*International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)*

*Vol. 10-No.4 (2024) pp. 3586-3593*
*http://www.ijcesen.com*

***ISSN: 2149-9144***



**Research Article**

# Psychological and Social Interventions for Improving Treatment Adherence and Coping Among Patients with Chronic Illness

**Mohammed Bahal Alshammari[1]*, Fahad Abdullah H Alhazmi[2], Barak Abdulmohsen Barak Altamimi[3], Naji Khurayyif Naji Alanzi[4], Hajji Muaiteq Atqaa Alazmi[5], Badria Fahad Jama Alrashidi[6], Ahmed Jabban Khashan Alanazi[7], Ayidh Mohammed H Alanazi[8], Alanazi Muteb Wadi T[9], Abdulaziz Mubarak Khelaiwi Alkhelaiwi[10], Ahmed Khawitam Al-Baaj[11]**

[1]Consultant Psychologist – Rafha Central Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Rafha, Northern Borders, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
* **Corresponding Author Email:** mobaalshammari@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2027-9950

[2]Senior Specialist Psychologist – Eradah and Mental Health Complex, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
**Email:** fahadee010@hotmaıl.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1027-8850

[3]Social Work – Social Worker Specialist – Baqaa General Hospital, Hail Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Baqaa, Hail, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
**Email:** BAlBarrak@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-3027-0950

[4]Psychologist Specialist – Eradah and Mental Health Complex, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
**Email:** Najiknaji@yahoo.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-4027-8950

[5]Social Worker – Al-Hayit General Hospital, Hail Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Al-Hayit, Hail, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
**Email:** halazmi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5027-7950

[6]Social Worker Specialist – Eradah and Mental Health Complex, Hail Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Hail, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
**Email:** badria61992@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-6027-6950

[7]Social Worker Specialist – Eradah Mental Health Complex, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
**Email:** Ajalenazi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-7027-5950

[8]Social Worker Specialist – Eradah and Mental Health Complex, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
**Email:** Aym.cc777@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-8027-4950

[9]Social Worker – Eradah and Mental Health Complex, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
**Email:** Mutebwa@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-9027-3950

[10]Social Worker Specialist – Al-Qurayyat General Hospital, Al-Jouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Al-Qurayyat, Al-Jouf, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
**Email:** aalkhelaiwi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-9927-2950

[11]Social Worker – Eradah and Mental Health Complex, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
**Email:** al_beej1@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-3327-1950

**Article Info:**

**DOI:** 10.22399/ijcesen.4666
**Received :** 01 June 2024
**Accepted :** 30 June 2024

**Keywords**

Chronic illness,
treatment adherence,
psychological interventions,
cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT)

**Abstract:**

Chronic illnesses often necessitate long-term treatment regimens that can be complex and demanding, leading to challenges in adherence and coping. Psychological interventions, such as cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), have shown promise in enhancing patients' understanding of their illness, thereby improving adherence to treatment plans. CBT can help patients reframe negative thoughts associated with their condition and actively engage in problem-solving strategies, which fosters a sense of agency and motivation. Additionally, mindfulness-based interventions can aid in managing stress and anxiety, making it easier for patients to stick to their prescribed regimens and develop healthier coping mechanisms. Social support plays a crucial role in improving treatment adherence among individuals dealing with chronic illnesses. Community-based programs that encourage peer support can create networks of encouragement and shared experiences, helping patients feel less isolated. Group therapy sessions provide platforms for discussing struggles and successes in managing chronic conditions, promoting adherence through collective accountability. Additionally, family involvement in treatment plans can significantly enhance coping by fostering a supportive home environment. Together, psychological and social interventions increase the likelihood that patients will adhere to their treatments and successfully navigate the challenges posed by chronic illnesses.

## 1. Introduction

The global burden of chronic illness represents one of the most significant challenges to contemporary healthcare systems and to human wellbeing in the 21st century. Conditions such as diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), hypertension, HIV/AIDS, and end-stage renal disease dominate morbidity and mortality statistics worldwide, accounting for approximately 74% of all deaths annually according to the World Health Organization [1]. Unlike acute illnesses, chronic diseases are characterized by their prolonged duration, generally slow progression, and the necessity for continuous medical management over years or a lifetime. This fundamental shift from acute, curative care to long-term management has profound implications for patients, healthcare providers, and society at large. At the heart of this paradigm lies the critical concept of treatment adherence, defined as the extent to which a person's behavior—taking medication, following a diet, and/or executing lifestyle changes—corresponds with agreed recommendations from a healthcare provider [2]. Concurrently, the psychological process of coping—encompassing the cognitive and behavioral efforts to manage specific external and/or internal demands appraised as taxing or exceeding one's resources—becomes a central determinant of quality of life and disease trajectory [3].

