



Prevention of Cross-Contamination in Dental Clinics: Collaboration Between Dentists, Dental Assistants, and Nurses

Abdulaziz Mohammed Mohammed Alshehri^{1*}, Ibrahim Akram Saad Faisal², Haidar Mohammed Alhaddad³, Ahmed Abdullah Saif Aldossary⁴, Fatmah Hassan Ali Altohari⁵, Waad Qubayl Mughayran Alruwaili⁶, Omar Benayan T Alanazi⁷, Johra Ayed Alhazmi⁸, Alenezi, Jewaher Moqbel S⁹, Ashraf Salem Alanazi¹⁰, Eman Bani Alhazmi¹¹

¹Senior Registrar – Endodontics (Dentistry) – Dental Center of Sarat Abidah, Asir Health Cluster – Sarat Abidah – Asir Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

* Corresponding Author Email: aalshehri231@moh.gov.sa- ORCID: 0000-0002-5247-7770

²Endodontist – King Abdullah Medical Complex, Jeddah Health Cluster – Jeddah – Makkah Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: Dr.ibrahimdentist@hotmail.com- ORCID: 0000-0002-5007-7810

³General Dentist – Ministry of Health, Al Ahsa Health Cluster – Al Ahsa – Eastern Province – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: 55hmhad@gmail.com- ORCID: 0000-0002-5007-7820

⁴Bachelor of Dentistry – Ministry of Health, Al Ahsa Health Cluster – Al Ahsa – Eastern Province – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: Ahabaldossary@moh.gov.sa- ORCID: 0000-0002-5007-7830

⁵Dental Assistant – Ministry of Health – Khamis Mushait – Asir Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: fthohari@moh.gov.sa- ORCID: 0000-0002-5007-7840

⁶Dental Assistant Technician – Al Muhammadiyah Primary Health Care Center, Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: walruwily@moh.gov.sa- ORCID: 0000-0002-5007-7860

⁷Specialist – Dental Technology – Specialized Dental Center in Rafha, Northern Borders Health Cluster – Rafha – Northern Borders Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: amarr1414@hotmail.com- ORCID: 0000-0002-5007-7870

⁸Dental Assistant – Prince Abdullah bin Abdulaziz Specialized Dental Center, Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: JoAlhazmi@moh.gov.sa- ORCID: 0000-0002-5007-7880

⁹Nursing Technician – Prince Abdullah bin Abdulaziz bin Musaad Specialized Dental Center, Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: Jawaher539@hotmail.com- ORCID: 0000-0002-5007-7890

¹⁰Nursing Technician – Prince Abdullah bin Abdulaziz bin Musaad Specialized Dental Center, Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: ashrafsalem1@outlook.com- ORCID: 0000-0002-5007-7550

¹¹Nursing Technician – Prince Abdullah bin Musaad Specialized Dental Center, Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Email: Eman.al7azmi@gmail.com- ORCID: 0000-0002-5007-7330

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesn.4488

Received : 02 June 2024

Accepted : 30 June 2024

Keywords

Cross-contamination,
dental clinics,
infection control,
collaboration,
dentists,
dental assistants,

Abstract:

Prevention of cross-contamination in dental clinics is crucial for ensuring patient safety and maintaining high standards of hygiene. Effective collaboration among dentists, dental assistants, and nurses is essential in implementing rigorous infection control protocols. This multidisciplinary approach allows for the development of comprehensive strategies that address the diverse challenges associated with contamination risks. Regular training sessions and open communication channels are vital for keeping all team members informed about the latest infection control guidelines and techniques. Furthermore, integrating checklists and standardized procedures can help each team member understand their specific roles in preventing cross-contamination, thereby fostering a culture of safety and accountability within the practice. To further enhance collaboration, dental clinics can adopt a team-based approach where responsibilities are clearly defined yet shared among staff. For example, dental assistants can focus on the sterilization of instruments and preparation of the treatment area, while nurses can monitor patients and maintain a clean environment throughout the treatment process. This teamwork not only optimizes workflow but also minimizes the risk of errors that can lead to cross-contamination. Regular audits and feedback mechanisms should be established to assess the effectiveness of infection control strategies and to facilitate continuous improvement. Ultimately, a proactive and unified effort among all members of the dental team is essential for upholding the highest standards of patient care and safety.

