International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering
(IJCESEN)

Vol. 10-No.4 (2024) pp. 3227-3235
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Research Article

Sterilization Practices and Their Impact on Surgical Site Infection Prevention: Roles of Nurses, Anesthesia Providers, and Operating Room Technicians

Fouzan Shafi Alshammari[1]*, Alanazi, Mona Muthyib B[2], Rola Muwaffaq M Alruwaili[3], Zhayah Dakhiel Sakeil Alanazi[4], Abdulmajeed Faris Subieh ALRuwaili[5], Mushyrah Alafnas, Alruwaily[6], Hanaa Jomean Rodan Alrewily[7], Omar Mohammed Alsuhaymi[8], Rawan Naif Almabadi[9], Alanazi, Abdulrahman Subhi H[10], Khalid Essa Essa Alobaid[11]

[1]Nursing Specialist – Infection Control – Rafha General Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster – Rafha – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia
* **Corresponding Author Email:** foalshammari@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-1990

[2]Nursing Specialist – Prince Abdulaziz bin Musaed Hospital – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: malanazi6@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1147-1000

[3]Nursing Technician – Turaif General Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster – Turaif – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: ralroyly@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1147-2100

[4]Nursing Technician – Northern Medical Tower, Operating Room Department – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: z.d.aldakhil@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1147-3200

[5]Nursing Technician – Long-Term Care Hospital in Arar – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: ood9boo@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1147-4300

[6]General Nursing Specialist – Suwayr General Hospital, Al Jouf Health Cluster – Suwayr – Al Jouf Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: musderaha@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1147-5400

[7]Nursing Technician – Suwayr General Hospital, Al Jouf Health Cluster – Sakaka – Al Jouf Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: hanw011@icloud.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1147-6500

[8]Surgical Operations Technician – Wadi Al-Fara'a General Hospital, Madinah Health Cluster – Wadi Al-Fara'a – Madinah Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: oalharby08@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1147-8600

[9]Anesthesia Technology Specialist – Security Forces Hospital – Riyadh – Riyadh Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: rawan1316@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1147-9700

[10]Anesthesia Technician – Northern Medical Tower, Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Saudi Arabia
Email: d.s.ksa@hotmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1147-1100

[11]Operating Room Technician – Al-Jabr Eye & ENT Hospital, Al-Ahsa Health Cluster – Al-Ahsa – Eastern Province – Saudi Arabia
Email: kealobaid@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1147-3300

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4553
Received : 02 May 2024
Accepted : 29 May 2024

Keywords

Sterilization practices,
surgical site infections,
SSIs,
nurses,
anesthesia providers,
operating room technicians

Abstract:

Sterilization practices play a pivotal role in preventing surgical site infections (SSIs), a critical concern that impacts patient outcomes and healthcare costs. Nurses, anesthesia providers, and operating room technicians are essential team members responsible for implementing and adhering to stringent sterilization protocols. Nurses ensure that all instruments and surgical environments are properly sterilized and maintained, performing routine checks and validations of sterilization processes. Anesthesia providers play a key role in safeguarding the sterility of the anesthesia equipment while coordinating with surgical teams to maintain a sterile field during procedures. Operating room technicians are tasked with the preparation and management of sterile instruments and supplies, ensuring that every step of the surgical process adheres to established infection control guidelines. Their collaborative efforts significantly reduce the incidence of SSIs and contribute to overall surgical success. Furthermore, training and continuing education regarding best sterilization practices are crucial in maintaining high standards of care. Each member of the surgical team must stay informed about the latest guidelines issued by organizations such as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the World Health Organization (WHO). The synergy between nurses, anesthesia providers, and operating room technicians fosters an environment of vigilance, accountability, and proactive measures against potential infections. Together, they not only enhance the safety of surgical procedures but also improve patient confidence and satisfaction, leading to better health outcomes.