Non-adherence to prescribed treatment regimens is not a marginal issue but a pervasive and costly phenomenon. Estimates suggest that adherence rates for long-term therapies in developed nations average only 50%, with rates in developing countries often being significantly lower [4]. The consequences are severe: poor adherence leads to suboptimal clinical outcomes, increased disease complications, accelerated progression of illness, reduced functional status, and a lower quality of life. From a systemic perspective, it results in a massive waste of healthcare resources, including ineffective medication use, unnecessary diagnostic tests, and avoidable hospitalizations and emergency department visits, imposing an enormous financial strain [5]. The reasons for non-adherence are multifactorial and complex, extending far beyond simple forgetfulness or irresponsibility. They are embedded in a dynamic interplay between the patient, their illness, the treatment, and the broader socio-ecological context.

The traditional biomedical model, which views the patient as a passive recipient of medical instructions, has proven inadequate in addressing this complexity. It fails to account for the lived experience of chronic illness, which is often one of constant negotiation, adjustment, and existential threat. Patients are required to integrate complex, often unpleasant, and sometimes costly medical routines into their daily lives, which may conflict with personal beliefs, cultural norms, social roles, and emotional states. Barriers to adherence are thus multidimensional, encompassing *psychological factors* such as depression, anxiety, illness perceptions, and low self-efficacy; *social and economic factors* such as low health literacy, inadequate social support, medication costs, and cultural stigmas; and *treatment-related factors* such as complex regimens, side effects, and a lack of perceived benefit [6]. Similarly, coping with a chronic illness is a continuous process. Patients may employ adaptive coping strategies, such as problem-solving, seeking social support, or positive reframing, which are associated with better

adjustment. Conversely, maladaptive strategies like denial, behavioral disengagement, or substance abuse can exacerbate distress and hinder effective self-management [7].

This intricate web of challenges necessitates a paradigm shift towards biopsychosocial models of care that explicitly acknowledge and address the psychological and social dimensions of chronic disease management. Isolated clinical interventions focused solely on physiological parameters are insufficient. There is a compelling and evidence-based imperative to systematically integrate structured psychological and social interventions into standard chronic disease care. These interventions aim not merely to instruct but to empower; not to impose but to collaborate. Their goal is to enhance intrinsic motivation, build sustainable self-management skills, fortify psychological resilience, and mobilize supportive social resources. By doing so, they target the very roots of non-adherence and maladaptive coping, fostering a collaborative partnership between the patient and the healthcare system [8].

## 2. Psychological Interventions for Enhancing Adherence and Coping

### 2.1 Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT) and Its Adaptations

Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT) is a well-established, goal-oriented psychotherapeutic approach that operates on the core principle that psychological distress and maladaptive behaviors are largely maintained by dysfunctional patterns of thinking (cognitions) and learned patterns of behavior. In the context of chronic illness, CBT has been extensively adapted to help patients identify and modify illness-related cognitive distortions and build behavioral skills crucial for self-management. The cognitive component addresses unhelpful beliefs about the illness (e.g., "This medication is poison," "My life is over because of this diagnosis"), its treatment (e.g., "These side effects mean the treatment is harming me"), and personal efficacy (e.g., "I can't manage this complex regimen"). Through techniques like cognitive restructuring, patients learn to challenge these automatic negative thoughts and develop more balanced, evidence-based perspectives, which can reduce catastrophic thinking and helplessness [9].

