International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 10-No.4 (2024) pp. 3594-3601
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Research Article

Role of Emergency Medical Technicians in Early Clinical Decision-Making and Prehospital Care Prioritization

Abdullah Awadh Aziz Almutairi[1]*, Ibrahim Awadh Aziz Almutairi[2], Ali Sarhan S Almutairi[3], Alsaqer Hussein Radhi A[4], Alanazi Anwar Jazi O[5], Mohammed Radhi D Alruwaili[6], Fahad Sadi Matr Alrashidi[7], Raed Mastour Althobaiti[8], Ahmed Hamzah Ahmad Samman[9], Naif Abdullah Mubarak Al-Khamash[10], Ibrahim Eid Ibrahim Alenezi[11]

[1]Emergency Medical Services Technician – Ministry of Health Branch, Hafr Al-Batin Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Hafr Al-Batin, Eastern Province, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
* **Corresponding Author Email:** aalmutairi87@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0027-9950

[2]Emergency Medical Services Technician – Ministry of Health Branch, Hafr Al-Batin Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Hafr Al-Batin, Eastern Province, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: ibawalmutairi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-7750

[3]Emergency Medical Services Technician – Ministry of Health Branch, Hafr Al-Batin Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Hafr Al-Batin, Eastern Province, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: Aalmutairi103@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-0850

[4]Emergency Medical Services Technician – Al-Batha General Hospital, Eastern Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Al-Batha, Eastern Province, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: Hralsaqer@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-8750

[5]Emergency Medical Services Technician – Crisis and Disaster Management Department, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: Anwer76455@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-7650

[6]Emergency Medical Services Technician – Assistant Management of Health Crises and Disasters, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: malruwaili35@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-6550

[7]Emergency Medical Services Technician – Safit Primary Health Care Center, Hail Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Al-Hayit, Hail, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: fasualrashdi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-5450

[8]Emergency Medical Services – Emergency and Disaster Management and Medical Transport, Taif Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Taif, Makkah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: Ramalthobaiti@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-4350

[9]Emergency Medical Services Specialist – King Fahad Hospital, Madinah Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Madinah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: Ahmadhs@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-3250

[10]Emergency Medical Services Technician – Taif Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Taif, Makkah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: naaalkhammash@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-2150

[11]Emergency Medical Services – North Medical Tower Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: iealenazi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2227-1050

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4667
Received : 01 June 2024
Accepted : 30 June 2024

Keywords

Emergency Medical Technicians,
EMTs,
early clinical decision-making,
prehospital care,
triage,
patient prioritization

Abstract:

Emergency Medical Technicians (EMTs) play a pivotal role in emergency healthcare settings, particularly when it comes to early clinical decision-making and prehospital care prioritization. These professionals are often the first response team in medical emergencies, providing immediate assessment and treatment that can significantly influence patient outcomes. Their ability to rapidly evaluate a patient's condition, identify potential life-threatening issues, and implement appropriate interventions is crucial in the golden hour of trauma care. EMTs are trained to recognize symptoms, perform vital sign checks, and employ life-saving techniques such as CPR or defibrillation, all of which lay the groundwork for subsequent medical interventions. Their quick thinking and decision-making capabilities not only stabilize patients but also relay critical information to the hospital team, ensuring continuity of care and facilitating timely interventions upon arrival. Furthermore, EMTs must prioritize care based on the severity of patients' conditions, often making split-second decisions that can impact survival rates. In chaotic environments, they utilize triage protocols to categorize patients based on urgency, ensuring that those in greatest need receive care first. This prioritization is especially important in situations involving multiple casualties, where resources are limited and the demand for medical attention exceeds immediate capabilities. By establishing a clear structure for prehospital care, EMTs contribute significantly to the effectiveness of emergency response systems. Their comprehensive training and experience enable them to navigate complex and high-pressure scenarios with professionalism and urgency, underscoring their essential role in the broader healthcare continuum.