1. Introduction

The modern operating room represents the zenith of biomedical engineering, human skill, and collaborative care, a controlled environment where the most intricate interventions on the human body are performed with the expectation of healing and restoration. Yet, this sophisticated arena remains perpetually vulnerable to a primordial adversary: microbial invasion. Surgical site infections (SSIs) stand as a formidable and persistent challenge, undermining surgical success and inflicting a heavy toll on patients, healthcare institutions, and society at large. Defined as infections occurring within 30 days of an operation or within one year if a prosthetic implant is placed, SSIs are classified into superficial incisional, deep incisional, and organ/space infections, each with escalating severity [1]. These complications are not merely minor setbacks; they are significant drivers of patient morbidity, contributing to prolonged and painful recoveries, increased rates of reoperation, and higher long-term mortality. The economic ramifications are equally staggering, with SSIs frequently doubling or tripling the cost of a surgical episode due to extended hospital stays, intensive readmission rates, and the demand for advanced therapeutics such as novel antibiotics and wound care technologies [2]. Beyond the quantifiable metrics lies the profound human cost—the psychological distress, the erosion of trust in healthcare systems, and the diminished quality of life for affected individuals.

The etiology of an SSI is a complex multifactorial equation, a convergence of patient-related endogenous factors and procedure-related exogenous factors. Endogenous risks, including advanced age, diabetes mellitus, tobacco use, obesity, malnutrition, and immunocompromised states, contribute to a host environment more susceptible to infection [3]. While these factors are crucial in preoperative optimization, the focus of this discourse is on the exogenous, controllable variables—specifically, the microbial burden introduced to the surgical site from the environment, equipment, and the surgical team itself. It is here that the science and strict discipline of sterilization and aseptic practice become the undisputed cornerstone of prevention. Sterilization, the complete elimination of all viable microorganisms including resilient bacterial spores, is a non-negotiable standard for any instrument or device that breaches sterile tissue or the vascular system. This concept forms the core of a much broader philosophy of asepsis, a comprehensive strategy designed to prevent the contamination of the surgical wound by minimizing the presence and transfer of pathogens.

This strategy encompasses a vast, interconnected web of protocols: the engineering controls of the operating suite itself, the meticulous reprocessing of surgical instrumentation, the preparation of the patient's skin, the surgical antisepsis of the team's hands, the use of sterile barriers, and the vigilant maintenance of a sterile field. A lapse in any single link of this chain can compromise the entire defensive structure, rendering the most advanced surgical technique vulnerable to microbial conquest. Therefore, the prevention of SSIs is intrinsically a team sport, a symphony of coordinated actions where every participant holds a vital part. This responsibility extends far beyond the surgeon wielding the scalpel. It is a shared burden shouldered by a dedicated triad of professionals whose roles orbit the patient: the perioperative nurse, the anesthesia provider, and the operating room technician. Each possesses a distinct scope of practice and a unique vantage point within the operating theatre, yet their functions are inextricably interwoven in the singular mission of safeguarding the patient from iatrogenic infection [4].

2. Foundational Principles and Modern Modalities of Sterilization and Asepsis

The prevention of surgical site infection is predicated on a multi-layered defense strategy, often conceptualized as a "bundle" of interventions. These practices are grounded in microbiological principles and are continuously refined through clinical research, forming the essential infrastructure for safe surgical care.

3. Environmental Engineering and Operating Room Discipline

The physical operating room is the first line of defense, designed to be a controlled sanctuary with minimized microbial bioburden. Central to this is ventilation engineering. Modern operating suites utilize ultraclean ventilation systems, typically employing High-Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filtration that removes at least 99.97% of airborne particles 0.3 micrometers in diameter. Laminar airflow systems, either horizontal or vertical, direct this filtered air in a unidirectional stream over the sterile field, effectively sweeping away shed skin squames (containing bacteria like *Staphylococcus aureus*) and other particulates generated by the surgical team [4]. Maintaining positive air pressure within the OR relative to adjacent corridors and storage areas is critical to prevent the influx of unfiltered, contaminated air. Surface decontamination is equally rigorous. Terminal cleaning after each surgical procedure involves the systematic disinfection of all horizontal and frequent-touch surfaces—operating beds, surgical lights, equipment carts, and floor—using Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)-registered hospital-grade disinfectants with proven efficacy against a broad spectrum of pathogens, including resistant organisms like vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) and *Clostridium difficile* spores [5]. Human factors, however, present a dynamic challenge. Operating room traffic, including unnecessary personnel movements, entries, and exits, has been directly correlated with increased airborne particle counts and turbulence that disrupts laminar flow, elevating the risk of wound contamination [6]. Consequently, enforcing strict protocols for OR traffic, minimizing conversation during critical phases, and ensuring proper surgical attire (including head coverings and masks) are fundamental components of environmental discipline.