The behavioral component is directly targeted at improving adherence and adaptive coping. Key strategies include behavioral activation to counteract the inertia and withdrawal often associated with depression; activity pacing to manage fatigue and pain; and, most critically, the use of self-monitoring and stimulus control. Patients may be taught to use pill organizers, link medication-taking to established daily routines (e.g., brushing teeth), and employ reminder systems. Furthermore, CBT incorporates structured problem-solving training, where patients learn a step-by-step process to identify barriers to adherence (e.g., forgetting afternoon doses at work), brainstorm potential solutions (e.g., setting a phone alarm, keeping a dose in the desk), implement a plan, and evaluate its effectiveness. This empowers patients to become active problem-solvers rather than passive victims of their circumstances. A substantial body of research supports the efficacy of CBT-based interventions in improving adherence and psychological adjustment in conditions such as diabetes, HIV/AIDS, and cardiovascular disease, with effects often mediated by reductions in depression and increases in self-efficacy [10][11][12].

### 2.2 Mindfulness-Based Interventions (MBIs) and Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT)

While CBT focuses on changing thought content, a newer wave of "third-wave" cognitive therapies, including Mindfulness-Based Interventions (MBIs) like Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) and Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT), emphasize changing one's *relationship* to thoughts and feelings. Chronic illness often brings unavoidable pain, discomfort, and distressing thoughts. MBIs train patients in mindfulness—the non-judgmental, present-moment awareness of thoughts, emotions, and bodily sensations. Through practices such as meditation and body scanning, patients learn to observe disease-related distress (e.g., pain, anxiety about the future) with acceptance and curiosity rather than with instinctive aversion and struggle. This decentering process—seeing thoughts as mere mental events rather than absolute truths—can reduce the emotional impact of pain and illness-related worry, thereby decreasing the urge to use maladaptive coping strategies like avoidance or treatment refusal as emotional escapes [13].

ACT builds on mindfulness principles and explicitly targets psychological flexibility—the ability to remain in contact with the present moment fully and consciously, and to persist in or change behavior in the service of chosen values. ACT helps patients clarify their core values (e.g., being a present parent, contributing to their community, maintaining independence) and then frames adherent behaviors (e.g., taking medication, attending appointments) not as burdensome chores, but as committed actions aligned with those values.

For a patient who values family, taking medication becomes an act of commitment to staying healthy for their loved ones. By fostering acceptance of unavoidable private experiences (pain, fear) while promoting committed action towards valued life goals, ACT directly enhances coping and provides a powerful, values-based motivation for treatment adherence. Empirical studies show that MBIs and ACT can lead to significant improvements in psychological distress, quality of life, and, in some cases, self-management behaviors in populations with chronic pain, cancer, and diabetes [14][15].

### 2.3 Motivational Interviewing (MI)

Motivational Interviewing (MI) is a collaborative, person-centered counseling style designed to strengthen an individual's own motivation for and commitment to change by exploring and resolving ambivalence. It is particularly potent in the arena of treatment adherence, where ambivalence is ubiquitous—a patient may simultaneously believe in the treatment's importance *and* resent its side effects or inconvenience. Unlike an educational or confrontational approach, MI avoids argumentation and direct persuasion. Instead, the therapist operates with a spirit of partnership, acceptance, compassion, and evocation, drawing out the patient's own reasons for change [16].

The practical application of MI involves core skills encapsulated by the acronym OARS: Open-ended questions, Affirmations, Reflective listening, and Summarizing. The clinician guides the patient to articulate their own "change talk"—statements that reveal desire, ability, reasons, need, or commitment to adhere to treatment. For example, a clinician might reflect, "So, on one hand, you find the injection painful and time-consuming, and on the other hand, you've noticed you have more energy on the days you do take it, which helps you play with your grandchildren." This reflection validates the struggle while reinforcing the patient's intrinsic motivator (grandchildren). MI also strategically works to soften "sustain talk" (arguments for the status quo). By resolving ambivalence in a direction that aligns with the patient's personal values and goals, MI fosters internalized, autonomous motivation, which is far more sustainable for long-term adherence than externally imposed compliance. MI has demonstrated effectiveness across a wide range of chronic illnesses, from improving medication adherence in HIV and hypertension to promoting lifestyle changes in diabetes and heart disease [17][18].

