International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 10-No.4 (2024) pp. 3063-3070
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Research Article

Nursing-Led Management of Chronic Diseases in Primary Healthcare

Wadha Radoh Alhazmi[1]*, Alenez, Latifh Ayad S[2], Refah Asri Matar Alhazmi[3], Hanan Hulayyil Bakheet Alruwaili[4], Rshdah Tawih S Alrwili[5], Mastourah Zaalalhazmi[6], Alanazi, Yusra Salamah T[7], Minaa Mubarak Alhazmy[8], Tahani Margel Othman Alhazmi[9], Munayfah Alturqi Rubayyi Alruwaili[10], Dalal Alzuayli Mulayhan Alanazi[11]

[1]Nursing Technician – South Al Faisaliah Health Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders – Saudi Arabia
* **Corresponding Author Email:** wralhazmi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7851

[2]Nursing Technician – South Al Faisaliah Health Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders – Saudi Arabia
Email: lolo_j54@hotmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7852

[3]Nursing Technician – South Al Faisaliah Health Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders – Saudi Arabia
Email:raalhazmi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7853

[4]Nursing Technician – South Al Faisaliah Health Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders – Saudi Arabia
Email: hhaLruwaiLi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7854

[5]Nursing Technician – South Al Faisaliah Health Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders – Saudi Arabia
Email: Ralrwili@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7855

[6]Technician – South Al Faisaliah Health Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders – Saudi Arabia
Email: mzalhazmi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7856

[7]Nursing Technician – South Al Faisaliah Health Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders – Saudi Arabia
Email:yalanzi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7857

[8]Nursing and Midwifery – South Al Faisaliah Health Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders – Saudi Arabia
Email: Melhazmy@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7858

[9]Nursing Technician – South Al Faisaliah Health Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders – Saudi Arabia
Email: Thanima@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7859

[10]Nursing Technician (Diploma) – Al Awsat Dispensary – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Turaif – Northern Borders – Saudi Arabia
Email: saudi7710@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7800

[11]Technician Nursing – North Al-Salhiyah Primary Health Center – Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Borders – Saudi Arabia
Email: dzalanzi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7811

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4447
Received : 01 May 2024
Accepted : 30 May 2024

Keywords

Nursing-led management,
chronic diseases,
primary healthcare,
patient education,
interdisciplinary teams

Abstract:

Nursing-led management of chronic diseases in primary healthcare offers a holistic approach to patient care, integrating clinical expertise with a focus on patient education and support. Nurses play a vital role in assessing healthcare needs, developing treatment plans, and monitoring patients' progress in managing conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, and asthma. By utilizing evidence-based practices and fostering patient engagement, nurses can empower individuals to take control of their health. This approach not only enhances patient outcomes but also alleviates the burden on physicians within the primary care setting, allowing for a more collaborative healthcare experience. Furthermore, the integration of nursing-led care models into primary healthcare systems can lead to improved communication and continuity of care for patients with chronic diseases. Collaborating with interdisciplinary teams, nurses contribute to comprehensive care strategies and can identify potential complications early on, reducing hospital admissions and healthcare costs. By leveraging technology, such as telehealth and electronic health records, nurse-led initiatives can ensure real-time data tracking and facilitate patient follow-up, improving adherence to treatment regimens. Ultimately, nursing-led management serves as a crucial component in creating sustainable, patient-centered healthcare systems addressing the growing incidence of chronic diseases in our populations.

1. Introduction

The 21st century is witnessing a profound and unprecedented demographic and epidemiological transition, a silent revolution reshaping the very foundations of global health. This transition is characterized by two inextricably linked phenomena: the aging of populations worldwide and the relentless, pervasive rise in the prevalence of chronic non-communicable diseases (NCDs). Conditions such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and asthma have moved from the periphery to the core of healthcare concerns, constituting a dominant share of the global disease burden. According to the World Health Organization, NCDs are responsible for over 70% of all deaths annually, a stark statistic that underscores their lethal prevalence [1]. However, the impact of these diseases extends far beyond mortality rates; they are the leading cause of disability, diminishing quality of life for hundreds of millions, and are the primary drivers of escalating healthcare expenditures globally. The strain they impose on national health systems is not merely substantial but is increasingly described as unsustainable, threatening to cripple economies and widen health inequities [1]. This crisis necessitates a fundamental re-evaluation of how healthcare is conceived, structured, and delivered.

