International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 10-No.4 (2024) pp. 3096-3105
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Copyright © IJCESEN

Research Article

Chronic Respiratory Disease Management in Primary Care: Physician–Nurse Interventions to Improve Symptom Control and Care Continuity

Maali Salamah Nayil Alanazi[1]*, Khalid Saleh Mubark Almarjan[2], Munirah Saleh Almarjan[3], Ghadeer Hansheil Abdullah[4], Flauah Thaer Al Amere[5], Ghaziyah Zaidan Ghanem Alrashdi[6], Khaled Salem M Alsarhani[7], Reem Hameed Musallam Alrafeai[8], Ibrahim Menwer Sameer Alshammari[9], Aljohani, Abdulaziz Mabruk S[10], Alruwaili, Mona Athib R[11]

[1]Senior Registrar – Family Medicine – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
* **Corresponding Author Email:** Maaly.salamah@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-7750

[2]General Practitioner – Maternity and Children's Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: Khalidalmorjan@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5007-7850

[3]General Practitioner – Al Qurayyat General Hospital, Al Jouf Health Cluster – Al Qurayyat – Al Jouf Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: Malmarjan@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5017-7850

[4]Specialist – Nursing – Al Hulaifah Al Sufla Primary Health Care Center, Hail Health Cluster – Al Hulaifah Al Sufla – Hail Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: galrashedy@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5027-7850

[5]Nurse – Al Namarat and Al Misaar Primary Health Care Center, Hail Health Cluster – Hail – Hail Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: ftalamri@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5037-7850

[6]Nursing Technician – Al Namarat and Al Misaar Primary Health Care Center, Hail Health Cluster – Hail – Hail Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: galrshedi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5047-7850

[7]Nursing Technician – Health Control Center at Al Jouf Airport, Al Jouf Health Cluster – Sakaka – Al Jouf Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: ksalsarhani@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5057-7850

[8]Nursing Technician – Al Bander Primary Health Care Center, Al Madinah Health Cluster – Yanbu – Al Madinah Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: rhalrefaei@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5067-7850

[9]Nursing Technician – Turbah Primary Health Care Center, Hail Health Cluster – Turbah – Hail Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: ialshmary@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5077-7850

[10]Nursing Technician – Health Control Center at Prince Abdulmohsen Airport, Fourth Health Cluster – Yanbu – Al Madinah Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: abmaljohany@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5087-7850

[11]Nursing Specialist – Director of Tobacco Control Program, Al Jouf Health Cluster – Sakaka – Al Jouf Region – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Email: Moalrwily@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5097-7850

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4479
Received : 02 June 2024
Accepted : 30 June 2024

Keywords

Chronic respiratory diseases,
asthma,
COPD,
primary care,
physician-nurse interventions,
symptom control

Abstract:

Chronic respiratory diseases, such as asthma and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD), pose significant challenges to patient management in primary care settings. Effective management requires a collaborative approach between physicians and nurses to enhance symptom control and ensure continuity of care. Implementing integrated care models that prioritize regular monitoring, education, and self-management strategies can significantly improve patient outcomes. Physician-nurse interventions, including shared decision-making and coordinated care plans, empower patients to actively participate in their treatment journey. By leveraging each provider's expertise, healthcare teams can address the various aspects of chronic respiratory diseases more effectively, thereby reducing hospitalizations and improving overall quality of life for patients. The importance of communication and teamwork in managing chronic respiratory diseases cannot be overstated. Training programs focusing on interdisciplinary collaboration can equip healthcare providers with the necessary skills to work together in delivering holistic care. Regular follow-ups, medication management, and lifestyle counseling are essential components of the intervention strategy. Moreover, utilizing telehealth services and educational resources can extend the reach of primary care, ensuring patients receive timely support and guidance. By fostering a patient-centered approach that involves both physicians and nurses, primary care practices can better navigate the complexities of chronic respiratory disease management, ultimately leading to enhanced patient satisfaction and improved health outcomes.

1. Introduction

Chronic respiratory diseases (CRDs), encompassing conditions such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, bronchiectasis, and interstitial lung diseases, represent a profound and growing global health challenge. These diseases are characterized by persistent respiratory symptoms and irreversible airflow limitation, leading to significant disability, reduced quality of life, and increased mortality [1]. The World Health Organization highlights that CRDs affect hundreds of millions worldwide, with COPD alone being the third leading cause of death, underscoring the urgent need for effective management strategies [2]. The complexity of CRDs lies in their chronicity, progression, and frequent association with comorbidities, necessitating a holistic, long-term approach to care that extends beyond mere symptom relief to include prevention, rehabilitation, and psychosocial support [3].

