Research Article

Designing for a Multichannel Future: One Pool, Many Pipes on a Canonical API and Event Backbone Evolving into a Supplier-Centric Network

Manish Patel*

Independent Researcher, USA
* **Corresponding Author Email:** manish.jk.patel@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5007-7850

Abstract:

The digital commerce landscape faces unprecedented challenges as single-channel architectures struggle to meet modern multichannel demands. This article presents a transformative framework for retailers and distributors transitioning toward composable multichannel platforms through three interconnected layers: canonical application programming interfaces backed by event-driven integration patterns, unified inventory management coupled with policy-driven orchestration engines, and an evolutionary pathway toward supplier-centric network models. It is based on the architectural concepts of MACH, which focus on the design of microservices, API-first integration, cloud-native architecture, and separation of headless frontends. Unified inventory provides real-time visibility of all physical sites, shipments in transit, and even sources of suppliers, and intelligent fulfillment decisions are executed by policy-based orchestration to balance between service commitments and economic goals. The evolution toward platform operator models creates powerful network effects where each additional supplier expands product assortment and each channel increases addressable markets. Implementation follows a phased migration strategy beginning with inventory stabilization, progressing through canonical interface deployment, rule externalization, orchestration implementation, partner infrastructure publication, and supplier tenancy piloting. The rivalry in the retail sector is further aggravated by digital native branding, brick-and-mortar enterprises, and online marketplace platforms that vie to establish their presence in the market and achieve a competitive advantage, which makes technical architecture a strategic distinguishing factor that directly influences organizational capacity to fulfill customer expectations, conduct operations effectively, and innovate fast. Organizations successfully navigating this transformation position themselves to respond dynamically to market changes, launch channels rapidly, and evolve business models toward platform roles, creating compounding value through network effects and ecosystem leverage.

1. Introduction

1.1 Context and Problem Statement

The digital commerce landscape faces a fundamental shift as organizations discover that traditional single-channel architectures cannot handle modern multichannel demands. According to the future analysis presented by Forrester in 2025, the current year, 2025, is a critical turning point when the leaders of digital commerce will have to reconsider the technological ground upon which the company should be operating to meet the market expectations of the rapidly changing environment [1]. Single-channel legacy systems are less and less capable of dealing with the complexity of the current retail ecosystems.

Such architectural constraints are manifested in systems in which business-specific channel rules remain fixed in application code, establishing fixed dependencies between fulfillment operations and each source of orders. This inflexibility does not allow organizations to be dynamic in taking up market opportunities. The most prevalent architectural response has taken the shape of the composable commerce paradigm. Research indicates that organizations using this system have experienced with transformational increase in the launching of new channels and adapting to the changes in consumer behaviors [2].

When moving away to composable systems, the change from monolithic architectures cannot be effectively achieved by purchasing new technologies only. The concept of success requires a radically different redefining of the role of inventory, order flow, and policy management as platform-based capabilities and no longer as channel-specific ones. Those companies that fail to accomplish this transition have their operations drastically affected: stagnation of partners launches, extending integration timelines well past reasonable boundaries, unfulfilled promises of delivery, contracting cost-to-serve ratios, putting a dent in the margins, as well as threatening their competitiveness.

1.2 Purpose and Scope

The paper provides a detailed architecture and operating model tailored to the specific needs of retailers and suppliers who are working through the multichannel and eventually supplier-based network operation. The proposed architecture introduces three architecturally linked layers that collaborate to support scalable multichannel commerce: canonical application programming interfaces supported by event-driven integration patterns that decouple channel implementations and core business logic; integrated inventory management systems and policy-driven orchestration engines that support smart decisions in fulfillment across multiple channels to the requirements of diverse channels; and an evolution journey toward supplier-centric network models which transform traditional retailers into platform operators with the capability to support suppliers reach more than one channel using standardized integration points.

2. Platform Foundations: Canonical Application Programming Interfaces and Event Backbone

The success of a multichannel platform is based on the premises of MACH architecture, which focuses on the principles of microservice architecture, API-first architecture, cloud native architecture, and headless frontend decoupling [3]. The principles of these architectures allow organizations to create compositional systems that allow individual capabilities to be assembled, reassembled, and swapped without involving wholesale system rewrites. The foundation of microservices enables groups to create, deploy, and scale distinct business capabilities in isolation, which typically inhibited the development of retail technology over the years in monolithic patterns.

