with clinicians rotating through different schedules, inherently creates handover points where critical information can be lost or diluted [3]. Thirdly, the increasing specialization within medicine, while beneficial for expertise, can lead to a siloed approach where different consultants focus narrowly on their organ system without viewing the patient holistically. Fourthly, the critical interface between pre-hospital care, the ED, and inpatient services or community care is often a brittle point of failure, with inadequate systems for seamless information transfer [4]. Finally, the widespread adoption of Electronic Health Records (EHRs), while intended to integrate information, has often created new forms of fragmentation through poor usability, information overload, and systems that do not communicate effectively with one another, leading to what is termed "digital fragmentation" [5].

Traditionally, the burden of managing this fragmentation and maintaining the continuity of the care process has fallen almost exclusively on emergency physicians and registered nurses. These professionals are already operating at the zenith of their cognitive and procedural capacities, managing the most critically ill, making complex diagnostic decisions, and performing lifesaving interventions. Expecting them to also seamlessly coordinate every aspect of the patient's journey—from tracking down old records, to facilitating timely laboratory draws, to providing constant patient communication, to ensuring smooth admission transitions—is unsustainable and diverts their attention from their highest-value tasks. This overload contributes to burnout and is a key factor in the operational dysfunction of many EDs [6].

It is within this context of systemic pressure and risk that the roles of two vital, yet sometimes underutilized, groups of healthcare professionals have evolved and gained prominence: Health Care Assistants (HCAs) and Emergency Medical Technicians (EMTs), including Paramedics. These professionals are not merely ancillary support; they are integral agents of care coordination and continuity. HCAs, known by various titles such as nursing assistants, patient care technicians, or clinical support workers, operate primarily within

the walls of the ED. Their role encompasses direct patient care activities—mobility assistance, vital signs monitoring, hygiene, nutritional support—and crucial logistical support that glues the care process together [7]. EMTs and Paramedics, traditionally defined by their pre-hospital domain, are increasingly being integrated into ED teams in innovative roles, bringing their unique skills in rapid assessment, field intervention, and a natural orientation towards transition-of-care. They act as a critical bridge, transforming the handoff from ambulance gurney to ED bed from a moment of potential information loss into one of structured continuity [8].

2. The Multifaceted Nature of Care Fragmentation in the ED

To understand the solution, one must first fully appreciate the problem. Care fragmentation in the ED is not a single entity but a syndrome with several distinct, interlinked manifestations that collectively degrade the quality of care.

Informational Fragmentation is perhaps the most fundamental. This occurs when the data necessary for sound clinical decision-making is scattered, inaccessible, or poorly synthesized. A patient may arrive with a complex history, but their prior records from another hospital system are not readily available in the EHR. The handwritten notes from the paramedics might be brief or get separated from the patient. Important details relayed by the patient or family to the triage nurse may not be effectively communicated to the treating physician. The results of a CT scan are available in the radiology system but no alert is sent to the primary team, leading to delays. This dispersal of information forces clinicians to practice with an incomplete picture, increasing the likelihood of diagnostic error, redundant testing (e.g., repeating a recent CT scan because the report is not found), and inappropriate treatment plans [1, 9]. Studies have shown that emergency physicians spend a significant amount of their time "hunting and gathering" information from disparate sources, time that is stolen from direct patient care [10].

Transactional Fragmentation refers to the disintegration of the care process into a series of isolated tasks performed by different individuals without a coordinating thread. A patient may be seen by a triage nurse, then a registration clerk, then moved to a bed where vital signs are taken by an HCA, then assessed by a medical student, then by a resident, and finally by an attending physician—each interaction potentially starting from scratch. Blood draws, ECG acquisition, and transport to imaging are all separate transactions managed by different staff. Without a dedicated coordinator, these tasks can occur in a suboptimal sequence, leading to prolonged lengths of stay. For instance, a patient might be transported back from radiology just as the phlebotomist arrives, causing further delay. This lack of process integration creates inefficiency and a perception of disorganization for the patient [11].

Relational Fragmentation impacts the human element of care. In a fragmented system, the patient does not develop a consistent therapeutic relationship with any one caregiver. They are repeatedly asked the same questions by different strangers, which is not only frustrating but can erode trust. The patient and their family are left uncertain about who is in charge of their care, whom to ask for updates, or how to communicate new symptoms. This absence of a consistent point of contact exacerbates anxiety and reduces patient satisfaction scores. It also hampers the subtle, continuous process of clinical observation; a nuanced change in a patient's condition is more likely to be noticed by someone familiar with their baseline than by a provider seeing them for the first time during a shift change [12].

