



The Impact of Informal Nursing Communication on Patient Outcomes

Arrowaili Hamidh Rhil S¹, Aedah Khalil Essa Alanazi², Alshamardal Abdullah Fahad Q³, Amal Muhaylan Shaher Alanazi⁴, Alruwili Intisar Hojas A⁵, Sarab Naif Rahil Albanaqi⁶, Alenezi Eman Rmdan D⁷, Wadha Hamad Farag Alshmaari⁸, Mona Hulayel Salem Alhazmi⁹, Sharifah Judaya Z Albanaqi¹⁰, Maram Mulaytan H Alruwaili¹¹

¹Technician Nursing – Al Qaisumah General Hospital – Hafr Al-Batin Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Hafr Al-Batin – Eastern Province – Saudi Arabia

* **Corresponding Author Email:** hamidaalrewily@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-9770

²Technician Nursing – Long-Term Care Hospital, Arar – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: akalanazy@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1047-1950

³Technician Nursing – Al Qurayyat General Hospital – Al Jouf Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Al Qurayyat – Al Jouf Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: afalshamardal@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2047-2950

⁴Nursing Specialist – Al Salihiyah North Primary Health Care Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: Ammhalenazi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-3047-3950

⁵Nursing Specialist – Maternity and Children’s Hospital, Arar – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: ialruwili@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-4047-4950

⁶Nursing Specialist – North Medical Tower Hospital – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: Salbanaqi2@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5047-5950

⁷Nursing Specialist – North Medical Tower Hospital – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: eralenazy@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-6047-6950

⁸Technician Nursing – Sababah Primary Health Care Center – Hail Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Hail – Hail Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: walshmary@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-7047-7950

⁹Technician Nursing – Ministry of Health Branch, Northern Borders Region – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: mohialhazmi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-8047-8950

¹⁰Technician Nursing – Al Owaigilah General Hospital – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Al Owaigilah – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: SHREFAHJDEA@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-9047-5550

¹¹Technician Nursing – Prince Mutaib bin Abdulaziz Hospital – Al Jouf Health Cluster – Ministry of Health – Sakaka – Al Jouf Region – Saudi Arabia

Email: Mamlalrwily@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1147-2250

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4633

Received : 01 July 2024

Accepted : 30 July 2024

Keywords

Informal communication,
nursing, patient outcomes,
teamwork,
healthcare settings,
patient care,
collaboration

Abstract:

Informal nursing communication plays a crucial role in shaping patient outcomes within healthcare settings. This type of communication occurs outside of formal channels, often taking the form of casual conversations, shared experiences, and impromptu discussions among nurses and other healthcare providers. Such interactions are vital as they can facilitate the sharing of critical information, foster teamwork, and enhance the understanding of patient needs in real-time. For example, when nurses brief each other during shift changes or discuss a patient's progress in the break room, they can quickly identify potential concerns or adjustments to care plans. Consequently, this informal communication can lead to improved monitoring, quicker interventions, and a more responsive approach to patient care. Beyond the immediate exchange of information, informal nursing communication significantly influences the overall culture of the healthcare environment. When nurses feel comfortable communicating openly with one another, it fosters an atmosphere of collaboration and trust. This positive culture encourages nurses to voice concerns about patient safety and advocate for patients effectively. Studies show that effective informal communication correlates with higher patient satisfaction scores, reduced medical errors, and improved clinical outcomes. Therefore, healthcare organizations should recognize and support informal communication practices as integral components of nursing work, emphasizing their role in enhancing care quality and patient safety.

