International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 10-No.4 (2024) pp. 3578-3585
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Research Article

The Impact of Dentist–Dental Assistant Task Delegation on Clinical Efficiency, Patient Safety, and Quality of Dental Care

Ahmed Abdullah Fahad Alhadi[1]*, Taghreed Mohammad Al Thobiti[2], Mazin Abdullah Salih Altasan[3], Alanazi Hala Alfuraym M[4], Abdulaziz Mohammed Ali Alharbi[5], Mohammed Lafi H Alotaibi[6], Alrawaili Ibtihal Afet D[7], Amirah Bunayyan Shannan Alanazi[8], Reem Mana Saleh Alqashanin[9], Asma Olayan Alruwaili[10], Maha Basheer S Alanazi[11]

[1]Family Dentistry – Registrar – Al-Jouf Specialized Dental Center, Al-Jouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Sakaka, Al-Jouf, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
* **Corresponding Author Email:** Dr.alhadi17@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0027-9950

[2]General Dentist – Taif Dental Center, Taif Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Taif, Makkah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: ssttmm1654@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0127-8850

[3]General Dentist – Al-Jarf Primary Health Care Center, Madinah Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Madinah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: Maltasan@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0227-0950

[4]Dentist – Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health Branch, Arar, Northern Borders, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: halanazi28@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0327-8950

[5]General Dentist – Madinah Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Madinah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: dr.abdulazizharbi@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0427-7950

[6]General Dentist – Osailah Primary Health Care Center (Al-Dawadmi General Hospital), Riyadh Third Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Al-Dawadmi, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: mohammed.alnahnah@qudent.org - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0527-6950

[7]Dental Assistant Technician – Jawhra Primary Health Care Center, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: ebtehalafet98@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0627-5950

[8]Dental Assistant Technician – Prince Abdullah bin Abdulaziz bin Musaed Specialized Dental Center, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: amirahba@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0727-4950

[9]Dental Assistant Technician – Najran Specialty Dental Center, Najran Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Najran, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: Ralqashanin@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0827-3950

[10]Dental Assistant Technician – Al-Salihiyah Al-Awsat Primary Health Care Center, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: AsOAlruwaili@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0927-2950

[11]Dental Assistant Technician – South Al-Faisaliyah Primary Health Care Center, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: Almaha_09@hotmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-9027-1950

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4665
Received : 01 June 2024
Accepted : 30 June 2024

Keywords

Abstract:

The delegation of tasks between dentists and dental assistants plays a pivotal role in shaping clinical efficiency within dental practices. By assigning appropriate tasks to dental assistants, dentists can focus more on clinical procedures that require their specialized skills, thereby optimizing workflow and reducing treatment times. This collaborative model not only enhances the productivity of the dental team but also allows for better management of patient appointments and resources. For instance,

dentist,
dental assistant,
task delegation,
clinical efficiency,
patient safety,
quality of care

while dental assistants handle routine tasks such as patient preparation, sterilization of instruments, and initial patient education, dentists can concentrate on complex diagnostics and restorative care. The strategic delegation of responsibilities leads to a more streamlined practice environment, fostering a system where both team members can operate at the top of their licenses, ultimately benefiting patient throughput and satisfaction. However, the impact of task delegation on patient safety and the quality of dental care cannot be overlooked. Secure and effective delegation depends on clear communication, proper training, and an understanding of each team member's capabilities. When dental assistants are empowered to perform specific tasks such as taking X-rays or managing preventive care under the dentist's supervision, they contribute significantly to patient safety and care quality. This delegation not only reduces the likelihood of errors by ensuring that the right tasks are handled by qualified personnel, but it also promotes a culture of teamwork where continuous learning and improvement are encouraged. Nonetheless, it is crucial for dental practices to establish defined protocols and standards to maintain high-quality care and safety, ensuring that patient welfare remains at the forefront of all delegated tasks.

