



## **Health Care Assistants' Role in Preventing Missed Basic Care and Enhancing Continuity of Care in Hospitals**

**Saeed Abdulkhaliq Alghamdi<sup>1\*</sup>, Talal Shanan Modhi Alenezi<sup>2</sup>, Nehal Shoai Basheer Alzaghafi<sup>3</sup>, Fawaz Jazi Humaidan Alshammari<sup>4</sup>, Hamoud Jazi Alshammari<sup>5</sup>, Thamer Saleem Alharbi<sup>6</sup>, Eid Abdullah Muhaysin Alrashdi<sup>7</sup>, Sultan Karim Eid Alrashidi<sup>8</sup>, Rayan Abdullah Ahmed Aljoudi<sup>9</sup>, Sulaiman Fayadh Sulaiman Alrashdi<sup>10</sup>, Yousef taresh Z Alanazi<sup>11</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Health Assistant – King Saud Medical City, Riyadh First Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Riyadh, Riyadh Region, Saudi Arabia

\* **Corresponding Author Email:** mamdouhkaa@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-007-78500

<sup>2</sup>Health Care Security Assistant – Prince Abdulaziz bin Musa'ed Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** Tashalenezi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0017-0050

<sup>3</sup>Health Assistant (Nursing) – Al-Thaghr Hospital, First Jeddah Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Jeddah, Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** nalzaghfi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0027-1050

<sup>4</sup>Health Security Technician – Ministry of Health Branch in Hail, Ministry of Health, Hail, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** falshammari114@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0037-2050

<sup>5</sup>Health Security Technician – Ministry of Health Branch in Hail, Ministry of Health, Hail, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** Hajaalshammari@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0057-3050

<sup>6</sup>Health Security Technician – Ministry of Health Branch in Hail, Ministry of Health, Hail, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** talharbi69@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0067-4050

<sup>7</sup>Health Security Technician – Faydat Ithqab Health Center, Al-Hait General Hospital, Hail Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Faydat Ithqab, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** eiabalarashdi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0077-5050

<sup>8</sup>Health Care Security Assistant – Al-Nimrah and Al-Masaar Health Center, Hail Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Hail, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** sukalrashdi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0087-6050

<sup>9</sup>Health Assistant / Health Security – Health Surveillance Center, Taif International Airport, Taif Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Taif, Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** rooney2200@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0097-7050

<sup>10</sup>Health Assistant (Health Security) – Al-Hulayfah Al-Sufla Primary Health Care Center, Hail Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Al-Hulayfah Al-Sufla, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** sliman23453@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0117-8050

<sup>11</sup>Health Assistant (Health Security) – Erada Complex and Mental Health, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** ytalanazi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0227-9050

## **Article Info:**

**DOI:** 10.22399/ijcesen.4677

**Received :** 01 February 2024

**Accepted :** 28 February 2024

## **Keywords**

Health Care Assistants,  
Missed Nursing Care,  
Continuity of Care,  
Patient Safety,  
Basic Nursing Care,  
Delegation

## **Abstract:**

Health Care Assistants (HCAs) serve as the indispensable frontline safeguard in hospitals, playing a pivotal role in both preventing omissions in fundamental care and ensuring seamless continuity of care. Their unique position, involving prolonged patient contact and responsibility for essential activities like hygiene, nutrition, and mobility, directly addresses the most vulnerable aspects of care delivery prone to being missed. By consistently completing these tasks, HCAs prevent adverse outcomes such as pressure ulcers and falls. Furthermore, they act as critical hubs for informational and relational continuity, providing valuable observational data to the team, communicating patient preferences, and fostering consistent therapeutic relationships. Their effectiveness is amplified through collaborative partnerships with Registered Nurses, clear role definition, and systemic supports like adequate staffing and consistent patient assignment. Ultimately, recognizing, empowering, and fully integrating HCAs into the healthcare team is not merely supportive but foundational to delivering safe, compassionate, and coherent patient-centered care.

## **1. Introduction**

The contemporary hospital environment is a complex, high-pressure ecosystem defined by advanced medical technology, specialized interventions, and an ever-increasing acuity of patient needs. Within this landscape, the fundamental, essential acts of nursing care—termed basic, fundamental, or essential nursing care—form the bedrock upon which patient safety, recovery, and overall healthcare quality are built. These activities encompass hygiene, nutrition, hydration, mobility, elimination, and the provision of comfort and communication [1]. Despite their undeniable importance, a significant and persistent challenge plagues healthcare delivery worldwide: the phenomenon of missed nursing care, also referred to as care left undone or implicit rationing of care. Missed care is defined as any aspect of required patient care that is omitted or significantly delayed [2]. It represents a critical failure in the delivery process, directly contravening the ethical and professional mandate to provide comprehensive care.