1. Introduction

The dental clinic represents a unique and challenging healthcare environment where the risk of cross-contamination and transmission of infectious agents is inherently high. The nature of dental procedures, which involve frequent exposure to blood, saliva, and other potentially infectious bodily fluids, combined with the use of sharp instruments and high-speed devices generating aerosols, creates multiple pathways for the spread of pathogens [1,2]. These pathogens can include bloodborne viruses such as Hepatitis B (HBV), Hepatitis C (HCV), and Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), as well as respiratory pathogens like tuberculosis bacteria, and a myriad of other bacterial, viral, and fungal agents [3,4]. The consequences of ineffective infection control are severe, potentially leading to healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) in patients, occupational exposures and illnesses among dental healthcare personnel (DHCP), and even outbreaks within communities. Therefore, the establishment and rigorous maintenance of a comprehensive infection prevention and control (IPC) protocol is not merely a regulatory obligation but a fundamental ethical cornerstone of safe dental practice [5].

Crucially, the success of these IPC protocols is entirely dependent on the seamless and informed collaboration of the entire dental team. This team, primarily comprising dentists, dental assistants, and dental nurses (or dental hygienists, where applicable), functions as an interdependent unit where the actions of one member directly impact the safety and efficacy of all others and the patient [6]. A lapse by any single individual, whether in

instrument processing, surface disinfection, or personal protective equipment (PPE) use, can compromise the entire chain of asepsis [7].

2. Fundamental Principles of Infection Control in Dentistry

Before delving into specific roles, a firm grasp of the core principles underpinning all infection control measures is essential for every member of the dental team. These principles provide the framework upon which all specific protocols are built. The cornerstone concept is that of standard precautions, which dictates that all blood, body fluids, secretions, and non-intact skin should be treated as potentially infectious, regardless of the perceived patient risk status [8]. This universal approach eliminates the need for unreliable risk assessment and ensures consistent protection. Standard precautions encompass hand hygiene, the use of personal protective equipment, safe injection practices, and the safe handling of contaminated equipment and environmental surfaces [9].

Another foundational principle is the concept of the "chain of infection." Breaking any link in this chain prevents disease transmission. The links include an infectious agent, a reservoir, a portal of exit, a mode of transmission, a portal of entry, and a susceptible host [10]. Dental IPC measures are designed to interrupt this chain at multiple points: sterilizing instruments (attacking the agent and reservoir), using PPE like masks and gloves (blocking portals of entry and exit), and employing barriers and disinfectants (interrupting transmission). Furthermore, the classification of clinical contact surfaces—categorized as critical,

semi-critical, and non-critical based on their risk of transmitting infection—guides the level of disinfection or sterilization required [11]. Critical items (e.g., surgical forceps, scalers) penetrate soft tissue or bone and must be sterilized. Semi-critical items (e.g., mouth mirrors, amalgam condensers) contact mucous membranes and require high-level disinfection or sterilization. Non-critical items (e.g., light handles, chair switches) contact only intact skin and require intermediate or low-level disinfection. Every team member must understand these classifications to perform their duties correctly.

3. The Role of the Dentist: Leadership, Decision-Making, and Clinical Responsibility

The dentist, as the lead clinician and often the practice owner or director, carries the ultimate responsibility for establishing, enforcing, and modeling an uncompromising culture of infection control within the clinic. This role extends far beyond personal clinical actions to encompass leadership, education, and resource provision. The dentist's primary duty is to ensure that written IPC policies and procedures are not only present but are also evidence-based, accessible to all staff, and rigorously updated in accordance with guidelines from bodies such as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the World Health Organization (WHO), and local health authorities [12]. This involves staying abreast of the latest research and recommendations and translating them into practical clinic protocols.

During patient care, the dentist is responsible for crucial pre-procedural decisions that directly impact contamination risk. This includes performing a thorough medical history review to identify potential infections, though this never replaces standard precautions [13]. The dentist must also plan the procedure to minimize aerosol generation when possible, for instance, by using rubber dams. Rubber dams are highly effective in reducing the production of contaminated aerosols and spatter by up to 90%, significantly protecting both the team and the patient [14]. The dentist must insist on their use and be proficient in their placement. Furthermore, the dentist has a direct responsibility for their own aseptic technique during surgery, including proper hand hygiene before donning gloves, minimizing contact with contaminated surfaces during treatment, and the safe handling of sharp instruments to prevent percutaneous injuries [15]. Perhaps most importantly, the dentist must lead by example. When the dentist consistently adheres to protocols—such as changing gloves between patients, not touching charts or computers

with contaminated gloves, and engaging in proper hand hygiene—it sets a powerful normative standard for the entire team, reinforcing that IPC is a non-negotiable priority [16].