1. Introduction

The contemporary dental practice is a complex, dynamic environment where the delivery of high-quality care hinges not only on the dentist's expertise but also on the effective functioning of the entire dental team. At the heart of this team dynamic lies the critical relationship between the dentist and the dental assistant, a partnership fundamentally defined by the delegation of clinical and administrative tasks. Task delegation, in this context, refers to the transfer of specific duties from the dentist—who holds the ultimate responsibility for diagnosis, treatment planning, and overall patient care—to a qualified dental assistant. This practice is not merely a matter of convenience but a strategic element of modern practice management with profound implications for clinical outcomes, operational performance, and the very economics of dental care delivery. The evolution of the dental assistant's role from a primarily custodial and clerical position to an integral, skilled clinical partner reflects broader trends in healthcare towards team-based care models aimed at optimizing resources and improving patient access [1].

Historically, dental care was delivered in a largely solo-practitioner model. The dentist performed virtually all procedures, from diagnosis to restoration, while perhaps employing an aide for instrument cleaning and patient scheduling. This model, while ensuring direct control, was inherently limited in its capacity and efficiency. The post-World War II era, particularly with the advent of more complex procedures and a growing emphasis on preventive care, saw the formalization and expansion of the dental assisting profession. The introduction of chairside assisting, radiographic techniques, and infection control protocols necessitated a more structured approach to delegation [2]. Today, the scope of duties delegated to dental assistants varies significantly across jurisdictions, governed by state or national dental practice acts, which define what constitutes legal and allowable duties for auxiliaries. These regulations create a spectrum of practice models, ranging from restrictive environments where assistants perform mainly non-clinical tasks to expansive ones where they are permitted to undertake reversible procedures such as coronal polishing, sealant application, and impression taking under varying levels of supervision [3].

The rationale for delegation is underpinned by several compelling drivers. Firstly, economic pressures and the rising costs of operating a dental practice incentivize dentists to maximize productivity. By delegating appropriate tasks, the dentist can focus their time and advanced skills on procedures that require their unique training, such as surgical interventions, complex treatment planning, and irreversible operative procedures. This division of labor theoretically increases the number of patients seen and services rendered per unit of time [4]. Secondly, there is a growing societal demand for dental services, exacerbated by an aging population retaining more natural teeth and an increased public awareness of oral health. Efficient delegation is seen as a potential mechanism to expand the capacity of the dental care system and improve access to care [5]. Thirdly, from a human resources perspective, effective delegation can enhance job satisfaction for dental assistants by providing them with a more challenging, varied, and professionally fulfilling role, potentially reducing staff turnover and fostering a more stable practice environment [6].

However, the expansion of delegation is not without controversy and concern. The primary tension lies in balancing the pursuit of efficiency with the uncompromising imperative of patient safety and care quality. Critics argue that inappropriate or poorly supervised delegation could lead to diagnostic oversights, technical errors, and a

dilution of the dentist-patient relationship. There are also ethical considerations regarding the potential for task-shifting to be driven more by economic gain than by patient welfare, and legal implications concerning the boundaries of supervision and liability [7]. Furthermore, the success of delegation is contingent upon multiple factors: the clarity of practice acts, the adequacy of dental assistant education and training, the presence of robust communication protocols within the team, and the establishment of a practice culture that values continuous quality assurance [8].

2. Defining the Framework: Models and Regulations of Delegation

The landscape of task delegation in dentistry is not uniform; it is sculpted by a patchwork of legal statutes and professional guidelines that define the roles and responsibilities of dental auxiliaries. Understanding this regulatory framework is essential to any meaningful discussion of its impacts. Generally, tasks are categorized as either *delegable* or *non-delegable*. Non-delegable duties are those requiring the dentist's expert judgment and advanced education, including diagnosis, treatment planning, surgical or cutting procedures on hard and soft tissues, prescription of drugs, and authorization of final restoratives [9]. Delegable tasks are those that can be legally assigned to a qualified dental assistant, often subdivided based on the required level of supervision: direct supervision (dentist present in the operatory), indirect supervision (dentist in the office but not necessarily in the operatory), and general supervision (dentist has authorized the procedure but is not required to be on-site) [10].