The repercussions of missed basic care are severe and multifaceted. For the patient, omissions in areas such as regular turning, assistance with feeding, or timely toileting can lead to a cascade of adverse outcomes. These include preventable complications like pressure ulcers, hospital-acquired infections, falls, malnutrition, and dehydration, which not only prolong suffering but also extend hospital length of stay and increase healthcare costs [3]. Furthermore, the failure to address basic needs profoundly impacts the patient's psychological and emotional well-being, eroding trust, diminishing dignity, and reducing overall satisfaction with the care experience. From an organizational and systemic perspective, missed care is a key indicator of poor care quality and is strongly correlated with negative nurse-sensitive outcomes, higher readmission rates,

and increased litigious risk [4]. It also exerts a corrosive effect on the nursing workforce, contributing to moral distress, burnout, and job dissatisfaction among Registered Nurses (RNs) who are ethically troubled by their inability to provide complete care due to systemic constraints [5].

Parallel to the challenge of missed care is the equally critical concept of continuity of care. In the context of hospitalization, continuity refers to the coherent, seamless, and connected delivery of patient care over time, across clinical disciplines, and through transitions between caregivers and settings [6]. Effective continuity ensures that information is transmitted accurately, care plans are consistently followed, and therapeutic relationships are maintained. Disruptions in continuity—fragmented communication, inconsistent approaches, or information gaps during handovers—are fertile ground for errors, duplication of efforts, and patient confusion, thereby compromising safety and efficacy [7]. The interplay between missed care and poor continuity is synergistic; environments where basic care is frequently missed are often characterized by fragmented communication and poor care coordination, creating a vicious cycle that degrades overall care quality.

It is within this challenging context that the role of the Health Care Assistant (HCA), also known as Nursing Assistants, Auxiliary Nurses, or Patient Care Technicians, emerges as pivotal. HCAs constitute a substantial and indispensable component of the direct care workforce in hospitals globally. Their role, traditionally seen as supportive to registered nursing staff, has evolved and expanded in response to increasing patient demands and workforce pressures. Positioned at the bedside for prolonged periods, HCAs are the frontline providers of the very basic care that is most susceptible to being missed. Their unique position,

intimate patient contact, and specific scope of duties place them at the heart of strategies aimed at both preventing omissions in fundamental care and strengthening the threads of continuity that bind a patient's healthcare journey together [8].

### **Theoretical and Conceptual Underpinnings of Missed Care and Continuity**

Understanding the HCA's role requires grounding in the theoretical frameworks that explain why care is missed and how continuity is maintained or broken. The most influential model for analyzing missed care is the Missed Nursing Care Model, developed by Kalisch and colleagues [9]. This model posits that missed care is not primarily a result of individual negligence but rather a consequence of systemic and situational factors. It identifies three antecedent categories: *Team Factors* (including communication, teamwork, and cohesion), *Process Factors* (such as delegation processes, role clarity, and care prioritization), and *Structural Factors* (encompassing staffing levels, skill mix, material resource availability, and physical work environment). The model suggests that these antecedents lead to *internal processes* within the nursing team, namely decisions to ration or omit care, which in turn result in the *outcome* of missed care episodes. HCAs are deeply embedded within these "Team" and "Process" factors, making their effective deployment and collaboration a critical variable in the model's equation [10]. Continuity of care is similarly conceptualized through multiple lenses. Haggerty et al. delineate three primary types: *Informational Continuity* (the use of information on past events and personal circumstances to make current care appropriate), *Management Continuity* (a consistent and coherent approach to the management of a health condition that is responsive to a patient's changing needs), and *Relational Continuity* (an ongoing therapeutic relationship between a patient and one or more providers) [6]. In a hospital setting, informational and management continuity are heavily dependent on interdisciplinary and intra-shift handovers, documentation accuracy, and care plan adherence. Relational continuity, while challenging in shift-based 24/7 care, can be fostered through consistent assignment and meaningful patient-provider interactions. HCAs contribute uniquely to all three types. They are often primary sources of observational information about a patient's daily condition (informational), key implementers of daily care plans (management), and, due to their frequent contact, frequently the most consistent human presence for a patient during a hospital stay (relational) [11]. The intersection of these concepts reveals a critical space where HCAs

operate. Systemic pressures, such as high patient-to-staff ratios, create conditions where rationing of care becomes a survival strategy for the nursing team. In such environments, basic care tasks are often perceived as less urgent than clinical or technical interventions, making them the first to be deferred or omitted [12]. This "hierarchization of tasks" is a cognitive coping mechanism that directly threatens continuity, as the neglected basics are precisely the routines that structure a patient's day and provide data on their functional status. The HCA, as the staff member most associated with these tasks, becomes the buffer against this rationing. Their presence, competence, and ability to complete the foundational elements of the care plan are what prevent the theoretical risk of missed care from becoming a daily reality and what maintains the consistent, predictable management essential for continuity [13].