Chronic diseases are distinguished by a constellation of characteristics that fundamentally separate them from acute illness, thereby rendering traditional medical approaches inadequate. Their defining features include a long, often lifelong duration, a generally slow and insidious progression, and a complex, multifactorial etiology that weaves together genetic predisposition with modifiable behavioral, environmental, and social determinants. Unlike an acute infection cured by a course of antibiotics, chronic conditions such as type 2 diabetes or congestive heart failure demand continuous management, monitoring, and adaptation rather than discrete curative intervention [2]. This management is less about defeating a pathogen and more about orchestrating a sustainable balance within the patient's life, involving daily medication regimens, lifestyle modifications, psychological coping, and constant vigilance against complications. The disease course is non-linear, marked by periods of stability and acute exacerbations, requiring a system capable of both proactive maintenance and reactive support. This complex reality exposes the critical shortcomings of the inherited, 20th-century healthcare model [3].

The dominant paradigm of care, still entrenched in many healthcare systems worldwide, is the episodic, physician-centric, acute-care model. This model evolved to tackle infectious diseases, trauma, and surgical emergencies—scenarios where a clear, singular problem is diagnosed and treated by a doctor, leading to a resolution. In this framework, care is often fragmented, reactive (triggered by patient symptoms or crises), and biologically reductionist, focusing narrowly on the disease pathology rather than the person experiencing it. The patient is frequently a passive recipient of care, and the system is organized around brief consultations that leave little room for education, counseling, or the exploration of social barriers to health. This approach proves markedly inadequate and profoundly inefficient when applied to the nuanced, longitudinal needs of individuals living with chronic diseases [2]. The mismatch is glaring: a system designed for acute, episodic intervention

struggles to provide the continuous, coordinated, and patient-empowering support that chronic illness requires. This failure manifests in poor adherence to treatment, preventable hospital readmissions, uncontrolled disease progression, patient and provider frustration, and ultimately, staggering financial costs. The recognition of this systemic failure has catalyzed a global search for innovative, sustainable, and effective care delivery models capable of meeting the chronic disease challenge [4].

Within this context of necessary transformation, primary healthcare (PHC) emerges not merely as a setting but as the most strategic and logical philosophical and operational foundation for chronic disease management. As articulated in the Alma-Ata Declaration, PHC represents a holistic vision of "essential health care" that is accessible, comprehensive, coordinated, and continuous, with an emphasis on community participation and prevention [3]. It is the first and most frequent point of contact individuals have with the health system, positioned within the community it serves. This proximity affords PHC a unique understanding of the social, economic, and environmental contexts that shape health and disease. Unlike tertiary care hospitals focused on advanced, specialized interventions, PHC is ideally structured to provide the very elements chronic care demands: longitudinal relationships, comprehensive whole-person assessments, early detection, ongoing monitoring, and the integration of health promotion and disease management into the fabric of daily life [3]. Therefore, the revitalization and reorientation of PHC is universally acknowledged as the cornerstone of an effective response to the NCD epidemic.

It is precisely within this revitalized vision of primary healthcare that the paradigm of nursing-led chronic disease management has gained considerable and justified momentum as a transformative solution. Nursing-led management refers to a deliberate model of care organization where registered nurses, often possessing advanced training and specialized competencies, assume a central, autonomous, and coordinating role in the assessment, planning, implementation, and evaluation of care for patients with chronic conditions. This occurs within a defined scope of practice and through collaborative partnerships with physicians, pharmacists, dietitians, and other health professionals [4]. This model is not about substituting nurses for doctors but about rationally deploying the most appropriate human resources to address specific aspects of care. It capitalizes on the unique and powerful skill set inherent to the nursing profession—a skill set rooted in holistic, patient-centered care, therapeutic communication, structured patient education, behavioral counseling, and the cultivation of long-term, trusting relationships [5].