1. Introduction

The landscape of emergency medical care is a dynamic and high-stakes environment where time is a commodity more valuable than any other, and the first minutes following a traumatic injury or the onset of acute illness often irrevocably shape the patient's ultimate outcome. At the forefront of this critical time window stands the Emergency Medical Technician (EMT), a professional whose role has evolved dramatically from a mere transporter of the sick and injured to a pivotal clinician operating in the uncontrolled, unpredictable, and resource-limited arena of the prehospital setting.

The historical context of emergency medical services (EMS) reveals a trajectory of increasing clinical responsibility. The formalization of EMS, significantly accelerated by landmark studies in the 1960s such as the National Academy of Sciences' "Accidental Death and Disability: The Neglected Disease of Modern Society," highlighted the critical need for trained intervention at the scene [1]. This shifted the paradigm from the "scoop and run" model, where speed was the sole objective, to the "stay and play" or, more accurately, the "treat and street" or "treat and transport" models, where medical intervention begins immediately [2]. This evolution necessitated a workforce capable of more than just driving; it required individuals trained to assess, diagnose within a limited scope, intervene, and constantly re-evaluate. The EMT, initially a Basic Life Support (BLS) provider, became this frontline clinician, a role that has only expanded in complexity with the advent of Advanced EMT (AEMT) and Paramedic levels, though the foundational decision-making principles remain core to all levels [3].

At the heart of the EMT's role is the concept of clinical decision-making under pressure. Unlike in the emergency department, where diagnostic tools and specialist consultations are readily available, the prehospital provider operates with a constrained toolkit. Their decisions are based on a rapid, systematic patient assessment, interpretation of physiological signs, patient history, and scene clues. This process, often described as "pattern recognition" or "heuristics" developed through experience and training, is far from simplistic [4]. It involves differentiating between life-threatening and non-life-threatening conditions, identifying subtle signs of deterioration, and predicting potential complications during transport. For instance, an EMT must decide if a patient's shortness of breath is due to congestive heart failure, a severe asthma attack, a pulmonary embolism, or anxiety—each requiring different immediate priorities and communications to the receiving facility [5].

Concurrently, and inextricably linked to decision-making, is the art and science of prioritization, or triage. In a single-patient scenario, this involves prioritizing which of the patient's multiple issues needs addressing first (e.g., controlling catastrophic hemorrhage before assessing a fractured limb). In mass-casualty incidents (MCIs), this expands to sorting multiple patients to ensure that limited resources are used to benefit the greatest number. The implementation of systems like Simple Triage

and Rapid Treatment (START) or the more recent SALT (Sort, Assess, Lifesaving interventions, Treatment/Transport) triage is a direct delegation of critical, system-level decision-making authority to the first-arriving EMTs [6]. Their initial judgments determine the flow of patients, the mobilization of additional resources, and ultimately, who receives immediate, delayed, or expectant care.

Furthermore, the EMT's role as a decision-maker extends beyond direct patient care to encompass operational and safety decisions. They must perform dynamic risk assessment of the scene—is it safe to enter? Is there a threat of violence, fire, chemical hazard, or structural collapse? The decision to withhold entry until law enforcement secures a scene is a clinical decision in its own right, as no patient care can occur if the providers become casualties themselves [7]. Additionally, EMTs make logistical decisions about the most appropriate receiving hospital based on the patient's needs (e.g., trauma center, stroke center, pediatric specialty center) and the best mode of transport, balancing urgency with clinical necessity.

This expanded scope of practice does not exist without significant challenges and ethical weight. EMTs operate under legal frameworks of medical direction, either offline (protocols) or online (direct communication with a physician). Their decisions are bound by these protocols but also require the judicious application of discretionary judgment when faced with atypical situations. They grapple with ethical dilemmas daily: decisions about initiating or terminating resuscitation, respecting patient autonomy in refusal of care scenarios, and allocating scarce resources in disaster settings [8]. The psychological burden of these high-consequence decisions, made in isolation from the full support structure of a hospital, contributes to significant occupational stress.