The API-first design makes all system capabilities explicit, containing multiple consumption patterns, such as real-time operation based on synchronous request-response interaction and event-driven integration based on asynchronous event-driven interaction. Cloud-native infrastructure offers the scalability and high availability needed to support fluctuating demand patterns that are typical of the contemporary retail environment, whereby traffic can shoot up significantly during promotional activities, seasonal peaks, and social media virality. The headless model allows the separation of presentation layers and business logic and data management, allowing organizations to provide coherent web, mobile, voice, augmented reality, and new channel experiences without recreating backend systems [4].

Organizations that adopt composable commerce architecture are in a position to react quickly to changes in the market because the modular structure of such systems enables the separate components to be updated, swapped, or added without affecting the rest of the ecosystem. The interfaces between these components are the API contracts, which form a stable base allowing a steady evolution, with any well-designed interfaces being unaffected by the underlying implementation becoming better. The event backbone that is appended to the canonical APIs offers real-time state synchronisation across the distributed system terrain, whereby changes in inventory, order condition updates, and policy changes are distributed to all subscribers with interest in the circumstance with minimum latency [3].

This event-driven architecture converts the conventional batch-based integration patterns to flowing data streams in real-time to make real-time decisions and personalize the customer experience. Events themselves are treated as first-class business artifacts that not only represent the state transitions but also the rich context of those transitions, such as chains of causation, business process identifiers, and time relationships with which complex analytics and audit functionality can be achieved. Application of the principles of interface design used in the technical implementation of canonical APIs should take into account the requirements of consistency and flexibility to ensure that unifying patterns are visible across all business areas and that the needs of the domain can still be met without violating the canonical model [4].

Resource-based design, based on the principles of RESTful designs, offers user-friendly interfaces with business entities being represented as URL structure and HTTP verbs being represented as

typical operations; they provide easy interfaces to be learned and adopted by a developer. Appropriate authentication and authorization should be able to meet the various security needs of multichannel commerce, as internal systems, external partners, and end consumers all interface with the platform in a variety of trust modes as well as permission frameworks. The identity federation of modern OAuth flows, utilizing JSON Web Tokens and role-based access control, helps to implement fine-grained security policies in all API endpoints uniformly across the contexts of a call.

3. Unified Inventory with Policy-Driven Order Orchestration

The establishment of unified inventory visibility represents a foundational requirement for delivering on the promises of multichannel commerce, as customers increasingly expect consistent product availability information regardless of which channel they use to interact with a retailer [5]. Traditional retail systems maintained separate inventory pools for different channels, with store inventory managed independently from warehouse inventory and online inventory treated as yet another distinct pool, creating a fragmented view that prevented optimal allocation and resulted in situations where products appeared out of stock in one channel while sitting idle in another.

The unified inventory model breaks down these artificial boundaries by creating a single logical view that aggregates availability across all physical locations, in-transit shipments, supplier inventory, and any other sources of supply that can be accessed to fulfill customer orders. This unified view must support real-time visibility into inventory positions, as delayed or batch-updated information leads to oversell situations that damage customer trust and create costly recovery scenarios, including expedited shipping, order cancellations, and customer service interventions [6].

The architecture supporting unified inventory incorporates multiple data sources, including perpetual inventory systems tracking receipts and shipments, point-of-sale systems capturing store transactions, warehouse management systems providing bin-level accuracy, and supplier integration feeds exposing available-to-promise quantities from upstream partners. Reconciliation processes continuously validate that system inventory matches physical reality, identifying and correcting discrepancies before they accumulate to levels that impact customer-facing decisions. The quality of inventory data directly influences the accuracy of delivery promises presented during checkout, making inventory accuracy not merely an operational metric but a critical driver of customer satisfaction and revenue conversion [5].