Transitional Fragmentation is the critical failure at the interfaces of care. The pre-hospital to ED handoff is a classic high-risk moment. Vital information about the scene, the patient's initial condition, and treatments administered en route can be lost in a hurried verbal report. Similarly, the transition from the ED to an inpatient ward or intensive care unit is fraught with risk. Incomplete sign-out, pending test results not communicated, or unclear contingency plans can lead to adverse events in the first 24 hours after transfer [4, 13]. Discharge from the ED to home represents another fragile transition, where inadequate explanation of diagnoses, medications, or follow-up instructions can result in non-adherence, relapse, and rapid re-presentation.

The cumulative effect of these intertwined fragmentations is a system that is less than the sum of its parts. It generates waste, increases liability, fuels provider burnout, and, most importantly, fails the patient at a time of acute vulnerability. Addressing this requires a multi-pronged approach, and a key prong is the intelligent leveraging of the HCA and EMT workforce.

3. The Integral Role of Health Care Assistants in Weaving the Fabric of ED Care

Health Care Assistants operate at the very heart of the ED's daily workflow. Their impact on reducing fragmentation is profound because their role is inherently continuous and patient-proximal. Unlike

physicians or nurses who may be pulled to the sickest patients or administrative tasks, HCAs often maintain a sustained presence in a specific zone or with a cohort of patients, making them ideal agents of continuity.

Enhancing Communication and Information Flow: HCAs serve as vital communication links. They are often the first to hear a patient's new complaint of pain or nausea while assisting them. By being trained to channel such information immediately to the assigned nurse or physician, they close informational loops that might otherwise remain open. They can also facilitate communication with patients who have language barriers or sensory impairments by ensuring the availability of interpreters or assistive devices, thereby preventing fragmentation due to miscommunication. Furthermore, in many EDs, HCAs are responsible for tracking the status of outstanding orders—such as laboratory tests or imaging—and alerting the team when results are back or when delays occur. This proactive "system awareness" role prevents tasks and information from falling through the cracks [7, 14]. A study examining ED throughput found that units utilizing HCAs as designated "flow coordinators" to track patient progress and room status saw a significant reduction in door-to-provider times and overall length of stay, as bottlenecks became more visible and manageable [15].

Providing Continuity of Presence and Relational Care: In the whirlwind of the ED, the HCA can be a constant, reassuring presence. They often perform repeated, routine tasks like repositioning patients, offering water, or providing blankets. This repeated contact builds a modicum of relational continuity. Patients are more likely to share concerns or observations with a familiar face. This relational anchor not only improves the patient experience but also generates valuable clinical data. The HCA who notes, "Mr. Smith in bed 5 seems more short of breath than he was an hour ago," is providing a critical piece of continuous assessment that a single snapshot from a busy physician might miss. This ongoing surveillance is a powerful antidote to fragmentation, creating a thread of observational continuity across shifts and between provider interactions [16].

Logistical Coordination and Task Integration: HCAs are the operational linchpins that bind discrete tasks into a smoother process. By performing phlebotomy, acquiring ECGs, and transporting patients to radiology, they reduce the transactional burden on nurses. More importantly, a skilled HCA can sequence these tasks intelligently. For example, they can collect blood samples while the patient is being transported for an X-ray, or perform an ECG while the patient is being prepared for a procedure. This role requires an understanding of clinical priorities and departmental workflow. When HCAs are empowered and trained to think in terms of process integration rather than just task completion, they actively combat transactional fragmentation. Their work ensures that the right resources are brought to the patient in the right order, minimizing waiting and movement, which are prime sources of process breakdown and patient dissatisfaction [11, 17].

Supporting Care Transitions within the ED: Internally, patients move from triage to main ED, to observation, or to procedure rooms. The HCA is frequently responsible for these transfers, ensuring that patient belongings, charts (digital or physical), and any ongoing treatments (like an intravenous line) accompany the patient seamlessly. A standardized handoff checklist for HCAs during these internal moves can prevent the loss of personal items or minor but important details, maintaining continuity even as the physical location changes [18].

