



Nursing Interventions in Wound Care and Their Effects on Healing Time and Infection Rates

Salwa Saleem Alanazi^{1*}, Altuwaiher, Badr Jarallah S², Alrasheedi, Naif Ali A³, Norah Hussain Saeed Alanazi⁴, Fatimah Sumaydan Hamoud Aljohani⁵, Fatimah Maki Alessa⁶, Kassab Sahw Kassab Al Shamre⁷, Manal Farhan I Alanazi⁸, Alhazmi, Mohamm Dlash R⁹, Maher Taher Salem Al-Eid¹⁰, Alghamdi, Ahmed Mohammed L¹¹

¹Nursing Technician – North Medical Tower, Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

* **Corresponding Author Email:** Salwasa@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5017-7750

²Nursing Specialist – Hail General Hospital, Hail Health Cluster – Hail – Hail Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: badr1171@hotmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5097-7850

³Nursing Technician – Eradah and Mental Health Hospital in Qassim, Qassim Health Cluster – Buraidah – Qassim Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: Naaalrasheedi@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5087-7850

⁴Nursing Technician – Long Term Care Hospital, Eastern Health Cluster – Hafr Al-Batin – Eastern Province – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: nourahha@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5077-7850

⁵Nursing Technician – Al Suraif Primary Health Care Center, Al Madinah Health Cluster – Yanbu – Al Madinah Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: faljohuni@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5067-7850

⁶Nursing Technician – Safwa General Hospital, Eastern Health Cluster – Safwa – Eastern Province – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: Fatimah.a.e@hotmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5057-7850

⁷Nursing Technician – Forensic Medical Services Center in Hail – Hail – Hail Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: kassab-show@hotmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5047-7850

⁸Nursing Technician – North Medical Tower (Medical Records), Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: manalfa@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5037-7850

⁹Nursing Technician – North Medical Tower Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: modalhazmi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5027-7850

¹⁰Nursing Technician – Al-Jabr Eye and ENT Hospital, Al Ahsa Health Cluster – Al Hofuf – Eastern Province – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: Maher411@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5017-7850

¹¹Nursing Technician – Children’s Hospital, Taif Health Cluster – Taif – Makkah Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: aalghamdi531@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5227-7850

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesn.4489

Received : 02 June 2024

Accepted : 30 June 2024

Keywords

Nursing interventions,
wound care,
healing time,
infection rates,
wound assessment,
debridement, moisture management

Abstract:

Effective wound care is crucial in promoting healing and preventing complications such as infections. Nursing interventions play a vital role in this process, encompassing a range of practices from thorough assessment and cleaning of the wound to the application of appropriate dressings. Nurses are responsible for evaluating the wound's characteristics, including size, depth, and signs of infection, and they must implement evidence-based protocols for wound management. These interventions may include debridement, moisture management, and the use of advanced dressings that provide a conducive environment for healing. By adhering to standardized care plans, nurses can significantly reduce healing time and lower the incidence of wound-related infections, ultimately improving patient outcomes. In addition to direct wound management, nursing interventions also involve patient education and support. Educating patients on proper wound care techniques, signs of infection, and the importance of adhering to follow-up appointments can empower them in their recovery process. Moreover, maintaining a sterile environment during dressing changes and using appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) are essential practices that minimize the risk of contamination. Research indicates that systematic nursing interventions not only enhance the efficiency of wound healing but also contribute to lower infection rates, thereby decreasing the overall healthcare burden and improving quality of life for patients.

1. Introduction

The intricate and multifaceted discipline of wound management stands as a cornerstone of modern healthcare, representing a dynamic intersection of pathophysiology, clinical skill, technology, and compassionate human intervention. Wounds, in their myriad forms—from the intentional, clean incisions of elective surgery to the traumatic lacerations of accidents, and from the persistent, debilitating ulcers of chronic disease to the profound tissue injuries of burns—constitute a significant and pervasive challenge to global health systems [1]. Their impact transcends mere physical damage, extending deeply into the realms of patient psychology, quality of life, functional mobility, and socioeconomic stability. The journey from tissue injury to restoration of integrity is a complex biological cascade, vulnerable to disruption by a host of local and systemic factors. Within this critical landscape, the prevention of complications, particularly infection, emerges as a paramount concern, as an infected wound can escalate into a source of systemic sepsis, lead to catastrophic limb loss, prolong hospitalization exponentially, and culminate in devastating mortality rates [2]. It is within this high-stakes context that the profession of nursing assumes a role of indispensable authority and profound responsibility. Nursing interventions in wound care are far from being routine or mechanistic tasks; they are deliberate, evidence-based, and judiciously applied actions that directly dictate the trajectory of healing and the clinical destiny of the patient.