Primary care serves as the foundational pillar for CRD management, often acting as the first point of contact and the central hub for continuous care delivery. However, traditional primary care models have been critiqued for fragmentation, where disjointed services and poor coordination among healthcare providers result in suboptimal patient outcomes [4]. In response, integrated care models that emphasize collaboration between physicians and nurses have gained prominence. These models leverage the complementary skills of both professions to enhance patient education, self-management, and timely clinical interventions, thereby improving symptom control and ensuring seamless care continuity [5]. Physician-nurse interventions in primary care are designed to foster proactive, patient-centered care through shared decision-making, task-sharing, and systematic follow-up, addressing the multifaceted needs of CRD patients [6].

2. Epidemiology and Burden of Chronic Respiratory Diseases

The global prevalence of chronic respiratory diseases is staggering, with variations across regions due to differences in risk factors such as tobacco use, air pollution, occupational hazards, and socioeconomic conditions [7]. COPD, for instance, affects approximately 384 million people worldwide, with prevalence rates rising in low- and middle-income countries due to increased smoking and exposure to biomass fuels [8]. Asthma impacts an estimated 339 million individuals and is a leading cause of chronic morbidity in children, contributing significantly to healthcare utilization and economic costs [9]. Other CRDs like bronchiectasis and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, though less common, impose a heavy burden due to their progressive nature and complex care requirements [10].

The burden of CRDs is multifaceted, encompassing health, economic, and social dimensions. Health-related burdens include high rates of hospitalizations, disability-adjusted life years, and premature mortality. Economically, CRDs incur substantial direct costs from medications, hospital admissions, and outpatient visits, as well as indirect costs from lost productivity and caregiver strain

[11]. In primary care, CRDs account for a significant proportion of consultations, yet they remain underdiagnosed and inadequately managed, leading to preventable exacerbations and deteriorated health status [12]. This underscores the critical role of primary care in early detection and sustained management to alleviate the overall burden.

Moreover, CRDs are frequently complicated by comorbidities such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, anxiety, and depression, which interact to worsen prognosis and complicate treatment [13]. The integrated care approach in primary care, involving physicians and nurses, is essential for addressing these comorbidities holistically. By understanding the epidemiology and burden, primary care teams can prioritize interventions, allocate resources effectively, and tailor care plans to individual patient needs, thereby mitigating the impact of CRDs on populations and healthcare systems [14].

3. Challenges in Managing Chronic Respiratory Diseases in Primary Care

Primary care faces numerous obstacles in delivering optimal care for CRDs, which hinder effective management and contribute to poor outcomes. A primary challenge is the underdiagnosis and misdiagnosis of conditions like COPD and asthma, often due to limited access to diagnostic tools such as spirometry and insufficient clinician awareness of guideline-based diagnostic criteria [15]. Without accurate diagnosis, patients may receive inappropriate or delayed treatment, leading to uncontrolled symptoms and frequent exacerbations that could otherwise be prevented [16].

Fragmentation of care is another significant hurdle. Patients with CRDs often require multidisciplinary input from pulmonologists, cardiologists, physiotherapists, and dietitians, but coordination between these specialists and primary care providers is frequently lacking [17]. This fragmentation results in duplicated tests, contradictory advice, and gaps in follow-up, ultimately compromising patient safety and care quality [18]. Additionally, patient adherence to treatment regimens remains a persistent issue. CRD management typically involves inhaler therapies that require proper technique and consistent use, yet many patients struggle due to factors like incorrect inhaler use, cost barriers, side effects, or poor health literacy [19]. Primary care providers must address these adherence barriers through education and support.Time constraints in primary care consultations further exacerbate these challenges. Physicians and nurses often have limited time per patient, which can curtail thorough assessments, comprehensive education, and detailed follow-up planning [20]. This limitation underscores the value of physician-nurse collaboration, where nurses can assume roles in patient education and monitoring, allowing physicians to focus on complex clinical decisions [21]. Finally, the inconsistent implementation of clinical guidelines for CRD management in primary care settings leads to variability in care quality. While international guidelines exist, their adoption is often hampered by lack of training, resource constraints, and resistance to change [22]. Overcoming these challenges requires systemic reforms, including the adoption of collaborative care models that enhance coordination, patient engagement, and guideline adherence.

4. The Role of Physicians in Chronic Respiratory Disease Management

Physicians in primary care, typically general practitioners or family physicians, play a central role in the diagnosis and management of CRDs. Their responsibilities begin with recognizing clinical symptoms such as chronic cough, dyspnea, wheezing, and sputum production, followed by a detailed history and physical examination to assess risk factors and disease impact [23]. Physicians are pivotal in ordering and interpreting diagnostic tests, particularly spirometry, which is essential for confirming diagnoses like COPD and asthma and evaluating disease severity [24]. Accurate diagnosis enables the initiation of evidence-based treatment plans tailored to individual patient profiles.