4. Reprocessing of Surgical Instruments:

The journey of a surgical instrument from a soiled state to a sterile, patient-ready condition is a meticulous, multi-stage process governed by stringent standards. The cycle begins with point-of-use treatment in the OR, where instruments should be kept moist with a enzymatic foam or gel to prevent the drying and hardening of organic debris, which complicates subsequent cleaning. Upon arrival in the Central Sterile Supply Department (CSSD), instruments undergo thorough decontamination. This involves meticulous manual cleaning with brushes and enzymatic detergents to remove all visible soil, followed by automated washing in specialized washer-disinfectors that use controlled water temperatures and detergent cycles. Ultrasonic cleaners may be employed for intricate instruments, utilizing cavitation bubbles to dislodge debris from hinges, serrations, and lumens [7]. Visual inspection under magnification is mandatory, as any residual bioburden can form a protective biofilm, rendering subsequent sterilization ineffective. The choice of terminal sterilization method depends on the instrument's material composition, design complexity, and heat tolerance. Saturated steam under pressure (autoclaving) remains the gold standard for heat- and moisture-stable items due to its excellent microbial lethality, rapid cycle times, and non-toxic byproducts (water) [8]. Parameters such as time, temperature, and pressure are precisely calibrated, typically at 121°C (250°F) for 30 minutes or 134°C (273°F) for a shorter period. For heat- or moisture-sensitive devices, low-temperature technologies are essential. Ethylene oxide (EtO) gas is a highly penetrative and effective agent but requires lengthy cycle times (often several hours) and aeration periods to remove toxic residues. Hydrogen peroxide gas plasma and vaporized hydrogen peroxide systems offer faster, low-temperature alternatives that are particularly suitable for devices with long, narrow lumens, as the gas phase ensures contact with all surfaces [9]. Regardless of the modality, quality assurance is paramount. Every sterilization cycle must be monitored using physical (gauges, printouts), chemical (indicator tapes, integrators), and biological (spore tests) monitors to provide unambiguous proof of sterility before any instrument pack is released for patient use.

5. Preoperative Patient Optimization and Skin Antisepsis

Preparing the patient is a critical proactive strategy to reduce their endogenous microbial load, a primary source of pathogens in SSI. Preoperative screening and decolonization have become key interventions, particularly for known carriers of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Protocols involving intranasal mupirocin ointment twice daily and daily chlorhexidine gluconate (CHG) body washes for 3-5 days prior to surgery have been shown to significantly reduce SSI rates in cardiac and orthopedic procedures [10]. Appropriate hair removal, if necessary for the surgical site, must be performed immediately before the operation using electric clippers. Shaving with razors is strictly contraindicated as it causes microscopic cuts and abrasions that become foci for bacterial colonization and proliferation, dramatically increasing infection risk [11]. The culminating step in patient skin preparation is the application of an antiseptic solution immediately prior to incision. The optimal agent must provide rapid, broad-spectrum, and persistent antimicrobial activity. Aqueous-based solutions like povidone-iodine have been largely superseded by alcohol-based formulations containing chlorhexidine gluconate (CHG) or iodophors. CHG-alcohol combinations are particularly favored due to CHG's substantivity (the ability to bind to proteins in the skin and provide prolonged activity) and alcohol's rapid kill effect [12]. The application technique is as crucial as the solution itself; it must be performed using a sterile applicator, applying the solution in a concentric, friction-based motion moving from the intended incision site outward to the periphery, and must be allowed to dry completely to achieve its full bactericidal effect and to avoid fire hazard from pooling alcohol.