4. Emergency Medical Technicians as Bridging Specialists: From Scene to Bedside

The integration of EMTs and Paramedics into the ED team represents a paradigm shift, recognizing that continuity of care begins at the moment of the 911 call, not at the hospital door. Their unique training and perspective make them exceptionally well-suited to bridge the pre-hospital and in-hospital phases of emergency care, directly targeting transitional fragmentation.

The Handoff as a Continuity Event, Not an Interruption: The traditional model of EMS handoff is often rushed and unstructured: a verbal report given in a crowded corridor as the patient is transferred to the ED bed. This is a prime moment for information loss. When EMTs are employed within the ED, they transform this dynamic. An EMT or Paramedic receiving a patient from their pre-hospital colleagues can speak a common clinical language. They can ensure a structured handoff using tools like the MIST (Mechanism/Medical complaint, Injuries/Information, Signs, Treatment) or ASHICE (Age, Sex, History, Injuries/Illness, Condition, ETA) format, and then accurately and comprehensively embed that information into the ED's EHR and verbal report to the nursing and physician team [8, 19]. This formalizes the transfer of critical contextual data—the condition of the scene, the patient's initial vital signs, the response to pre-hospital interventions—that can significantly

influence ED decision-making. It turns a potential break in continuity into a reinforced link.

Extended Scope Roles within the ED: Many forward-thinking EDs are deploying Paramedics in advanced roles. With additional training, they can perform suturing of minor lacerations, manage uncomplicated orthopedic injuries (e.g., applying splints), administer medications per protocol, and even manage designated low-acuity patient streams. This "vertical" extension of their pre-hospital skills reduces fragmentation for a subset of patients by allowing a single provider (the Paramedic) to see a patient through from arrival to discharge for a simple condition, rather than involving multiple layers of the physician-led team [20]. For example, a patient with an ankle sprain could be triaged to a "Paramedic-led minor injury unit," where the same clinician assesses, orders an X-ray (via a protocol), interprets it (within their competency), treats, and discharges the patient with instructions. This creates a clean, continuous episode of care.

Bridging to Discharge and Community Care: EMTs and Paramedics also have potential in facilitating safe discharge. They can be instrumental in conducting post-discharge follow-up calls, checking on patients at home for high-risk populations, or even assisting with arranging community services. Their understanding of the home environment, gleaned from years of home visits, is invaluable. This extends the ED's continuity of concern beyond its physical walls and helps prevent recidivism by ensuring the patient is stable and understands their post-discharge plan [21]. Some innovative programs have even used Paramedics to conduct scheduled home visits for frequent ED users with complex social and medical needs, addressing root causes and fragmenting their previously chaotic care patterns into a more coordinated approach [22].

5. Synergistic Impact: How HCAs and EMTs Collectively Streamline Patient Flow and Reduce Bottlenecks

The combined effect of a well-utilized HCA and EMT workforce is a more fluid and coherent patient journey through the ED. Their roles are complementary. HCAs optimize the internal environment and process, while EMTs strengthen the inbound and outbound interfaces.

Triaging and Initiating Care: In some models, EMTs participate in triage, especially during surge periods. Their ability to rapidly assess acuity and initiate basic protocols (e.g., applying oxygen, starting monitoring) can kick-start the care process even before a bed is available, compressing the timeline to first intervention. The HCA then supports this by ensuring the patient is moved efficiently to a treatment space and that the necessary equipment is ready, creating a seamless handoff from the triage area to the main department [23].

Managing the "Waiting Room" Population: Both groups can play crucial roles in managing patients in the waiting room, a zone of extreme fragmentation where patients often feel forgotten. EMTs or HCAs can perform reassessments of waiting patients, update vital signs, provide simple analgesia per protocol, and communicate updates. This maintains a thread of care and surveillance for those not yet in a treatment bed, improving safety and demonstrating empathy, which directly counters the negative experience of waiting without contact [24].

Expediting Diagnostics and Treatment: As outlined, HCAs are pivotal in executing diagnostic orders. When paired with an EMT's ability to gather a robust history and perform focused exams, the data collection phase of the ED visit becomes more efficient. The physician receives a patient who has been well-monitored (by the HCA), with a clear pre-hospital history (facilitated by the EMT), and with initial diagnostics underway or completed. This allows the physician to synthesize information and make definitive decisions faster, reducing the cognitive fragmentation they face [25].