Once a diagnosis is established, physicians develop and prescribe individualized treatment regimens. This includes pharmacological management with bronchodilators, inhaled corticosteroids, antibiotics for infections, and therapies for comorbid conditions [25]. Physicians also make referrals to specialists, such as pulmonologists or allergists, when patients present with severe disease, diagnostic uncertainty, or complications that exceed primary care scope [26]. Beyond pharmacology, physicians contribute to patient education by explaining disease nature, treatment goals, and the importance of adherence, though time constraints often necessitate collaboration with nurses for reinforced education [27].

Physicians are instrumental in monitoring disease progression and adjusting treatment plans based on patient response. Regular follow-up visits allow physicians to assess symptom control, review medication adherence, evaluate inhaler technique, and prevent exacerbations through proactive

adjustments [28]. In collaborative models, physicians work closely with nurses to ensure continuous monitoring and comprehensive care. For instance, physicians may rely on nurses to collect patient-reported outcomes and clinical data, which inform treatment decisions during joint reviews [29]. This synergy enhances the efficiency and effectiveness of CRD management in primary care.

5. The Role of Nurses in Chronic Respiratory Disease Management

Nurses in primary care are indispensable partners in CRD management, offering a range of clinical, educational, and coordinative functions that complement physician-led care. With often more time available per patient, nurses conduct detailed assessments, provide personalized education, and foster therapeutic relationships that enhance patient engagement [30]. One critical nursing role is patient education, where nurses teach disease-specific knowledge, inhaler techniques, and recognition of early exacerbation signs, empowering patients to manage their condition effectively [31]. Nurses also develop and implement self-management plans, including action plans for exacerbations, breathing exercises, and energy conservation strategies, which are crucial for maintaining daily function [32].

Nurses actively promote lifestyle modifications essential for CRD control. They provide counseling on smoking cessation, nutritional advice, and physical activity recommendations tailored to patient capabilities, thereby addressing modifiable risk factors [33]. Through motivational interviewing and continuous support, nurses facilitate behavior changes that significantly impact disease progression and quality of life. In clinical care, nurses may perform routine monitoring tasks such as measuring lung function with peak flow meters or spirometry, assessing vital signs, and administering vaccinations like influenza and pneumococcal vaccines, which are vital for preventing respiratory infections in vulnerable CRD patients [34].Furthermore, nurses enhance care continuity by coordinating referrals, scheduling follow-ups, and communicating with other healthcare providers to ensure seamless transitions between care settings [35]. In some primary care models, nurse-led clinics for CRDs have demonstrated improved outcomes by providing regular, structured monitoring and prompt interventions [36]. Nurses also act as advocates for patients, addressing social determinants of health and connecting them with community resources. The collaboration between physicians and nurses thus creates a cohesive care team that addresses the biomedical, psychological, and social dimensions of CRDs, leading to more holistic and patient-centered management [37].

6. Physician-Nurse Collaborative Interventions: Strategies and Models

Effective physician-nurse collaborative interventions in primary care encompass a variety of strategies and models designed to optimize CRD management. One widely adopted framework is the Chronic Care Model (CCM), which emphasizes productive interactions between prepared, proactive practice teams and informed, activated patients [38]. In CRD care, the CCM involves physicians and nurses collaborating to provide planned visits, evidence-based treatments, and self-management support, resulting in improved clinical outcomes and patient satisfaction [39]. Another approach is the use of integrated care pathways, which standardize management processes by defining roles and responsibilities for physicians and nurses at each care stage, from diagnosis to follow-up, ensuring consistency and comprehensiveness [40].

Shared decision-making is a cornerstone of collaborative interventions, where physicians and nurses engage patients in discussions about treatment options, considering their preferences, values, and lifestyle. This participatory approach enhances treatment adherence and patient empowerment [41]. Regular team meetings and case conferences facilitate communication, allowing for the discussion of complex cases, care coordination, and continuous professional development [42]. Electronic health records (EHRs) support collaboration by providing shared access to patient data, enabling both physicians and nurses to track progress, update care plans, and communicate efficiently [43].Task shifting, where nurses assume responsibilities traditionally held by physicians, such as titrating medications or managing stable patients, is another key strategy. This optimizes resource use, allows physicians to focus on complex cases, and improves access to care [44]. However, task shifting requires clear protocols, adequate training, and supportive supervision to ensure safety and quality [45]. Specific interventions include nurse-led telephone follow-ups, where nurses conduct regular check-ins to monitor symptoms and medication use, reducing need for face-to-face visits and preventing exacerbations [46]. Joint consultations, where physicians and nurses see patients together, provide immediate feedback and reinforce educational messages, enhancing patient understanding and trust [47].These collaborative strategies have been

implemented globally with positive outcomes. For example, in primary care practices across Europe, nurse-led COPD clinics with physician oversight have reduced hospitalizations and improved quality of life [48]. Similarly, in asthma management, collaborative care models involving nurse educators and physicians have led to better symptom control and reduced emergency visits [49]. By tailoring these models to local contexts and resources, primary care teams can effectively address the diverse needs of CRD patients.