6. Surgical Hand Antisepsis and the Establishment of Sterile Barriers

The hands and forearms of the surgical team are recognized reservoirs of both transient and resident flora. Surgical hand antisepsis aims to eliminate transient microorganisms and substantially reduce the number of resident flora for the duration of the procedure. While traditional surgical scrubs with antimicrobial soap and water are still practiced, alcohol-based surgical hand rubs are increasingly supported by evidence and guidelines. These rubs, containing emollients and persistent antimicrobials like CHG, offer superior microbial reduction, are faster to apply, cause less skin irritation, and promote better compliance [13]. Following hand antisepsis, the donning of sterile surgical gowns and gloves via a closed or open assisted technique is a ritualized procedure designed to create a sterile interface between the team member and the patient. The integrity of these barriers is critical; any perforation or contamination necessitates immediate change. Surgical drapes, made of impervious, lint-free, and fluid-resistant materials, are used to isolate the surgical site, creating a sterile field that separates it from the patient's own non-sterile skin and the surrounding environment. Innovations in draping include adhesive, incise films with iodine-impregnated margins designed to provide an additional antimicrobial barrier at the skin edge [14].

7. The Multifaceted and Central Role of the Perioperative Nurse in SSI Prevention

The perioperative nurse, functioning in the dynamic roles of circulator and scrub nurse, serves as the linchpin of patient safety and the orchestrator of aseptic integrity throughout the surgical continuum. Their role is inherently holistic, blending direct patient care, technical expertise, environmental management, and unwavering advocacy.

8. Comprehensive Assessment and Proactive Risk Mitigation

The nurse's infection prevention duties commence during the preoperative assessment. This involves a thorough review of the patient's history to identify and document modifiable SSI risk factors such as glycemic control in diabetics, nutritional status, smoking history, and any signs of active remote infection. This information is not passively recorded but actively communicated to the surgical and anesthesia teams to facilitate collaborative decision-making, which may include delaying elective surgery for optimization. The nurse is the guardian of protocol adherence in the immediate preoperative period. They verify and often administer the prescribed prophylactic antibiotic, ensuring it is delivered within the critical 60-minute window before skin incision (or 120 minutes for specific agents like vancomycin), as this timing is paramount for achieving adequate tissue concentrations at the time of potential contamination [15]. They confirm that any required hair removal was performed correctly with clippers and not razors. The nurse then oversees or personally performs the surgical skin preparation, applying the antiseptic solution using the evidence-based technique and ensuring adequate dry time. Furthermore, the nurse initiates active warming strategies using forced-air warming blankets in the preoperative holding area to begin combating the inevitable heat loss associated with anesthesia induction and exposed body cavities, thereby proactively addressing the risk of intraoperative hypothermia [16].

9. Sentinel of the Sterile Field and Physiological Guardian

As the only non-sterile member of the core team during the procedure, the circulating nurse possesses a unique, overarching perspective. This vantage point empowers them to be the constant sentinel of the sterile field. They enforce aseptic principles by managing traffic, ensuring doors remain closed, and monitoring the conduct of all personnel. They are responsible for opening all sterile supplies onto the field using a meticulous aseptic technique that prevents contamination. Throughout the case, the circulating nurse engages in continuous surveillance, documenting key metrics directly tied to SSI risk: accurate timing for antibiotic redosing, core body temperature (intervening with additional warming devices as needed), and blood glucose levels if point-of-care testing is indicated [12]. They manage the collection and handling of specimens to prevent cross-contamination. The circulating nurse also performs, in collaboration with the scrub nurse/technician, the mandatory surgical counts of sponges, sharps, and instruments to prevent the catastrophic never-event of a retained foreign object, a potent nidus for infection. In the scrub nurse role, the nurse is the master of the sterile setup. They assemble the instrument trays, anticipate the surgeon's needs, and handle instruments and tissues in a manner that maintains sterility and efficiency, thereby minimizing operative time and the duration of wound exposure [17].

10. Postoperative Phase: Transition of Care and Surveillance Initiation

The nurse's responsibility seamlessly transitions into the postoperative period. They apply the initial sterile dressing using a technique that seals the wound edges without constriction, often selecting advanced dressings with antimicrobial properties or interactive technology. They provide comprehensive verbal and written instructions to the patient and the receiving nurses in the Post-Anesthesia Care Unit (PACU) regarding incision care, signs of infection (erythema, warmth, swelling, purulent drainage, fever), and the importance of hand hygiene before any wound contact. This education is critical for early detection of complications. The perioperative nurse's accurate and detailed documentation of the intraoperative course—including any deviations from standard protocol, duration of surgery, estimated blood loss, and specific implants used—provides the essential data for institutional SSI surveillance programs, enabling accurate risk-adjustment and outcome tracking [17].