1. Introduction

The contemporary healthcare landscape is engineered as a monument to order, precision, and accountability. It is a world governed by intricate protocols, mandated care pathways, comprehensive electronic health records (EHRs), and clearly defined hierarchical channels for reporting and decision-making [1]. This formal architecture is designed to standardize care, minimize variation, ensure legal and regulatory compliance, and protect patient safety through a transparent, auditable trail of clinical activities. From admission assessments to discharge summaries, the formal system provides the official narrative of a patient's journey through the healthcare system. Yet, to perceive this formal structure as the entirety of clinical reality is to misunderstand the fundamental nature of healthcare work. For within the interstices of this highly regimented environment—in the hurried conversations by a medication cart, the whispered concerns during a bedside handover, the quick text message to a consulting specialist, or the shared glance of understanding between seasoned nurses—flows a powerful, ubiquitous, and often unacknowledged undercurrent: the vast and dynamic realm of informal communication.

Informal communication can be defined as the spontaneous, unstructured, and relationship-dependent exchanges that occur outside of official documentation and pre-defined reporting lines [2]. It is the talk that happens in hallways, at nursing stations after a challenging procedure, during walk-and-talk conversations between colleagues, or through ephemeral digital messages on secure platforms. Unlike its formal counterpart, it is characteristically fluid, adaptive, and often leaves

no permanent record. For the nursing profession, which operates at the very epicenter of patient care coordination, acting as the constant linchpin between the patient, the family, and the multidisciplinary team, this informal discourse is far more than mere social filler or collegial venting. It constitutes the vital lifeblood of daily clinical operations, the hidden substrate upon which the formal edifice of care is both built and sustained. It is through these informal channels that the rigid data of the EHR is translated into actionable clinical wisdom, that potential crises are first sensed, and that the collective intelligence of the care team is rapidly mobilized.

The impact of this informal communication network on patient outcomes is profound, multifaceted, and inherently paradoxical. It wields a double-edged capacity that makes it simultaneously indispensable and perilous. On one hand, it serves as a critical adaptive mechanism, enhancing operational efficiency, fostering situational awareness, and solidifying the team cohesion necessary for safe care delivery. It is the medium through which tacit knowledge—the subtle, experience-based insights that are difficult to codify—is transferred from expert to novice, and through which ambiguous clinical signals are rapidly interpreted and acted upon [3]. A nurse may formally document a patient's stable vital signs, but it is through an informal remark to the oncoming shift—"He's been quiet all afternoon, not quite himself"—that a crucial, non-quantifiable piece of the clinical puzzle is conveyed, potentially triggering closer monitoring and pre-empting deterioration.

Conversely, the very attributes that make informal communication so effective—its speed, privacy,

and lack of bureaucracy—also render it a significant vector of risk. Its ephemeral nature means critical information can be lost, distorted, or confined to a small clique, never reaching all members of the care team who need it. Reliance on verbal assurances without formal documentation can lead to catastrophic errors in medication administration or treatment plans. Discussions held in public spaces risk egregious breaches of patient confidentiality, undermining trust and violating ethical norms [4]. Furthermore, an over-dependence on informal channels can create a shadow system of information that exists parallel to, and sometimes in contradiction with, the official record, thereby fragmenting the patient’s story and jeopardizing continuity of care. Thus, informal communication exists in a state of constant tension, capable of being both the glue that holds complex care together and the fissure through which safety failures emerge.

This essay undertakes a comprehensive exploration of this complex and consequential phenomenon. It argues that informal nursing communication is not a marginal or aberrant activity but an indispensable, organic component of healthcare delivery; a necessary human response to the irreducible complexities and unpredictable demands of clinical work. However, its status as a “double-edged sword” is unequivocal. The central thesis posits that the ultimate effect of informal communication on patient outcomes—encompassing hard measures like mortality, morbidity, and error rates, as well as softer but equally vital metrics such as patient satisfaction, experience, and trust—is not an inherent property of the communication itself. Rather, its impact is decisively mediated by a triad of factors: the prevailing organizational culture (particularly its level of psychological safety and accountability), the communication competencies of individual clinicians, and, most importantly, the degree to which healthcare leaders and systems consciously acknowledge, understand, and strategically navigate its power [5].

To navigate this terrain, the analysis will proceed by first delineating the spectrum that separates formal from informal communication in nursing practice, establishing clear definitions and contexts. It will then ground the discussion in relevant theoretical frameworks from complexity science, social network theory, and psychological safety, which provide lenses to explain why informal networks arise and how they function [6].