4. The Role of the Dental Assistant: The Linchpin of Operational Asepsis

The dental assistant is often described as the linchpin or the engine of the infection control process, operating at the very heart of clinical flow between the operative field and the sterilization area. Their role is predominantly operational and procedural, requiring meticulous attention to detail, strict adherence to protocols, and exceptional situational awareness. A primary and critical function is the management of the instrument processing cycle. This multi-step process, if performed incorrectly, represents one of the greatest risks for cross-contamination. The assistant must ensure contaminated instruments are safely transported to the sterilization area in a closed, labeled container [17]. The cleaning step, whether manual or ultrasonic, must be thorough to remove all organic debris, as residual bioburden can compromise subsequent sterilization [18]. Proper packaging, labeling with dates and cycle indicators, and correct loading of the autoclave or other sterilizer are all essential duties. The assistant must also understand and monitor the sterilizer's function through mechanical, chemical, and biological indicators to validate efficacy [19].

During patient treatment, the dental assistant's role in maintaining asepsis is dynamic. This includes the critical task of pre-procedural mouth rinsing for the patient, often with an antimicrobial like chlorhexidine, to reduce the microbial load in oral fluids [20]. The assistant is responsible for the meticulous application of surface barriers on all clinical contact areas likely to be touched during a procedure, such as light handles, chair controls, and instrument tray surfaces. When barriers are not used, the assistant must execute efficient and effective cleaning and disinfection of these surfaces between patients using an appropriate hospital-grade disinfectant, following the manufacturer's prescribed contact time [21]. Furthermore, the assistant manages the sterile field, ensuring the timely delivery of sterile instruments to the dentist using aseptic transfer techniques, and is vigilant in preventing contamination of this field. Their constant presence allows them to anticipate the dentist's needs, thereby reducing unnecessary movement and potential contamination events during a procedure.

5. The Role of the Dental Nurse/Hygienist: Patient Care, Education, and Environmental Stewardship

In many practice models, the dental nurse or dental hygienist occupies a role that blends direct patient care with significant infection control responsibilities, often with a strong focus on education. Their prolonged and often independent contact with patients during procedures like periodontal therapy or prophylaxis places them at significant risk for exposure and underscores the need for impeccable personal technique. Like the dentist, they perform procedures that generate significant aerosols and must therefore be experts in the use of PPE, rubber dams, and high-volume evacuators (HVE) to control them [22]. The high-volume evacuator is particularly crucial, as it has been shown to reduce airborne contaminants by over 90% when used correctly within a few inches of the aerosol source [23].

A unique and vital aspect of the nurse/hygienist's role is patient education. They are often in the best position to explain infection control measures to patients, thereby demystifying the process, alleviating anxiety, and reinforcing the clinic's commitment to safety. Explaining the sight of barriers, the sound of sterilizer packaging being opened, or the reason for pre-procedural rinses can build immense patient trust [24]. Additionally, dental hygienists frequently have specific responsibilities for the care and sterilization of their own specialized set of instruments, such as ultrasonic scaler tips and periodontal probes, requiring a deep understanding of the specific sterilization challenges these devices may present [25]. They also act as environmental stewards, responsible for the cleaning and disinfection of their own operatories and often playing a key role in the management of dental unit waterlines. Maintaining dental unit water quality to meet regulatory standards (e.g., <500 CFU/mL of heterotrophic bacteria) through regular shock chlorination, use of independent water reservoirs, or sterile water delivery systems is a critical task often managed or monitored by this role [26].