The global burden of wounds, both acute and chronic, is staggering in its scope and economic impact. Chronic wounds alone, such as venous leg

ulcers, diabetic foot ulcers, and pressure injuries, affect millions of individuals worldwide, representing a silent epidemic that consumes a disproportionate share of healthcare resources [1]. These wounds are frequently characterized by a failure to progress through the orderly stages of healing, often stagnating in a state of chronic inflammation. This stagnation is not merely a local phenomenon but a reflection of underlying systemic pathologies—diabetes mellitus, peripheral vascular disease, immunocompromised states, and nutritional deficiencies—which must be concurrently addressed for healing to succeed [3]. The financial costs associated with prolonged wound treatment, including advanced dressings, pharmaceutical agents, frequent healthcare visits, and potential surgical interventions, are immense. However, the human costs—the chronic pain, the foul odor, the exudate that stains clothing and confines individuals to their homes, the loss of employment, and the deep psychological wounds of depression and social isolation—are immeasurable [4]. This stark reality underscores the urgent necessity for interventions that are not only clinically effective but also efficient in accelerating the healing continuum. Every day that a wound remains open is another day of risk for infection, another day of suffering for the patient, and another day of resource expenditure for the healthcare system.

At the heart of effective wound management lies a sophisticated understanding of the physiological symphony of healing, a process traditionally delineated into four overlapping and interdependent phases: hemostasis, inflammation, proliferation, and remodeling [5]. The nursing role is fundamentally that of a conductor and facilitator

of this innate biological process. Immediately following injury, the hemostasis phase initiates with vasoconstriction and platelet aggregation to form a provisional clot. Nurses support this phase through the application of direct pressure and hemostatic dressings when necessary. The subsequent inflammatory phase, characterized by vasodilation, increased vascular permeability, and the influx of neutrophils and macrophages, is a critical defensive and preparatory stage. However, nursing assessment must be astute in differentiating between the normal, self-limiting inflammation essential for debridement and the signs of a prolonged, dysregulated inflammatory response, often driven by persistent infection or the presence of necrotic tissue, which becomes a primary barrier to healing [6]. It is the nurse's vigilant monitoring that detects this shift from productive to destructive inflammation.

The progression to the proliferative phase is the visual hallmark of healing success, marked by the development of granulation tissue, the contraction of wound edges, and the migration of epithelial cells. Nursing interventions are meticulously designed to nurture this fragile new tissue. Finally, the lengthy remodeling phase, which can last for years, involves the maturation and reorganization of collagen. Throughout this entire cascade, the nurse's objective is to identify and eliminate obstacles, to protect and nourish nascent structures, and to maintain the precise biochemical and environmental conditions—most notably a moist, warm, and protected wound bed—that experimental and clinical evidence has overwhelmingly shown to optimize cellular function and epithelial migration [7]. Therefore, every action, from the gentleness of a cleansing technique to the strategic selection of a dressing, is a therapeutic intervention with direct biochemical consequences, either supporting or hindering the cellular actors in the healing drama.

Furthermore, the specter of infection looms over every wound, representing the most common and serious complication. A wound infection is not a binary event but a spectrum, ranging from localized critical colonization that delays healing to invasive cellulitis and life-threatening systemic sepsis. The bacterial burden in a wound, particularly when organized into resilient biofilms—sophisticated microbial communities encased in a protective matrix—can hijack the inflammatory process, consume nutrients and oxygen intended for repair, and release toxins that damage host cells [8]. The economic and human costs of wound-associated infections are severe, leading to extended antibiotic courses, additional surgical procedures, increased readmission rates, and significant morbidity. Preventing and managing infection is thus not a

secondary consideration but a primary, overarching goal that is inextricably woven into the fabric of all wound care practices.