11. The Evolving and Critical Role of the Anesthesia Provider in SSI Prevention

The anesthesia provider—anesthesiologist or Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetist (CRNA)—has a sphere of influence that profoundly impacts SSI risk, moving beyond the traditional focus on hemodynamic stability to encompass a direct role in infection control through practices centered on vascular access, physiological management, and medication stewardship.

12. Aseptic Mastery of Invasive Anesthetic Procedures

Anesthesia practice is inherently invasive, involving multiple breaches of the patient's integumentary defense system. Each of these represents a potential portal for infection. The insertion of central venous catheters, arterial lines, and peripheral nerve blocks (e.g., epidural, spinal, plexus blocks) demands an aseptic rigor equivalent to that of the primary surgical procedure. This entails full maximal sterile barrier precautions: performing hand hygiene, wearing a sterile gown and sterile gloves, using a large sterile drape that covers the patient entirely, and preparing the skin with a chlorhexidine-alcohol solution, allowing it to dry fully [18]. The increasing use of ultrasound guidance introduces additional equipment—the transducer and its cord—that must be properly covered with a sterile sleeve, and sterile ultrasound gel must be used. Adherence to central line insertion bundles, which bundle these evidence-based practices, is a direct and powerful action anesthesia providers take to prevent catheter-related bloodstream infections, which can independently cause morbidity and potentially seed a surgical site [19].

13. Physiological Stewardship: Thermoregulation and Glycemic Control

The anesthesia provider is the principal intraoperative manager of two of the most potent modifiable risk factors for SSI: core body temperature and blood glucose. General and regional anesthesia both impair normal thermoregulatory mechanisms, leading to predictable hypothermia if not actively opposed. The provider employs a multi-modal approach: using forced-air warming blankets over non-operative body surfaces, warming intravenous and irrigation fluids to 37°C, and elevating ambient room temperature when feasible. Maintaining normothermia (core temperature ≥ 36.0°C) is crucial as hypothermia induces vasoconstriction, reduces subcutaneous tissue oxygen tension, and impairs neutrophil function and collagen deposition [20]. Similarly, the stress response to surgery triggers insulin resistance and hyperglycemia, even in non-diabetic patients. Elevated blood glucose levels impair leukocyte function and are an independent predictor of infectious complications [21]. Anesthesia providers monitor glucose levels intermittently or continuously and manage them with insulin infusions when necessary, aiming to maintain perioperative glucose levels typically within a range of 140-180 mg/dL, as per current guidelines.

14. Antimicrobial Stewardship and Medication Safety

While the initial dose of prophylactic antibiotics is often administered pre-incision by the nursing team, the anesthesia provider holds primary responsibility for intraoperative redosing. They must calculate and administer additional doses at appropriate intervals (commonly every 3-4 hours for cephalosporins, or based on blood loss exceeding 1500 ml) to maintain effective tissue concentrations throughout prolonged procedures [15]. Failure to redose is a recognized and correctable gap in care. Furthermore, anesthesia providers must practice impeccable aseptic technique when handling medications. This includes disinfecting the rubber diaphragm of multi-dose vials with alcohol before each needle puncture and using a new sterile needle and syringe for every access to prevent vial contamination, which could lead to microbial introduction during medication administration [22].

15. The Specialized and Indispensable Role of the Operating Room Technician in SSI Prevention

The operating room technician (ORT), or surgical technologist, is the specialist in the tangible artifacts of surgery—the instruments, supplies, and hardware. Their expertise is focused on ensuring that every object that contacts the sterile field or enters the surgical wound is impeccably processed, handled, and presented, making them a foundational pillar of aseptic safety.

16. Expertise in Sterile Field Establishment and Instrumentation

Long before the first incision, the ORT in the scrub role is architecting the sterile environment. After performing a meticulous surgical hand scrub and donning sterile attire, they methodically open all sterile supplies—instrument trays, drapes, sutures, and implants—onto the back table and Mayo stand, utilizing techniques that prevent any non-sterile surface from contacting the sterile contents. This requires an encyclopedic knowledge of hundreds of surgical instruments, their names, functions, assembly, and proper handling. This knowledge is operationalized in two critical ways: anticipation and efficiency. By anticipating the procedural steps and the surgeon's preferences, the ORT can pass instruments promptly and correctly, reducing operative time and the duration of wound exposure. Secondly, they must inspect and test all instruments and equipment (e.g., ensuring electrocautery tips are secure, staplers are loaded correctly, suction is functional) before they are needed, preventing intraoperative delays that could compromise sterility if non-routine items must be hastily retrieved [23].