2. Formal vs. Informal Communication in Nursing

To fully appreciate the role of informal communication, it must be contrasted with its formal counterpart. Formal communication in nursing is characterized by its pre-defined structure, official nature, and permanent record. It includes documented patient assessments in electronic health records (EHRs), written care plans, official incident reports, scheduled multidisciplinary team meetings, and structured handovers using protocols like SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) [1]. This form of communication is designed for accountability, legal protection, and continuity of care across time and providers. It is deliberate, traceable, and governed by institutional policies and professional standards.

In stark contrast, informal communication is improvisational, relationship-dependent, and ephemeral. It operates through channels such as casual face-to-face conversations, brief synchronous “huddles,” non-patient-specific text messages or group chats among care team members, and verbal exchanges during shared tasks [2]. Its content is often interpretive, involving the sharing of hunches, contextual nuances about a patient’s demeanor or family dynamics, practical tips, or urgent requests for immediate assistance. While formal communication provides the official narrative of care, informal communication supplies the subtext—the rich, contextual, and often tacit knowledge essential for navigating the unpredictable realities of clinical work. It is the mechanism through which nurses “fill in the gaps” left by formal systems, making it both a vital adaptive tool and a potential source of error when critical information fails to transition from informal whispers to formal records [3].

3. Theoretical Frameworks for Understanding Informal Communication

The significance of informal communication can be grounded in several theoretical perspectives. Firstly, *Complexity Science* views healthcare organizations as complex adaptive systems where unpredictable interactions are the norm. In such systems, rigid, top-down communication is insufficient for managing emergent situations. Informal networks, described as “communities of practice,” enable rapid information sharing and adaptive responses that formal hierarchies cannot achieve efficiently [4]. These networks allow nurses to bypass cumbersome procedures to solve immediate problems, directly impacting patient care in dynamic situations.

Secondly, *Social Network Theory* provides a lens to map and analyze these informal interactions. It posits that the structure of relationships (who talks

to whom, how often, and about what) significantly influences the flow of information, the spread of practices, and ultimately, collective performance [5]. A nurse who is centrally located in an informal communication network may have greater access to crucial information and resources, thereby potentially delivering better-informed care. Conversely, isolated individuals or cliques within a unit can create information silos that jeopardize patient safety.

Finally, *Psychological Safety Theory*, pioneered by Amy Edmondson, is crucial. It suggests that a team's climate of interpersonal trust, where members believe they can speak up, ask questions, or voice concerns without fear of punishment or humiliation, is a prerequisite for effective communication [6]. Informal communication often serves as the testing ground for psychological safety. A nurse may first informally voice a concern about a patient's subtle decline to a trusted colleague before formally flagging it in a chart. If the informal environment is punitive, that vital early warning may never be raised, delaying intervention and adversely affecting the patient outcome.

4. The Positive Impact: Enhancing Efficiency, Safety, and Team Dynamics

When functioning within a supportive culture, informal nursing communication yields substantial benefits for patient outcomes. Its primary advantage is the *enhancement of care coordination and operational efficiency*. Formal handovers, while comprehensive, can be time-consuming. Quick, informal updates between nurses overlapping on shifts ("Mr. Smith in 204 is finally resting, but his daughter has questions about the discharge plan") can provide immediate context, allowing the oncoming nurse to prioritize tasks and address family anxieties promptly, thereby improving patient and family satisfaction [7]. This rapid information transfer helps in managing the constant flux of a clinical unit.

Perhaps most critically, informal communication acts as a *vital mechanism for patient safety and error prevention*. It facilitates double-checking and clarification in real-time. A nurse uncertain about a medication order may quickly consult the prescribing physician or a senior colleague verbally rather than waiting for a formal query, preventing a potential medication error [8]. Furthermore, it enables the sharing of "soft" intelligence—observations about a patient's subtle neurological change, non-verbal cues of pain, or a family's unspoken distress—that may not yet meet the threshold for formal documentation but is essential

for holistic, pre-emptive care [9]. This early-warning system can lead to timely interventions, preventing adverse events like falls, clinical deterioration, or preventable readmissions.