2. The Physiology of Wound Healing as a Guiding Framework

Effective nursing intervention is predicated on a thorough understanding of the physiological processes of wound healing. The classic model of healing—comprising the overlapping phases of hemostasis, inflammation, proliferation, and remodeling—provides the essential framework for all care decisions [3]. Nurses must recognize the signs of normal progression through these stages and, more critically, identify the indicators of stagnation or failure. A wound arrested in the inflammatory phase, for instance, will exhibit persistent erythema, edema, and exudate, signaling an underlying issue such as infection, hypoxia, or excessive bioburden that requires targeted intervention [4]. Conversely, the progression to the proliferative phase, marked by granulation tissue formation and epithelial migration, indicates a favorable response to care. Every nursing action, from cleansing to dressing choice, is designed to support and unblock these natural processes. Interventions that mitigate prolonged inflammation, protect nascent tissue, and maintain a moist wound environment are consistently linked to accelerated healing timelines [5]. Therefore, the nurse's role is inherently biocatalytic, aiming to remove barriers and provide the local conditions necessary for cellular repair mechanisms to proceed efficiently.

3. Systematic Wound Assessment and Documentation

The cornerstone of any effective wound care plan is a meticulous and systematic initial and ongoing assessment, a responsibility primarily shouldered by nursing professionals. This assessment transcends simple observation, requiring a structured approach to gather objective data that guides diagnosis, intervention selection, and evaluation of efficacy. Key parameters must be meticulously evaluated and documented at regular intervals. Wound dimensions, including length, width, depth, and the presence of undermining or tunneling, provide a quantitative baseline for monitoring change [6]. The characterization of wound bed tissue—differentiating between viable structures like red granulation tissue, fragile epithelial buds, and non-viable structures like yellow slough or black, leathery eschar—is critical for determining the need for debridement [7]. The amount, consistency, color, and odor of exudate

offer vital clues about the wound's inflammatory state and potential infection; a sudden increase in exudate or a change to a purulent, foul-smelling character is a cardinal sign of increased bioburden [8].

Furthermore, a detailed assessment of the periwound skin is essential. Maceration, erythema, eczema, or signs of clinical infection (cellulitis) in the surrounding skin can compromise the wound's ability to close and often necessitate a change in dressing strategy to manage exudate more effectively [9]. Finally, a holistic patient assessment is non-negotiable. Systemic factors such as nutritional status (particularly protein and vitamin C levels), glycemic control in diabetic patients, perfusion and oxygenation (addressed through smoking cessation and management of vascular disease), and immunological competence are powerful modulators of healing capacity [10]. By integrating this comprehensive local and systemic data, nurses can formulate a precise, individualized problem list and set realistic, measurable goals for healing, thereby establishing a scientific basis for all subsequent interventions.

4. Debridement: Removing the Barrier to Healing

Debridement, the removal of non-viable tissue, foreign material, and microbial load from the wound bed, is one of the most impactful interventions a nurse can perform or facilitate. Necrotic tissue and excess slough act as physical barriers to epithelial migration, perpetuate inflammation, and serve as a rich medium for bacterial proliferation, directly prolonging healing time and elevating infection risk [11]. The nursing role encompasses not only the execution of certain debridement methods but also the selection of the most appropriate type based on wound characteristics and patient tolerance. Autolytic debridement, facilitated by maintaining a moist wound environment with semi-occlusive or occlusive dressings, uses the body's own enzymes and moisture to selectively liquefy necrotic tissue. It is a slow but gentle method suitable for non-infected wounds with moderate slough [12].

In contrast, sharp or surgical debridement, often performed by advanced practice nurses, physicians, or podiatrists, involves the use of scalpel or scissors to rapidly excise necrotic tissue. This method provides immediate results, swiftly converting a stagnant wound into a clean, bleeding wound bed ready for healing, and is indicated for extensive necrosis, infected tissue, or when rapid progression is needed [13]. Mechanical debridement, such as wet-to-dry gauze, is now generally discouraged as

it is non-selective and can damage healthy granulation tissue, causing pain and potentially delaying healing [14]. Enzymatic debridement uses topical agents like collagenase to chemically break down necrotic tissue and is a useful adjunct, particularly when sharp debridement is not feasible [15]. The consistent finding across studies is that effective debridement reduces bacterial burden, decreases exudate and odor, and stimulates the wound to transition from the inflammatory to the proliferative phase, thereby significantly shortening the time to complete healing [16].