The nursing philosophy, which views the patient as a biopsychosocial being within an environmental context, aligns perfectly with the multifaceted nature of chronic illness. While physicians excel in diagnostic reasoning and complex medical decision-making, nurses excel in translating those decisions into sustainable daily practice for the patient, addressing the "how" and "why" of living with a chronic condition. They bridge the formidable gap between clinical guidelines and real-world adherence, between the prescription pad and the patient’s lived experience. By focusing on empowerment, self-management education, and system navigation, nursing-led care directly tackles the core challenges of chronic disease: fostering patient agency, preventing complications, and improving quality of life. Thus, this model represents more than a practical shift in tasks; it embodies a fundamental realignment of care towards support, education, and partnership [3].

2. Theoretical and Conceptual Foundations of Nursing-Led Care

The effectiveness of nursing-led models is not serendipitous but is grounded in robust theoretical frameworks and core nursing principles. At its heart lies the concept of patient-centered care, which shifts the focus from the disease to the person living with the disease. This philosophy aligns perfectly with the nursing ethos, emphasizing the therapeutic alliance, respect for patient preferences and values, and the integration of emotional and psychosocial support alongside biomedical management [5]. Chronic diseases often necessitate significant lifestyle modifications; therefore, models based on empowerment and self-management education are pivotal. Theories such as the Chronic Care Model (CCM) and its successor, the Integrated Care Model, provide a blueprint for productive interactions between informed, activated patients and a prepared, proactive practice team—a role for which nurses are fundamentally prepared [6]. The CCM identifies essential elements like self-management support, delivery system design, decision support, and clinical information systems, all areas where nurses excel.

Furthermore, nursing practice is inherently guided by a holistic biopsychosocial model, acknowledging the intricate interplay between biological factors, psychological states (e.g., depression, anxiety), and social determinants of health (e.g., income, education, social support) [7].

This comprehensive perspective is critical in chronic care, where a patient's ability to adhere to a medication regimen may be hindered by financial constraints, health literacy, or comorbid depression. The nursing process itself—a systematic method of assessment, diagnosis, planning, implementation, and evaluation—provides a structured framework for managing the complexity and evolution of chronic conditions over time [8]. By integrating these theoretical underpinnings, nursing-led care moves beyond mere task execution to encompass a sophisticated, evidence-based, and relationship-driven approach to chronic disease management.

3. Operational Models and Core Components of Nursing-Led Interventions

In practice, nursing-led management manifests in various operational models within primary healthcare settings. Common structures include dedicated nurse-led clinics for specific diseases (e.g., diabetes clinics, heart failure clinics), integrated chronic disease clinics managing multiple comorbidities, and nurses serving as care managers or coordinators within general practice [9]. The specific model often depends on local resources, population needs, and healthcare policies. Regardless of the structure, several core components define the nursing-led intervention and distinguish it from conventional care.

A fundamental component is comprehensive and ongoing patient assessment. This extends beyond checking vital signs and blood glucose levels to include detailed evaluations of medication adherence, symptom burden, psychosocial well-being, nutritional status, physical activity levels, and self-management competencies [10]. Nurses utilize validated tools and their keen observational skills to create a holistic picture of the patient's health status. Building on this assessment, patient education and self-management support form the cornerstone of the intervention. Nurses empower patients by providing tailored education on disease pathophysiology, treatment rationale, recognition of warning signs, and practical skills training (e.g., insulin injection, inhaler technique) [11]. They employ motivational interviewing and goal-setting techniques to collaboratively develop personalized action plans, fostering a sense of ownership and confidence in managing one’s own health.

Medication management is another critical domain. Nurses conduct thorough medication reviews, reconcile prescriptions, assess for side effects and potential interactions, and provide clear instructions to enhance adherence [12]. They often operate under structured protocols or collaborative agreements with physicians, allowing them to titrate medications for conditions like hypertension or diabetes based on predefined algorithms and patient response, thereby improving efficiency and timeliness of care [13]. Furthermore, nurses act as the central node for care coordination. They liaise between the patient, family physician, specialists, dietitians, physiotherapists, and community resources, ensuring seamless communication and preventing fragmentation of care, which is a common peril for patients with multiple chronic conditions [14]. Finally, continuous monitoring and follow-up, through regular clinic visits or telehealth check-ins, allow for early detection of complications, timely intervention, and sustained support, preventing costly acute exacerbations and hospitalizations [15].