## 4. Social Interventions for Supporting Adherence and Coping

### 4.1 Family and Caregiver Involvement and Support

The management of chronic illness rarely occurs in a social vacuum. The family system is the primary context within which most patients live and cope with their condition. Family members, particularly primary caregivers, can be powerful allies in promoting adherence and adaptive coping, or unintentional sources of stress and conflict. Social interventions that strategically involve the family are therefore critical. Family-focused therapies or consultations educate both the patient and key family members about the illness and its management, fostering a shared understanding and reducing misinformation and blame. They work to improve family communication, teaching members how to offer support that is perceived as helpful (e.g., collaborative problem-solving, empathetic listening) rather than controlling or nagging (e.g., criticism, surveillance), which can lead to patient resistance and rebellion [19].

Furthermore, interventions can help families reorganize roles and responsibilities to reduce caregiver burden and family dysfunction. A spouse might be taught how to gently remind about medication without provoking conflict, or children might be involved in supportive, age-appropriate ways. For pediatric and adolescent chronic illness populations, family interventions are especially vital, as parents are directly responsible for treatment administration. Interventions that enhance parental monitoring skills, problem-solving, and positive reinforcement within a warm, structured family environment consistently show benefits for adherence in conditions like juvenile diabetes and asthma [20]. Supporting the caregiver's own mental health is also an intervention for the patient, as a less burdened, depressed, or anxious caregiver is better able to provide effective, sustained support [21].

### 4.2 Peer Support and Community-Based Programs

The value of connecting with others who share the experience of living with a chronic illness is immense and cannot be replicated by clinician-patient interactions alone. Peer support interventions, whether delivered in formal group therapy settings, community support groups, or through digital platforms, provide a unique forum for validation, normalization, and vicarious learning. In a peer group, a patient struggling with the side effects of chemotherapy or the dietary restrictions of renal disease can hear from others who have successfully navigated similar

challenges, fostering hope and providing practical, experiential tips that a medical professional may not offer [22].

These groups reduce feelings of isolation and stigma, creating a sense of universality and belonging. They also serve as a platform for modeling and reinforcing adaptive coping strategies. For instance, a diabetes support group member might share how they use a specific app to track blood sugar, or a heart disease group might collectively practice stress-reduction techniques. Beyond emotional support, peer-led or community health worker-led programs can be highly effective in improving adherence, particularly in underserved or low-health-literacy populations. Trained peers or community health workers, who often come from similar cultural and socioeconomic backgrounds as the patients, can provide culturally competent education, assist with navigating complex healthcare systems, and offer practical support in the patient's own environment, thereby bridging the gap between the clinic and the community [23][24].

### 4.3 Technology-Enhanced Social Support and Digital Interventions

The digital revolution has profoundly expanded the possibilities for delivering social support and adherence interventions. Mobile health (mHealth) technologies, including smartphones, wearable sensors, and connected devices, offer innovative tools for support that are scalable and accessible. Social support can be mediated through private online communities, moderated forums, and social media groups dedicated to specific conditions, providing 24/7 access to a supportive network [25]. More structured digital interventions integrate this support with other features. For example, a comprehensive diabetes management app might include medication reminders, a logbook for blood glucose readings that can be shared with a clinician or a designated family member, a peer chat forum, and educational content. This creates an integrated ecosystem of support that blends social connection with practical self-management tools [26].

Telehealth and videoconferencing have also broken down geographical barriers, allowing patients in rural or remote areas to participate in group therapy or support sessions and to maintain regular contact with healthcare providers and coaches. Digital platforms can facilitate the creation of "virtual teams" where the patient, their family, a nurse educator, and a psychologist can collaborate asynchronously. Studies on technology-enhanced interventions show promising results for improving medication adherence, particularly through reminder systems, and for increasing engagement with lifestyle modification programs by providing continuous feedback and social connectivity [27][28].

## 5. Integrated and Multimodal Approaches

### 5.1 Chronic Disease Self-Management Programs (CDSMPs)

Recognizing that different chronic illnesses share common self-management challenges, generic Chronic Disease Self-Management Programs (CDSMPs), such as the landmark Stanford model, were developed. These are typically group-based, peer-led workshops run over six weeks. They are fundamentally psychoeducational and skill-based, designed to enhance patients' self-efficacy—their confidence in their ability to manage their health. The curriculum covers core topics universal to chronic conditions: techniques for dealing with problems like frustration, fatigue, and pain; appropriate exercise for maintaining strength and endurance; medication management; effective communication with family, friends, and health professionals; nutrition; and how to evaluate new treatments [29].