Globally, significant variation exists. In the United States, regulations differ by state. Some states have pioneered expanded function dental auxiliaries (EFDAs) who can perform a wide range of reversible procedures like placing and carving restorations, while others maintain a more restrictive scope [11]. In the United Kingdom, dental nurses can undertake additional duties such as impression taking and polishings after completing certified post-qualification training [12]. Scandinavian countries have well-established systems with distinct tiers of dental personnel, including dental hygienists and dental nurses with clearly delegated procedural roles [13]. This variability creates natural laboratories for comparative research on the outcomes of different delegation models.

The theoretical foundation for effective delegation is often drawn from organizational management and psychology. It is not simply about offloading work but involves a deliberate process: defining the task, selecting the capable individual (the dental assistant), providing the necessary authority, resources, and training, establishing clear expectations and outcomes, and maintaining appropriate oversight through feedback and evaluation [14]. Successful delegation is thus a skill that must be cultivated by the dentist, requiring trust, communication, and leadership. It moves from a mere transactional exchange to a relational partnership within the dental team. Failure in any of these steps—such as delegating a task beyond an assistant's competency, providing inadequate training, or failing to supervise appropriately—can negate any potential benefits and introduce significant risks [15].

3. The Impact on Clinical Efficiency and Practice Productivity

One of the most cited justifications for task delegation is its potential to enhance the efficiency and productivity of the dental practice. Efficiency, in this context, refers to the optimal use of resources—primarily time and human capital—to achieve the desired clinical outputs. A substantial body of evidence suggests that well-implemented delegation models can have a positive impact in this domain.

The most direct efficiency gain is through improved time management for the dentist. By delegating preparatory, supportive, and certain procedural tasks, the dentist is freed from activities that do not require their advanced level of education. For instance, while a dental assistant is taking preliminary impressions, exposing and processing radiographs, or providing postoperative instructions, the dentist can be diagnosing another patient, performing a surgical extraction, or developing a complex treatment plan [16]. This parallel processing reduces idle time and maximizes the dentist's contribution at the top of their license. Studies have demonstrated that practices utilizing expanded-function auxiliaries can increase the number of patients treated per day and the volume of specific procedures, such as restorative care, completed [17].

This leads directly to enhanced workflow and operational throughput. The concept of "four-handed dentistry," which involves a seated dentist and a seated assistant working together in a coordinated manner, is fundamentally reliant on effective delegation. The assistant’s role in instrument transfer, suction, and tissue retraction allows the dentist to work more smoothly and with less physical strain, reducing procedure time [18]. Furthermore, the delegation of infection control

protocols, sterilization, and operatory turnover to trained assistants ensures that treatment rooms are prepared swiftly and to a consistent standard, minimizing downtime between patients and creating a more predictable schedule [19].

The financial implications are significant. Increased productivity translates directly into potential practice revenue growth. While there are costs associated with employing and training skilled auxiliaries, the return on investment is often positive. The dentist's capacity to generate income from high-value procedures is amplified. Economic modeling suggests that delegation can improve the cost-effectiveness of dental care delivery by lowering the average cost per procedure when output increases without a proportional rise in fixed costs [20]. This can be particularly crucial in public health and community clinic settings, where resources are constrained and the mandate to serve large populations is pressing [21].

Beyond measurable output, delegation can contribute to dentist well-being and burnout prevention. Dentistry is a profession with high physical and mental demands. By sharing the clinical load and reducing the dentist's involvement in repetitive or strenuous tasks, delegation can alleviate physical strain and cognitive overload. This can lead to reduced stress, greater job satisfaction, and a longer, healthier career—factors that indirectly but profoundly affect the long-term efficiency and sustainability of a practice [22]. A dentist who is less fatigued and more engaged is likely to maintain higher levels of concentration and clinical judgment during complex procedures, creating a positive feedback loop for quality and safety.