Advanced inventory management incorporates concepts such as safety stock calculations, buffering against demand variability and supply uncertainty, allocation rules, reserving inventory for high-priority channels or customers, and substitution logic, identifying alternative products when preferred items are unavailable. These capabilities transform inventory from a passive data repository into an active component of the fulfillment decision-making process, where inventory positions influence routing decisions, pricing strategies, and promotional offers in real time.

Policy-driven order orchestration sits atop the unified inventory foundation to make intelligent fulfillment decisions, balancing multiple competing objectives, including service level commitments, fulfillment cost minimization, margin optimization, and operational capacity constraints [6]. The orchestration engine evaluates each order against current inventory positions, available fulfillment nodes, carrier service levels, and cost parameters to generate fulfillment plans optimizing for business objectives while respecting hard constraints such as promised delivery dates and channel-specific requirements. This decision-making process must occur within strict latency bounds to support real-time delivery promise calculation during checkout, requiring optimization algorithms that can explore the solution space efficiently while still identifying high-quality fulfillment plans.

The policy framework governing orchestration decisions externalizes business rules that would otherwise be embedded in application code, creating a flexible configuration layer where business users can adjust fulfillment behavior without requiring software development cycles. These policies encompass diverse concerns, including channel-specific sourcing restrictions that might require marketplace orders to ship from dedicated facilities, customer tier prioritization giving preferential treatment to high-value segments, carrier selection rules balancing cost against reliability, and node capacity management preventing individual fulfillment centers from being overwhelmed during demand spikes [5].

4. Supplier-Centric Network: Fulfillment as a Service

The evolution from multichannel retailer to platform operator fundamentally transforms the business model and value proposition, as organizations shift from selling their own inventory through multiple channels to enabling a broader

ecosystem of suppliers to reach customers through shared infrastructure [7]. This platform model creates powerful network effects where the value of the platform increases super-linearly with the number of participants, as each additional supplier expands the product assortment available to customers, while each additional channel increases the addressable market available to suppliers.

The economics of platform businesses differ fundamentally from traditional retail models, with gross margins typically higher due to reduced inventory risk and capital requirements, though platform operators must invest significantly in the technology infrastructure, quality assurance processes, and marketplace governance mechanisms that maintain trust and reliability. The supplier onboarding process becomes a critical capability in the platform model, as the speed and ease with which new suppliers can join the network directly impacts assortment growth and competitive positioning against other marketplace platforms [8]. Standardized integration patterns using the same canonical APIs and event contracts that support channel integration enable suppliers to onboard through self-service processes that dramatically reduce time-to-first-sale compared to traditional EDI-based supplier integration approaches. Sandbox environments allow suppliers to develop and test their integrations against representative data and workflows without impacting production operations, while certification test suites validate that supplier systems correctly implement required behaviors before live traffic begins flowing.

The platform operator must provide comprehensive supplier-facing capabilities enabling suppliers to manage their presence on the platform effectively, including catalog management tools for maintaining product information, pricing and promotion management interfaces for adjusting commercial terms, inventory management systems for publishing availability, and analytics dashboards for understanding performance metrics [7]. These self-service capabilities reduce the operational burden on the platform operator while giving suppliers the autonomy and control needed to optimize their performance within the marketplace.

The journey toward composable commerce represents an evolutionary process rather than a discrete transformation, with organizations progressing through distinct maturity stages as they build capabilities and accumulate operational experience [8]. Initial implementations involve laying groundwork in the form of integration patterns of API-first, simple event communication, and early decoupling of frontend presentation and backend systems. Such early actions create instant rewards as per development speed and time to market new features because the teams can make changes to individual components without coordinating changes across the whole technology stack.

5. Implementation and Migration Strategy

The retail industry is at a crossroads, and digital transformation is not an option anymore, but is an existential need for organizations that want to survive in a more digital-first marketplace. According to industry analysis, retail digital transformation is not limited to the use of new technologies but is an overhaul of operating models, approaches to engaging with customers, and the coordination of value chains [9]. The organizational change management dimension of transformation often receives insufficient attention despite being a primary determinant of success or failure in platform migration initiatives. Technical teams must develop new skills in API design, event-driven architecture, cloud operations, and the specific technologies chosen for the target architecture, requiring significant investment in training and potentially recruiting specialists to fill capability gaps [9].