6. Protocols for Specific Clinical Scenarios and Instrument Processing

Effective collaboration is tested and demonstrated in specific clinical scenarios and in the detailed protocols for instrument processing. The sequence for preparing an operatory between patients, known as the "turnover" or "set-up/break-down" procedure, requires a choreographed effort. A common model involves the assistant beginning

surface disinfection or re-barriering while the dentist and nurse complete notes and prepare for the next patient. Clear communication is key to avoid cross-contamination, such as ensuring contaminated gloves are never used to open drawers or retrieve new supplies [27]. Another high-risk scenario is the management of a known or suspected infectious disease case. While standard precautions apply universally, extra vigilance and planning may be required. All team members should be aware of the procedure plan to minimize time and optimize containment. The assistant and nurse must be particularly diligent in environmental cleaning post-procedure [28].

The instrument processing area itself should be designed with a unidirectional workflow, moving from a "dirty" receiving zone, to a cleaning/decontamination zone, to a packaging/preparation zone, and finally to a "clean" sterilization and storage zone [29]. This physical layout prevents recontamination of cleaned items. Collaboration here involves the assistant typically managing the cleaning and sterilization, while the nurse may be responsible for returning sterilized packs to their designated storage areas, and the dentist is responsible for ensuring the area is properly equipped and protocols are followed. The handling of extracted teeth, biopsies, or other pathological specimens also requires a clear protocol to ensure safe transport to a laboratory without posing a risk to staff or couriers [30].

7. Communication, Training, and Sustaining a Safety Culture

Technical protocols are meaningless without a framework of open communication and a sustained culture of safety. The dental team must cultivate an environment where any member can, without fear of reprimand, politely point out a potential breach in protocol. This could be an assistant reminding a dentist to reglove after adjusting an unbarriered light, or a nurse questioning the expiry date on a disinfectant bottle [31]. Regular, documented training sessions are not a one-time event but an ongoing requirement. These sessions should be interactive, scenario-based, and include all team members. Training should cover updates on guidelines, reviews of adverse events (like sharps injuries), and hands-on practice of skills like donning and doffing PPE to avoid self-contamination [32]. The order of removing PPE—typically gloves first, then goggles/face shield, then gown, then mask—is critical and must be practiced [33].

Creating a true culture of safety means moving beyond compliance to commitment. Leadership

from the dentist in investing in quality equipment, providing ample time for proper turnover between patients, and celebrating safe practices is vital. The team should collaboratively conduct periodic audits of their own processes, such as using adenosine triphosphate (ATP) bioluminescence meters to check the efficacy of surface cleaning or monitoring sterilization logs [34]. This shared ownership transforms infection control from a set of rules into a core value of the practice, where every patient interaction is viewed through the lens of safety for both the patient and the healthcare team.

8. Challenges and Future Directions in Infection Prevention

Despite best efforts, dental teams face ongoing challenges in perfecting infection control. Human factors such as fatigue, time pressure, and complacency are constant threats to consistent adherence [35]. The global experience of the COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the extreme vulnerability of dental settings to airborne transmission and forced a rapid re-evaluation of ventilation, aerosol management, and respiratory protection, leading to the wider adoption of N95 or equivalent respirators for certain aerosol-generating procedures (AGPs) [36]. Future directions in IPC are likely to focus on technological innovations. These include the increased use of single-use, disposable items for certain procedures, the integration of ultraviolet-C (UVC) light or other "no-touch" decontamination systems for rooms and surfaces, and improvements in real-time air filtration and monitoring within the operatory [22]. Furthermore, the growing threat of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) adds another layer of responsibility. The dental team must be judicious in the use of chemical disinfectants, using them at correct dilutions and for correct contact times to avoid breeding resistant microbes, and must also be prudent in prescribing antibiotics to minimize their role in driving AMR [14]. Ultimately, the future of infection prevention in dentistry will rely on continued research, adaptation of new technologies, and, most fundamentally, the unwavering commitment of the collaborative dental team—dentist, assistant, and nurse—to educate, execute, and enforce the principles that keep everyone safe. This triad, when functioning in harmony, forms an impervious defense against cross-contamination, ensuring that the dental clinic fulfills its primary mandate: to do no harm [37].