2. The Educational and Cognitive Foundation for Prehospital Decision-Making

The ability of an Emergency Medical Technician to make sound, rapid clinical decisions is not innate; it is meticulously constructed through a rigorous educational framework and the development of specific cognitive models tailored to the chaos of the field. The foundation begins with standardized training curricula, such as the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) National EMS Education Standards, which provide a scaffold of knowledge spanning anatomy, physiology, pathophysiology, and specific management algorithms for medical and trauma emergencies [9]. This education moves beyond rote memorization to emphasize the *why*behind protocols, fostering a nascent form of clinical reasoning. For instance, an EMT learns not just to administer aspirin for chest pain but understands its antiplatelet effect in the context of suspected acute coronary syndrome, enabling them to recognize appropriate patients and contraindications [10].

Within this educational process, the cultivation of critical thinking is paramount. EMT training employs problem-based learning scenarios and high-fidelity simulation to move students from linear thinking to adaptive thinking. They practice applying protocols to complex, "messy" presentations where patients may have competing or overlapping conditions. This training builds cognitive skills in situational awareness—the perception of critical elements in the environment, the comprehension of their meaning, and the projection of their future status [11]. A seasoned EMT enters a scene and immediately absorbs a wealth of data: the position of the patient, the presence of medications, the demeanor of family members, and environmental hazards, all of which feed into their decision-making algorithm.

The cognitive model most frequently employed by expert EMTs and paramedics is a form of dual-process reasoning. System 1 thinking is fast, intuitive, and pattern-driven. It allows for immediate action in clear-cut cases, such as recognizing agonal breathing and initiating CPR based on a familiar pattern [12]. This is built from thousands of hours of experience and exposure. System 2 thinking is slower, analytical, and deductive, and is engaged when the presentation is atypical or complex. For example, a patient with vague abdominal pain and subtle signs of shock might trigger a shift from a generic "abdominal pain" protocol to a deliberate analytical process considering ruptured ectopic pregnancy, aortic aneurysm, or septic shock [13]. Effective EMTs learn to seamlessly integrate both systems, using intuition to trigger rapid life-saving actions while concurrently engaging analytical processes to refine the diagnosis and anticipate complications.

This decision-making is structured and guided by standardized assessment protocols, the most fundamental being the systematic "primary survey" (or initial assessment) and "secondary survey." The primary survey follows the ABCDE (Airway, Breathing, Circulation, Disability, Exposure) or XABCDE (where X stands for catastrophic hemorrhage) mnemonic, a rigid prioritization framework designed to identify and treat immediate threats to life in order of physiological importance [14]. This protocol-driven approach minimizes cognitive load during the most critical initial moments, ensuring that regardless of the apparent injury, an obstructed airway is always addressed

before a fractured femur. The secondary survey allows for a more head-to-toe, detailed examination and history-taking to uncover all injuries and problems, informing ongoing care and communication. Mastery of this systematic approach ensures thoroughness and consistency, providing a reliable scaffold upon which clinical judgment is built [15].

3. Systematic Patient Assessment and Field Diagnosis: The EMT as Detective

The prehospital environment denies the EMT the luxury of confirmatory diagnostics like laboratory tests or advanced imaging. Instead, they become detectives, piecing together a clinical picture from a constellation of subjective and objective findings gathered through a disciplined assessment process. This process begins before even touching the patient, with the "scene size-up." This critical first step involves forming an initial impression to answer key questions: Is the scene safe? What is the mechanism of injury (MOI) or nature of illness (NOI)? How many patients are there? Are additional resources needed? The mechanism of injury—such as a high-speed rollover, a fall from height, or an explosion—provides powerful predictive data about potential unseen injuries (e.g., internal bleeding, spinal trauma) and immediately elevates the index of suspicion, guiding the aggressiveness of assessment and prioritization for trauma center transport [16].