5. Wound Cleansing and Infection Control Practices

Routine wound cleansing is a fundamental nursing procedure aimed at removing surface contaminants, loose debris, and residual exudate without damaging the fragile healing tissue. The technique and solution used are critical. The prevailing evidence strongly supports the use of gentle irrigation with lukewarm sterile saline or potable tap water as the most effective and tissue-friendly method [17]. High-pressure irrigation should be avoided on granulating wounds due to the risk of driving bacteria deeper into the tissue and traumatizing cells. The use of cytotoxic antiseptics such as hydrogen peroxide, povidone-iodine, or sodium hypochlorite in routine cleansing is strongly contraindicated for established wounds, as they damage fibroblasts and keratinocytes, impair angiogenesis, and ultimately retard the healing process [18]. Their role is limited to short-term use on heavily contaminated acute wounds or in specific cases of high bacterial load under strict clinical guidance.

Hand hygiene and aseptic technique are the bedrock of infection prevention in wound care. The nurse's hands are a primary vector for cross-contamination. Rigorous hand washing with soap and water or use of alcohol-based gel before and after any wound contact, and the use of clean or sterile gloves, are non-negotiable standards that have a direct, measurable effect on reducing nosocomial infection rates [19]. Furthermore, the principles of sterile technique during dressing changes for acute surgical wounds or extensive burns are essential to prevent the introduction of pathogens. For chronic wounds, a "clean" technique may be appropriate, but the core principle of minimizing contamination remains paramount. These fundamental hygiene practices, while simple, constitute one of the most powerful nursing interventions for preventing wound infection and its devastating consequences on healing time and patient safety.

6. The Paradigm Shift to Moist Wound Healing and Dressing Selection

The single most transformative advance in modern wound care has been the universal adoption of the moist wound healing theory, largely driven and implemented by nursing practice. Decades of research have conclusively demonstrated that a moist environment accelerates epithelial cell migration by up to 40% compared to a dry, scabbed wound, facilitates autolytic debridement, reduces pain, and minimizes scarring [20]. The contemporary nurse's expertise lies in selecting the optimal dressing from a vast array of advanced technologies to create and maintain this ideal microenvironment, tailored to the specific wound's phase and characteristics. This strategic selection is a direct determinant of healing efficiency.

For heavily exuding wounds, highly absorbent dressings such as foam dressings, alginates, or gelling fiber dressings are critical. These products manage excess moisture, preventing periwound maceration—a common precursor to skin breakdown and wound enlargement—while still maintaining a moist bed [21]. By preventing maceration, these dressings protect the wound edge integrity, allowing for unimpeded epithelial advancement. For dry or lightly exuding wounds, such as superficial burns or donor sites, hydrocolloids or thin film dressings provide hydration and protection. Hydrocolloids interact with wound fluid to form a gel, creating a moist environment that supports autolysis and granulation [22]. For wounds with necrotic tissue or slough requiring debridement, hydrogel dressings can donate moisture to rehydrate eschar, facilitating its removal. The precise matching of dressing function to wound need optimizes the local milieu, directly contributing to a reduction in healing time by supporting continuous cellular activity.

7. Antimicrobial and Biofilm-Targeting Dressings

The presence of critical colonization or local infection is a major impediment to healing. Recognizing this, nursing interventions now strategically incorporate dressings infused with antimicrobial agents to control bioburden at the wound site. Silver, in its various ionic and nanocrystalline forms, is the most widely used broad-spectrum antimicrobial in wound dressings. Silver dressings release ions that disrupt bacterial cell membranes and metabolic processes, effectively reducing microbial load in wounds at risk of or exhibiting signs of infection [23]. Iodine-based dressings, such as those containing