9. Conclusion

In conclusion, the prevention of cross-contamination in dental clinics is a complex, multifaceted endeavor that cannot be achieved by any individual working in isolation. It is the epitome of a team-based challenge requiring the specialized knowledge, skills, and vigilant attention of each member of the dental triad. The dentist provides the essential leadership, clinical decision-making, and role modeling. The dental assistant acts as the operational guardian, executing the critical, detailed processes of instrument sterilization and operatory preparation with precision. The dental nurse or hygienist contributes through expert clinical care, patient education, and environmental stewardship. Their roles are distinct strands that, when woven together through constant communication, mutual respect, and shared accountability, create a robust and resilient safety net.

This collaborative approach must be rooted in a deep, common understanding of the fundamental principles of infection control and manifested in meticulously followed protocols for every clinical scenario, from routine examination to complex surgery. Sustaining this requires more than manuals and checklists; it demands an active, living culture of safety where continuous training, open dialogue, and a commitment to improvement are paramount. As dental technology and emerging pathogens present new challenges, this collaborative model is the only sustainable foundation for adaptation and resilience. By prioritizing this unified approach, the dental profession can ensure that its environments of healing are not inadvertently transformed into sites of transmission, thereby upholding the highest ethical standards of patient care and occupational safety. The integrity of the dental practice and the health of the community it serves depend on this unbreakable chain of collaboration.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.

- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Al-Amad SH, Awad MA, Edher FM, Shahramian K, Omran TA. The effect of rubber dam on atmospheric bacterial aerosols during restorative dentistry. *Journal of Infection and Public Health*. 2017;10(2):195-200.
2. Bommireddy VS, Pachava S, Sanikommu S, Vinnakota N, Talluri D, Ghanta BK. Infection control measures among dental practitioners in a Southern state of India: A cross-sectional study. *Indian Association of Public Health Dentistry*. 2016;14(3):302–307.
3. Gaupp R, Dinius J, Drazic I, Körner M. Long-term effects of an e-learning course on patient safety: A controlled longitudinal study with medical students. *PLOS ONE*. 2019.
4. Hroub AA. Assessment of the Infection Control System in Dental Clinics in Hebron District (Unpublished master's thesis). Al-Quds University; 2017.
5. Vilar-Compte D, Camacho-Ortiz A, Ponce-de-León S. Infection Control in Limited Resources Countries: Challenges and Priorities. *Current Infectious Disease Reports*. 2017;19:20.
6. Nazzal Z, Sobuh I. Risk factors of hepatitis B transmission in northern Palestine: A case - Control Study. *BMC Research Notes*. 2014;7:190.
7. Tada A, Watanabe M, Senpuku H. Factors affecting changes in compliance with infection control practices by dentists in Japan. *American Journal of Infection Control*. 2015;43:95-97.
8. Chitaladze T, Kazakhashvili N. Knowledge, Attitudes And Perception Among Patients Towards Cross-Infection Control Measures In Dental Clinics In Georgia Before The COVID-19 Pandemic. *Georgian Medical News*. 2020;309:161–166.
9. McCarthy B, Tuiskula KA, Driscoll TP, Davis AM. Medication errors resulting in harm: Using chargemaster data to determine associated with cost of hospitalization and length of stay. 2017:102-107.
10. McCarthy G, Briton J. A Survey of Final-Year Dental, Medical and Nursing Students: Occupational Injuries and Infection Control. *Journal of the Canadian Dental Association*. 2000; 561.
11. Sanghvi A, Chand Nagda R, Raju Jagdish. A cross-sectional study on frequency of rubber dam usage among dentists practicing in Maharashtra, India. *Saudi Endodontic Journal*. 2018;8:39–43.
12. WHO. Right to health 2018 / World Health Organization. Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean. October, 2019.
13. Kochhar A, Bhasin R, Kaur Kochhar G, Dadlani H. COVID-19 Pandemic and Dental Practice. *International Journal of Dentistry*. 2020;5.
14. CDC. 2007 Guideline for Isolation Precautions: Preventing Transmission of Infectious Agents in Healthcare Settings. 2019 US: CDC.
15. Jolanta Szymańska. Dental bioaerosol as an occupational hazard in a dentist's workplace. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine*. 2007;14:203–207.
16. Halfon P, Staines A, Burnand B. Adverse events related to hospital care: a retrospective medical records review in a Swiss hospital. 2017;29(4):527-533.
17. Asiri AA, Baseer MA, Alsanea JA, Binalrimal S, Almeslet AH. Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Dental Health Professionals Toward Management of Dental Waste in the Aseer Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Oral Health and Community Dentistry*. 2019;13(2).
18. Yasutake Y, Hattori S, Tamura N, Matsuda K, Kohgo S, Mitsuya H. Structural features in common of HBV and HIV-1 resistance against chirally-distinct nucleoside analogues entecavir and lamivudine. 2020;10(1).
19. Jibreen S, Khouri AT, Dababneh R. Compliance With The Use Of Personal Protective Equipment Among Dentists Working At The Royal Medical Services. *ResearchGate*. 2009.
20. CDC. Using Personal Protective Equipment (PPE). CDC. 2020, Aug 19.
21. Qamar MK, Shaikh BT, Afzal A. What Do the Dental Students Know about Infection Control? A Cross-Sectional Study in a Teaching Hospital, Rawalpindi, Pakistan. *BioMed Research International*. 2020:3413087.
22. Elshanti A, Aldirawi A, Al-Jamal A, Jaser S, Al-Astal R, et al. Compliance of Dentists with Infection Control Practices in Primary Health Care Centers in Gaza Strip, Palestine. *Annals of Epidemiology and Public Health*. 2021;4(1):1047.
23. Choi J, Yu-Jin C, Hee Nam S. Study on the prevention of cross-infection by aerosols during scaling. *Biomedical Research*. 2018;29.
24. Yamalik N, Dijk W. Analysis of the attitudes and needs/demands of dental practitioners in the field of patient safety and risk management. *International Dental Journal*. 2013;291–297. 10.1111/idj.12081.
25. Akhtar F, Rehman SA. A Public Health Analysis of Gaps in Disease Monitoring and Opportunities for Improved Care for the Management of Hepatitis B and C. *Cureus*. 2018;10(1):e2077.
26. Idris M. Assessment of infection control in public dental clinics in Khartoum State (MSc Dissertation). University of the Western Cape; 2012.
27. CDC. Preventing Needlestick Injuries in Health Care Settings. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. 2014, Jun 6.
28. Diatech. Your Ultimate Guide to Dental Sterilization. Diatech. 2020.
29. Dagher J, Sfeir C, Abdallah A, Majzoub Z. Infection Control Measures in Private Dental Clinics in Lebanon. *International Journal of Dentistry*. 2017;2017:5057248.