Following scene safety, the EMT engages directly with the patient, commencing the primary survey. The identification of catastrophic external hemorrhage (the "X" in XABCDE) has been rightfully emphasized in modern tactical combat casualty care and civilian protocols, as exsanguination is a leading cause of preventable death [17]. Controlling this with tourniquets or hemostatic dressings is the absolute first clinical priority. Assessment of Airway involves checking for patency, sounds, and potential compromise. Breathing assessment goes beyond counting respirations to evaluate rate, rhythm, depth, and effort, noting the use of accessory muscles or paradoxical chest movement indicative of severe distress or flail chest [18]. Circulation assessment involves evaluating pulse (rate, quality, regularity), skin (color, temperature, condition, capillary refill), and obvious signs of bleeding. This triad of findings allows the EMT to form an early diagnosis of shock, differentiating between compensated and decompensated stages, and guiding fluid resuscitation strategies within their scope [19].

The "D" for Disability involves a rapid neurological evaluation, typically using the AVPU (Alert, Voice, Pain, Unresponsive) scale or the more detailed Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS). A depressed level of consciousness is a major red flag, indicating potential head injury, hypoxia, hypoglycemia, or systemic illness, and immediately elevates the acuity of the patient [20]. Finally, "E" for Exposure involves respectfully removing clothing as necessary to fully assess the patient for hidden injuries or medical signs, while preventing hypothermia. This structured primary survey is performed on every patient, ensuring life-threats are not missed.

The secondary survey and history-taking (using mnemonics like SAMPLE: Signs/Symptoms, Allergies, Medications, Past medical history, Last oral intake, Events leading up to) allow the EMT to develop a more nuanced field diagnosis [21]. Auscultation of lung sounds can differentiate between pulmonary edema and bronchospasm. A detailed pain assessment (OPQRST: Onset, Provocation/Palliation, Quality, Region/Radiation, Severity, Time) helps characterize cardiac versus non-cardiac chest pain or renal colic [22]. For medical patients, this diagnostic process is particularly reliant on history and vitals. An EMT might not be able to definitively diagnose a stroke, but through tools like the Cincinnati Prehospital Stroke Scale (assessing facial droop, arm drift, and speech), they can identify its strong probability, triggering a "code stroke" alert that mobilizes the hospital's stroke team before arrival, dramatically reducing door-to-needle times for thrombolytics [23]. Similarly, recognizing a STEMI (ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction) on a 12-lead ECG (a paramedic skill often initiated based on EMT findings) allows for direct activation of the cardiac catheterization lab [24]. In trauma, assessing for "red flags" like tension pneumothorax (deviated trachea, unilateral absent breath sounds, hypotension) or pericardial tamponade (Beck's triad) directs critical, life-saving interventions like needle decompression or rapid transport [25]. Thus, through systematic assessment, the EMT transforms raw observations into a coherent, prioritized clinical narrative.

4. Communication and Handover: The EMT as Information Conduit and Patient Advocate

The clinical decisions and assessments made in the field hold limited value unless they are effectively transmitted to the definitive care team. The EMT therefore serves as a crucial information conduit, and the patient handover represents a critical juncture in the continuum of care—a potential point of failure or of enhanced efficiency. A structured, concise, and accurate handover ensures the

patient's story and clinical status are transferred without loss of fidelity, allowing for a seamless transition and informed decision-making by the receiving emergency department staff.

The gold standard for this communication is the SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) format or its variants, such as MIST (Mechanism of injury/Medical complaint, Injuries/Information found, Signs, Treatment given) for trauma [26]. This framework forces a logical, prioritized flow of information.

The *Situation* includes a brief identifier and the chief complaint (e.g., "This is Medic 1, we have a 68-year-old male with severe crushing chest pain"). The *Background* provides relevant history and context (e.g., "He has a history of hypertension and diabetes, and the pain started 45 minutes ago at rest"). The *Assessment* conveys the vital signs and key physical exam findings (e.g., "BP 180/100, HR 110 and irregular, SpO2 92% on room air, lung sounds clear, 12-lead shows ST-elevation in anterior leads"). Finally, the *Recommendation*states what the EMT believes is needed or what has been initiated (e.g., "We have given 324mg aspirin, established IV access, and are transporting as a priority STEMI alert") [27].