17. Vigilant Stewardship of the Sterile Field During the Procedure

Throughout the operation, the ORT is the custodian of the sterile field's organization and integrity. They manage the layout of instruments to maintain order and prevent accidental contamination. A key duty is keeping instruments clean during the case; they routinely wipe blood and tissue from instrument surfaces with a sterile moist sponge, preventing the formation of a dried biofilm that could flake into the wound. The ORT must maintain constant situational awareness, monitoring the integrity of their own and others' sterile barriers. They are ethically and professionally obligated to immediately and clearly communicate any observed break in technique, whether a tear in a glove, an inadvertent touch to a non-sterile surface, or a compromise of the sterile drapes. This requires both technical knowledge and the interpersonal confidence to speak up within the hierarchical OR culture [24].

18. Instrument Care, Counts, and Initiation of the Reprocessing Cycle

At the procedure's conclusion, the ORT's role in infection prevention enters its final, crucial phase. They collaborate with the circulating nurse to perform the final count of all sponges, sharps, and instruments, a critical safety step to prevent retained foreign bodies. They then begin the vital first step of the instrument reprocessing chain: point-of-use decontamination. The ORT is responsible for removing gross soil from instruments at the sterile field, often using enzymatic spray, and transferring them safely in designated, labeled, closed containers to the decontamination area. Proper handling at this stage—including disassembling complex instruments according to manufacturer instructions and ensuring sharps are disposed of in puncture-proof containers—prevents biofilm formation, protects downstream personnel, and ensures the efficacy of the automated cleaning processes to follow [23]. Their intimate knowledge of instrument construction is invaluable in guiding the reprocessing protocol.

19. Synergistic Collaboration: The Triad as an Integrated Defense System

The individual prowess of the nurse, anesthesia provider, and OR technician, while powerful, achieves its maximum protective potential only through seamless, synergistic collaboration. This interprofessional synergy transforms three separate roles into a unified, high-reliability team.

Effective teams operate from a shared mental model—a common understanding of the goals, plans, and respective responsibilities for the procedure. In the context of SSI prevention, this model is built upon a universal commitment to aseptic principles that transcends professional boundaries. It fosters a culture of mutual monitoring and psychological safety, where a nurse can question an anesthesia provider's skin prep, an ORT can alert a surgeon to a breech in the drapes, and an anesthesia provider can remind the team of the antibiotic redosing time, all without fear of reprisal. This collective vigilance is the most robust defense against human error. Preoperative briefings and the use of standardized checklists, such as the World Health Organization's Surgical Safety Checklist, formally institutionalize this shared model by ensuring key safety steps (antibiotic timing, allergy checks, anticipated blood loss) are verbally confirmed by all team members before incision [24].

When the sterile field is compromised—by a major fluid spill, a torn glove, or an unexpected need for an unsterile item—the team's collaborative response is tested and must be swift and coordinated. The circulating nurse coordinates the response, obtaining replacement items. The ORT manages the contaminated area, removing and replacing affected drapes and instruments while protecting the rest of the field. The anesthesia provider reassesses the patient's stability, as the case may be prolonged, and manages any immediate physiological consequences. Clear, closed-loop communication during these events is essential to restore a state of safety without panic or compromised standards [22].

20. Contributing to a Culture of Continuous Quality Improvement

Beyond individual cases, members of this triad are essential frontline contributors to unit-based and hospital-wide quality improvement (QI) initiatives aimed at reducing SSI rates. They provide real-world insights into workflow inefficiencies, equipment failures, or ambiguous protocols that may inadvertently promote lapses. Their active participation in QI committees, root-cause analyses of SSI cases, and the development of local protocols ensures that policies are practical, grounded in frontline reality, and more likely to be adopted and sustained [25].