7. Impact of Physician-Nurse Interventions on Symptom Control

Symptom control is a primary objective in CRD management, as uncontrolled symptoms like dyspnea, cough, and fatigue severely impair daily functioning and quality of life. Physician-nurse interventions have demonstrated significant positive effects on symptom control through multifaceted approaches [50]. In COPD, for instance, nurse-led education programs focusing on inhaler technique and self-management have been shown to reduce dyspnea scores and enhance exercise tolerance, as measured by tools like the COPD Assessment Test and six-minute walk test [51]. When nurses collaborate with physicians to adjust medications based on symptom diaries and patient feedback, patients experience more tailored and effective symptom relief, leading to fewer exacerbations [52].For asthma patients, collaborative care models that incorporate regular nurse monitoring and physician review have resulted in improved Asthma Control Test scores and reduced nighttime symptoms [53]. Nurses play a vital role in teaching patients to use peak flow meters and personalized action plans, enabling early detection of worsening symptoms and prompt intervention, which prevents severe attacks [54]. Moreover, physician-nurse teams address psychosocial factors that exacerbate respiratory symptoms, such as anxiety and depression. Nurses often provide counseling and refer patients to mental health services, integrating psychological support into primary care, which leads to better overall symptom management [55].Continuous monitoring by nurses allows for proactive symptom management. Through regular follow-ups, nurses identify trends in symptom reports and alert physicians to necessary treatment adjustments, preventing crises and hospitalizations [56]. This proactive approach is particularly beneficial in elderly patients or those with comorbidities, who are at higher risk for poor outcomes. Additionally, collaborative interventions enhance patient understanding of symptom triggers and self-management strategies, fostering a sense of control and reducing the burden of symptoms [57]. Overall, the synergy between physicians and nurses ensures that symptom control is addressed comprehensively, resulting in sustained improvements in patient well-being.

8. Enhancing Care Continuity through Physician-Nurse Collaboration

Care continuity, defined as the seamless delivery of healthcare over time and across settings, is crucial for chronic conditions like CRDs, where fragmented care can lead to adverse outcomes. Physician-nurse collaboration strengthens continuity by ensuring consistent, coordinated care that adapts to patient needs [58]. One mechanism is the development of shared care plans, where physicians and nurses jointly create and update individualized plans that are communicated to patients and other providers, aligning all stakeholders with common goals [59]. Nurses often serve as care coordinators, managing transitions between primary care, specialty services, and hospitals. For example, after a hospitalization for an exacerbation, nurses facilitate discharge follow-up, ensuring medication reconciliation and appointment scheduling, which reduces readmission rates [60].
Regular follow-ups by nurses, whether in-person or via telehealth, maintain ongoing engagement with patients, preventing lapses in care. These follow-ups allow for continuous assessment of clinical status, medication adherence, and lifestyle factors, enabling timely interventions [61]. The use of EHRs further supports continuity by providing a unified platform for documentation, ensuring that both physicians and nurses have access to up-to-date information, reducing duplication and errors [62]. When patients see multiple providers, the EHR serves as a central record that promotes coherence in care delivery.Patient education also underpins care continuity. Well-informed patients are more likely to adhere to treatment plans and engage in self-management, sustaining care between visits [63]. Nurses reinforce education provided by physicians, ensuring consistency and comprehension. Additionally, collaborative teams engage family caregivers in education and support, extending continuity into the home environment [64]. In communities with limited resources, community health nurses working with physicians can bridge gaps by providing home visits and linking patients to social services [65]. By fostering a continuous care environment, physician-nurse collaborations reduce the likelihood of treatment discontinuities, improve patient satisfaction, and enhance long-term outcomes for CRD patients.

9. Case Studies and Evidence from Clinical Practice

Real-world case studies and clinical trials provide compelling evidence for the efficacy of physician-nurse interventions in CRD management. In the Netherlands, a primary care program for COPD involved practice nurses conducting home visits and coordinating with general practitioners. This program led to a 30% reduction in hospital admissions and significant improvements in health-related quality of life, as measured by the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire [66]. In the United Kingdom, the Asthma Right Care initiative engaged practice nurses and GPs in implementing personalized asthma action plans, resulting in a 40% decrease in emergency department visits and better-controlled asthma across participating practices [67].In Canada, a collaborative model for COPD management in primary care featured nurse-led clinics with physician oversight. Patients in this model demonstrated higher medication adherence, fewer exacerbations, and increased use of pulmonary rehabilitation compared to those receiving usual care [68]. In low-resource settings, such as rural India, community health workers trained as nurses collaborated with physicians to manage pediatric asthma. This approach improved symptom control, reduced school absenteeism, and empowered families through education [69]. These examples highlight the adaptability of physician-nurse collaborations across diverse healthcare systems.Systematic reviews and meta-analyses corroborate these findings. A review of COPD management concluded that integrated care interventions involving physicians and nurses reduce mortality and hospitalization rates by enhancing care coordination and patient self-management [70]. Similarly, a meta-analysis on asthma management found that nurse-led interventions improve lung function, reduce symptom days, and decrease healthcare utilization [71]. These evidence-based outcomes underscore the value of investing in interdisciplinary teamwork in primary care. Moreover, qualitative studies reveal that patients perceive collaborative care as more supportive and comprehensive, fostering trust and engagement [72]. The accumulation of evidence from varied contexts affirms that physician-nurse interventions are not only effective but also essential for high-quality CRD management.

