



The Hidden Role of Nurses in Preventing Diagnostic Delays

Waad Hamad Alnwsahan^{1*}, Naifa Farhan K Alruwaili², Alshammari Manal Hussain B³, Majed Ali B Al Ruwaili⁴, Aminah Sayed A Aldahmeshi⁵, Alrowali Fizah Naiaf M⁶, Faten Nada Alenezi⁷, Hessa Khashman Alshammri⁸, Ahmed Saad M Alshehri⁹, Samiyah Abdullah Jared Alanai¹⁰, Dalia Fisal Mufleh Alshammari¹¹

¹Senior Specialist – Primary Health Care Nursing – Riyadh Al-Khabra Hospital – Qassim Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Riyadh Al-Khabra – Qassim Region – Saudi Arabia

* **Corresponding Author Email:** walnawshan@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-9110

²Technician Nursing – Maternity and Children’s Hospital, Arar – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: abc.i123@hotmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1047-9959

³Technician Nursing – North Medical Tower Hospital – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: mal-mm2005@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2047-9959

⁴Technician Nursing – Khuwaa Primary Health Care Center – Al Jouf Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Sakaka – Al Jouf Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: malrayaily@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-3047-9958

⁵Technician Nursing – Crisis and Disaster Management Center – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: LLush1148@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-4047-9957

⁶Technician Nursing – Health Crisis and Disaster Management Center – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: falrewali@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5047-9956

⁷Technician Nursing – Prince Abdulaziz bin Musaed Hospital – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: Fanealanazi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-6047-9955

⁸Technician Nursing – Forensic Medical Services Administration, Hail – Ministry of Health – Hail – Hail Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: hkalshammri@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-7047-9954

⁹Nursing Specialist – Royal Ceremonies Clinic – Second Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Riyadh – Riyadh Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: aalshhri168@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-8047-9953

¹⁰Nursing Specialist – Prince Abdullah bin Abdulaziz bin Musaed Center for Cardiac Medicine and Surgery – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: sala@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-9047-9952

¹¹Nursing Specialist – Dumat Al Jandal General Hospital – Al Jouf Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Dumat Al Jandal – Al Jouf Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: dfalshamari@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1147-9951

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4635

Received : 01 July 2024

Accepted : 30 July 2024

Keywords

nurses,
diagnostic delays,
patient care,
advocacy,
clinical judgement,
healthcare system

Abstract:

Nurses play a crucial yet often underappreciated role in the healthcare system, especially when it comes to preventing diagnostic delays. With their extensive training and patient-centered approach, nurses are on the front lines of patient care, serving as the first point of contact in many healthcare settings. They are responsible for gathering comprehensive patient histories, conducting thorough assessments, and recognizing subtle changes in patients' conditions that may signal underlying issues. By effectively communicating their insights and observations to physicians and other healthcare team members, nurses help ensure that critical information is not overlooked, ultimately leading to more timely and accurate diagnoses. Moreover, nurses often advocate for patients, ensuring that their concerns are addressed and prioritized in clinical discussions. This advocacy is particularly vital in cases where patients may feel unheard or uncertain about their symptoms. By building trusting relationships, nurses empower patients to voice their concerns more effectively, which can prompt quicker clinical action. Additionally, nurses utilize their clinical judgement and knowledge to navigate complex healthcare systems, coordinating with multiple departments and specialists to streamline the diagnostic process. Their vigilance and proactive approach are essential in minimizing delays, enhancing patient outcomes, and fostering a more efficient healthcare delivery system.

1. Introduction

The diagnostic process stands as the cornerstone of modern healthcare, a complex, iterative, and profoundly human journey from the emergence of a symptom to the articulation of a clinical explanation. It is the critical gateway that determines all subsequent actions, a cognitive and systemic endeavor upon which hinge treatment efficacy, patient prognosis, long-term health outcomes, and the very trust that underpins the therapeutic alliance. This journey, however, is fraught with peril, navigating a labyrinth of ambiguous presentations, fragmented information systems, limited resources, and inherent human cognitive limitations. Its susceptibility to failure is a stark reality, most commonly manifesting not as a stark, singular error, but as a corrosive, often protracted diagnostic delay. Defined as the unacceptable interval between the onset of symptoms and the receipt of a correct and timely diagnosis, such delays represent a critical and pervasive patient safety issue, one that inflicts significant harm on individuals and burdens healthcare systems globally [1]. The consequences are severe: missed opportunities for early intervention, progression of disease to more advanced and less treatable stages, unnecessary suffering, and avoidable mortality. Moreover, diagnostic delays precipitate immense financial costs through repeated consultations, unnecessary testing, hospitalizations for complications, and lost productivity, rendering them not only a clinical failure but a systemic inefficiency of monumental scale [2].