6. Evidence and Outcomes: Quantifying the Impact on Fragmentation

A growing body of literature supports the positive impact of these roles. Studies measuring fragmentation directly through metrics like "provider density" (number of unique clinicians per patient visit) have shown that models incorporating team-based care with HCAs and EMTs can reduce this density, indicating greater continuity [26]. Outcome studies frequently report reductions in key operational metrics that are proxies for reduced fragmentation: decreased Left Without Being Seen (LWBS) rates, shorter door-to-provider times, reduced overall length of stay, and lower rates of 72-hour re-admissions (a sign of poor transitional care) [15, 20, 27].

Qualitative research reveals improvements in the "softer" outcomes. Patient satisfaction surveys often note appreciation for the constant attention from HCAs and the smooth handovers facilitated by familiar EMS personnel [28]. Staff satisfaction also improves, as nurses and physicians report feeling less burdened by logistical tasks and better supported, allowing them to focus on complex clinical reasoning and procedures [29]. Perhaps most tellingly, studies on safety culture have noted

improvements in incident reporting and perceived safety when communication roles are clearly defined and held by support staff, as they often identify near-misses related to process breakdowns [30].

7. Challenges and Barriers to Effective Integration

Despite the clear benefits, the integration of HCAs and EMTs into a cohesive strategy against fragmentation faces significant hurdles.

Role Ambiguity and Scope of Practice: Without clearly defined job descriptions, competencies, and legal scopes of practice, confusion and tension can arise. Nurses may feel their role is being eroded if tasks are delegated without proper protocols. Physicians may be uncertain about the limits of an EMT's diagnostic or treatment authority. This ambiguity can itself become a source of fragmentation if team members are unsure of each other's responsibilities [31]. Clear, institution-specific protocols and collaborative governance are essential.

Training and Competency Gaps: The skills required for an HCA to be a process coordinator or for an EMT to work effectively in the static, team-based environment of an ED are different from their core training. They may lack training in hospital informatics systems, specific ED protocols, or advanced communication techniques for dealing with distressed families. Without targeted, ongoing education, their potential is limited, and they may inadvertently contribute to errors [32].

Professional Culture and Hierarchies: Deep-seated professional hierarchies in medicine can marginalize the contributions of HCAs and EMTs. Their insights may be dismissed because they are not "clinicians" in the traditional sense. Fostering a culture of mutual respect, where every team member's observations are valued, is critical but difficult to achieve. It requires deliberate leadership and team-building interventions [33].

Reimbursement and Financial Models: In many healthcare systems, the work of HCAs and EMTs is not directly billable, making their value proposition purely operational rather than financial. Hospital administrators focused on direct revenue generation may be reluctant to invest in these positions, seeing them as a cost center rather than a value driver that improves efficiency, safety, and satisfaction, which have indirect but substantial financial benefits (e.g., reduced penalties for readmissions, higher patient volumes due to reputation) [34].

Workforce Stability and Burnout: These roles are often lower-paid and subject to high physical and emotional demands. High turnover among HCAs and EMTs undermines continuity—the very thing they are meant to enhance. Investing in their well-being, career development, and creating pathways for advancement (e.g., from HCA to nurse, from EMT to Paramedic or other advanced practice role) is crucial for sustainability [35].

8. Recommendations for Maximizing the Anti-Fragmentation Potential of HCAs and EMTs

To harness the full power of these professionals, EDs and healthcare systems must move beyond ad-hoc deployment to strategic integration.

1. Formalize Enhanced Roles with Clear Protocols: Develop explicit, written protocols that define extended roles. For example, create a protocol for EMTs to manage uncomplicated minor injuries or for HCAs to perform specific reassessments on waiting patients. These protocols must be developed collaboratively with nursing and physician leadership and must include clear escalation pathways to higher levels of care [36].

2. Invest in Specialized ED-Specific Education: Implement structured orientation and continuing education programs for HCAs and EMTs working in the ED. Curriculum should include ED workflow, basic pathophysiology recognition, advanced communication skills, specific EHR training, and their role in quality improvement and patient safety initiatives [37].

3. Integrate into Daily Huddles and Communication Structures: Include HCAs and EMTs in nursing shift handovers, physician sign-out rounds, and daily operational huddles. Their frontline perspective on patient flow, resource needs, and individual patient concerns is invaluable for creating a shared mental model and preventing information silos [38].