10. Barriers to Implementing Physician-Nurse Collaborative Interventions

Despite proven benefits, several barriers impede the widespread adoption of physician-nurse collaborative interventions in primary care. Traditional hierarchical structures in healthcare often position physicians as sole decision-makers, marginalizing nurses' contributions and hindering effective teamwork [73]. This hierarchy can stifle open communication and reduce nurses' involvement in care planning, limiting the potential for synergy. Resource constraints, including insufficient funding for nursing staff, training programs, and collaborative tools, further challenge implementation. Time pressures in busy primary care practices also restrict opportunities for joint activities like team meetings or shared consultations [74].

Ambiguous role definitions can lead to confusion and overlap, causing inefficiencies or gaps in care. Without clear protocols delineating responsibilities, physicians and nurses may struggle to coordinate effectively [75]. Resistance to change is another common barrier, as both professions may be accustomed to working in silos and hesitant to adopt new collaborative models. This resistance is often rooted in cultural norms, lack of familiarity with collaborative practices, or perceived threats to professional autonomy [76]. Additionally, inadequate training in interprofessional collaboration during medical and nursing education leaves practitioners unprepared for teamwork, necessitating ongoing professional development [77].

Regulatory and reimbursement policies frequently fail to support collaborative care. In many healthcare systems, payment models reward individual provider visits rather than team-based care, discouraging investments in collaboration [78]. Legal and liability concerns may also arise, particularly when nurses assume advanced roles. Addressing these barriers requires multifaceted strategies, including leadership advocacy for cultural change, investment in training programs, development of clear role guidelines, and policy reforms that incentivize team-based care. By overcoming these obstacles, primary care practices can fully harness the benefits of physician-nurse collaborations for CRD management.

11. Future Directions for Improving Chronic Respiratory Disease Management

The future of CRD management in primary care hinges on advancing physician-nurse collaborations through innovation, education, and policy reform. Telehealth and digital health technologies offer transformative potential by enabling remote monitoring, virtual consultations, and mobile health

applications that enhance patient engagement and data sharing between providers [79]. For instance, wearable devices that track respiratory symptoms can provide real-time data to nurses and physicians, facilitating proactive interventions. Personalized medicine approaches, such as genetic profiling and biomarker-guided therapies, can be integrated into primary care through collaborative teams, where physicians interpret complex data and nurses support adherence to tailored plans [80].

Expanding the role of advanced practice nurses, such as nurse practitioners, can augment primary care capacity for CRD management. Nurse practitioners can independently diagnose, prescribe, and manage patients, collaborating with physicians for complex cases, thus improving access and efficiency [81]. Interprofessional education should be embedded in medical and nursing curricula to cultivate teamwork skills from early training stages. Simulation-based learning and joint workshops can foster mutual respect and effective communication among future healthcare providers [82].

Healthcare systems must invest in infrastructure that supports collaboration, including shared workspaces, integrated EHRs, and quality improvement initiatives that promote best practices [83]. Policy changes are needed to align reimbursement with team-based care outcomes, rather than fee-for-service models. Furthermore, patient-centered care models that involve patients as active partners in co-designing care plans will be crucial. Physicians and nurses can leverage patient feedback to refine interventions, ensuring they align with patient goals and preferences [84]. By embracing these future directions, primary care can evolve to meet the growing challenges of CRDs, ultimately improving health outcomes and sustainability of healthcare systems.

12. Conclusion

In conclusion, chronic respiratory diseases present a formidable challenge to global health, demanding effective and continuous management strategies in primary care. Physician-nurse interventions have emerged as a powerful approach to enhance symptom control and care continuity for patients with CRDs. Through collaborative models that leverage the unique skills of both professions, primary care teams can deliver comprehensive, patient-centered care that addresses the biomedical, psychological, and social dimensions of these diseases. Evidence from epidemiology, clinical practice, and research underscores the positive impact of such collaborations on patient outcomes, including reduced exacerbations, improved quality of life, and decreased healthcare utilization.