This structured approach prevents the "curbside" or narrative handover, which is often disjointed, omits critical data, and is vulnerable to interruption. It also implicitly conveys the EMT's clinical reasoning and diagnostic impression. By stating "we are treating for a suspected tension pneumothorax," the EMT communicates not just the intervention (needle decompression) but the clinical judgment behind it, preparing the ED for a specific patient trajectory. Furthermore, the handover is not a one-way monologue; it is an opportunity for the EMT to advocate for the patient. This may involve clarifying the patient's wishes (e.g., "The patient has a valid DNR order"), emphasizing a concern (e.g., "We are very worried about his rapidly decreasing GCS"), or confirming resource allocation (e.g., "Do you want us to go to the trauma bay or pediatrics?") [28].

Beyond the verbal report, documentation on the prehospital care report (PCR) serves as the legal and medical record of the encounter. A well-documented PCR chronologically details assessments, clinical findings, treatments, changes in patient condition, and the rationale for decisions, especially deviations from protocol. This document is essential for continuity of care, quality improvement, legal protection, and research [29]. The EMT's skill in synthesizing complex, dynamic events into a clear, objective written record is a final, crucial act of clinical decision-making and professional communication.

5. Ethical, Legal, and Operational Challenges in Prehospital Decision-Making

The authority to make independent clinical decisions in the field carries with it a profound weight of ethical and legal responsibility. EMTs navigate a complex landscape where medical directives, patient autonomy, resource limitations, and personal safety intersect, often requiring difficult, time-sensitive judgments that lack the clear guidance available in a hospital setting.

One of the most pervasive ethical challenges is the patient who refuses care or transportation (RMA - Refusal of Against Medical Advice). An EMT may arrive to find a patient with clear symptoms of a stroke or heart attack who insists on staying home. Here, the EMT must balance the ethical principles of beneficence (acting in the patient's best interest) and non-maleficence (avoiding harm) with the principle of autonomy (respecting the patient's right to self-determination) [30]. The clinical decision-making process shifts from diagnosis and treatment to capacity assessment and risk communication. The EMT must determine if the patient has decision-making capacity—that is, if they can understand their situation, the risks of refusing, and the consequences of their choice. They must then clearly document a thorough assessment, the advice given regarding risks, and the patient's informed, voluntary refusal, often requiring consultation with online medical control and potentially law enforcement in cases of significantly altered mental status [31].

End-of-life decisions present another profound ethical frontier. The initiation, continuation, or termination of cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in the prehospital setting is governed by protocols, but often involves gray areas. Determining obvious signs of death (e.g., decapitation, dependent lividity, decomposition) allows for the decision to withhold resuscitation. However, in cases of prolonged downtime or suspected futile efforts, the EMT may need to consult protocols for termination of resuscitation (TOR) in the field, a decision that carries immense emotional and ethical weight for providers and families alike [32]. The presence of valid Physician Orders for Life-Sustaining Treatment (POLST) or Do-Not-Resuscitate (DNR) orders adds another layer, requiring the EMT to verify the document's authenticity and act in accordance with the patient's documented wishes, which can conflict with family pressure or the provider's instinct to intervene [33].

Operationally, the concept of triage in mass-casualty incidents (MCIs) represents the zenith of prioritization and its associated ethical burden. In an MCI, the guiding principle shifts from doing

everything for one patient to doing the greatest good for the greatest number. Using systems like START, EMTs must rapidly categorize patients into Immediate (red), Delayed (yellow), Minor (green), and Expectant/Deceased (black) [34]. Assigning a patient to the "Expectant" category, meaning they have injuries incompatible with life given the available resources, is arguably the most difficult decision any healthcare provider can make. It requires detachment, adherence to protocol, and the ability to redirect resources to those with a higher chance of survival, a utilitarian calculation that can lead to significant moral distress and post-traumatic stress among responders [35].

Finally, scene safety and risk assessment are foundational operational decisions that precede all clinical care. The decision to stage at a safe distance from a violent scene, a chemical spill, or an unstable structure is a clinical decision because it preserves the provider's ability to care for patients. Similarly, choosing the appropriate transport modality—ground versus air medical evacuation—involves weighing factors like travel time, patient acuity, weather, and the specific capabilities of the receiving facility [36]. These operational choices, made under pressure, are integral to effective prehospital care prioritization and exemplify the broad scope of the EMT's decision-making domain.