4. Clinical and Economic Outcomes:

The expansion of nursing-led models is strongly justified by a growing body of high-quality evidence demonstrating their positive impact on clinical outcomes, patient experiences, and healthcare costs. Numerous systematic reviews and meta-analyses have synthesized this evidence across various chronic conditions.

In the management of type 2 diabetes, nursing-led interventions consistently show significant improvements in glycemic control, as measured by reductions in HbA1c levels. Studies also report better control of blood pressure and lipids, enhanced foot care knowledge, and improved rates of screening for complications like retinopathy [16, 17]. For patients with hypertension, nurse-led care has been associated with greater reductions in both systolic and diastolic blood pressure compared to usual physician-led care [18]. In cardiovascular disease, nurse-led programs for heart failure management are particularly impactful, demonstrating marked reductions in hospital readmissions and mortality rates, alongside improvements in quality of life and self-care behaviors [19]. Similarly, for patients with COPD, nursing-led pulmonary rehabilitation and discharge planning significantly reduce hospital admissions, improve exercise capacity, and alleviate dyspnea and fatigue [20].

Beyond disease-specific metrics, nursing-led care profoundly enhances the patient experience. Patients report higher levels of satisfaction, feeling better listened to, more involved in decision-making, and more supported in their daily management challenges [21]. The therapeutic relationship and the time nurses dedicate to education and counseling are frequently cited as key factors. From an economic perspective, the model demonstrates cost-effectiveness and

potential for cost savings. While requiring initial investment in nursing training and clinic setup, the long-term savings are realized through averted expensive secondary care encounters—emergency department visits and hospital admissions [22]. By preventing complications and promoting stability, nursing-led management shifts resource utilization towards proactive, low-intensity primary care and away from reactive, high-cost tertiary care, representing a smarter allocation of finite healthcare resources [23].

5. Addressing Key Chronic Diseases:

To illustrate the practical application, it is instructive to examine how nursing-led management is tailored to specific high-prevalence chronic conditions within primary care.

For **Diabetes Mellitus**, the nurse's role is multidimensional. It involves conducting structured education programs on carbohydrate counting, blood glucose monitoring, and sick-day rules. Nurses perform annual reviews, checking feet for neurovascular status, arranging retinal screening, and monitoring kidney function. They adjust insulin and oral hypoglycemic regimens per protocol, provide psychological support to address diabetes distress, and manage insulin pump therapy for eligible patients [24]. This comprehensive approach addresses the condition's biopsychosocial complexity.

In **Hypertension and Cardiovascular Risk Management**, nurses conduct thorough cardiovascular risk assessments. They provide lifestyle counseling on sodium reduction, the DASH diet, physical activity, and smoking cessation. They monitor blood pressure regularly, often through ambulatory or home monitoring programs, and titrate antihypertensive medications according to collaborative guidelines. They also manage anticoagulation therapy (e.g., for atrial fibrillation) with high precision and safety [25].

For **Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) and Asthma**, respiratory nurse specialists are invaluable. They ensure correct inhaler technique through repeated training and assessment, a critical factor often overlooked. They develop personalized self-management plans that include action plans for exacerbations. They administer pulmonary rehabilitation programs, vaccinate against influenza and pneumococcus, and provide smoking cessation support with behavioral strategies and pharmacotherapy [26].

In the care of the growing population with **Multiple Chronic Conditions (Multimorbidity)**, the nurse's role as a care coordinator is paramount. They conduct holistic medication reviews to deprescribe inappropriate or duplicative medications, a process known as polypharmacy management. They prioritize care goals in alignment with patient preferences, often using tools like the Patients' Priorities Care approach. They coordinate appointments and streamline communication among the multiple specialists involved, reducing the burden on the patient and minimizing the risk of contradictory advice [27].