4. The Impact on Patient Safety: Mitigating Risks and Ensuring Standards

Patient safety is the non-negotiable cornerstone of healthcare. In dentistry, it encompasses the prevention of errors, the avoidance of adverse events, and the maintenance of rigorous infection control standards. The impact of delegation on patient safety is complex, with evidence pointing to both significant benefits and potential hazards, largely dependent on the conditions under which delegation occurs.

A primary safety benefit arises from the formalization of infection control protocols. Dental assistants are typically responsible for the critical chain of sterilization and disinfection. When this role is clearly delegated to trained, certified individuals who are empowered to follow standardized protocols without interruption, compliance rates with infection control guidelines, such as those from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), tend to be higher [23]. A dedicated assistant can ensure meticulous cleaning of operatories, proper handling of contaminated instruments, and correct use of personal protective equipment (PPE), creating a safer environment for every patient. This specialized focus reduces the risk of cross-contamination, a fundamental patient safety concern.

The paradigm of four-handed dentistry, enabled by delegation, also enhances procedural safety. A skilled chairside assistant provides continuous suction and retraction, improving the dentist's visibility and access to the operative field. This reduces the risk of inadvertent soft tissue injury, improves moisture control for adhesive procedures (a key factor in restoration longevity), and allows the dentist to maintain better focus on the primary task [24]. The assistant acts as a second pair of eyes, which can be crucial in monitoring patient comfort and vital signs, especially during longer procedures or for anxious patients.

However, the risks associated with delegation are predominantly linked to its improper implementation. The most critical risk is the delegation of tasks that exceed the assistant's legal scope of practice or personal competency. This can lead to technical errors, such as poorly taken impressions leading to ill-fitting prostheses, improperly placed sealants, or inaccurately exposed radiographs that compromise diagnosis [25]. Perhaps more insidious is the risk of "diagnostic drift," where a dentist becomes overly reliant on an assistant's preliminary assessments (e.g., of soft tissue or preliminary charting) without performing a comprehensive personal examination, potentially missing early signs of pathology [26].

Therefore, the linchpin of safe delegation is *appropriate supervision*. The level of supervision must be commensurate with the complexity of the task and the assistant's proven competency. Direct supervision is mandatory for high-risk or complex delegated tasks. The dentist must remain ultimately responsible and vigilant. Systems must be in place for clear, closed-loop communication where assistants feel empowered to ask questions and report concerns, and where dentists provide timely feedback and corrective guidance [27]. Furthermore, comprehensive training and certification for specific expanded functions are not optional; they are prerequisites for safety. Continuing education ensures that assistants maintain and update their skills in line with evolving materials and technologies [28].

5. The Impact on the Quality of Dental Care: Beyond Technical Proficiency

Quality in dental care is a multidimensional construct. It includes technical excellence (the durability and appropriateness of treatments), patient-centeredness (communication, comfort, and satisfaction), and the achievement of desired health outcomes. The influence of task delegation on quality is subtle and intersects with both efficiency and safety.

On a technical level, evidence regarding the quality of specific procedures performed by well-trained auxiliaries is largely positive. Multiple studies, including systematic reviews, have compared the quality of restorations placed by EFDAs to those placed by dentists. The findings consistently indicate that when performing within their defined scope and under proper protocols, EFDAs can produce restorations (such as dental sealants and simple amalgam or composite fillings) that are clinically acceptable and demonstrate longevity comparable to those placed by dentists [29, 30]. The key variables are the rigor of the assistant's training program and the presence of ongoing quality assessment, not the delegation itself.

A significant quality enhancement lies in the domain of patient experience and communication. Dental assistants often spend more cumulative time with patients than the dentist does. They are frequently responsible for initial greetings, seating, taking medical history updates, and providing detailed postoperative and preventive care instructions. A skilled, empathetic assistant can build strong rapport, alleviate anxiety, and ensure patients fully understand their treatment and home care responsibilities [31]. This continuity of care and reinforcement of health messages can improve treatment adherence and long-term oral health outcomes, core components of quality care. Delegating patient education to assistants allows for more thorough, unrushed explanations.