Historically, the discourse surrounding diagnostic safety and error has been intensely and almost exclusively physician-centric. Scholarly inquiry and

quality improvement initiatives have predominantly focused on the cognitive psychology of the diagnosing physician—exploring heuristics, biases like anchoring or availability, and knowledge gaps that lead to mistaken or missed diagnoses [3]. This focus is undoubtedly crucial; the physician's judgment is the formal endpoint of the diagnostic pathway. Yet, this narrow paradigm presents a fundamentally incomplete picture. It conceptualizes diagnosis as a solitary act of medical reasoning, occurring in a vacuum, rather than as a distributed, collaborative, and longitudinal process unfolding within a complex socio-technical system. This traditional narrative, by concentrating on the decision-maker at the terminus of the process, inadvertently overlooks the multitude of preceding stages where information is gathered, synthesized, filtered, and communicated. It neglects the essential actors who populate this earlier landscape, whose actions directly shape the quality, quantity, and timeliness of the information upon which the final diagnostic decision depends [4].

It is within this uncharted territory of the diagnostic ecosystem that the nursing profession operates, constituting the largest and most constant human infrastructure of healthcare delivery worldwide. Nurses are not peripheral to the diagnostic process; they are embedded within its very fabric. Their role is characterized by a unique constellation of attributes: continuity, intimacy, holism, and proximity. Unlike the episodic, problem-oriented encounters of physicians, nursing care is sustained and pervasive, spanning shifts, days, and often the entire arc of a patient's institutional journey. This continuous presence affords nurses a dynamic, longitudinal view of the patient's condition that is unavailable through snapshot assessments. Furthermore, the nature of nursing work—

involving intimate bodily care, prolonged conversations, and the management of daily responses to illness—fosters a holistic understanding of the patient that integrates physiological data with psychosocial context, functional status, and subtle behavioral cues. This positioned intimacy grants nurses access to a richer, more nuanced clinical narrative [5].

Despite this privileged vantage point, the nursing contribution to diagnosis has remained largely hidden, undocumented, and under-theorized within formal diagnostic frameworks. Nursing actions that prevent delay are often so seamlessly integrated into the flow of care—a vigilant observation noted, a patient's worry probed further, a test result followed up, a concern respectfully voiced to a colleague—that they become invisible, perceived merely as "good nursing" rather than as active, intentional diagnostic stewardship [6]. This invisibility is compounded by a historical division of labor and professional boundaries that have traditionally framed "diagnosis" as a medical act, leaving nursing's influence in the preparatory and evaluative phases unrecognized. Consequently, the powerful leverage that nursing practice exerts over diagnostic safety remains an untapped resource in systemic efforts to improve timeliness and accuracy [7].

2. The Nurse as the Continuous Clinical Observer: Beyond Vital Signs

A primary and profound element of the nurse's hidden role is derived from the constancy and intimacy of their presence. Unlike the episodic, problem-focused encounters characteristic of physician visits, nursing care is characterized by longitudinal engagement. This sustained contact transforms the nurse into a master clinical observer, capable of detecting subtle, evolving clues that escape more fragmented assessments.