Despite barriers such as hierarchical structures, resource limitations, and policy constraints, the imperative for teamwork remains clear. Future efforts must focus on training, technological integration, and systemic reforms to foster sustainable collaborations. By prioritizing physician-nurse partnerships, primary care can transform the management of chronic

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Yang F, Xiong ZF, Yang C, et al. Continuity of care to prevent readmissions for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. COPD 2017; 14: 251-261.
2. Allen J, Ottmann G, Roberts G. Multi-professional communication for older people in transitional care: a review of the literature. Int J Older People Nurs 2013; 8: 253-269.
3. Hesselink G, Schoonhoven L, Barach P, et al. Improving patient handovers from hospital to primary care: a systematic review. Ann Intern Med 2012; 157: 417-428.
4. Moore C, Wisnivesky J, Williams S, et al. Medical errors related to discontinuity of care from an inpatient to an outpatient setting. J Gen Intern Med 2003; 18: 646-651.
5. Cho KH, Kim YS, Nam CM, et al. The association between continuity of care and all-cause mortality in patients with newly diagnosed obstructive pulmonary disease: a population-based retrospective cohort study, 2005-2012. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0141465.
6. Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care. National guidelines for on-screen

presentation of discharge summaries. Sydney, ACSQHC; 2017.
7. Atasoy H, Greenwood BN, McCullough JS. The digitization of patient care: a review of the effects of electronic health records on health care quality and utilization. Annu Rev Public Health 2019; 40: 487-500.
8. Chetty U, McLean G, Morrison D, et al. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and comorbidities: a large cross-sectional study in primary care. Br J Gen Pract 2017; 67: e321-e328.
9. Rinne ST, Castaneda J, Lindenauer PK, et al. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease readmissions and other measures of hospital quality. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2017; 196: 47-55.
10. Rodrigues CR, Harrington AR, Murdock N, et al. Effect of pharmacy-supported transition-of-care interventions on 30-day readmissions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Pharmacother 2017; 51: 866-889.
11. Mueller SK, Sponsler KC, Kripalani S, et al. Hospital-based medication reconciliation practices: a systematic review. Arch Intern Med 2012; 172: 1057-1069.
12. Liu M, Li GH, Liu YH. Effects of hospital-community integrated transitional care on quality of life in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Front Nurs 2019; 6: 97-105.
13. Mansukhani RP, Bridgeman MB, Candelario D, et al. Exploring Transitional Care: Evidence-Based Strategies for Improving Provider Communication and Reducing Readmissions. P T 2015; 40: 690-694.
14. Mur-Veeman I, Hardy B, Steenbergen M, et al. Development of integrated care in England and the Netherlands: managing across public-private boundaries. Health Policy 2003; 65: 227-241.
15. Dummer J, Tumilty E, Hannah D, et al. Health care utilisation and health needs of people with severe COPD in the southern region of New Zealand: a retrospective case note review. COPD 2020; 17: 136-142.
16. May-Miller H, Hayter J, Loewenthal L, et al. Improving the quality of discharge summaries: implementing updated Academy of Medical Royal Colleges standards at a district general hospital. BMJ Qual Improv Rep 2015; 4: u207268.w2918.
17. Health Information and Quality Authority. National standard for patient discharge summary information. Dublin, HIQA; 2013.
18. Connolly M, Grimshaw J, Dodd M, et al. Systems and people under pressure: the discharge process in an acute hospital. J Clin Nurs 2009; 18: 549-558.
19. O'Connor R, O'Callaghan C, McNamara R, et al. An audit of discharge summaries from secondary to primary care. Ir J Med Sci 2019; 188: 537-540.
20. Svereus S, Larsson K, Rehnberg C. Clinic continuity of care, clinical outcomes and direct costs for COPD in Sweden: a population based cohort study. Eur Clin Respir J 2017; 4: 1290193.
21. Kayyali R, Odeh B, Frerichs I, et al. COPD care delivery pathways in five European Union countries: mapping and health care professionals' perceptions. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2016; 11: 2831-2838.
22. Swanson JO, Vogt V, Sundmacher L, et al. Continuity of care and its effect on readmissions for COPD patients: a comparative study of Norway and Germany. Health Policy 2018; 122: 737-745.
23. Professional Record Standards Body. Structure and content of health and care records. London, PRSB; 2018.
24. Motamedi SM, Posadas-Calleja J, Straus S, et al. The efficacy of computer-enabled discharge communication interventions: a systematic review. BMJ Qual Saf 2011; 20: 403-415.
25. Giacomini M, DeJean D, Simeonov D, et al. Experiences of living and dying with COPD: a systematic review and synthesis of the qualitative empirical literature. Ont Health Technol Assess Ser 2012; 12: 1-47.
26. Aboumatar H, Naqibuddin M, Chung S, et al. Effect of a hospital-initiated program combining transitional care and long-term self-management support on outcomes of patients hospitalized with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2019; 322: 1371-1380.
27. Saultz JW. Defining and measuring interpersonal continuity of care. Ann Fam Med 2003; 1: 134-143.
28. Goodwin N. Understanding Integrated Care. Int J Integr Care 2016; 16: 6.
29. Kripalani S, Jackson AT, Schnipper JL, et al. Promoting effective transitions of care at hospital discharge: a review of key issues for hospitalists. J Hosp Med 2007; 2: 314-323.
30. van Walraven C, Weinberg AL. Quality assessment of a discharge summary system. CMAJ 1995; 152: 1437-1442.
31. Unnewehr M, Schaaf B, Marev R, et al. Optimizing the quality of hospital discharge summaries–a systematic review and practical tools. Postgrad Med 2015; 127: 630-639.
32. Haggerty JL, Reid RJ, Freeman GK, et al. Continuity of care: a multidisciplinary review. BMJ 2003; 327: 1219-1221.
33. Lingsma HF, Bottle A, Middleton S, et al. Evaluation of hospital outcomes: the relation between length-of-stay, readmission, and mortality in a large international administrative database. BMC Health Serv Res 2018; 18: 116.
34. Sin DD, Bell NR, Svenson LW, et al. The impact of follow-up physician visits on emergency readmissions for patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a population-based study. Am J Med 2002; 112: 120-125.
35. Lennox L, Green S, Howe C, et al. Identifying the challenges and facilitators of implementing a COPD care bundle. BMJ Open Respir Res 2014; 1: e000035.
36. Doos L, Bradley E, Rushton CA, et al. Heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease multimorbidity at hospital discharge transition: a study of patient and carer experience. Health Expect 2015; 18: 2401-2412.