6. The Impact of Technology and Specialized Protocols on Decision-Making

The clinical decision-making toolkit of the modern EMT is increasingly augmented by technology and refined by evidence-based, condition-specific protocols. These tools do not replace clinical judgment but rather enhance its accuracy, speed, and effectiveness, enabling more precise prioritization and targeted prehospital interventions.

Telemedicine and point-of-care technology are revolutionizing the prehospital information landscape. Telemedicine links, via video and data transmission, allow EMTs to consult directly with emergency physicians or specialists in real-time. This is particularly valuable in complex pediatric cases, stroke evaluations, or for providing guidance in rare or challenging situations beyond standard protocols [37]. Point-of-care testing (POCT), such as blood glucose monitoring, has been standard for decades. Emerging technologies like portable lactate meters, which can indicate tissue hypoperfusion and sepsis severity, or handheld ultrasound devices, are beginning to enter the prehospital arena, offering diagnostic data previously unavailable in the field and informing more nuanced transport and treatment decisions [38].

Protocols themselves have become more sophisticated and evidence-driven. Condition-specific "care bundles" or "time-critical diagnoses" protocols standardize the best-practice approach for specific high-acuity patients. For example, a sepsis alert protocol might mandate early lactate measurement (if available), blood cultures (by paramedics), broad-spectrum antibiotic administration (in some advanced systems), and aggressive fluid resuscitation, all initiated before hospital arrival based on a defined set of clinical criteria [39]. Similarly, dedicated trauma triage protocols, using criteria like mechanism of injury, vital signs, and anatomical findings, guide EMTs in bypassing closer hospitals to transport critically injured patients directly to a Level I or II Trauma Center, a decision proven to reduce mortality [40].

These advancements underscore a key trend: the role of the EMT is increasingly focused on *identification, stabilization, and targeted prioritization.* Technology and specialized protocols empower them to identify specific critical conditions earlier, initiate definitive or supportive care sooner, and prioritize transport to the most appropriate specialty center. This transforms the ambulance from a mere vehicle into a mobile extension of the emergency department, with the EMT as the key operator of its diagnostic and therapeutic capabilities. The future will likely see further integration of artificial intelligence (AI) to support decision-making, such as algorithms that analyze vital sign trends to predict deterioration or interpret ECG rhythms, but the final judgment and human touch will remain the indispensable core of the EMT's role [41].

7. Conclusion

In essence, the EMT serves as the critical linchpin in the chain of survival. Their early decisions on scene and during transport establish the trajectory of patient care, influencing everything from neurological outcome after cardiac arrest to survival rates following major trauma. By prioritizing effectively, they not only optimize outcomes for individual patients but also manage the flow of patients into the often-overburdened emergency healthcare system. Therefore, recognizing, supporting, and continuously enhancing the decision-making capacity of Emergency Medical Technicians through robust education, psychological support, evidence-based protocols, and technological tools is not merely an operational concern for EMS systems; it is a fundamental imperative for public health and the