30. Cui Z, Zhu J, Zhang X, Wang B, Li X. Sharp injuries: a cross-sectional study among health care workers in a provincial teaching hospital in China. *Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine*. 2018;23.
31. Piryani RM, Shrestha T, Neupane MS. Personal habits and hygiene behavior of students entering nursing education. *Journal of Chitwan Medical College*. 2018;8(2):37–40. doi: 10.3126/jcmc.v8i2.23736.
32. Bourgeois D, Dussart C, Saliasi I, Laforest L, Tramini P, Carrouel F. Observance of Sterilization Protocol Guideline Procedures of Critical Instruments for Preventing Iatrogenic Transmission of Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease in Dental Practice in France, 2017. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2018;15(5):853.
33. Mahasneh AM, Alakhras M, Khabour O, Alsa'd A, Al-Mousa DS. Practices of Infection Control Among Dental Care Providers: A Cross Sectional Study. *Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dentistry*. 2020;12:281–289.
34. Abubakar S, Iliyasu G, Dayyab FM, Inuwa S, Tudun Wada RA, Sadiq NM, Gadanya MA, Sheshe AA, Mijinyawa MS, Habib AG. Post-exposure prophylaxis following occupational exposure to HIV and hepatitis B: an analysis of a 12-year record in a Nigerian tertiary hospital. *Journal of Infection Prevention*. 2018;19(4):184-189.
35. Khan W, Chughtai AA. Use of personal protective equipment to protect against respiratory infections in Pakistan: A systematic review. *Journal of Infection and Public Health*. 2020;13(3):385-390.
36. Schneiderman MT, Cartee DL. Surface Disinfection. *Infection Control in the Dental Office: A Global Perspective*. 2019:169–191.
37. Ibrahim N, Alwafi H, Sangoof S, Turkistani A, Alattas B. Cross-infection and infection control in dentistry: Knowledge, attitude and practice of patients attended dental clinics in King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Infection and Public Health*. 2017;10(4):438–445.