Furthermore, the concept of "Fundamental Care" challenges the historical devaluation of basic care by re-framing it as a complex, integrated practice requiring sophisticated clinical reasoning and a strong nurse-patient relationship. This framework asserts that meeting fundamental needs is not separate from, but rather intertwined with, therapeutic and psychosocial care [14]. This elevates the work of HCAs, positioning them not as mere task-doers but as essential participants in holistic care delivery. Their observations during a bath regarding skin integrity, mood, or appetite are critical clinical data points that feed into informational continuity. Their respectful and compassionate delivery of intimate care is the foundation of relational continuity. Therefore, a theoretical understanding affirms that empowering and integrating HCAs is not an optional efficiency strategy but a core requirement for delivering care that is both complete and continuous [15].

### **2. HCAs as Primary Safeguards Against Omissions**

The most immediate and visible contribution of HCAs to preventing missed care lies in their execution of direct, hands-on care activities. In most hospital settings, a significant portion of basic care responsibilities is formally delegated to HCAs by RNs. This delegation is not a simple transfer of tasks but a dynamic process that, when executed effectively, forms a powerful frontline defense against care omissions.

The scope of HCA direct care typically encompasses activities essential for maintaining physiological stability and personal dignity. This includes assisting with or performing personal hygiene (bathing, oral care, grooming), supporting

nutrition and hydration (setting up meals, assisting with feeding, providing fresh water), aiding in elimination (offering bedpans, assisting to the toilet, managing incontinence), promoting mobility and comfort (repositioning, ambulation assistance, making beds), and ensuring a safe environment (fall risk interventions, keeping areas tidy) [16]. The consistent completion of these tasks is non-negotiable for positive patient outcomes. For instance, regular repositioning is the primary preventive measure for pressure ulcers; adequate assistance with feeding is crucial for preventing malnutrition in vulnerable patients; and prompt toileting assistance maintains skin integrity and personal dignity [17]. When HCAs are present, competent, and have the capacity to perform these duties thoroughly, the incidence of these quality-sensitive omissions drops precipitously.

Their role as a safeguard is particularly pronounced in monitoring and meeting needs that evolve throughout the day. An RN may administer medications and perform assessments at designated times, but the HCA is often the one who notices a patient picking at their food, developing redness on their sacrum, becoming increasingly confused, or expressing anxiety about an upcoming test. This "surveillance" function—a continuous, low-intensity monitoring—is a cornerstone of patient safety and is a powerful tool for early problem identification [18]. By being physically present and engaged in the patient's immediate environment, HCAs act as early warning systems, preventing minor issues from escalating into major complications. This proactive monitoring directly prevents missed care by ensuring that needs are identified and addressed as they arise, rather than being overlooked until the next formal assessment. Furthermore, the relational nature of this direct care provides a unique opportunity to deliver care that is both technically complete and contextually appropriate. A HCA who knows a patient's preferences—how they like their tea, which arm is easier for them to use for support, when they typically need to use the bathroom—can provide care that is more efficient, more effective, and more respectful. This personalized knowledge, accumulated over successive interactions, is a key component of *relational continuity*. It allows for care to be adapted to the individual, reducing patient resistance and anxiety, which in turn makes the completion of necessary tasks more likely [19]. Therefore, the HCA's direct care role is dual-faceted: it ensures the *quantity* of care (tasks are completed) and enhances the *quality* of care (tasks are completed in a person-centered, continuous manner). This combination is what makes them indispensable in breaking the cycle of task-oriented,

fragmented care that leads to omissions and dissatisfaction.

### 3. Communication and Information Flow: HCAs as Vital Hubs

Beyond task completion, HCAs serve as critical communication conduits within the healthcare team, a function essential for both preventing missed care and ensuring continuity. Their position at the bedside grants them access to a continuous stream of observational data about the patient's condition, behavior, and responses to care—information that may not be captured by vital signs monitors or episodic clinical assessments. The effective transmission of this information to RNs, therapists, and physicians is a linchpin for safe and coordinated care.

HCAs contribute to *informational continuity* by providing the "story behind the numbers." They can report that a patient ate only 25% of their breakfast, was tearful during their wash, had three loose stools, or seemed unusually quiet. These qualitative observations are crucial for a comprehensive understanding of the patient's status. For example, a stable blood pressure reading takes on different significance if the HCA reports the patient complained of new dizziness upon standing. Failure to communicate such observations represents a form of missed care—the omission of critical data—which can lead to clinical decisions based on an incomplete picture, thereby compromising patient safety [20]. Effective HCAs are trained to recognize and report significant changes using structured communication tools like ISBARR (Introduction, Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation, Read-back) or through organized bedside handover processes, ensuring their insights are formally integrated into the clinical record and care plan.

Their role is particularly vital during care transitions, such as shift handovers and interdisciplinary rounds. When HCAs participate in bedside handovers, they can provide real-time updates on care provided during the shift, pending tasks, patient preferences, and any subtle concerns. This prevents the loss of situational knowledge and ensures that the plan for basic care is seamlessly transferred, reducing the risk that tasks will be overlooked by the oncoming shift [21]. Similarly, their input during nursing or interdisciplinary rounds grounds discussions in the practical realities of the patient's day-to-day experience. A therapist planning a mobility goal benefits from the HCA's report on how much assistance the patient required to chair that morning. This closed-loop communication ensures that management plans are

realistic and consistently implemented, reinforcing *management continuity*.