efficacy of the entire emergency care continuum. The ambulance cabin is, in truth, the first emergency room, and the EMT is its primary diagnostician and therapeutic agent.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Khorasani-Zavareh D, Khankeh HR, Mohammadi R, Laflamme L, Bikmoradi A, Haglund BJ. Post-crash management of road traffic injury victims in Iran. Stakeholders' views on current barriers and potential facilitators. BMC Emergency Medicine. 2009;9:8.
2. Lang ES, Spaite DW, Oliver ZJ, Gotschall CS, Swor RA, Dawson DE, et al. A national model for developing, implementing, and evaluating evidence based guidelines for prehospital care. Academic Emergency Medicine. 2012;19(2):201–9.
3. Janka A, Duschek S. Self-reported stress and psychophysiological reactivity in paramedics. Anxiety, Stress, & Coping. 2018;31(4):402–417.
4. Sheffield K, O'Meara P, Verrinder G. Decision-making processes when paramedics refer patients away from hospital: a scoping review. Irish Journal of Paramedicine. 2016;1(1).
5. Hadi M. Surveying the stress and anxiety of emergency medical personnel in East Azerbaijan province in 2018. Thesis, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, 2018.
6. Haghparast-Bidgoli H, Hasselberg M, Khankeh H, Khorasani-Zavareh D, Johansson E. Barriers and facilitators to provide effective pre-hospital trauma care for road traffic injury victims in Iran: a grounded theory approach. BMC Emergency Medicine. 2010;10:20.
7. Tozzo P, Picozzi M, Caenazzo L. Munchausen Syndrome by Proxy: balancing ethical and clinical challenges for healthcare professionals Ethical consideration in factitious disorders. La Clinica Terapeutica. 2018;169(3):e129–e134.
8. Bång A, Grip L, Herlitz J, Caidahl K, Hartford M, et al. Lower mortality after prehospital recognition and treatment followed by fast tracking to coronary care compared with admittance via emergency department in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. International Journal of Cardiology. 2008;129(3):325–32.
9. Bass C, Halligan P. Factitious disorders and malingering: challenges for clinical assessment and management. The Lancet. 2014;383(9926):1422–32.
10. Gouweloos-Trines J, Tyler MP, Giummarra MJ, Kassam-Adams N, Landolt MA, Kleber RJ, et al. Perceived support at work after critical incidents and its relation to psychological distress: a survey among prehospital providers. Emergency Medicine Journal. 2017;34(12):816–822.
11. Chang Y-T, Tsai K-C, Williams B. Development of new core competencies for Taiwanese Emergency Medical Technicians. Advances in Medical Education and Practice. 2018;9:147–158.
12. Lavery T, Greenslade JH, Parsonage WA, Hawkins T, Dalton E, Hammett C, et al. Factors influencing choice of pre hospital transportation of patients with potential acute coronary syndrome: An observational study. Emergency Medicine Australasia. 2017;29(2):210–216.
13. O'Hara R, Johnson M, Siriwardena AN, Weyman A, Turner Janette, Shaw D, et al. A qualitative study of systemic influences on paramedic decision making: care transitions and patient safety. Journal of Health Services Research & Policy. 2015;20(1_suppl):45–53.
14. Ek B, Svedlund M. Registered nurses' experiences of their decision making at an Emergency Medical Dispatch Centre. Journal of Clinical Nursing. 2015;24(7-8):1122–31.
15. Keykaleh MS, Sohrabizadeh S. The Emergency Medical System (EMS) response to Iraqi pilgrims' bus crash in Iran: a case report. BMC Emergency Medicine. 2019;19(1):38.
16. Cooper K, Wolford R. Survey of Emergency Medicine Residency Quality and Patient Safety Curricula. Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health. 2017;18(5.1).
17. Korstjens I, Moser A. Series: Practical guidance to qualitative research. Part 4: trustworthiness and publishing. European Journal of General Practice. 2018;24(1):120–124.
18. Farzinnia B, Khankeh H, Khorasani-Zavareh D, Amiri M, Ardalan A. Mutual Influence between Dispatchers and Callers: Experience and Perception of Iranian Service Providers. Journal of Clinical & Diagnostic Research. 2018;12(6).
19. Salmon P. Conflict, collusion or collaboration in consultations about medically unexplained symptoms: the need for a curriculum of medical