The power of CDSMPs lies in their integrated, multimodal nature. They combine key elements of CBT (e.g., action planning, problem-solving), peer support (the group is led by trained peer facilitators who also have chronic conditions), and health education. Participants set weekly action plans and report on their successes and difficulties, creating a dynamic of accountability and mutual learning. Extensive research, including randomized controlled trials, has shown that CDSMP participants experience significant improvements in health behaviors (including adherence), self-efficacy, health status, and reductions in healthcare utilization, across a wide variety of chronic conditions [30][31].

### 5.2 Collaborative Care Models

Collaborative care is a systemic, team-based approach that represents the full operationalization of the biopsychosocial model within a healthcare setting. It moves beyond referring a patient to an outside psychologist and instead integrates mental health professionals (typically care managers or psychologists) directly into the primary care or specialty medical team. In a collaborative care model for diabetes or heart failure, for instance, a nurse care manager or behavioral health specialist works in concert with the treating physician and a consulting psychiatrist. This team uses a registry to

proactively track a panel of patients, not just those who actively complain of distress [32].

The integrated professional provides brief, evidence-based psychological interventions (like behavioral activation, problem-solving treatment, or MI) focused on adherence and coping, directly at the point of care. They regularly review cases with the medical team, ensuring that the psychological and medical treatment plans are aligned. This model systematically addresses the high rates of comorbid depression and anxiety that sabotage adherence, providing "treat to target" mental health care just as one would for hypertension or hyperlipidemia. Numerous studies, synthesized in multiple meta-analyses, conclusively demonstrate that collaborative care models are superior to usual care in improving both depression outcomes *and* physical health metrics (like HbA1c in diabetes or blood pressure in hypertension), precisely because they improve the mediating factor of treatment adherence [33, 34].

### 5.3 Tailoring Interventions to Specific Populations and Illnesses

While the general principles of these interventions are broadly applicable, their effective implementation requires careful tailoring to the specific demands of the illness, the developmental stage of the patient, and their cultural context. For example, interventions for children with cystic fibrosis must actively involve parents and address developmental transitions, such as moving from parent-managed to self-managed care during adolescence. Interventions for patients with HIV/AIDS must be acutely sensitive to stigma, disclosure issues, and potential neurocognitive effects of the disease or medication. In conditions causing cognitive impairment, such as multiple sclerosis or some cancers, interventions may need to be adapted to include more external memory aids, simplified instructions, and greater involvement of caregivers [35].

Cultural tailoring is not merely about language translation but involves adapting the intervention's content, metaphors, and delivery methods to align with cultural beliefs about illness, authority, family dynamics, and help-seeking. For instance, an MI conversation in a collectivist culture might evoke motivations centered on family honor and responsibility rather than individual autonomy. Similarly, mindfulness practices might be framed within familiar spiritual or religious traditions to enhance acceptability [36]. Socioeconomic factors must also be addressed; the most elegant psychological intervention will fail if the patient cannot afford their medication. Therefore, effective programs often link patients with social workers or community resources to address these fundamental barriers, recognizing that psychological and social interventions must operate in tandem with practical support [37].

## 6. Conclusion

The management of chronic illness in the modern era demands a comprehensive approach that transcends the traditional boundaries of biomedicine. As this analysis has detailed, the challenges of treatment non-adherence and maladaptive coping are rooted in a complex matrix of psychological perceptions, emotional states, behavioral patterns, and social contexts. Isolated educational or pharmacological strategies are often insufficient to change deeply ingrained behaviors and emotional responses. Evidence-based psychological interventions, including Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy, mindfulness-based approaches, and Motivational Interviewing, provide essential tools for modifying dysfunctional thoughts, building behavioral skills, fostering acceptance, and strengthening intrinsic motivation for self-care. Concurrently, social interventions that strategically mobilize support from family systems, peer networks, and community resources create an external scaffolding that sustains and reinforces these internal changes.