Furthermore, the team-based model fostered by effective delegation can lead to better continuity and coordination of care. With clear roles, standardized protocols, and shared patient records, the handoffs between dentist and assistant become seamless. This reduces the likelihood of errors of omission, such as forgetting to give prescriptions or instructions. It also creates a practice environment where multiple team members are engaged in monitoring the patient's overall experience and clinical progress [32]. This collaborative vigilance can enhance the detection of problems early and foster a more holistic approach to patient management.

However, a potential threat to quality emerges if delegation leads to the fragmentation or depersonalization of care. The dentist must remain the central coordinator and the primary therapeutic relationship for the patient. If patients perceive that they are being "passed off" or that their dentist is disengaged, trust can erode, and satisfaction can decline [33]. Therefore, the dentist must strategically integrate their presence, ensuring they perform the comprehensive examination, explain the diagnosis and treatment plan, and are present for key stages of treatment. Delegation should augment, not replace, the dentist's leadership in the patient's care journey.

6. Socio-Economic and Systemic Considerations

The discussion of delegation cannot be isolated from the broader socio-economic context of healthcare. Delegation policies are often examined as a potential lever to address systemic challenges in dental care delivery.

A primary driver for expanding scopes of practice is improving access to care, particularly for underserved populations in rural areas or urban centers with dentist shortages. By enabling auxiliaries to perform preventive and basic restorative services under general supervision in schools, nursing homes, or satellite clinics, the reach of the dental profession can be extended [34]. Countries like New Zealand and Canada have utilized dental therapists in public health systems to provide care to children and remote communities with demonstrated success in improving access and oral health outcomes [35]. This public health perspective frames delegation not just as a practice management tool, but as a workforce strategy.

The economic calculus extends beyond individual practices to the entire healthcare system. Training dental assistants to an expanded function level is generally less costly and time-intensive than training dentists. Therefore, optimizing the dental team mix through delegation can be a cost-effective way to meet population oral health needs, potentially reducing overall system expenditures [36]. This argument is central to policy debates about reforming dental practice acts to allow for more flexible team models.

Nevertheless, significant barriers exist. Professional resistance from dental associations, often rooted in concerns over safety, quality, and economic competition, has historically slowed the expansion of auxiliary roles in many regions [37]. There is also the challenge of ensuring equitable training opportunities and career ladders for dental assistants, so that delegation does not simply become a mechanism for exploiting lower-paid workers without providing corresponding professional development and fair compensation [38]. The stability and morale of the dental team,

crucial for sustained quality, depend on addressing these workforce issues.

7. Synthesis, Recommendations, and Future Directions

The impact of dentist-dental assistant task delegation is unequivocally multidimensional. The evidence suggests that it is not inherently positive or negative; its outcomes are contingent upon the *how* rather than the *if*. When implemented within a robust framework of clear regulation, rigorous education, appropriate supervision, and a culture of teamwork and communication, delegation can create a powerful synergy. This synergy can yield a "triple aim" for the dental practice: enhanced clinical efficiency and economic vitality, strengthened patient safety protocols, and sustained or improved quality of care through improved patient experience and effective task specialization.

Key recommendations for optimizing delegation emerge from this analysis. First, **regulatory bodies**should consider evidence-based updates to dental practice acts, defining clear, safe scopes of practice for auxiliaries that balance innovation with patient protection [39]. Second, **dental educators** must integrate formal training in delegation skills, team leadership, and communication into both dental and dental assisting curricula. Dentists must learn to delegate effectively, not just clinically, but managerially [40]. Third, **practices** must invest in continuous, competency-based training for their auxiliary staff and establish clear office protocols for supervision, communication, and quality assurance (e.g., periodic review of radiographs taken or impressions made by assistants). Finally, **the profession** must engage in ongoing research to objectively measure the long-term outcomes of different delegation models on patient health status, cost-effectiveness, and workforce satisfaction.