The essence of this observation extends far beyond the routine documentation of vital signs. It involves a sophisticated, holistic monitoring of the patient's narrative as it unfolds in real-time. Nurses are adept at recognizing patterns and deviations in a patient's condition that may signal diagnostic peril. For instance, a nurse might note the subtle increase in a post-operative patient's restlessness and slight tachycardia hours before a fever or clear laboratory evidence of infection manifests [2]. This early recognition of clinical deterioration, often encapsulated in the activation of rapid response systems, is fundamentally an act of diagnosing a brewing crisis, thereby preventing a delay in life-saving intervention. Furthermore, nurses assess functional capacity, cognitive shifts, pain

characteristics, and emotional responses—data points rich with diagnostic significance that are frequently absent from standard medical histories. The nurse's skilled eye in wound assessment, for instance, can differentiate between normal healing and early signs of ischemia or infection, prompting timely consultant review that prevents a delayed diagnosis of graft failure or necrotizing fasciitis [3]. This observational prowess is particularly critical for patients with complex, chronic, or undifferentiated presentations. For a patient with vague abdominal pain, the nurse's documentation of the pain's relationship to meals, its precise migration over a 12-hour shift, or the patient's guarded posture upon movement provides the diagnostic team with a dynamic, longitudinal picture far more valuable than a static snapshot. This continuous data stream allows nurses to identify when a patient's trajectory is diverging from the expected course of a working diagnosis, serving as an early warning system for diagnostic error. Their surveillance acts as a safety net, catching the early signs of sepsis, pulmonary embolism, or delirium that might otherwise be missed in a shift-change handoff or a brief physician round [4].

3. The Communication Hub: Facilitating, Interpreting, and Ensuring Information Flow

The diagnostic process is inherently an information-intensive enterprise, reliant on the seamless flow of data among patients, families, and the multidisciplinary team. Breakdowns in this communication are a leading contributor to diagnostic delay [5]. Nurses, positioned at the epicenter of care coordination, function as the essential hub of this information network, a role that is critical yet rarely formally acknowledged in diagnostic models.

One of the most vital functions nurses perform is that of an interpreter and facilitator of the patient's story. Patients often communicate symptoms, concerns, and histories in lay terms, framed by their own anxieties and health literacy levels. Nurses excel at therapeutic communication, building rapport that encourages patients to share information they may deem insignificant or embarrassing—details that can be diagnostically pivotal. A patient might casually mention "feeling not quite right" or "having funny spells" to a nurse during evening care, clues that, when probed and accurately translated into clinical terminology for the medical record or handoff, can illuminate a potential arrhythmia or neurological event [6]. The nurse acts as a cultural and linguistic broker, ensuring the patient's voice is not only heard but

accurately understood and integrated into the diagnostic puzzle.

Moreover, nurses are the stewards of handoff communication. During nursing shift reports and interdisciplinary rounds, they synthesize vast amounts of data into a coherent narrative, highlighting concerns, changes, and pending questions. This synthesis is a cognitive act of diagnostic sense-making. By emphasizing a specific worrying trend or a patient's unanswered question, the nurse directly shapes the diagnostic agenda for the oncoming team. They also ensure continuity by following up on pending diagnostic tests; a nurse who inquires about an overdue imaging result or an unexpectedly abnormal lab value is actively preventing that result from being lost in the system, a common source of delay [7]. Furthermore, nurses facilitate communication between specialists, primary teams, and ancillary services, ensuring that consultant recommendations are seen, understood, and acted upon, thereby closing crucial loops in the diagnostic chain.

4. Patient Advocate and Educator: Empowering the Diagnostic Partnership

The traditional, paternalistic model of diagnosis, where the physician is the sole arbiter of information, is increasingly recognized as obsolete and risky. Nurses operationalize the model of shared decision-making and diagnostic partnership through their foundational role as patient advocates and educators. This advocacy is a powerful, proactive force against diagnostic delay.

Nurses empower patients to become active participants in their own diagnostic journey. Through skilled patient education, nurses explain the rationale for tests, what symptoms to watch for, and how to articulate concerns effectively. An oncology nurse educating a patient on the signs of neutropenic fever, or a cardiovascular nurse teaching about symptoms of heart failure exacerbation, is essentially equipping the patient with a personal diagnostic monitoring tool. This empowerment is particularly crucial in the outpatient or post-discharge setting, where delays often occur. A well-informed patient or family member who knows when and how to seek help for a specific warning sign can circumvent a dangerous delay [8].