Moreover, HCAs are often the primary communicators with patients and families, translating clinical information into everyday language and relaying patient questions and concerns back to the RN. This two-way communication is fundamental to patient-centered care and continuity. By ensuring the patient's voice is heard and their expressed needs are acted upon, HCAs prevent the omission of psychosocial and emotional care. They foster a sense of partnership and trust, which enhances the patient's engagement in their own care—a key factor in successful outcomes and smooth transitions [22]. Therefore, viewing HCAs merely as task-completers ignores their fundamental role as neural hubs in the unit's communication network, a role without which information silos form, plans become disjointed, and both care and continuity suffer.

#### **4. Collaboration, Teamwork, and the RN-HCA Relationship**

The effectiveness of HCAs in fulfilling their preventative and continuity-enhancing roles is profoundly influenced by the quality of their working relationship with Registered Nurses and the broader healthcare team. The RN-HCA dynamic is not a simple hierarchical delegation but should be a collaborative partnership characterized by mutual respect, clear communication, and shared goals. The nature of this relationship is a key "Team Factor" in the Missed Nursing Care Model and directly impacts care outcomes.

A foundational element is clear role delineation and understanding. Ambiguity in scope of practice, responsibilities, and accountability is a major contributor to missed care and poor continuity. When roles are blurred, tasks can fall between the cracks, with each party assuming the other has addressed a need. Conversely, overly rigid task division can hinder the flexible teamwork needed in dynamic clinical situations. Effective units establish and reinforce clear guidelines on delegation: the RN retains accountability for assessment, planning, and evaluation, while delegating appropriate tasks to the HCA with clear instructions, context, and expected outcomes [23]. The HCA must have the competency to accept the delegation and the confidence to communicate back when a task is beyond their scope or when the patient's condition changes. This clear, respectful delegation process ensures that all aspects of the care plan are assigned and owned, leaving no room for unintentional omission. True collaboration extends beyond delegation to include consultation and shared

clinical reasoning. When RNs value the HCA's observational knowledge and seek their input during care planning, it creates a culture of collective ownership. For instance, an RN planning a patient's daily schedule might ask the HCA, "Based on when Mr. Smith is most fatigued, when would be the best time to schedule his walk?" This inclusive approach not only yields a better plan but also empowers the HCA, reinforcing their role as a key contributor to *management continuity*. Studies have shown that in units with strong RN-HCA teamwork, characterized by trust and mutual support, reports of missed care are significantly lower [24]. The team develops a shared mental model of the patient's needs, allowing them to anticipate and cover for each other, ensuring consistent care delivery even during busy periods or unexpected events.

Barriers to effective collaboration often stem from systemic and cultural issues. Hierarchical structures that undervalue the HCA's contribution, lack of integrated education and training, and failure to include HCAs in communication loops (e.g., excluding them from handovers or team briefings) directly undermine their ability to perform their role [25]. Furthermore, high turnover and inconsistent assignment of HCAs to the same patients disrupt the development of both therapeutic relationships with patients and effective working relationships with RNs, damaging both relational and management continuity. Therefore, institutional commitment to fostering interdisciplinary respect, creating structured communication channels, and implementing practices like consistent patient assignment are not peripheral HR concerns but core operational strategies for preventing missed care and fortifying continuity of care.

#### **5. Documentation and Technological Integration**

In the digital age of healthcare, accurate and timely documentation is the backbone of informational continuity. The patient's electronic health record (EHR) serves as the single source of truth across shifts, disciplines, and settings. The extent and manner to which HCAs are integrated into documentation processes have a direct bearing on the completeness of the care record and the prevention of information gaps that lead to missed care.

Traditionally, HCA documentation has been limited or informal, often communicated verbally to the RN who then records it. This two-step process introduces risk: details can be lost, misinterpreted, or forgotten in a busy environment, leading to the omission of critical information from the legal record. Increasingly, healthcare systems are

recognizing the value of enabling HCAs to document directly in the EHR within their defined scope. This includes recording intake and output, vital signs, weights, completion of hygiene and mobility activities, and behavioral observations [26]. Direct documentation by the person who performed the task or made the observation improves accuracy, timeliness, and ownership. It creates a real-time, shared record that all team members can access, ensuring that the oncoming shift or a consulting therapist can see exactly what care was provided and how the patient responded. This transparency is a powerful tool for preventing missed care, as pending or incomplete tasks are more visible.