Beyond direct care, informal communication is the *cornerstone of professional socialization and team cohesion*. For novice nurses, informal mentoring and "storytelling" from experienced colleagues are invaluable for developing clinical judgment and learning unit-specific norms [10]. The camaraderie built through informal interaction fosters mutual support, reduces burnout, and creates a resilient team capable of managing stress collectively. A cohesive team, bound by strong informal ties, communicates more effectively during crises, directly benefiting patient care during emergencies [11]. This social capital built through informal channels translates into a more stable, collaborative, and attentive nursing workforce.

5. The Shadow Side: Risks and Negative Consequences for Patient Care

Despite its utility, the unregulated nature of informal communication harbors significant risks that can directly undermine patient outcomes. The most perilous is the *danger of information distortion, loss, and miscommunication*. Verbal messages passed through multiple individuals are subject to the "telephone game" effect, where details are omitted, altered, or misunderstood [12]. A critical allergy or a changed test result communicated informally may never reach all relevant team members or be entered into the permanent record, leading to catastrophic errors in treatment. This absence of an audit trail makes accountability impossible when mistakes occur.

Closely related is the *breach of patient confidentiality and privacy*. Discussions about patient conditions in elevators, at public nursing stations, or within unsecured digital groups violate HIPAA regulations and ethical standards [13]. Such breaches erode patient trust, a fundamental component of therapeutic relationships and patient satisfaction. Even well-intentioned conversations meant to seek advice can cross ethical boundaries if patient identifiers are used in inappropriate settings. Furthermore, informal communication can *perpetuate and reinforce hierarchies and cliques*, eroding team-based care. If crucial information is shared only within exclusive social circles, other team members—including nurses from different shifts, allied health professionals, or even physicians—may be left out of the loop [14]. This "tribalism" can fragment care, leading to contradictory patient instructions and undermining the unified approach essential for managing

complex conditions. A physical therapist may plan mobilization based on formal notes, unaware of an informal concern about dizziness mentioned only among night-shift nurses.

Finally, an over-reliance on informal channels can *undermine the formal system, creating parallel and potentially contradictory information networks*. When vital data resides only in conversations and not in the EHR, the official record becomes an incomplete shadow of the patient's true status. This jeopardizes care continuity, especially for float nurses, covering physicians, or during handovers to other units, who rely solely on the formal documentation [15]. The patient's story becomes fragmented, increasing the risk of missed information and diagnostic error.

6. Mediating Factors: Culture, Technology, and Leadership

The impact of informal communication—whether net positive or negative—is not predetermined but is shaped by key mediating factors within the healthcare environment. *Organizational and Unit Culture* is the paramount mediator. A culture of high psychological safety, transparency, and collective accountability encourages nurses to use informal channels for swift problem-solving while also feeling responsible for formalizing critical information [16]. In a blame-oriented culture, informal communication may become a channel for venting frustration and hiding errors, exacerbating risks.

The *Role of Technology* has dramatically reshaped the informal communication landscape. The advent of secure messaging platforms, dedicated clinical communication devices, and even social media groups creates new, quasi-formal spaces for quick exchanges [17]. While these tools can streamline coordination (e.g., quickly texting a phlebotomist), they also blur the lines between formal and informal. A texted medication order, unless properly integrated and documented, is a dangerous informal artifact. Technology can either amplify the benefits of speed and connectivity or magnify the risks of privacy breaches and information overload, depending on its governance and use policies.

Leadership and Management Practices directly influence these dynamics. Nurse managers who model and expect closed-loop communication (ensuring a message is received and understood) help build robust habits [18]. Leaders can create structured opportunities for beneficial informal exchange, such as post-event debriefs or daily safety huddles, which capture the adaptive benefits of informal talk within a semi-structured framework. Conversely, leadership that ignores or

dismisses the importance of these interactions misses the chance to guide them constructively. Effective leadership involves recognizing the informal network and working to integrate it productively with formal systems, rather than attempting to suppress it.