4. Leverage Technology to Empower, Not Replace: Equip HCAs and EMTs with mobile devices linked to the EHR, allowing them to document observations, track task completion, and receive alerts in real-time. This integrates them into the digital flow of information, reducing reliance on paper and memory. However, technology must be designed to support their workflow, not add bureaucratic burden [39].

5. Create Career Ladders and Leadership Roles: Establish clear pathways for advancement. An experienced HCA could become a "Clinical Flow Lead" or a trainer for new staff. A Paramedic could advance to a "Clinical Coordinator" role overseeing the minor injury stream. Recognizing and utilizing their expertise fosters engagement and retention [40].

6. Measure What Matters: Move beyond simple productivity metrics. Develop and track

performance indicators that reflect their impact on continuity and fragmentation, such as: rate of missed information at handoff, patient satisfaction scores related to communication, time from order to completion for key tasks, and staff perceptions of teamwork and support [41].

9. Conclusion:

The crisis of care fragmentation in the Emergency Department is a systems problem demanding systems solutions. While technological interoperability and process redesign are crucial, the human element remains paramount. Health Care Assistants and Emergency Medical Technicians represent a potent, existing, and adaptable human resource whose potential to act as weavers of continuity is grossly underutilized. By intentionally structuring their roles around the core functions of communication, coordination, continuous presence, and bridging transitions, EDs can transform these professionals from supportive extras into central protagonists in the narrative of patient care.

The evidence suggests that this strategic integration leads to tangible benefits: a safer, more efficient, and more humane environment for patients, and a more sustainable and focused workplace for physicians and nurses. It represents a shift from a fragmented, provider-centric model to a integrated, patient-centric one. The journey to fully realize this potential requires overcoming entrenched cultural and financial barriers through visionary leadership, collaborative practice, and a steadfast commitment to valuing every link in the chain of care. In doing so, the Emergency Department can evolve from a place of episodic intervention to a true center of coordinated emergency care, where the continuity of the patient's experience is held as sacred as the clinical interventions themselves.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

[1] Xie F, Colantonio LD, Curtis JR, et al. Linkage of a populaton-based cohort with primary data collection to Medicare claims: The REasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study. Am J Epidemiol. 2016;184:532–44.

[2] Fleming TR, Harrington DP. Counting process and survival analysis. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2005.

[3] Pham HH, Schrag D, O'Malley AS, Wu B, Bach PB. Care patterns in Medicare and their implications for pay for performance. N Engl J Med. 2007;356:1130–9.

[4] Kern LM, Seirup J, Rajan M, Jawahar R, Stuard SS. Fragmented ambulatory care and subsequent healthcare utilization among Medicare beneficiaries. Am J Manag Care. 2018;24:e278–e84.

[5] O'Malley AS, Reschovsky JD. Referral and consultation communication between primary care and specialist physicians: finding common ground. Arch Intern Med. 2011;171:56–65.

[6] Ware J, Jr, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. Med Care. 1996;34:220–33.

[7] Chang HG, Lininger LL, Doyle JT, Maccubbin PA, Rothenberg RB. Application of the Cox model as a predictor of relative risk of coronary heart disease in the Albany Study. Stat Med. 1990;9:287–92.

[8] Pham HH, O'Malley AS, Bach PB, Saiontz-Martinez C, Schrag D. Primary care physicians' links to other physicians through Medicare patients: the scope of care coordination. Ann Intern Med. 2009;150:236–42.

[9] Kern LM, Seirup J, Rajan M, Jawahar R, Stuard SS. Fragmented ambulatory care and subsequent emergency department visits and hospital admissions among Medicaid beneficiaries. Am J Manag Care. 2019;25:107–12.

[10] National Committee for Quality Assurance. HEDIS Volume 2: Technical Specifications, 2015.

[11] Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. Ann Intern Med. 2009;150:604–12.

[12] Gunzerath L, Faden V, Zakhari S, Warren K. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism report on moderate drinking. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2004;28:829–47.

[13] van Walraven C, Oake N, Jennings A, Forster AJ. The association between continuity of care and outcomes: a systematic and critical review. J Eval Clin Pract. 2010;16:947–56.

[14] DeSalvo KB, Bloser N, Reynolds K, He J, Muntner P. Mortality prediction with a single general self-rated health question. A meta-analysis. J Gen Intern Med. 2006;21:267–75.