Technology can also actively support HCAs in their role. Mobile devices with task management applications can provide HCAs with personalized checklists for their assigned patients, prioritizing activities based on the care plan and patient acuity. Automated reminders for turning schedules or toileting assistance can serve as cognitive aids, reducing the chance of omission due to distraction or high workload [27]. Furthermore, bedside terminals or tablets can facilitate point-of-care documentation, minimizing time away from the patient and increasing the immediacy and relevance of the data entered. However, technological integration must be designed thoughtfully. Cumbersome documentation systems can ironically pull HCAs away from direct care, creating a new source of workload that paradoxically contributes to missed care. Systems must be intuitive, efficient, and aligned with workflow to truly enhance rather than hinder their primary function.

The role of HCAs in documenting also relates to the audit and quality improvement processes. Their data on care delivery—how often patients were turned, how much they ate, how frequently they were assisted to the bathroom—are vital metrics for assessing adherence to care standards and identifying systemic patterns of missed care [28]. When HCAs are part of the data-generation process, they become participants in quality monitoring, fostering a culture of accountability and continuous improvement. Therefore, empowering HCAs with appropriate documentation tools and responsibilities is not merely an administrative change; it is a strategic intervention that enhances informational continuity, provides data-driven insights into care delivery, and ultimately creates a more reliable system for ensuring all aspects of patient care are tracked and completed.

## 6. Education, Training, and Professional Development

The capacity of HCAs to prevent missed care and enhance continuity is fundamentally dependent on their knowledge, skills, and professional confidence. A robust, standardized, and ongoing education framework is therefore not an optional benefit but a critical infrastructure requirement. The scope of their responsibilities demands far more than simple on-the-job training; it requires a curriculum that covers technical competence, clinical reasoning, communication, ethics, and teamwork.

Initial training programs must comprehensively cover the core tasks of basic care, but must do so within a framework of understanding *why* these tasks matter. Training in pressure ulcer prevention, for example, should not just teach the technique of turning, but the pathophysiology of pressure injury, the risk factors, and the importance of consistent schedules. This deeper understanding transforms a rote task into a purposeful intervention, increasing the HCA's commitment to its completion [29]. Furthermore, training must include essential "soft skills": effective communication techniques for interacting with patients, families, and the team; principles of dementia care and de-escalation; and cultural competency. These skills are directly relevant to providing continuous, person-centered care and preventing the omission of psychosocial support.

A critical area for advanced training is clinical observation and judgment. HCAs need to be equipped not only to perform tasks but to recognize and respond to changes in patient condition. Training in identifying early signs of clinical deterioration (e.g., changes in respiration, mental status, or pain), skin breakdown, or nutritional issues empowers them to be proactive partners in safety. This aligns with the concept of "situated coaching," where they learn to see their routine care as an opportunity for assessment [30]. Such training enhances their value as the "eyes and ears" of the RN, directly contributing to early intervention and preventing adverse outcomes that stem from missed cues.

Ongoing professional development and career ladder opportunities are equally important for retention, motivation, and role efficacy. When HCAs have access to continuing education, certification programs (e.g., in phlebotomy, electrocardiography, or patient safety), and clear pathways for advancement, it validates their role as professionals [31]. This investment signals institutional respect, which translates into greater job satisfaction, lower turnover, and a more stable, experienced workforce. Stability is a key enabler of continuity; HCAs who remain on a unit build long-term relationships with nursing colleagues and

develop deep familiarity with unit protocols and patient populations, all of which streamline care delivery and reduce errors of omission. Therefore, a strategic commitment to the education and development of the HCA workforce is a direct investment in the reliability and completeness of bedside care.

## 7. Systemic and Organizational Enablers

Ultimately, the HCA's ability to excel in their role is either supported or constrained by the broader hospital system and organizational culture. Even the most skilled, motivated HCA will struggle to prevent missed care if systemic conditions are hostile to their success. Leadership must create an environment that explicitly recognizes, resources, and integrates HCAs as essential professionals.

A paramount enabler is safe staffing and appropriate skill mix. Chronic understaffing and excessive patient loads are the most frequently cited causes of missed care across all studies [32]. When HCAs are assigned to an unrealistic number of patients, they are forced into task-triaging, inevitably leaving some care undone. Furthermore, the ratio of HCAs to RNs must be carefully calibrated. An imbalance can lead to either under-utilization of HCA skills or inappropriate delegation of tasks beyond their competency. Evidence-based staffing models that account for patient acuity and include unlicensed assistive personnel as a core component are necessary to provide the time required for thorough, continuous care [33].

Consistent patient assignment is another powerful organizational strategy. Assigning the same HCA (and RN) to the same patient for consecutive shifts fosters the development of therapeutic relationships (*relational continuity*) and deep knowledge of the patient's specific needs and plan (*management continuity*) [34]. This consistency reduces time spent on orientation, improves the efficiency and quality of care, and increases both patient and staff satisfaction. It allows the HCA to notice subtle changes and build trust, making patients more likely to report concerns. From a teamwork perspective, consistent assignment also strengthens RN-HCA partnerships, as they learn to work together effectively.