The most promising path forward lies in integrated, multimodal frameworks such as Chronic Disease Self-Management Programs and, especially, Collaborative Care Models. These approaches systematically weave psychological and social expertise into the very fabric of medical care, ensuring that attention to adherence and coping is not an afterthought but a standard, proactive component of treatment. They embody the true spirit of patient-centered care, recognizing the patient as an active agent whose beliefs, relationships, and context are central to clinical outcomes. Future efforts must focus on overcoming barriers to the widespread implementation of these interventions, including healthcare financing models, professional training, and digital equity. Ultimately, improving the lives of millions living with chronic illness depends on our collective commitment to treating not just the diseased organ, but the whole person within their life world, using every tool at our disposal—biological, psychological, and social.

## Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.

- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

## References


1. Ogungbe, O., Byiringiro, S., Adedokun-Afolayan, A., Seal, S. M., Dennison Himmelfarb, C. R., Davidson, P. M., & Commodore-Mensah, Y. Medication adherence interventions for cardiovascular disease in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. Patient Preference and Adherence. 2021;15:885–897.
2. Boima V, Doku A, Agyekum F, Tuglo LS and Agyemang C. Effectiveness of digital health interventions on blood pressure control, lifestyle behaviours and adherence to medication in patients with hypertension in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. eClinicalMedicine. 2024;69:102432.
3. Gast A and Mathes T. Medication adherence influencing factors—an (updated) overview of systematic reviews. Systematic Reviews. 2019;8(1):112.
4. Peh KQE, Kwan YH, Goh H, Ramchandani H, Phang JK, Lim ZY, Loh DHF, Østbye T, Blalock DV, Yoon S, Bosworth HB, Low LL and Thumboo J. An adaptable framework for factors contributing to medication adherence: results from a systematic review of 102 conceptual frameworks. Journal of General Internal Medicine. 2021;36(9):2784–2795.
5. Lund C, Breen A, Flisher AJ, Kakuma R, Corrigall J, Joska JA, Swartz L and Patel V. Poverty and common mental disorders in low and middle income countries: A systematic review. Social Science & Medicine. 2010;71(3):517–528.
6. Popay, J., Roberts, H., Sowden, A., Petticrew, M., Arai, L., Rodgers, M., et al. Guidance on the conduct of narrative synthesis in systematic reviews. A product from the ESRC methods programme version. 2006;1(1):b92.
7. Alfonsson S, Englund J and Parling T. Tailored text message prompts to increase therapy homework adherence: a single-case randomised controlled study. Behaviour Change. 2019;36(3):180–191.
8. Aguilera A, Bruehlman-Senecal E, Demasi O and Avila P. Automated text messaging as an adjunct to cognitive behavioral therapy for depression: a clinical trial. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2017;19(5):e148.
9. Pérez JC, Fernández O, Cáceres C, Carrasco ÁE, Moessner M, Bauer S, Espinosa-Duque D, Gloger S and Krause M. An adjunctive internet-based intervention to enhance treatment for depression in adults: randomized controlled trial. JMIR Mental Health. 2021;8(12):e26814.
10. Ludden GD, Van Rompay TJ, Kelders SM and Van Gemert-Pijnen JE. How to increase reach and adherence of web-based interventions: a design research viewpoint. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2015;17(7):e172.
11. Fernandez E, Salem D, Swift JK and Ramtahal N. Meta-analysis of dropout from cognitive behavioral therapy: magnitude, timing, and moderators. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 2015;83(6):1108–1122.
12. Miranda J, Azocar F, Organista KC, Dwyer E and Areane P. Treatment of depression among impoverished primary care patients from ethnic minority groups. Psychiatric Services. 2003;54(2):219–225.
13. Lippke S, Gao L, Keller FM, Becker P and Dahmen A. Adherence with online therapy vs. face-to-face therapy and with online therapy vs. care as usual: secondary analysis of two randomized controlled trials. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2021;23(11):e31274.
14. Patel V, Chisholm D, Dua T, Laxminarayan R and Medina-Mora ML (eds). Disease Control Priorities, Third Edition (Volume 4): Mental, Neurological, and Substance Use Disorders. Washington, DC: The World Bank. 2016.
15. Barrera TL, Smith AH and Norton PJ. Motivational interviewing as an adjunct to cognitive behavioral therapy for anxiety. Journal of Clinical Psychology. 2016;72(1):5–14.
16. Peters L, Romano M, Byrow Y, Gregory B, McLellan LF, Brockveld K, Baillie A, Gaston J and Rapee RM. Motivational interviewing prior to cognitive behavioural treatment for social anxiety disorder: A randomised controlled trial. Journal of Affective Disorders. 2019;256:70–78.
17. Daley DC, Salloum IM, Zuckoff A, Kirisci L and Thase ME. Increasing treatment adherence among outpatients with depression and cocaine dependence: results of a pilot study. American Journal of Psychiatry. 1998;155(11):1611–1613.
18. Avishai A, Oldham M, Kellett S and Sheeran P. Sustaining attendance at a mental health service: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 2018;86(12):1056–1060.
19. Oldham M, Kellett S, Miles E and Sheeran P. Interventions to increase attendance at psychotherapy: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 2012;80(5):928–939.
20. France DG and Dugo JM. Pretherapy orientation as preparation for open psychotherapy groups.

Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training. 1985;22(2):256–261.
21. Delgadillo J and Groom M. Using psychoeducation and role induction to improve completion rates in cognitive behavioural therapy. Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy. 2017;45(2):170–184.
22. Latour D and Cappeliez P. Pretherapy training for group cognitive therapy with depressed older adults. Canadian Journal on Aging / La Revue Canadienne Du Vieillissement. 1994;13(2):221–235.
23. Hoehn-Saric R, Frank JD, Imber SD, Nash EH, Stone AR and Battle CC. Systematic preparation of patients for psychotherapy: I Effects on therapy behavior and outcome. Journal of Psychiatric Research. 1964;2(4):267–281.
24. Delgadillo J, Moreea O, Murphy E, Ali S and Swift JK. Can low-cost strategies improve attendance rates in brief psychological therapy? Double-blind randomized controlled trial. Journal of Clinical Psychology. 2015;71(12):1139–1152.
25. Clough BA and Casey LM. Using SMS reminders in psychology clinics: a cautionary tale. Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy. 2014;42(3):257–68.
26. Furber G, Jones GM, Healey D and Bidargaddi N. A Comparison between phone-based psychotherapy with and without text messaging support in between sessions for crisis patients. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2014;16(10):e219.
27. Mohr DC, Ho J, Duffecy J, Reifler D, Sokol L, Burns MN, Jin L and Siddique J. Effect of telephone-administered vs. face-to-face cognitive behavioral therapy on adherence to therapy and depression outcomes among primary care patients: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2012;307(21):2278–85.
28. Barrett MS, Chua W-J, Crits-Christoph P, Gibbons MB and Thompson D. Early withdrawal from mental health treatment: implications for psychotherapy practice. Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training. 2008;45(2):247–267.
29. Ogrodniczuk JS, Joyce AS, and Piper WE. Strategies for reducing patient-initiated premature termination of psychotherapy. Harvard Review of Psychiatry. 2005;13(2):57–70.
30. Piper WE, Ogrodniczuk JS, Joyce AS, McCallum M, Rosie JS, O'Kelly JG and Steinberg PI. Prediction of dropping out in time-limited, interpretive individual psychotherapy. Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training. 1999;36(2):114–122.
31. Pekarik G. The effects of employing different termination classification criteria in dropout research. Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training. 1985;22(1):86–91.
32. Loots E, Goossens E, Vanwesemael T, Morrens M, Van Rompaey B and Dilles T. Interventions to improve medication adherence in patients with Schizophrenia or bipolar disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021;18:10213.
33. Barkhof E, Meijer CJ, De Sonneville LMJ, Linszen DH and De Haan L. Interventions to improve adherence to antipsychotic medication in patients with schizophrenia: a review of the past decade. European Psychiatry. 2012;27(1):9–18.
34. Bosworth HB. Improving Patient Treatment Adherence: A Clinician's Guide. New York: Springer. 2010.
35. Munder T, Flückiger C, Leichsenring F, Abbass AA, Hilsenroth MJ, Luyten P, Rabung S, Steinert C and Wampold BE. Is psychotherapy effective? A re-analysis of treatments for depression. Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences. 2019;28(03):268–274.
36. Hansen NB, Lambert MJ and Forman EM. The psychotherapy dose-response effect and its implications for treatment delivery services. Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice. 2002;9(3):329–343.
37. Hochstadt NJ and Trybula J. Reducing missed initial appointments in a community mental health center. Journal of Community Psychology. 1980;8(3):261–265.