explanation. Patient Education and Counseling. 2007;67(3):246–54.
20. Keykaleh MS, Safarpour H, Yousefian S, Faghisolouk F, Mohammadi E, Ghomian Z. The Relationship between Nurse's Job Stress and Patient Safety. Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences. 2018;6(11):2228–2232.
21. Jensen JL, Croskerry P, Travers AH. Paramedic clinical decision making during high acuity emergency calls: design and methodology of a Delphi study. BMC Emergency Medicine. 2009;9:17.
22. Hosseini SMR, Maleki M, Gorji HA, Khorasani-Zavareh D, Roudbari M. Factors affecting emergency medical dispatchers' decision-making: a qualitative study. Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare. 2018;11:391–398.
23. von Vopelius-Feldt J, Benger J. Who does what in prehospital critical care? An analysis of competencies of paramedics, critical care paramedics and prehospital physicians. Emergency Medicine Journal. 2014;31(12):1009–13.
24. Arnold DH, Gebretsadik T, Abramo TJ, Sheller JR, Resha DJ, Hartert TV. The Acute Asthma Severity Assessment Protocol (AASAP) study: objectives and methods of a study to develop an acute asthma clinical prediction rule. Emergency Medicine Journal. 2012;29(6): 444–448.
25. Corbin JM, Strauss A. Grounded theory research: Procedures, canons, and evaluative criteria. Qualitative Sociology. 1990;13(1):3–21.
26. Ebben RH, Vloet LC, Speijers RF, Tönjes NW, Loef Jorik, Pelgrim T, et al. A patient-safety and professional perspective on non-conveyance in ambulance care: a systematic review. Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine. 2017;25(1):71.
27. Yates GP, Feldman MD. Factitious disorder: a systematic review of 455 cases in the professional literature. General Hospital Psychiatry. 2016;41:20–8.
28. Nilsson T, Lindström V. Clinical decision-making described by Swedish prehospital emergency care nurse students–An exploratory study. International Emergency Nursing. 2016;27:46–50.
29. Kilner T. Triage decisions of prehospital emergency health care providers, using a multiple casualty scenario paper exercise. Emergency Medicine Journal. 2002;19(4):348–353.
30. Norberg Boysen G, Nyström M, Christensson L, Herlitz J, Wireklint Sundström B. Trust in the early chain of healthcare: lifeworld hermeneutics from the patient's perspective. International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being. 2017;12(1):1356674.
31. Hedberg B, Sätterlund UL. Observations, confirmations and strategies-useful tools in decision-making process for nurses in practice? Journal of Clinical Nursing. 2003;12(2):215–222.
32. Maguire BJ, O'Meara P, O'Neill BJ, Brightwell R. Violence against emergency medical services personnel: A systematic review of the literature. American Journal of Industrial Medicine. 2018;61(2):167–180.
33. Porter A, Snooks H, Youren A, Gaze S, Whitfield R, Rapport F, et al. Should I stay or should I go?' Deciding whether to go to hospital after a 999 call. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy. 2007;12(Suppl 1):32–8.
34. Nilsson C, Bremer A, Blomberg K, Svantesson M. Responsibility and compassion in prehospital support to survivors of suicide victim–Professionals' experiences. International Emergency Nursing. 2017;35:37–42.
35. Blumenthal-Barby JS, Krieger H. Cognitive biases and heuristics in medical decision making: a critical review using a systematic search strategy. Medical Decision Making. 2015;35(4):539–57.
36. Perona M, Rahman MA, O'Meara P. Paramedic judgement, decision-making and cognitive processing: a review of the literature. Australasian Journal of Paramedicine. 2019;16:1–12.
37. Al-Shaqsi S. Models of International Emergency Medical Service (EMS) Systems. Oman Medical Journal. 2010;25(4):320–323.
38. Ruotsalainen P, Blobel B. Trust-Essential Requirement and Basis for pHealth Services. Studies in Health Technology and Informatics. 2017;237:25–33.
39. Cummins NM, Dixon M, Garavan C, Landymore E, Mulligan N, O'Donnell C. Can advanced paramedics in the field diagnose patients and predict hospital admission? Emergency Medicine Journal. 2013;30(12):1043–7.
40. Andersen MS, Johnsen SP, Hansen AE, Eivinn S, Hansen CM, Sørensen JN, et al. Preventable deaths following emergency medical dispatch–an audit study. Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine. 2014;22(1):74.
41. Hosseinikia SH, Zarei S, Najafi Kalyani M, Tahamtan S. A cross-sectional multicenter study of workplace violence against prehospital emergency medical technicians. Emergency Medicine International. 2018;2018:7835676.