Organizational culture must actively combat the historical invisibility and undervaluation of basic care work. This involves formal recognition of HCAs' contributions, inclusion in unit governance committees, and ensuring their voices are heard in quality improvement initiatives related to falls, pressure ulcers, or patient experience [35]. Leadership walkrounds that specifically engage

with HCAs, seeking their frontline perspective on barriers to care, send a powerful message of respect. Furthermore, creating clear, respectful lines of accountability and communication that flow both to and from HCAs integrates them fully into the clinical microsystem. When HCAs feel they are respected members of the team with a valued expertise, their engagement and commitment to preventing omissions and ensuring smooth care transitions are maximized.

## 8. Challenges, Barriers, and Ethical Considerations

Despite their critical role, HCAs face significant challenges that can hinder their effectiveness. Addressing these barriers is essential for any strategy aiming to leverage their full potential in safeguarding care quality.

A pervasive challenge is role ambiguity and variable scope of practice. The title, training requirements, and permitted duties of HCAs can vary widely between and even within healthcare organizations [36]. This lack of standardization can lead to confusion, tension within teams, and unsafe situations where HCAs may be pressured to perform tasks for which they are not trained. Conversely, they may be under-utilized, leading to RNs performing tasks that could be safely delegated, thereby reducing overall team efficiency and contributing to RN burnout and task saturation. Workload intensity and time pressure are constant barriers. The physical and emotional demands of the job are high, yet HCAs often have the least authority to control their workflow. When staffing is insufficient, they are the frontline absorbers of the resulting strain, forced to make difficult decisions about what care to leave undone. This can lead to moral distress—the psychological anguish of knowing the right thing to do but being constrained from doing it [37]. This distress is compounded when they develop close bonds with patients and witness the consequences of systemic failures, such as a patient developing a pressure injury despite their best efforts in an impossible workload scenario.

Educational and career limitations also present barriers. In many regions, entry requirements and training for HCA roles are minimal, and access to ongoing education is limited or self-funded. The lack of a standardized career ladder can make the role a dead-end, contributing to high turnover rates [38]. High turnover is profoundly damaging to continuity of care, as it constantly severs the relational and informational threads that HCAs weave with patients and teams. It also represents a

significant financial cost and loss of experiential knowledge for the organization.

Ethical considerations are central to their role. HCAs frequently navigate complex situations involving patient dignity, privacy, and consent during intimate care. They may witness or be subjected to workplace violence or disrespect from patients, families, or other staff members. Ensuring they have adequate support, clear protocols, and ethical training is crucial [39]. Furthermore, the very act of delegation from RN to HCA carries ethical weight; it must be done appropriately, with supervision, and never as an abdication of the RN's ultimate responsibility for the patient's welfare. Organizations have an ethical obligation to ensure that the workers they depend on for foundational care are themselves treated fairly, supported, and protected.

### 9. Case Studies and Evidence of Impact

Empirical evidence and practical case studies underscore the tangible impact that well-integrated, supported HCAs have on care outcomes. Research consistently demonstrates a correlation between the presence and effective utilization of HCAs and reductions in indicators of missed care.

For instance, a study on medical-surgical units found that higher staffing levels of nursing assistants were associated with significantly lower rates of patient falls and pressure ulcers, both of which are sensitive to omissions in basic care like regular turning, toileting assistance, and supervision [40]. Another study demonstrated that units implementing a model of team nursing with clear RN-HCA partnerships and structured communication saw a measurable decrease in reports of missed care activities and an improvement in staff satisfaction [41]. These quantitative findings are supported by qualitative research where patients explicitly identify the consistent, caring presence of HCAs as central to their positive hospital experience and sense of safety.

Case studies from hospitals that have invested in HCA roles reveal successful strategies. Some institutions have created advanced HCA roles, such as "Patient Care Technicians" with expanded skills in monitoring and documentation, or "Consistency CNAs" dedicated to specific high-acuity patient populations. These models often report improved continuity, as the advanced HCA becomes a content expert on the patient's ongoing needs. Other hospitals have implemented "basic care bundles" championed by HCAs, such as intentional rounding protocols where HCAs proactively address pain, position, personal needs, and

placement of items every hour. These structured approaches systematize the delivery of fundamental care, leaving less to chance or memory, and have been shown to reduce call light usage, falls, and pressure injuries.

The evidence suggests that the return on investment for empowering HCAs is multifold: improved clinical outcomes, enhanced patient experience, increased staff retention, and potentially lower costs associated with preventable complications. These examples move the discussion from theoretical importance to practical, actionable proof that the HCA workforce is a potent lever for quality improvement when strategically engaged.

### 10. Conclusion:

The delivery of safe, high-quality hospital care is an intricate tapestry woven from clinical expertise, technological support, and, most fundamentally, the consistent, compassionate meeting of basic human needs. This analysis has elucidated that Health Care Assistants are not peripheral threads in this tapestry but are central weavers, holding together the fabric of care and preventing it from unraveling through omissions and discontinuity. Their role is multidimensional: they are executors of essential care, vigilant observers, communication conduits, collaborative partners, and often the most consistent human connection for hospitalized patients.