Despite established science and protocols, the battle against SSIs faces persistent and evolving challenges. Human factors—fatigue, cognitive overload, production pressure to reduce turnover time, and variations in individual compliance—remain significant barriers to perfect adherence. The relentless rise of antimicrobial resistance threatens the efficacy of both prophylactic antibiotics and topical antiseptics, with reports of reduced susceptibility to chlorhexidine and muprocin emerging [26]. The increasing sophistication of surgical technology, particularly robotic platforms with complex articulated instruments and long, narrow lumens, presents unprecedented reprocessing challenges that demand ongoing specialized training and rigorous audit.

The future of SSI prevention lies at the intersection of technological innovation, advanced surveillance, and a deepened culture of interprofessional accountability. "Smart" operating rooms with integrated environmental monitoring systems can provide real-time data on air particle counts, temperature, and humidity, allowing for dynamic adjustments. The development of novel antimicrobial coatings for instruments, implants, and sutures that release ions (e.g., silver, zinc) or antimicrobial peptides offers promise for creating passively protective surfaces [27]. Advances in molecular diagnostics may allow for rapid intraoperative identification of pathogens in wound exudate, enabling targeted antibiotic therapy from the outset. Ultimately, however, technology will only augment, not replace, the fundamental human element: the knowledge, skill, and unwavering vigilance of the perioperative team. Sustained investment in education, simulation-based team training, and the fostering of a just culture where safety prioritizes blame are paramount.

21. Conclusion

Surgical site infection is a multifactorial complication that represents a critical test of a healthcare system's commitment to patient safety and quality. Its prevention is not a passive outcome but an active, disciplined process built upon a foundation of rigorous sterilization and aseptic practices. These practices, from environmental controls and instrument reprocessing to preoperative patient preparation and intraoperative technique, form an interconnected chain of defense where the strength of the whole is dependent on the integrity of every link.

As this comprehensive analysis demonstrates, the guardians of this chain are the dedicated professionals who constitute the core operating room team: the perioperative nurse, the anesthesia provider, and the operating room technician. The nurse operates as the orchestrator and sentinel, coordinating care from assessment through recovery and vigilantly policing the aseptic environment. The anesthesia provider extends the zone of sterility to the patient's vasculature and actively manages key physiological determinants of infection risk, namely temperature and glucose homeostasis. The operating room technician is the master of the material world of surgery, ensuring through specialized expertise that every instrument and supply introduced to the surgical wound meets the absolute standard of sterility.

Their roles, while distinct in focus, are profoundly interdependent. It is in their synergistic collaboration—their shared mental model, their mutual monitoring, and their coordinated response to challenges—that the most effective defense against SSIs is forged. The pursuit of zero preventable harm from surgical site infections is an ambitious but necessary goal. It is achieved not through a single policy or technological silver bullet, but through a sustained culture of excellence where every member of this essential triad is empowered, respected, and held accountable for their vital role in protecting the patient. Through continued education, unwavering attention to evidence-based detail, and a profound commitment to teamwork, this goal transitions from a distant ideal to a daily, attainable standard of care for every surgical patient.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Rutala W.A., Gergen M.F., Bringhurst J. Effective high-level disinfection of cystoscopes: is perfusion of channels required? Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2016;37(2):228–231. doi: 10.1017/ice.2015.266.
2. Rutala W.A., Weber D.J. Disinfection, Sterilization and Antisepsis: An Overview. Am J Infect Control. 2016;44:e1–e66. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2015.10.038.
3. Rutala W.A., Weber D.J. Gastrointestinal endoscopes: a need to shift from disinfection to sterilization? JAMA. 2014;312:1405–1406. doi: 10.1001/jama.2014.12559.
4. Rutala W.A., Gergen M.F., Weber D.J. Efficacy of a washer-disinfector in eliminating healthcare-associated pathogens from surgical instruments. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2014;35:883–885. doi: 10.1086/676867.
5. Weber D.J., Rutala W.A. Role of environmental contamination in the transmission of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1997;18:306–309. doi: 10.1086/647616.
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Immediate need for healthcare facilities to review procedures for cleaning, disinfecting, and sterilizing reusable medical devices. 2015. Available at: http://emergency.cdc.gov/han/han00382.asp. Accessed 18 May, 2016.
7. Rutala W.A., Weber D.J. Disinfection and sterilization of prion-contaminated medical instruments, reply to Belay. Infect Control Hosp