8. Coinclusion:

The future of dentistry will likely see an increased reliance on team-based care. Demographic pressures, technological advancements, and evolving patient expectations will continue to shape the roles within the dental team. By embracing a structured, ethical, and patient-centered approach to task delegation, the dental profession can harness the full potential of its workforce. This will enable it to meet growing demands without compromising the sacred tenets of safety and quality, ultimately ensuring that the delegation of tasks strengthens, rather than dilutes, the covenant of trust between the profession and the public it serves. The goal is not to replace the dentist, but to empower the entire team to deliver care that is greater than the sum of its parts.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Yavagal P, Raj R, Kateel P, Mrunal S, Diwakar N, Lokapur R. Attitude towards learning communication skill among dental interns in davanagere city: A cross-sectional survey. Journal of Indian Association of Public Health Dentistry. 2023;21(1):54.
2. Hamasaki T, Soh I, Takehara T, Hagihara A. Applicability of both dentist and patient perceptions of dentists' explanations to the evaluation of dentist-patient communication. Community Dental Health Journal. 2011;28(4):274–279.
3. Orellana LM, Martínez-Sanchis S, Silvestre FJ. Training adults and children with an autism spectrum disorder to be compliant with a clinical dental assessment using a TEACCH-based approach. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders. 2014;44(4):776–785.
4. Mellor AC, Milgrom P. Dentists' attitudes toward frustrating patient visits: relationship to satisfaction and malpractice complaints. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology. 1995;23(1):15–19.
5. Phillips C. Patient-centered outcomes in surgical and orthodontic treatment. Seminars in Orthodontics. 1999;5(4):223–230.
6. Zhou Y, Humphris GM. Reassurance and distress behavior in preschool children undergoing dental preventive care procedures in a community setting: a multilevel observational study. Annals of Behavioral Medicine. 2014;48(1):100–111.