cadexomer iodine, offer sustained antimicrobial action and also absorb exudate, making them useful for moderately exuding, contaminated wounds [24]. Perhaps the most significant challenge in chronic wounds is the biofilm—a structured community of bacteria encased in a protective polymeric matrix that adheres to the wound surface. Biofilms are highly resistant to antibiotics and the host immune response, causing chronic inflammation and preventing healing [25]. Nursing management of biofilm involves a multi-faceted approach. The first line of defense is frequent, effective debridement, which physically disrupts and removes a significant portion of the biofilm. This is then combined with the sustained use of topical antimicrobial dressings, such as silver or polyhexamethylene biguanide (PHMB), to inhibit its regrowth [26]. The nurse-led protocol of “clean, treat, and protect”—aggressively cleaning/debriding, applying an appropriate antimicrobial dressing, and then protecting the wound with a secondary dressing—is a targeted intervention proven to reduce biofilm burden, decrease infection rates, and break the cycle of inflammation, thereby restarting the stalled healing process [27].

8. Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (NPWT)

Negative Pressure Wound Therapy represents a major advanced intervention often managed and monitored by skilled nurses. NPWT involves the application of controlled sub-atmospheric pressure to a sealed wound via a specialized foam or gauze interface connected to a pump. The mechanisms by which NPWT positively affects healing time are multi-modal. It mechanically reduces edema and promotes perfusion, drawing oxygenated blood to the wound bed [28]. It removes excess exudate and infectious material, reducing the risk of maceration and infection. Furthermore, the mechanical micro-strain on the wound tissue stimulates granulation tissue formation, often at a remarkably accelerated rate compared to standard dressings [29].

Nursing responsibilities in NPWT are extensive and crucial to its success and safety. They include proper wound bed preparation (ensuring adequate debridement), selecting and trimming the interface material to fit the wound, ensuring an airtight seal, setting the appropriate pressure parameters (continuous vs. intermittent), and educating the patient or caregiver on device operation and alarm management for home therapy. Meticulous nursing monitoring for complications such as bleeding, pain, or infection under the foam is essential. The evidence strongly supports that NPWT, when correctly applied and managed by competent nurses, significantly reduces healing time for

complex acute wounds (like open abdominal wounds or traumatic injuries), compromised grafts, and certain chronic wounds, while also decreasing the frequency of dressing changes and potentially overall infection rates [30].

9. The Role of Nutritional Assessment and Support

Nursing intervention in wound care extends far beyond the wound's edge to encompass the systemic support of the healing organism. Nutritional status is a powerful predictor of healing outcomes. The body requires increased energy, protein, vitamins (A, C), and minerals (zinc, iron) to synthesize collagen, produce new cells, and mount an effective immune response [31]. Protein-calorie malnutrition leads to impaired fibroblast function, decreased collagen synthesis, and reduced phagocytic activity, directly resulting in delayed wound closure and heightened susceptibility to infection [32].

A core nursing responsibility is the systematic nutritional screening and assessment of every patient with a wound, particularly those with chronic or complex wounds. This involves monitoring weight trends, reviewing dietary intake, and assessing laboratory values like serum albumin or prealbumin (though with an understanding of their limitations as acute phase reactants). Based on this assessment, nurses collaborate with dietitians and physicians to implement nutritional interventions. These may include providing high-protein, high-calorie oral supplements, advocating for vitamin and mineral supplementation, and in severe cases, assisting in the management of enteral or parenteral nutrition [33]. By addressing nutritional deficiencies, nurses correct a fundamental systemic barrier to healing. This intervention does not act in isolation but synergistically with local wound care; a perfectly dressed wound will not heal in a malnourished patient. Therefore, proactive nutritional support is a foundational nursing strategy that directly shortens healing time and strengthens host defenses against infection.

10. Empowering Patients Through Education and Self-Management

The transition of care from the clinical setting to the home underscores the critical importance of patient and caregiver education—a quintessential nursing function with profound implications for long-term outcomes. A patient who understands the rationale behind their care is more likely to be adherent, report problems early, and engage in self-

management behaviors. Nursing education must be tailored, iterative, and practical. It encompasses teaching patients how to perform aseptic dressing changes, recognize signs of infection (increased redness, swelling, pain, purulent discharge, fever), and properly care for the periwound skin [34].