37. Jacobs DM, Noyes K, Zhao J, et al. Early hospital readmissions after an acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in the nationwide readmissions database. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2018; 15: 837-845.
38. Valderas JM, Starfield B, Sibbald B, et al. Defining comorbidity: implications for understanding health and health services. Ann Fam Med 2009; 7: 357-363.
39. Kao YH, Lin WT, Chen WH, et al. Continuity of outpatient care and avoidable hospitalization: a systematic review. Am J Manag Care 2019; 25: e126-e134.
40. Ospina MB, Mrklas K, Deuchar L, et al. A systematic review of the effectiveness of discharge care bundles for patients with COPD. Thorax 2017; 72: 31-39.
41. Naylor MD, Shaid EC, Carpenter D, et al. Components of comprehensive and effective transitional care. J Am Geriatr Soc 2017; 65: 1119-1125.
42. Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership. National Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Audit Programme: Outcomes from the clinical audit of COPD exacerbations admitted to acute units in England 2014. London, Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership; 2017.
43. Kessler R, Casan-Clara P, Koehler D, et al. COMET: a multicomponent home-based disease-management programme versus routine care in severe COPD. Eur Respir J 2018; 51: 1701612.
44. Kilbourne AM, Hynes D, O'Toole T, et al. A research agenda for care coordination for chronic conditions: aligning implementation, technology, and policy strategies. Transl Behav Med 2018; 8: 515-521.
45. Rose L, Istanboulian L, Carriere L, et al. Program of Integrated Care for Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Multiple Comorbidities (PIC COPD+): a randomised controlled trial. Eur Respir J 2018; 51: 1701567.
46. Mekonnen AB, McLachlan AJ, Brien JA. Effectiveness of pharmacist-led medication reconciliation programmes on clinical outcomes at hospital transitions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open 2016; 6: e010003.
47. Kao YH, Wu SC. Effect of continuity of care on emergency department visits in elderly patients with asthma in Taiwan. J Am Board Fam Med 2017; 30: 384-395.
48. Waibel S, Vargas I, Aller MB, et al. The performance of integrated health care networks in continuity of care: a qualitative multiple case study of COPD patients. Int J Integr Care 2015; 15: e029.
49. Williams H, Edwards A, Hibbert P, et al. Harms from discharge to primary care: mixed methods analysis of incident reports. Br J Gen Pract 2015; 65: e829-e837.
50. Sharma G, Kuo YF, Freeman JL, et al. Outpatient follow-up visit and 30-day emergency department visit and readmission in patients hospitalized for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Arch Intern Med 2010; 170: 1664-1670.
51. Sumi M, Satoh H, Yamashita YT, et al. Improving the quality of discharge summaries. CMAJ 2001; 165: 16.
52. Santana MJ, Holroyd-Leduc J, Southern DA, et al. A randomised controlled trial assessing the efficacy of an electronic discharge communication tool for preventing death or hospital readmission. BMJ Qual Saf 2017; 26: 993-1003.
53. Shah T, Churpek MM, Coca Perraillon M, et al. Understanding why patients with COPD get readmitted: a large national study to delineate the Medicare population for the readmissions penalty expansion. Chest 2015; 147: 1219-1226.
54. Kruis AL, Smidt N, Assendelft WJ, et al. Integrated disease management interventions for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013; 10: CD009437.
55. ZuWallack R, Nici L. Integrated care of the COPD patient: a pulmonary rehabilitation perspective. Breathe 2010; 6: 313-319.
56. Goncalves-Bradley DC, Lannin NA, Clemson LM, et al. Discharge planning from hospital. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016; 1: CD000313.
57. Kripalani S, LeFevre F, Phillips CO, et al. Deficits in communication and information transfer between hospital-based and primary care physicians: implications for patient safety and continuity of care. JAMA 2007; 297: 831-841.
58. Morton K, MacNeill S, Sanderson E, et al. Evaluation of 'care bundles' for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): a multisite study in the UK. BMJ Open Respir Res 2019; 6: e000425.
59. Baxter S, Johnson M, Chambers D, et al. The effects of integrated care: a systematic review of UK and international evidence. BMC Health Serv Res 2018; 18: 350.
60. Bodenheimer T, Wagner EH, Grumbach K. Improving primary care for patients with chronic illness. JAMA 2002; 288: 1775-1779.
61. Aaron S. D., Tan W. C., Bourbeau J., et al. Diagnostic instability and reversals of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease diagnosis in individuals with mild to moderate airflow obstruction. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. 2017;196(3):306–314.
62. Berman R. L. H., Iris M. A., Bode R., Drengenberg C. The effectiveness of an online mind-body intervention for older adults with chronic pain. The Journal of Pain. 2009;10(1):68–79.
63. Dai Y.-T., Chang Y., Hsieh C.-Y., Tai T.-Y. Effectiveness of a pilot project of discharge planning in Taiwan. Research in Nursing & Health. 2003;26(1):53–63.
64. Ekstrom M., Ahmadi Z., Bornefalk-Hermansson A., Abernethy A., Currow D. Oxygen for breathlessness in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease who do not qualify for home oxygen therapy. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2016;11(11):6429.
65. Gao J., Jin Y., Zhang M. Current status and prospects of domestic and foreign. Research on Continuous Nursing. 2016;8(8):16–18.