6. Education, Technology, and Interprofessional Collaboration

The success of nursing-led models is amplified by several cross-cutting themes. First, the **educational and competency requirements** for nurses in these roles are substantial. While registered nurses provide excellent foundational care, the complexity of chronic disease management often necessitates advanced practice roles, such as Nurse Practitioners (NPs) or Clinical Nurse Specialists (CNSs). These roles require postgraduate education, granting them advanced clinical assessment, diagnostic, and prescribing competencies [28]. Ongoing professional development in areas like motivational interviewing, behavioral change theory, and specific disease management is crucial for all nurses in this field.

Second, **health technology and telehealth** have become integral enablers. Electronic health records allow nurses to track patient data longitudinally and identify trends. Remote monitoring devices (e.g., Bluetooth-enabled glucometers, blood pressure cuffs, pulse oximeters) transmit data directly to the nursing team, enabling proactive intervention before a crisis occurs [29]. Telehealth consultations via video or phone provide accessible follow-up, particularly for patients in rural areas or with mobility issues, ensuring continuity of care and reinforcing self-management support [30].

Third, effective **interprofessional collaboration (IPC)** is non-negotiable. Nursing-led care does not operate in a silo; it is most effective within a collaborative team. Clear role definition, mutual respect, and open communication channels between nurses, family physicians, pharmacists, social workers, and dietitians are essential [31]. Regular team meetings, shared care plans, and collaborative agreements that define scopes of practice and referral pathways create a supportive ecosystem that leverages the expertise of each profession for optimal patient outcomes.

7. Challenges, Barriers, and Implementation Considerations

Despite the compelling evidence, the widespread implementation of nursing-led chronic disease management faces significant challenges. **Professional and systemic barriers** are prevalent. Resistance from other healthcare professionals, rooted in traditional hierarchies or misconceptions about nursing capabilities, can hinder collaboration [32]. Fragmented healthcare funding models that do not reimburse nursing services adequately or that favor fee-for-service physician visits create financial disincentives for practices to invest in nursing-led structures [33]. In some regions, restrictive regulatory scopes of practice limit nurses' ability to prescribe or order diagnostic tests, curtailing their effectiveness [34].

Workforce and resource constraints also pose a threat. High nursing workloads and staff shortages in primary care can make it difficult to allocate time for the in-depth consultations required for chronic disease management [35]. Furthermore, a lack of dedicated space, administrative support, and access to necessary equipment (e.g., point-of-care testing devices) can impede the establishment of effective nurse-led clinics.

Finally, achieving **equity and cultural competence** is an ongoing challenge. Socioeconomically disadvantaged and minority populations often bear a disproportionate burden of chronic diseases. Nursing-led interventions must be designed with cultural humility, addressing language barriers, health literacy, and social determinants of health. This may involve community outreach, collaboration with community health workers, and tailoring educational materials to be culturally relevant and accessible [36]. Without this intentional focus, nursing-led models risk exacerbating existing health inequities.

8. The Future Trajectory and Policy Implications

The future of nursing-led chronic disease management is promising but requires deliberate policy and educational action. A key trend is the expansion of **advanced practice nursing roles**, such as Nurse Practitioners, who are equipped with the full suite of skills needed for independent management of stable chronic conditions, thereby increasing access to care, particularly in underserved areas [37]. **Integration with digital health** will deepen, with artificial intelligence and predictive analytics assisting nurses in identifying high-risk patients for targeted intervention [38].

To realize this future, **policy and funding reform** is imperative. Governments and insurers must develop sustainable financing mechanisms that reward value-based, preventive care delivered by nursing teams. This includes direct reimbursement for nursing services and bundled payment models for chronic disease episodes [39]. **Educational curricula** for both nursing and medical students must evolve to emphasize interprofessional education, chronic disease management competencies, and the principles of team-based care from the outset [40].

Ultimately, investing in nursing-led models is an investment in the sustainability of health systems. As the World Health Organization has emphasized, maximizing the contribution of the nursing workforce is essential to achieving universal health coverage and the Sustainable Development Goals, especially in the face of the NCD epidemic [41]. By fully leveraging the skills, compassion, and patient-centered approach of nurses, primary healthcare can transform from a system that reacts to sickness into one that proactively supports health, wellness, and successful living with chronic conditions.