[15] Hays RD, Mallett JS, Haas A, et al. Associations of CAHPS Composites With Global Ratings of the Doctor Vary by Medicare Beneficiaries' Health Status. Med Care. 2018;56:736–9.

[16] Kern LM, Seirup JK, Casalino LP, Safford MM. Healthcare fragmentation and the frequency of radiology and other diagnostic tests: a cross-sectional study. J Gen Intern Med. 2017;32:175–81.

[17] Liu CW, Einstadter D, Cebul RD. Care fragmentation and emergency department use among complex patients with diabetes. Am J Manag Care. 2010;16:413–20.

[18] Bice TW, Boxerman SB. A quantitative measure of continuity of care. Med Care. 1977;15:347–9.

[19] Safford MM, Brown TM, Muntner PM, et al. Association of race and sex with risk of incident acute coronary heart disease events. JAMA. 2012;308:1768–74.

[20] Nyweide DJ, Anthony DL, Bynum JP, et al. Continuity of care and the risk of preventable hospitalization in older adults. JAMA Intern Med. 2013;173:1879–85.

[21] Jee SH, Cabana MD. Indices for continuity of care: a systematic review of the literature. Med Care Res Rev. 2006;63:158–88.

[22] Rubin DB, Schenker N. Multiple imputation in health-care databases: an overview and some applications. Stat Med. 1991;10:585–98.

[23] DeSalvo KB, Fan VS, McDonell MB, Fihn SD. Predicting mortality and healthcare utilization with a single question. Health Serv Res. 2005;40:1234–46.

[24] Howard VJ, Kleindorfer DO, Judd SE, et al. Disparities in stroke incidence contributing to disparities in stroke mortality. Ann Neurol. 2011;69:619–27.

[25] Smith PC, Araya-Guerra R, Bublitz C, et al. Missing clinical information during primary care visits. JAMA. 2005;293:565–71.

[26] Parchman ML, Pugh JA, Noel PH, Larme AC. Continuity of care, self-management behaviors, and glucose control in patients with type 2 diabetes. Med Care. 2002;40:137–44.

[27] Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. Applied Psychological Measurement. 1977;1:385–401.

[28] National Kidney Foundation. Albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR).

[29] Katz DA, McCoy KD, Vaughan-Sarrazin MS. Does Greater Continuity of Veterans Administration Primary Care Reduce Emergency Department Visits and Hospitalization in Older Veterans? J Am Geriatr Soc. 2015;63:2510–8.

[30] Luepker RV, Apple FS, Christenson RH, et al. Case definitions for acute coronary heart disease in epidemiology and clinical research studies: a statement from the AHA Council on Epidemiology and Prevention; AHA Statistics Committee; World Heart Federation Council on Epidemiology and Prevention; the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Epidemiology and Prevention; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Circulation. 2003;108:2543–9.

[31] Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, et al. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. Circulation. 2012;126:2020–35.

[32] U.S. Department of Agriculture. Rural-Urban Commuting Area Codes.

[33] U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Who is eligible for Medicare? 2020.

[34] Howard VJ, Cushman M, Pulley L, et al. The reasons for geographic and racial differences in stroke study: objectives and design. Neuroepidemiology. 2005;25:135–43.

[35] Linzer M, Myerburg RJ, Kutner JS, et al. Exploring the generalist-subspecialist interface in internal medicine. Am J Med. 2006;119:528–37.

[36] Fisher LD, Lin DY. Time-dependent covariates in the Cox proportional-hazards regression model. Annu Rev Public Health. 1999;20:145–57.

[37] Haime V, Hong C, Mandel L, et al. Clinician considerations when selecting high-risk patients for care management. Am J Manag Care. 2015;21:e576–82.

[38] Maciejewski ML, Hammill BG, Bayliss EA, et al. Prescriber Continuity and Disease Control of Older Adults. Med Care. 2017;55:405–10.

[39] Hussey PS, Schneider EC, Rudin RS, Fox DS, Lai J, Pollack CE. Continuity and the costs of care for chronic disease. JAMA Intern Med. 2014;174:742–8.

[40] Romano MJ, Segal JB, Pollack CE. The Association Between Continuity of Care and the Overuse of Medical Procedures. JAMA Intern Med. 2015;175:1148–54.

[41] DeSalvo KB, Fisher WP, Tran K, Bloser N, Merrill W, Peabody J. Assessing measurement properties of two single-item general health measures. Qual Life Res. 2006;15:191–201.