Epidemiol. 2010;31:1306–1307. doi: 10.1086/650197.
8. Rutala W.A., Cole E.C., Thomann C.A. Stability and bactericidal activity of chlorine solutions. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1998;19:323–327. doi: 10.1086/647822.
9. Weber D.J., Rutala W.A., Sickbert-Bennett E.E. Outbreaks associated with contaminated antiseptics and disinfectants. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2007;51:4217–4224. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00138-07.
10. Rutala W.A., Weber D.J. ERCP scopes: what can we do to prevent infections? Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2015;36:643–648. doi: 10.1017/ice.2015.98.
11. Rutala W.A., Weber D.J. Cleaning, disinfection and sterilization. In: Grota P., editor. APIC text of infection control and epidemiology. 4th edition. Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology, Inc.; Washington, DC: 2014. pp. 31.1–31.15.
12. Spaulding E.H. Chemical disinfection of medical and surgical materials. In: Lawrence C., Block S.S., editors. Disinfection, sterilization, and preservation. Lea & Febiger; Philadelphia: 1968. pp. 517–531.
13. Weber D.J., Rutala W.A., Miller M.B. Role of hospital surfaces in the transmission of emerging health care-associated pathogens: norovirus, Clostridium difficile, and Acinetobacter species. Am J Infect Control. 2010;38:S25–S33. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2010.04.196.
14. Ofstead C.L., Wetzler H.P., Doyle E.M. Persistent contamination on colonoscopes and gastroscopes detected by biologic cultures and rapid indicators despite reprocessing performed in accordance with guidelines. Am J Infect Control. 2015;43:794–801. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2015.03.003.
15. Pajkos A.V.K., Cossart Y. Is biofilm accumulation on endoscope tubing a contributor to the failure of cleaning and decontamination. J Hosp Infect. 2004;58:224. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2004.06.023.
16. Rutala W.A., Weber D.J. How to assess risk of disease transmission to patients when there is a failure to follow recommended disinfection and sterilization guidelines. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2007;28:146–155. doi: 10.1086/511700.
17. Kohn W.G., Collins A.S., Cleveland J.L. Guidelines for infection control in dental health-care settings–2003. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2003;52:1–61.
18. Rutala W.A., Gergen M.F., Weber D.J. Microbial contamination on used surgical instruments. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2014;35:1068–1070. doi: 10.1086/677153.
19. Weber D.J., Rutala W.A. Lessons learned from outbreaks and pseudo-outbreaks associated with bronchoscopy. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2012;33:230–234. doi: 10.1086/664495.
20. Roberts C.G. Studies on the bioburden on medical devices and the importance of cleaning. In: Rutala W.A., editor. Disinfection, sterilization and antisepsis: principles and practices in healthcare facilities. Association for Provessionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology; Washington, DC: 2000. pp. 63–69.
21. Food and Drug Administration. Brief summary of the gastroenterology and urology devices panel meeting. 2015.
22. Rutala W.A., Weber D.J., HICPAC . Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; Atlanta (GA): 2008. Guideline for disinfection and sterilization in healthcare facilities, 2008. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/guidelines/Disinfection_Nov_2008.pdf
23. Food and Drug Administration. FDA-cleared sterilants and high level disinfectants with general claims for processing reusable medical and dental devices, March 2015. Available at: http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/ReprocessingofReusableMedicalDevices/ucm437347.htm. Accessed 19 May, 2016.
24. Wendorf K.A., Kay M., Baliga C. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography-associated AmpC Escherichia coli outbreak. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2015;36:634–642. doi: 10.1017/ice.2015.66.
25. Peery A.F., Dellon E.S., Lund J. Burden of gastrointestinal disease in the United States: 2012 update. Gastroenterology. 2012;143:1179–1187. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2012.08.002.
26. Rutala W.A., Weber D.J. Disinfection, sterilization and control of hospital waste. In: Bennett J.E., Dolan R., Blaser M.J., editors. Principles and practice of infectious diseases. Elsevier Saunders; Philadelphia: 2015. pp. 3294–3309.
27. Rutala W.A., Gergen M.F., Weber D.J. Disinfection of a probe used in ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2007;28:916–919. doi: 10.1086/518845.