9. Conclusion

The management of chronic diseases represents the defining challenge for global health in the 21st century. The conventional, physician-centric, acute-care model is ill-suited to this long-term, complex, and multifaceted task. Nursing-led management in primary healthcare emerges as a powerful, evidence-based, and patient-centered alternative. Grounded in holistic and empowering principles, operationalized through structured assessments, education, medication management, and coordination, this model delivers superior clinical outcomes, enhances patient satisfaction, and offers a cost-effective approach to resource utilization. While challenges related to funding, regulation, and implementation persist, the trajectory is clear. Empowering nurses to lead in chronic disease care is not merely an option but a necessity for building resilient, equitable, and effective health systems. Through strategic policy support, educational advancement, and a unwavering commitment to interprofessional teamwork, the full potential of the nursing profession can be harnessed to ensure that individuals living with chronic diseases receive the continuous, comprehensive, and compassionate support they need to thrive.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could

have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper

- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Agnihothri S, Cui L, Delasay M, et al. The value of mHealth for managing chronic conditions. Health Care Manag Sci 2020;23:185–202.
2. Black S., Fadaak R., Leslie M. Integrating nurse practitioners into primary care: Policy considerations from a Canadian province. BMC Family Practice, 2020;21(1):254.
3. Fraze T. K., Briggs A. D. M., Whitcomb E. K., Peck K. A., Meara E. Role of nurse practitioners in caring for patients with complex health needs. Medical Care, 2020;58(10):853–860.
4. Canada Health Infoway . National survey of Canadian nurses: use of digital health technology in practice. 2020.
5. NSW Agency for Clinical Innovation . Virtual care in practice. n.d.
6. Kwok C, Degen C, Moradi N, et al. Nurse-Led telehealth interventions for symptom management in patients with cancer receiving systemic or radiation therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Support Care Cancer 2022;30:7119–32.
7. Catton H, Iro E. How to reposition the nursing profession for a post-covid age. BMJ 2021:1105.
8. Fowler T., Garr D., Mager N. D. P., Stanley J. Enhancing primary care and preventive services through interprofessional practice and education. Israel Journal of Health Policy Research, 2020;9(1):1–5.
9. Flood C. M., Thomas B., McGibbon E. Canada's primary care crisis: Federal government response. Healthcare Management Forum, 2023;36(5):327–332.
10. Shaw J, Jamieson T, Agarwal P, et al. Virtual care policy recommendations for patient-centred primary care: findings of a consensus policy dialogue using a nominal group technique. J Telemed Telecare 2018;24:608–15.
11. College of Nurses of Ontario. Nurse practitioner. Standards of Practice, 2021;3–6.
12. Heale R., Dahrouge S., Johnston S., Tranmer J. E. Characteristics of nurse practitioner practice in family health teams in Ontario, Canada. Policy, Politics & Nursing Practice, 2018;19(3/4):72–81.
13. Mistry SK, Shaw M, Raffan F, et al. Inequity in access and delivery of virtual care interventions: a scoping review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2022;19:9411.
14. Hoffmann TC, Glasziou PP, Boutron I, et al. Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (tidier) checklist and guide. BMJ 2014;348:bmj.g1687.
15. Imanuel Tonapa S, Inayati A, Sithichoksakulchai S, et al. Outcomes of nurse-led telecoaching intervention for patients with heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. J Clin Nurs 2022;31:1125–35.
16. DiCenso A., Bourgeault I., Abelson J., Martin-Misener R., Kaasalainen S., Carter N., Kilpatrick K. Utilization of nurse practitioners to increase patient access to primary healthcare in Canada–thinking outside the box. Nurs Leadersh (Tor Ont), 2010;23(Spec No 2010):239–259.
17. Zou P, D'Souza D, Luo Y, et al. Potential effects of virtual interventions for menopause management: a systematic review. Menopause 2022;29:1101–17.
18. Burdine J. T., Thorne S., Sandu G. Interpretive description: A flexible qualitative methodology for medical education research. Medical Education, 2020;55(3):341–348.
19. Jeon E, Park HA. Nursing intervention using smartphone technologies; a systematic review and meta-analysis. Stud Health Technol Inform 2015;210:321–5.
20. Gordon K., Gray C. S., Dainty K. N., deLacy J., Seto E. Nurse-led models of care for patients with complex chronic conditions: A scoping review. Nursing Leadership, 2019;32(3):57–76.
21. Heale R., James S., Wenghofer E., Garceau M. L. Nurse practitioner's perceptions of the impact of the nurse practitioner-led clinic model on the quality of care of complex patients. Primary Health Care Research and Development, 2018;19(6):553–560.
22. Bhatia RS, Chu C, Pang A, et al. Virtual care use before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: a repeated cross-sectional study. Cmajo 2021;9:E107–14.
23. Côté N., Freeman A., Jean E., Denis J. L. New understanding of primary health care nurse practitioner role optimisation: The dynamic relationship between the context and work meaning. BMC Health Services Research, 2019;19(1):882.
24. Grant A., Kontak J., Jeffers E., Lawson B., MacKenzie A., Burge F., Boulos L., Lackie K., Marshall E. G., Mireault A., Philpott S., Sampalli T., Sheppard-LeMoine D., Martin-Misener R. Barriers and enablers to implementing interprofessional primary care teams: A narrative review of the literature using the consolidated framework for implementation research. BMC Primary Care, 2024;25:1–16.
25. Azad N, Amos S, Milne K, et al. Telemedicine in a rural memory disorder clinic-remote management of patients with dementia. Can Geriatr J 2012;15:96–100.