Advocacy manifests most powerfully when nurses amplify the patient's voice in the face of diagnostic uncertainty or dismissal. There are countless narratives where a patient's persistent concerns have been initially minimized, only for a nurse to champion those concerns, compelling a re-evaluation. This might involve a nurse formally

using a clinical concern protocol, persistently paging a resident about a deteriorating exam, or facilitating a family conference to express worries. The nurse's professional credibility and unique relationship with the patient allow them to bridge the authority gradient that often silences patients and families. They advocate not only for more tests but, more importantly, for the patient's subjective experience to be taken seriously as objective data, challenging diagnostic momentum or premature closure [9]. In doing so, they serve as a crucial check on cognitive bias, ensuring that the diagnostic process remains patient-centered and responsive.

5. System Navigators and Workflow Engineers

Healthcare systems are notoriously complex, with fragmented processes and numerous potential points of failure for diagnostic information. Nurses possess an unparalleled, ground-level understanding of these workflows and pragmatically navigate and engineer them to keep the diagnostic process on track. This operational role is a hidden lubricant for the diagnostic machinery.

From the moment of patient intake, nurses influence diagnostic pacing. In the emergency department, triage nurses make critical decisions about acuity that determine when and how quickly a diagnostic workup begins. Their clinical judgment in prioritizing a patient with vague chest pain or a subtle neurological deficit can dramatically alter the timeline to diagnosis [10]. Throughout hospitalization, nurses manage the logistical symphony of diagnostics: coordinating porter services for radiology, ensuring patients are properly prepared for procedures, verifying correct lab labels, and administering medications that may be necessary pre-test. A delay in any of these logistical steps translates directly into a diagnostic delay, and nurses are the frontline managers preventing these bottlenecks.

Furthermore, nurses are often the first to identify and troubleshoot system-level failures that impede diagnosis. They notice when a new electronic health record interface makes it harder to track pending tests, when a changed policy on phlebotomy times delays lab results, or when a specific consultant service is consistently slow to respond. By bringing these operational hurdles to the attention of unit leadership or through quality improvement initiatives, nurses engage in systemic problem-solving that enhances diagnostic safety for all patients [11]. Their role as "floor-level" systems engineers is indispensable in creating a reliable infrastructure for timely diagnosis.

6. Recognizing and Responding to Diagnostic Uncertainty and Error

A sophisticated aspect of the nursing role involves the metacognitive capacity to recognize states of diagnostic uncertainty or outright error. Nurses develop a form of "pattern recognition" not only for diseases but for the process of diagnosis itself. They can sense when the clinical picture is not coalescing, when treatments are failing to produce expected responses, or when a patient's condition is deteriorating despite a seemingly benign diagnosis. This awareness triggers a range of responsive actions. It may lead a nurse to conduct a more focused reassessment, double-check medication orders for appropriateness, or meticulously review the patient's history for missed clues. Crucially, it fuels the communication and advocacy functions previously described. The nurse who approaches a physician with a structured concern using the SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) format—"I am calling because Mr. X's abdominal pain has localized to the right lower quadrant over my shift, his fever is now 38.5, and I'm concerned this may be appendicitis rather than the initially suspected gastroenteritis"—is engaging in direct diagnostic collaboration [12]. This intervention challenges diagnostic anchoring and prompts timely re-evaluation. Studies on rapid response systems often highlight the nurse's role in activating the team due to a "worried" or "gut feeling" that something is wrong, a feeling rooted in clinical intuition and a holistic understanding of the patient that transcends specific vital sign thresholds [13]. This ability to sense diagnostic dissonance and act upon it is a key, under-credited nursing skill.

7. Challenges and Barriers to Fulfilling the Role

Despite its critical importance, the full realization of nursing's potential in preventing diagnostic delays faces significant institutional, educational, and cultural barriers. A primary challenge is the pervasive lack of formal recognition. Nursing's contributions are often invisible in diagnostic error frameworks, which are dominated by physician-centric models of cognitive psychology [14]. This invisibility translates into a lack of dedicated resources, training, and support for nurses to develop and exercise their diagnostic stewardship skills.

The global crisis of nursing shortages and unsustainable workloads directly undermines this sentinel function. When nurses are responsible for an excessive number of acutely ill patients, the time for thoughtful observation, nuanced

communication, and thorough patient education evaporates. Surveillance becomes task-oriented and superficial, and the early, subtle clues of diagnostic trouble are missed [15]. Furthermore, hierarchical medical cultures in some settings can suppress nurses from speaking up about diagnostic concerns. Fear of reprisal, being labeled as "difficult," or having one's clinical judgment dismissed can create a climate of silence, allowing diagnostic errors to fester [16].