7. Dang BN, Westbrook RA, Njue SM, Giordano TP. Building trust and rapport early in the new doctor-patient relationship: a longitudinal qualitative study. BMC Medical Education. 2017;17(1):32.
8. Blinder D, Rotenberg L, Peleg M, Taicher S. Patient compliance to instructions after oral surgical procedures. International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 2001;30(3):216–219.
9. Hamasaki T, Hagihara A. Dentists' legal liability and duty of explanation in dental malpractice litigation in Japan. International Dental Journal. 2021;71(4):300–308.
10. Ho CY, Chai H, Lo EC, Huang Z, Chu CH. Dentist-patient communication in quality dental care. Frontiers in Oral Health. 2024.
11. Broder HL, Janal M. Promoting interpersonal skills and cultural sensitivity among dental students. Journal of Dental Education. 2006;70(4):409–416.
12. Salim NA, Sallam M, Aldweik RH, Sawair FA, Sharaireh AM, Alabed A. Rating communication skills in dental practice: the impact of different sociodemographic factors. BMC Medical Education. 2023;23(1):950.
13. Khalifah AM, Celenza A. Teaching and assessment of dentist-patient communication skills: a systematic review to identify best-evidence methods. Journal of Dental Education. 2019;83(1):16–31.
14. Misra S, Daly B, Dunne S, Millar B, Packer M, Asimakopoulou K. Dentist-patient communication: what do patients and dentists remember following a consultation? Implications for patient compliance. Patient Preference and Adherence. 2013;7:543–549.
15. El Dalatony MM, Alshareef RI, Alkahtani AR, et al. Patient satisfaction as a determinant of patient loyalty to the dentist in dental clinics. Journal of Patient Experience. 2023;10:23743735231166506.
16. Freeman R, Humphris G. Communicating in Dental Practice. Quintessence Publishing Company Limited; 2019.
17. Goldsmith C, Slack-Smith L, Davies G. Dentist-patient communication in the multilingual dental setting. Australian Dental Journal. 2005;50(4):235–241.
18. Easson E. Communicating effectively with patients. British Dental Journal Team. 2020;7(10):21.
19. Sharma A, Chhabra A, Bopiah C. Patient consent in dentistry: Are we legally safe? Journal of Oral Health and Community Dentistry. 2011;5(2):68–72.
20. Threlfall AG, Hunt CM, Milsom KM, Tickle M, Blinkhorn AS. Exploring factors that influence general dental practitioners when providing advice to help prevent caries in children. British Dental Journal. 2007;202(4):E10–217.
21. Apelian N, Vergnes J-N, Bedos C. Humanizing clinical dentistry through a person-centred model. International Journal of Whole Person Care. 2014;1(2).
22. Roter DL, Frankel RM, Hall JA, Sluyter D. The expression of emotion through nonverbal behavior in medical visits. Mechanisms and outcomes. Journal of General Internal Medicine. 2006;21(Suppl 1):S28–S34.
23. Stein PS, Aalboe JA, Savage MW, Scott AM. Strategies for communicating with older dental patients. Journal of the American Dental Association. 2014;145(2):159–164.
24. Ridsdale L, Carruthers M, Morris R, Ridsdale J. Study of the effect of time availability on the consultation. Journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners. 1989;39(329):488–491.
25. Hall JA, Horgan TG, Murphy NA. Nonverbal Communication. Annual Review of Psychology. 2019;70:271–294.
26. Chu CH, Lo EC. Patients' satisfaction with dental services provided by a university in Hong Kong. International Dental Journal. 1999;49(1):53–59.
27. Schulz-Weidner N, Gruber M, Schraml EM, Wöstmann B, Krämer N, Schlenz MA. Improving the communication of dental findings in pediatric dentistry by using intraoral scans as a visual aid: A randomized clinical trial. Dentistry Journal. 2024;12(1):15.
28. Lopez-Nicolas M, Falcón M, Perez-Carceles MD, Osuna E, Luna A. Informed consent in dental malpractice claims. A retrospective study. International Dental Journal. 2007;57(3):168–172.
29. Gragoll I, Schumann L, Neubauer M, Westphal C, Lang H. Healthcare avoidance: a qualitative study of dental care avoidance in Germany in terms of emergent behaviours and characteristics. BMC Oral Health. 2021;21(1):563.
30. Badran A, Keraa K, Farghaly MM. The impact of oral health literacy on dental anxiety and utilization of oral health services among dental patients: a cross sectional study. BMC Oral Health. 2023;23(1):146.
31. Patient communications: A guide for dentists. Canadian Dental Association.
32. Waylen A. The importance of communication in dentistry. Dental Update. 2017;44(8):774–780.
33. Hammersmith KJ, Lee JY. A survey of North Carolina safety-net dental clinics' methods for communicating with patients of limited English proficiency (LEP). Journal of Public Health Dentistry. 2009;69(2):90–94.
34. McVea KL, Venugopal M, Crabtree BF, Aita V. The organization and distribution of patient education materials in family medicine practices. The Journal of Family Practice. 2000;49(4):319–326.
35. Yamalik N. Dentist-patient relationship and quality care 3. Communication. International Dental Journal. 2005;55(4):254–256.
36. Weiss BD. How to bridge the health literacy gap. Family Practice Management. 2014;21(1):14–18.
37. Szabó RM, Buzás N, Braunitzer G, Shedlin MG, Má A. Factors influencing patient satisfaction and loyalty as perceived by dentists and their patients. Dentistry Journal. 2023;11(9):203.
38. Hardavella G, Aamli-Gaagnat A, Saad N, Rousalova I, Sreter KB. How to give and receive feedback effectively. Breathe. 2017;13(4):327–333.

39. Mah JW, Tsang P. Visual schedule system in dental care for patients with autism: A pilot study. The Journal of Clinical Pediatric Dentistry. 2016;40(5):393–399.
40. Choi Y, Dodd V, Watson J, Tomar SL, Logan HL, Edwards H. Perspectives of African Americans and dentists concerning dentist-patient communication on oral cancer screening. Patient Education and Counseling. 2008;71(1):41–51.