26. Keith K. M., Askin D. F. Effective collaboration: The key to better healthcare. Nursing Leadership (1910-622X), 2008;21(2):51–61.
27. Dunn P., Conard S. Chronic care model in research and in practice. International Journal of Cardiology, 2018;258:295–296.
28. Davis SM, Jones A, Jaynes ME, et al. Designing a multifaceted telehealth intervention for a rural population using a model for developing complex interventions in nursing. BMC Nurs 2020;19:9.
29. PRISMA-P Group, Moher D, Shamseer L, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev 2015;4:1.
30. Freed J, Lowe C, Flodgren G, et al. Telemedicine: is it really worth it? A perspective from evidence and experience. J Innov Health Inform 2018;25:14–8.
31. Chudasama YV, Gillies CL, Zaccardi F, et al. Impact of covid-19 on routine care for chronic diseases: a global survey of views from healthcare professionals. Diabetes Metab Syndr 2020;14:965–7.
32. Krick T, Huter K, Seibert K, et al. Measuring the effectiveness of digital nursing technologies: development of a comprehensive digital nursing technology outcome framework based on a scoping review. BMC Health Serv Res 2020;20:243.
33. Zhang Q, Zhang L, Yin R, et al. Effectiveness of telephone-based interventions on health-related quality of life and prognostic outcomes in breast cancer patients and survivors-A meta-analysis. Eur J Cancer Care (Engl) 2018;27.
34. Kruse CS, Krowski N, Rodriguez B, et al. Telehealth and patient satisfaction: a systematic review and narrative analysis. BMJ Open 2017;7:e016242.
35. Cloyd B, Thompson J. Virtual care nursing: the wave of the future. Nurse Lead 2020;18:147–50.
36. He Q, Zhao X, Wang Y, et al. Effectiveness of smartphone application-based self-management interventions in patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Adv Nurs 2022;78:348–62.
37. Rutledge CM, Gustin T. Preparing nurses for roles in telehealth: now is the time! Online J Issues Nurs 2021;26.
38. Luo X, Chen Y, Chen J, et al. Effectiveness of mobile health-based self-management interventions in breast cancer patients: a meta-analysis. Support Care Cancer 2022;30:2853–76.
39. van Hooft SM, Been-Dahmen JMJ, Ista E, et al. A realist review: what do nurse-led self-management interventions achieve for outpatients with a chronic condition? J Adv Nurs 2017;73:1255–71.
40. Zaslavsky O, Chu F, Renn BN. Patient digital health technologies to support primary care across clinical contexts: survey of primary care providers, behavioral health consultants, and nurses. JMIR Form Res 2022;6:e32664.
41. Smithson R, Roche E, Wicker C. Virtual models of chronic disease management: lessons from the experiences of virtual care during the COVID-19 response. Aust Health Rev 2021;45:311–6.