Additionally, most pre-licensure nursing education, while strong in assessment skills, does not explicitly frame nursing practice within the context of the diagnostic process or diagnostic error science. Nurses are taught to assess and monitor but not necessarily to see themselves as active agents in *forming* and *questioning* diagnoses. This represents a significant curricular gap that leaves nurses underprepared to articulate their observations within a diagnostic framework [17].

8. Future Directions: Integration, Education, and Empowerment

To harness the full power of the nursing profession in achieving diagnostic excellence, a concerted, multi-pronged effort is required. First, diagnostic safety models and institutional policies must be explicitly redesigned to integrate nursing. This includes involving nurses in diagnostic safety committees, root cause analyses of diagnostic errors, and the design of clinical decision support tools [18]. The nursing process—assessment, diagnosis, planning, implementation, evaluation—should be formally linked to the broader diagnostic team process, with clear pathways for nursing diagnostic concerns to be documented, communicated, and acted upon.

Educational reform is paramount. Nursing curricula at all levels must incorporate core concepts from diagnostic error science, emphasizing cognitive biases, system failures, and the nurse's specific role in mitigation. Training should enhance skills in clinical reasoning, structured communication (like SBAR), and cultivating a culture of psychological safety where speaking up is encouraged and valued [19]. For practicing nurses, continuing education and simulation scenarios focused on recognizing and responding to diagnostic uncertainty are essential.

Technological solutions can also amplify nursing's impact. EHRs should be configured to allow nurses to easily flag diagnostic concerns, track pending studies, and view diagnostic timelines. Tools that facilitate seamless information sharing within the team can reduce communication breakdowns [20]. Ultimately, healthcare leadership must champion a

culture of collaborative diagnosis, where every team member's perspective is valued. This involves actively dismantling hierarchical barriers, measuring and rewarding collaborative behaviors, and ensuring safe staffing ratios that allow nurses the cognitive space to fulfill their role as diagnostic sentinels [21].

9. Conclusion

The journey to a timely and accurate diagnosis is a high-stakes expedition through a landscape of uncertainty, complexity, and systemic vulnerability. While physicians bear the formal responsibility of rendering a diagnosis, they do not—and cannot—travel this path alone. The hidden role of nurses in preventing diagnostic delays is, in reality, the indispensable foundation upon which safe diagnostic travel depends. Through their unparalleled position as continuous observers, skilled communicators, fearless advocates, and pragmatic system navigators, nurses perform a continuous, sophisticated form of diagnostic stewardship. They detect the early signal in the noise, ensure critical information reaches the right ears, empower patients to be partners, and keep the logistical wheels turning. To view diagnosis as the solitary act of a physician is to ignore the intricate, collaborative network that makes it possible. As healthcare strives for greater safety, equity, and efficiency, making the hidden role of nurses visible, valued, and vigorously supported is not merely an option but an urgent imperative. The prevention of diagnostic delays depends on it.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Souda A, Abd-El-Aal N, Mostafa W. The relationship between systems thinking pattern and attitudes towards clinical practice as perceived by nursing students. *Egypt J Health Care*. 2024;15(1):1634–45. DOI: 10.21608/ejhc.2024.348594.
2. Browne MW, Cudeck R. Alternative ways of assessing model fit. *Sociol Methods Res*. 1992;21(2):230–58. DOI: 10.1177/0049124192021002005.
3. Ahmed MF, Ibrahim AA. Head nurses' professionalism, systems thinking and its relation to safe nursing care. *Port Said Sci J Nurs*. 2023;10(1):257–77. DOI: 10.21608/pssjn.2023.186500.1246.
4. Kalsoom Z, Victor G, Virtanen H, Sultana N. What really matters for patient safety: correlation of nurse competence with international patient safety goals. *J Patient Saf Risk Manage*. 2023;28(3):108–15. DOI: 10.1177/25160435221133955.
5. Tetuan T, Ohm R, Kinzie L, McMaster S, Moffitt B, Mosier M. Does systems thinking improve the perception of safety culture and patient safety? *J Nurs Regul*. 2017;8(2):31–9. DOI: 10.1016/S2155-8256(17)30096-0.
6. Elpasiony N, Abd-Elmoghith N. The impact of nursing work environment and patient Safety Culture on missed nursing care at Medical and Surgical Departments. *Int Egypt J Nurs Sci Res*. 2023;3(2):582–99. DOI: 10.21608/ejnsr.2023.278183.
7. Zhong Y, Zhang C, Chen L, Wang Y, Deng M, Liao C. Analysis of the current status of patient safety care and factors influencing it among low-seniority nurses. *MEDS Public Health Prev Med*. 2024;4(1):112–21. DOI: 10.23977/phpm.2024.040117.
8. Kalemam E, Albelbeisi AH, Rouzbahani M, Gharakhani M, Zahedi H, Taheri R. Nurses' perceptions of patient safety competency: a cross-sectional study of relationships with occurrence and reporting of adverse events. *PLoS ONE*. 2024;19(1):e0297185. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0297185.
9. Okuyama A, Martowirono K, Bijnen B. Assessing the patient safety competencies of healthcare professionals: a systematic review. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2011;20(11):991–1000. DOI: 10.1136/bmjqs-2011-000148.
10. Kakemam E, Ghafari M, Rouzbahani M, Zahedi H, Roh YS. The association of professionalism and systems thinking on patient safety competency: a structural equation model. *J Nurs Adm Manag*. 2022;30(3):817–26. DOI: 10.1111/jonm.13536.
11. Park JH, Lee NJ, Lee H, Park G. Determinants of clinical nurses' patient safety competence: a systematic review protocol. *BMJ open*. 2024;14(8):e080038. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-080038.