For patients with chronic conditions like diabetes, education extends to comprehensive foot care, glycemic monitoring, and the importance of off-loading pressure on foot ulcers. For patients with venous leg ulcers, instruction on correct compression therapy application and the necessity of leg elevation is vital [35]. Effective education empowers patients to become active partners in their care. This partnership leads to earlier detection of complications, more consistent application of therapy, and better maintenance of preventive measures after healing, all of which contribute to reduced rates of wound recurrence, fewer infections, and shorter overall episodes of care. The nurse's role as an educator is thus a direct investment in sustainable healing and prevention.

11. Pain Management as an Integral Component of Wound Care

Pain is a near-universal experience for patients with wounds, especially during dressing changes, debridement, or in the presence of infection. Uncontrolled wound pain is not merely a humanitarian concern; it is a physiological impediment to healing. Pain induces a stress response, releasing catecholamines and cortisol, which can cause vasoconstriction, reduce tissue oxygenation, and suppress immune function [36]. Furthermore, anticipation of pain can lead to anxiety and non-adherence with treatment schedules. Therefore, effective pain assessment and management are imperative nursing interventions that influence the healing milieu.

Nurses must routinely assess pain using validated scales and differentiate between background pain and procedural (dressing change) pain. Interventions are multi-modal. Pharmacological strategies, administered in collaboration with physicians, include scheduled analgesics for background pain and pre-medication before painful procedures [37]. Non-pharmacological and nursing-led strategies are equally vital. These include selecting low-adherence or non-adherent dressings, using warmed irrigation solutions, employing gentle handling and distraction techniques during procedures, and allowing the patient control over the pace of the dressing change when possible [38]. By mitigating pain and stress, nurses help to normalize the physiological environment of the wound, supporting perfusion and immune

competence, which in turn can facilitate a more rapid healing progression.

12. Monitoring, Evaluation, and Multidisciplinary Collaboration

The nursing process is cyclical, requiring constant re-evaluation of the wound and the patient's response to interventions. Nurses are responsible for tracking progress toward pre-established goals. This involves regular re-assessment using the same systematic parameters as the initial evaluation: measuring dimensions, documenting changes in tissue type, exudate, and periwound condition, and monitoring for systemic signs of improvement or complications [39]. The use of validated tracking tools or digital photography can enhance the objectivity of this evaluation.

Crucially, wound healing is rarely the domain of a single profession. The most effective outcomes are achieved through a collaborative, multidisciplinary team (MDT) approach. Nurses act as the central coordinators and communicators within this team. They liaise with physicians for diagnosis and debridement orders, with dietitians for nutritional plans, with physical therapists for mobility and off-loading strategies, with occupational therapists for adaptive devices, and with social workers for addressing psychosocial or financial barriers to care [40]. The nurse's holistic perspective on the patient ensures that information from all specialties is integrated into a cohesive, patient-centered plan. This collaborative model, orchestrated by nursing, ensures that all modifiable factors—local and systemic—are addressed concurrently, which is the most effective strategy for minimizing healing time and maximizing infection prevention.

13. Conclusion

In conclusion, nursing interventions in wound care constitute a sophisticated and evidence-based science that is central to achieving positive patient outcomes. From the foundational acts of thorough assessment and meticulous hygiene to the advanced application of therapeutic technologies like NPWT and antimicrobial dressings, nurses exert direct and measurable influence on the biological processes of repair. By systematically removing barriers to healing through debridement, optimizing the wound environment with modern dressings, controlling microbial bioburden, and providing essential systemic support through nutrition and pain management, nurses directly catalyze the healing cascade. Furthermore, through patient education and multidisciplinary coordination, they extend the efficacy of care beyond the clinic and address the