Preventing missed basic care and enhancing continuity are not separate challenges but interconnected goals, both of which are profoundly advanced by a valued, well-supported HCA workforce. To achieve this, a paradigm shift is required. HCAs must be reframed from an "unskilled" cost variable to a skilled, essential professional group. This shift necessitates action on multiple fronts: standardized education and career pathways, intelligent staffing models that provide time for care, technological tools that aid rather than hinder, and above all, a cultural commitment to teamwork and respect that fully integrates HCAs into the clinical team's heart.

The future sustainability of hospital care, particularly in the face of aging populations and workforce shortages, depends on this recognition. Investing in the role of the Health Care Assistant is an investment in the very foundation of nursing practice and patient safety. By empowering them with knowledge, clear roles, supportive systems, and professional respect, healthcare organizations can unlock their immense potential to ensure that no patient's fundamental needs are overlooked and that every patient's journey through the hospital is characterized by coherence, dignity, and seamless, continuous care. The path to higher reliability and

more humane healthcare lies, in no small measure, in championing and optimizing the critical work of those who provide its foundation.

### Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

### References

- [1] Kalánková D, Kirwan M, Bartoničková D, Cubelo F, Žiaková K, Kurucová R (2020). Missed, rationed or unfinished nursing care: A scoping review of patient outcomes. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 28, 1783–1797.
- [2] Audet LA, Bourgault P, Rochefort CM (2018). Association between nurse education and experience and the risk of mortality and adverse events in acute care hospitals: A systematic review of observational studies. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 80, 128–146.
- [3] Park SH, Hanchett M, Ma C (2018). Practice Environment Characteristics Associated With Missed Nursing Care. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 50(6), 722–730.
- [4] Lake ET, Riman KA, Sloane DM (2020). Improved work environments and staffing lead to less missed nursing care: A panel study. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 28(8), 2157–2165.
- [5] Lucero RJ, Lake ET, Aiken LH (2010). Nursing care quality and adverse events in US hospitals. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 19(15–16), 2185–2195.
- [6] Ball JE, Bruyneel L, Aiken LH, Sermeus W, Sloane DM, Rafferty AM, ... Consortium RNC (2018). Post-operative mortality, missed care and nurse staffing in nine countries: A cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 78, 10–15.
- [7] Lee E, Kalisch BJ (2021). Identification and comparison of missed nursing care in the United States and South Korea. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 00: 1–11.
- [8] Griffiths P, Recio-Saucedo A, Dall’Ora C, Briggs J, Maruotti A, Meredith P, ... Ball J (2018). The association between nurse staffing and omissions in nursing care: A systematic review. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 74(7), 1474–1487.
- [9] McCauley L, Kirwan M, Riklikiene O, Hinno S (2020). A SCOPING REVIEW: The role of the nurse manager as represented in the missed care literature. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 28(8), 1770–1782.
- [10] Lake ET (2002). Development of the practice environment scale of the Nursing Work Index. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 25 (3), 176–188.
- [11] Aiken LH, Cimiotti JP, Sloane DM, Smith HL, Flynn L, Neff DF (2011). Effects of nurse staffing and nurse education on patient deaths in hospitals with different nurse work environments. *Medical care*, 49(12), 1047–1053.
- [12] Aiken LH, Sloane DM, Ball J, Bruyneel L, Rafferty AM, Griffiths P (2018). Patient satisfaction with hospital care and nurses in England: an observational study. *BMJ Open*, 8, e019189.
- [13] Al-Faouri I, Obaidat DM, AbuAlRub RF (2020). Missed nursing care, staffing levels, job satisfaction, and intent to leave among Jordanian nurses. *Nursing Forum*, 1–11.
- [14] Chaboyer W, Harbeck E, Lee BO, Grealish L (2021). Missed nursing care: An overview of reviews. *Kaohsiung Journal of Medical Science*, 37(2), 82–91.
- [15] Schubert M, Glass TR, Clarke SP, Schaffert-Witvliet B, De Geest S (2007). Validation of the Basel Extent of Rationing of Nursing Care instrument. *Nursing Research*, 56(6), 416–24.
- [16] Lucero RJ, Lake ET, Aiken LH (2009). Variations in nursing care quality across hospitals. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 65, 2299–2310.
- [17] Malliaris AP, Phillips J, Bakerjian D (2021, April). *Nursing and Patient Safety*.
- [18] McHugh MD, Stimpfel AW (2012). Nurse reported quality of care: A measure of hospital quality. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 35(6), 566–575.
- [19] Nantsupawat A, Kunaviktikul W, Nantsupawat R, Wichaikhum O-A, Thienghong H, Poghosyan L, (2015). Factors affecting patient and nurse outcomes in university hospitals in Thailand. Unpublished data.
- [20] Indrawattana N, Vanaporn M (2015). Nosocomial Infection. *Journal of Medicine and Health Sciences*, 22(1), 81–92.
- [21] Eskin Bacaksiz F, Alan H, Taskiran Eskici G, Gumus E (2020). A cross-sectional study of the determinants of missed nursing care in the private sector: Hospital/unit/staff characteristics, professional quality of life and work alienation. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 28(8), 1975–1985.
- [22] Cho SH, Lee JY, You SJ, Song KJ, Hong KJ (2020). Nurse staffing, nurses prioritization, missed care, quality of nursing care, and nurse outcomes.