7. Strategies for Harnessing Benefits and Mitigating Risks

To optimize patient outcomes, healthcare institutions must move from a passive awareness of informal communication to an active strategy of stewardship. The goal is not to eliminate it—an impossible task—but to create a system where its strengths are leveraged and its weaknesses contained. A foundational strategy is the *promotion of a "Just Culture."* This balanced accountability model distinguishes between human error, at-risk behavior, and reckless conduct [19]. In a Just Culture, a nurse who fails to formally document a piece of information first shared informally is coached on the systemic reasons for the lapse (e.g., time pressure, confusing EHR interface) rather than punished punitively. This encourages open reporting of near-misses stemming from communication breakdowns, allowing for systemic fixes.

Enhanced Formal Handover Protocols with Informal Elements can bridge the gap. Protocols like I-PASS (Illness severity, Patient summary, Action list, Situation awareness, Synthesis by receiver) structure the handover but also include elements like "situation awareness," which invites the sharing of concerns and contingency plans—traditionally the domain of informal chat [20]. Embedding a dedicated time for questions and clarifications within the formal handover ritualizes the safe space for informal inquiry.

*Targeted Training in communication skills is essential for all healthcare staff. This goes beyond basic SBAR training to include concepts like *assertive communication* (e.g., using CUS words: "I am Concerned, I am Uncomfortable, this is a Safety issue"), *active listening*, and *cognitive aid* use for high-stakes conversations [21]. Training should also cover the appropriate and secure use of communication technologies, emphasizing that any communication affecting clinical decisions must be documented.

Finally, *Technology Design and Policy* must support, not hinder, safe communication. EHR systems should allow for easy, rapid documentation of quick updates to minimize the friction between thinking of something and recording it [22]. Secure messaging apps should be designed to allow critical messages to be seamlessly forwarded or logged into

the patient record with minimal clicks. Clear institutional policies must define what constitutes acceptable informal digital communication and establish unequivocal rules that patient-specific decisions and orders must transition to the formal record.

8. Conclusion

In conclusion, informal nursing communication is an irreducible and powerful force within the healthcare milieu, exerting a profound and complex influence on patient outcomes. It is the agile, human-centric complement to the necessarily rigid formal systems of documentation and protocol. Through its capacity to expedite care coordination, share tacit knowledge, build team resilience, and serve as an early warning system, it contributes significantly to enhanced patient safety, satisfaction, and clinical efficacy. However, its unscripted and ephemeral nature renders it a potential vector for dangerous information loss, miscommunication, privacy violations, and the erosion of a unified care plan. The central thesis emerging from this analysis is that the valence of its impact—whether it ultimately serves as a net benefit or detriment—is not a fixed property but a variable determined by the organizational ecosystem. Leadership commitment to fostering psychological safety, a Just Culture, and intelligent system design are the critical mediators that can channel this ubiquitous energy towards positive ends. The challenge for contemporary nursing and healthcare administration is not to stifle the essential hum of informal exchange but to recognize its legitimacy, understand its dynamics, and create pathways for its most critical content to be captured, validated, and integrated into the continuum of care. By strategically integrating the informal with the formal, the healthcare system can become more adaptive, resilient, and ultimately, safer for the patients it serves. The goal is a symbiotic communication culture where hallway conversations save lives, and every life-saving insight finds its way into the light of accountable practice.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper

- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. McCabe C. Nurse-patient communication: An exploration of patients' experiences. *J Clin Nurs*. 2004 Jan;13(1):41–9.
2. Hoglind TA. Healthcare language barriers affect deaf people, too. 2018 Oct 11. Retrieved from Boston University [BU] School of Public Health.
3. Ddumba-Nyanzia I, Kaawa-Mafigiria D, Johannessen H. Barriers to communication between HIV care providers (HCPs) and women living with HIV about childbearing: A qualitative study. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2016 May 1;99(5):754–9. DOI: 10.1016/j.pec.2015.11.023.
4. Yoo HJ, Lim OB, Shim JL. Critical care nurses' communication experiences with patients and families in an intensive care unit: A qualitative study. *PLoS One*. 2020 Jul 9;15(7):e0235694. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0235694.
5. Long KA. The Institute of Medicine report on health professions education: A bridge to quality. *Policy Polit Nurs Pract*. 2003 Nov;4(4):259–62. DOI: 10.1177/1527154403258304.
6. Camara BS, Belaid L, Manet H, Kolie D, Guillard E, Bigirimana T, Delamou A. What do we know about patient-provider interactions in sub-Saharan Africa? A scoping review. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2020;88(88):1–13. DOI: 10.11604/pamj.2020.37.88.24009.
7. International Council of Nurses. *ICN Code of Ethics for Nurses*; 2012.
8. Henly SJ. Health communication research for nursing science and practice. *Nurs Res [Editorial]* 2016;257–8. DOI: 10.1097/NNR.000000000000171.
9. Sharifi N, Adib-Hajbaghery M, Najafi M. Cultural competence in nursing: A concept analysis. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2019 Nov 1;99(103386):1–8. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2019.103386.
10. Bello P. Effective communication in nursing practice: A literature review. *BSc Nursing Thesis*. Arcada; 2017.
11. Kwame A, Petrucka PM. Communication in nurse-patient interaction in healthcare settings in sub-Saharan Africa: A scoping review. *Int J Afr Nurs Sci*. 2020 Jan 1;12:100198. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijans.2020.100198.

12. Norouzinia R, Aghabarari M, Shiri M, Karimi M, Samami E. Communication barriers perceived by nurses and patients. *Glob J Health Sci.* 2016 Jun;8(6):65–4. DOI: 10.5539/gjhs.v8n6p65.
13. Mastors P. (2018). What do patients want, need, and have the right to expect? *Nurs Adm Q.* 2018 Jul 1;42(3):192-8. DOI: 10.1097/NAQ.0000000000000297.
14. Henderson S, Horne M, Hills R, Kendall E. Cultural competence in healthcare in the community: A concept analysis. *Health Soc Care Community.* 2018 Jul;26(4):590–603. DOI: 10.1111/hsc.12556.
15. Liu W, Manias E, Gerdtz M. Medication communication during ward rounds on medical wards: Power relations and spatial practices. *Health* 2012 Mar. 2012;17(2):113–34. DOI: 10.1177/1363459312447257.
16. Schöpf AC, Martin GS, Keating MA. Humor as a communication strategy in provider-patient communication in a chronic care setting. *Qual Health Res.* 2017 Feb;27(3):374–90. DOI: 10.1177/1049732315620773.
17. Al-Kalaldeh M, Amro N, Qtait M. Barriers to effective nurse-patient communication in the emergency department. *Emerg Nurse.* 2021 Mar 2;29(2). DOI: 10.7748/en.2020.e1969.
18. Grant MJ, Booth A. A typology of reviews: An analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Info Libraries J.* 2009;26:91–108. DOI: 10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x.
19. Stievano A, Tschudin V. The ICN code of ethics for nurses: A time for revision. *Nurs Health Policy Perspect.* 2019 Jun;66(2):154–6.
20. Harvard Medical School. The Eight Principles of Patient Centered Care; 2015 Nov 18.
21. Vuković M, Gvozdenović BS, Stamatović-Gajić B, Ilić M, Gajić T. Development and evaluation of the nurse quality of communication with patient questionnaire. *Srp Arh Celok Lek.* 2010;138(1–2):79–4. DOI: 10.2298/SARH1002079V.
22. McLean A. *The person in dementia: A study of nursing home care in the US.* Toronto, University of Toronto Press; 2007.