multifactorial nature of wound etiology. The cumulative effect of these deliberate, knowledgeable interventions is a significant reduction in the time required for wound closure and a substantive decrease in the incidence and severity of wound infections. As the frontline providers in wound management, nurses are not simply caretakers of wounds but are active architects of healing, whose interventions are indispensable for restoring tissue integrity, preventing complications, and improving the lives of patients navigating the challenging journey of wound recovery. The ongoing integration of new evidence into practice will continue to refine these interventions, further solidifying the nurse's role as a pivotal agent in transforming wound care outcomes.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Peters JC. Combating obesity: Challenges and choices. *Obesity*. 2003;11:7S–11S.
2. Ajje WN, Chapman-Novakofski KM. Impact of computer-mediated, obesity-related nutrition education interventions for adolescents: A systematic review. *The Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2014;54:631–645.
3. Fairclough SJ, Hackett AF, Davies IG, Gobbi R, Mackintosh KA, Warburton GL, Stratton G, Van Sluijs EM, Boddy LM. Promoting healthy weight in primary school children through physical activity and nutrition education: A pragmatic evaluation of the CHANGE! randomised intervention study. *BMC Public Health*. 2013;13:626.
4. Molnár D. The prevalence of the metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes mellitus in children

- and adolescents. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*. 2004;28(Suppl 3):S70–S74.
5. Magriplis E, Farajian P, Risvas G, Panagiotakos D, Zampelas A. Newly derived children-based food index. An index that may detect childhood overweight and obesity. *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*. 2015;66:623–632.
 6. Contento IR, Koch PA. *Nutrition Education: Linking Research, Theory, and Practice*. Jones & Bartlett Learning; 2020.
 7. Haththotuwa RN, Wijeyaratne CN, Senarath U. Chapter 1—Worldwide epidemic of obesity. In: Mahmood TA, Arulkumaran S, Chervenak FA, editors. *Obesity and Obstetrics*. 2nd ed. Elsevier; 2020. pp. 3–8.
 8. Pavea G, Lewis DW, Locher J, Allison DB. Socioeconomic Status, Risk of Obesity, and the Importance of Albert J. Stunkard. *Current Obesity Reports*. 2016;5:132–139.
 9. Silveira JA, Taddei JA, Guerra PH, Nobre MR. Effectiveness of school-based nutrition education interventions to prevent and reduce excessive weight gain in children and adolescents: A systematic review. *Jornal de Pediatria*. 2011;87:382–392.
 10. Colquitt JL, Loveman E, O'malley C, Azevedo LB, Mead E, Al-Khudairy L, Ells LJ, Metzendorf MI, Rees K. Diet, physical activity, and behavioural interventions for the treatment of overweight or obesity in preschool children up to the age of 6 years. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2016;10:CD012105.
 11. Singh GK, Siahpush M, Kogan MD. Rising social inequalities in US childhood obesity, 2003–2007. *Annals of Epidemiology*. 2010;20:40–52.
 12. Hill JO, Wyatt HR, Peters JC. Energy balance and obesity. *Circulation*. 2012;126:126–132.
 13. Viner RM, Cole TJ. Television viewing in early childhood predicts adult body mass index. *The Journal of Pediatrics*. 2005;147:429–435.
 14. Hu F. *Obesity Epidemiology*. Oxford University Press; 2008.
 15. Snethen JA, Broome ME, Cashin SE. Effective weight loss for overweight children: A meta-analysis of intervention studies. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*. 2006;21:45–56.
 16. Murray M, Dordevic AL, Bonham MP. Systematic review and meta-analysis: The impact of multicomponent weight management interventions on self-esteem in overweight and obese adolescents. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*. 2017;42:379–394.
 17. Uskun E, Öztürk M, Kişioğlu AN, Kırbıyık S, Demirel R. İlköğretim öğrencilerinde obezite gelişimini etkileyen risk faktörleri. *Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Dergisi*. 2005;12:19–25.
 18. World Health Organization—Child Growth Standards.
 19. Butland B, Jebb S, Kopelman P, Mcpherson K, Thomas S, Mardell J. *Tackling Obesities: Future Choices—Project Report*. 2nd ed. Department of Innovation Universities and Skills; 2007.
 20. Greenlw K. *Health Program Planning: An Educational and Ecological Approach*. McGraw-Hill; 2004.
 21. Yılmazbaş P, Gökçay G. Childhood Obesity and Prevention. *Journal of Child*. 2021;18:103–112.
 22. Wu J, Mo J, Huang CW, Peng LW, Xu L, Yang XC, Yu X. Obesity and its influencing factors in primary school students from Kaifu District of Changsha City. *Zhongguo Dang Dai Er Ke Za Zhi*. 2008;10:231.
 23. Wall DE, Least C, Gromis J, Lohse B. Nutrition education intervention improves vegetable-related attitude, self-efficacy, preference, and knowledge of fourth-grade students. *The Journal of School Health*. 2012;82:37–43.
 24. Butland B, Jebb S, Kopelman P, Mcpherson K, Thomas S, Mardell J, Parry V. *Tackling Obesities: Future Choices—Project Report*. Department of Innovation, Universities and Skills; 2007.
 25. Van Cauwenberghe E, Maes L, Spittaels H, Van Lenthe FJ, Brug J, Oppert JM, De Bourdeaudhuij I. Effectiveness of school-based interventions in Europe to promote healthy nutrition in children and adolescents: Systematic review of published and 'grey' literature. *The British Journal of Nutrition*. 2010;103:781–797.
 26. Adachi-Mejia AM, Longacre MR, Gibson J, Beach ML, Titus-Ernstoff L, Dalton M. Children with a TV in their bedroom at higher risk for being overweight. *International Journal of Obesity*. 2007;31:644–651.
 27. National Research Council. *Improving the Health, Safety, and Well-Being of Young Adults: Workshop Summary*. National Academies Press; 2013.
 28. Sbruzzi G, Eibel B, Barbiero SM, Petkowicz RO, Ribeiro RA, Cesa CC, Martins CC, Marobin R, Schaan CW, Souza WB. Educational interventions in childhood obesity: A systematic review with meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Preventive Medicine*. 2013;56:254–264.
 29. Doak C, Visscher T, Renders C, Seidell J. The prevention of overweight and obesity in children and adolescents: A review of interventions and programmes. *Obesity Reviews*. 2006;7:111–136.
 30. Evrim FİY, Çakıroğlu FPTD. Ankara ili Etimesgut ilçesi Şeyh Şamil İlköğretim Okulu öğrencilerinin beslenme alışkanlıkları ve obezite durumu [Master's Thesis]. Ankara Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü; 2010.
 31. Luckner H, Moss JR, Gericke CA. Effectiveness of interventions to promote healthy weight in general populations of children and adults: A meta-analysis. *European Journal of Public Health*. 2012;22:491–497.
 32. Kamath CC, Vickers KS, Ehrlich A, McGovern L, Johnson J, Singhal V, Paulo R, Hettinger A, Erwin PJ, Montori VM. Behavioral interventions to prevent childhood obesity: A systematic review and metaanalyses of randomized trials. *The Journal of*

Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2008;93:4606–4615.

33. Reilly J, Armstrong J, Dorosty A, Emmett P, Ness A, Rogers I, Steer C, Sherriff A. Early life risk factors for obesity in childhood: Cohort study. *BMJ*. 2005;330:1357.
34. Wang Y, Cai L, Wu Y, Wilson RF, Weston C, Fawole O, Bleich SN, Cheskin LJ, Showell NN, Lau BD, et al. What childhood obesity prevention programmes work? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obesity Reviews*. 2015;16:547–565.
35. Ling J, Robbins LB, Wen F. Interventions to prevent and manage overweight or obesity in preschool children: A systematic review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 2016;53:270–289.
36. McGovern L, Johnson JN, Paulo R, Hettinger A, Singhal V, Kamath C, Erwin PJ, Montori VM. Treatment of pediatric obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*. 2008;93:4600–4605.
37. Günöz H, Öcal G, Yordam N, Kurtoğlu S. *Pediatric Endocrinoloji*. 1st ed. *Pediatric Endocrinoloji ve Oksoloji Derneği Yayınları*; 2003. pp. 487–505.
38. Toksöz P, Ertem M, Saka G, Ceylan A. Diyarbakır’da Sağlık Ocaklarına Başvuran Kadınlarda Şişmanlığın Görülme Sıklığı ve Bunu Etkileyen Etmenlerin Analizi. *Beslenme ve Diyet Dergisi*. 1998;27:25–30.
39. Hurt JA, Robertson AD, Burge CB. Global analyses of UPF1 binding and function reveal expanded scope of nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Genome Research*. 2013;23:1636–1650.
40. Choi HJ, Seo JS. Nutrient intakes and obesity-related factors of obese children and the effect of nutrition education program. *Korean Journal of Community Nutrition*. 2003;8:477–484.