12. El-Sayed AAI, Asal MGR, Shaheen RS, Abdelaliem SMF. Job embeddedness and missed nursing care at the operating theatres: the mediating role of polychronicity. *BMC Nurs.* 2023;22(1):458. DOI: 10.1186/s12912-023-01628-8.
13. Machitidze M, Gogashvili M, Durglishvili N. The nurses' role in Patient Safety-Literature Review. *Am J Biomedical Sci Res.* 2023;18(6):612–6. DOI: 10.34297/AJBSR.2023.18.002533.
14. World Health Organization. (2024). Global burden of preventable medication-related harm in health care: a systematic review.
15. Lee NJ, An JY, Song TM, Jang H, Park SY. Psychometric evaluation of a patient safety competency self-evaluation tool for nursing students. *J Nurs Educ.* 2014;53(10):550–62. DOI: 10.3928/01484834-20140922-01.
16. Thoroman B, Goode N, Salmon P. System thinking applied to near misses: a review of industry-wide near miss reporting systems. *Theoretical Issues Ergon Sci.* 2018;19(6):712–37. DOI: 10.1080/1463922X.2018.1484527.
17. Alreshidi NM, Alrashidi LM, Alanazi AN, Alshammeri EH. Turnover among foreign nurses in Saudi Arabia. *J Public Health Res.* 2021;10(1):jphr–2021. DOI: 10.4081/jphr.2021.1971.
18. El-Sayed AAI, Asal MGR, Abdelaliem SMF, Alsenany SA, Elsayed BK. The moderating role of just culture between nursing practice environment and oncology nurses' silent behaviors toward patient safety: a multicentered study. *Eur J Oncol Nurs.* 2024;69:102516. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejon.2024.102516.
19. Noseir SAA, Eldahshan MEA. The relation between systems thinking and safe nursing care among nurses at Menoufia University Hospital. *Menoufia Nurs J.* 2023;8(4):61–81. DOI: 10.21608/menj.2023.335847.
20. Mahsoon AN. The relationships among systems thinking, Safety Culture, Safety Competency, and Safety Performance of Registered nurses in Saudi Arabia. Case Western Reserve University.
21. Atta MH, El-Sayed AA, Alsenany SA, Hammad HA, Elzohairy NW, Asal MG. Navigating transition shock: the role of system thinking in enhancing nursing process competency among early career nurses. *Worldviews on evidence-based nursing.* 2024 Dec; 21(6):611:–25. DOI: 10.1111/wvn.12757.