- International Journal of Nursing Practice, 26(1), e12803.
- [23] Zhao Y, Ma D, Wan Z, Sun D, Li H, Jiao S (2019). Association between work environment and implicit rationing of nursing care: An integrative literature review. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 28(8),1841–1850.
- [24] Ausserhofer D, Zander B, Busse R, Schubert M, De Geest S, Rafferty A ... Schwendimann R. (2014). Prevalence, patterns and predictors of nursing care left undone in European hospitals: results from the multicountry cross-sectional RN4CAST study. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 23(2),126–135.
- [25] Nantsupawat A, Srisuphan W, Kunaviktikul W, Wichai khum OA, Aungsuroch Y, Aiken LH (2011). Impact of nurse work environment and staffing on hospital nurse and quality of care in Thailand. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 43(4), 426–433.
- [26] Sawangdee K (2017). Crisis of Nursing Shortage in Health Services Facilities under Office of Permanent secretary, Ministry of Public Health: Policy Recommendations. *Journal of Health Science*, 26 (2), 456–68.
- [27] Senek M, Robertson S, Ryan T, King R, Wood E, Tod A (2020). The association between care left undone and temporary Nursing staff ratios in acute settings: a cross-sectional survey of registered nurses. *BMC health services research*, 20(1), 637.
- [28] Donabedian A (1988). The quality of care. How can it be assessed?. *JAMA*, 260(12), 1743–8.
- [29] Smith S, Lapkin S, Sim J, Halcomb E (2020). Nursing care left undone, practice environment and perceived quality of care in small rural hospitals. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 28(8), 2166–2173.
- [30] Bail K, Willis E, Henderson J, Blackman I, Verrall C, Roderick A (2020). Missed infection control care and healthcare associated infections: A qualitative study. *Collegian* (in press).
- [31] Kim KJ, Yoo MS, Seo EJ (2018). Exploring the Influence of Nursing Work Environment and Patient Safety Culture on Missed Nursing Care in Korea. *Asian Nurs Res (Korean Soc Nurs Sci)*, 12: 121–126.
- [32] Lam MB, Figueroa JF, Feyman Y, Reimold KE, Orav EJ, Jha AK (2018). Association between patient outcomes and accreditation in US hospitals: observational study. *BMJ*, 18;363:k4011.
- [33] Smith JG, Morin KH, Wallace LE, Lake ET (2018). Association of the nurse work environment, collective efficacy, and missed care. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*, 40(6), 779–798.
- [34] Simpson KR, Lyndon A (2017). Consequences of Delayed, Unfinished, or Missed Nursing Care During Labor and Birth. *The Journal of Perinatal & Neonatal Nursing*, 31(1), 32–40.
- [35] Nantsupawat A, Nantsupawat R, Kulnaviktikul W, McHugh MD (2015). Relationship between nurse staffing levels and nurse outcomes in community hospitals, Thailand. *Nursing and Health Science*, 17(1), 112–118.
- [36] Kalisch BJ, Landstrom GL, Hinshaw AS (2009). Missed nursing care: a concept analysis. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 65(7),1509–1517.
- [37] Recio-Saucedo A, Dall’Ora C, Maruotti A, Ball J, Briggs J, Meredith P, ... Griffiths P (2018). What impact does nursing care left undone have on patient outcomes? Review of the literature. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 27(11–12), 2248–2259.
- [38] Tubbs-Cooley HL, Mara CA, Carle AC, Mark BA, Pickler RH (2019). Association of Nurse Workload With Missed Nursing Care in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 173(1), 44–51.
- [39] Henderson J, Willis E, Xiao L, Blackman I (2017). Missed care in residential aged care in Australia: An exploratory study. *Collegian*, 24(5), 411–416.
- [40] Labrague LJ, De Los Santos JAA, Tsaras K, Galabay JR, Falguera CC, Rosales RA, Firmo CN (2020). The association of nurse caring behaviors on missed nursing care, adverse patient events and perceived quality of care: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Nursing Management*. 28(8), 2257–2265.
- [41] Campbell CM, Prapanjaroensin A., Anusiewicz C.V., Baernholdt M., Jones T, Patrician PA., (2020). Variables associated with missed nursing care in Alabama: A cross-sectional analysis. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 28(8), 2174–2184.