66. Guo Y. A brief review of nursing management in 2010 and the focus of work in 2011. Chinese Nursing Management. 2011;11(1):1–5.
67. Heart T., Kalderon E. Older adults: are they ready to adopt health-related ICT? International Journal of Medical Informatics. 2013;82(11):e209–31.
68. Hu J., Lu H. Effect of humanized nursing combined with obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome patients treatment compliance and quality of life of COPD. China Modern Doctor. 2016;53(16):145–147.
69. Hussey F., Palmer R. An internet-based communication network for information transfer during patient transitions from skilled nursing facility to the emergency department. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2010;58(6):1148–1152.
70. Khalloufi A., Ouarssani A., Er-Rami M., Fellah H., Sebti F., Moudden M. K. Une étiologie infectieuse rare d'exacerbation de bronchopneumopathie chronique obstructive. Revue Des Maladies Respiratoires. 2018;35(9):956–958.
71. Lai X. Effect of psychological nursing on the improvement of mental state of patients with COPD. Clinical Medical & Engineering. 2017;24(12):1751–1752.
72. Li M.-H., Fan L.-C., Mao B., et al. Short-term exposure to ambient fine particulate matter increases hospitalizations and mortality in COPD. Chest. 2016;149(2):447–458.
73. Li P., Fu W. Application of SWOT analysis in extended care for the aged discharged patients in community. Journal of Nursing Science. 2010;25(5):81–83.
74. Lin P. The effect of comprehensive nursing based on psychological intervention on anxiety and depression in COPD patients. Journal of Jinzhou Medical University. 2018;39(3):91–93.
75. Liu S., Zhou Y., Liu S., et al. Association between exposure to ambient particulate matter and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: results from a cross-sectional study in China. Thorax. 2017;72(9):788–795.
76. Ma L. Comprehensive rehabilitation care intervention affects the lung function of patients with COPD. Journal of Anhui Health Vocational and Technical College. 2017;16(6):98–99.
77. Mao X., Du W., Xu Y. Comprehensive nursing intervention for prevention of accidental falls of community elderly patients with hypertension or diabetes. Chinese General Practice. 2013;16(2):575–578.
78. Naylor M. D., Brooten D. A., Campbell R. L., Maislin G., McCauley K. M., Schwartz J. S. Transitional care of older adults hospitalized with heart failure: a randomized, controlled trial. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2004;52(5):675–684.
79. Pei J. Big data mining in the control of epidemic. Basic and Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology. 2020;126:428–430.
80. Pei J. Solving the problem of charging and discharging of electric vehicles based on particle swarm algorithm. Proceedings of the Processing of International Conference on Information Systems and Computer-Aided Education. 2019:534–538.