The e-commerce retail market continues to demonstrate robust growth trajectories, underscoring the strategic importance of building scalable multichannel capabilities, with projections indicating substantial expansion in market size driven by evolving consumer preferences toward digital shopping experiences and the continued penetration of e-commerce into product categories that have historically been dominated by physical retail [10]. This growth creates both opportunity and competitive pressure for retailers, as organizations successfully executing digital transformation can capture disproportionate market share growth while those that lag risk becoming increasingly irrelevant to digitally-native consumers.

The strategic positioning implications of multichannel platform capabilities extend beyond simply supporting current business models to enabling entirely new approaches to market participation and value creation. Organizations with robust platform foundations can pivot toward marketplace models, generating revenue from supplier transactions rather than inventory sales, reducing capital requirements while expanding addressable assortment.

Table 1: MACH Architecture Components and Benefits [3, 4]

Component	Description	Business Impact
Microservices	Independent business capabilities are deployed and scaled separately	Enables teams to develop and modify components without coordinating across the entire technology stack
API-First Design	Well-defined interfaces supporting multiple consumption patterns	Provides stable contracts enabling continuous evolution while maintaining consistency
Cloud-Native Infrastructure	Elastic and resilient systems handling variable demand patterns	Supports traffic spikes during promotional events and seasonal peaks without capacity constraints
Headless Architecture	Decoupled presentation from business logic and data management	Delivers consistent experiences across web, mobile, voice, and emerging channels without backend duplication
Event Backbone	Real-time state synchronization across distributed systems	Transforms batch-oriented patterns into continuous flows supporting real-time decision-making

Table 2: Policy Categories and Governance Framework [5, 6]

Policy Domain	Externalized Rules (Broker)	Core System Logic
Service Level Management	Channel-specific promises, blackout dates, and documentation requirements	Node selection algorithms, carrier selection, and cutoff time enforcement
Pricing and Settlement	Presentation formats, fee disclosure mandates, promotional terms	Price calculation engines, invoice generation, remittance processing
Returns Processing	Return windows by channel, inspection protocols, and packaging requirements	Authorization workflows, disposition logic, and refund processing
Compliance Requirements	Label formats, data field specifications, and hazard regulations	Record retention policies, audit logging, and financial posting
Inventory Allocation	Safety stock buffers, channel priority rules, substitution logic	Physical counting, perpetual tracking, and reconciliation processes

Table 3: Platform Maturity Evolution Stages [7, 8]

Maturity Stage	Technology Pattern	Operating Model	Transformation Indicators
Single Channel Legacy	Point-to-point integrations, siloed inventory pools, batch reconciliation	Project-based funding, manual rule management, and reactive incident handling	Extended integration timelines, frequent promise failures, and elevated fulfillment costs
Orchestrated Multichannel	Canonical interfaces, event backbone, unified inventory view	Product platform with policy catalog, dedicated platform teams, and proactive monitoring	Accelerated partner onboarding, reduced chargebacks, improved promise accuracy
Intelligent Network	Policy-driven decisioning, certified partner kits, and automated settlement	Network operations with supplier success teams, data-driven optimization	Self-service supplier onboarding, enhanced margins, and platform scaling to hundreds of suppliers

Table 4: Phased Migration Roadmap [9, 10]

Phase	Duration	Primary Activities	Success Metrics
Inventory Truth Stabilization	Eight to twelve weeks	Data quality improvement, reconciliation process implementation, and monitoring deployment	Inventory accuracy targets, latency reduction, and match rate achievement
Canonical Interface Deployment	Twelve to sixteen weeks	API layer development, event backbone setup, and existing channel wrapping	Performance baselines, availability targets, throughput capacity validation
Rule Broker Implementation	Ten to fourteen weeks	Channel rule extraction, externalization to managed repository, and prioritization by change frequency	Defect reduction, change cycle acceleration, and regression testing efficiency

Orchestration Activation	Fourteen to twenty weeks	Single channel and region deployment, baseline measurement, expansion planning	Promise accuracy improvement, margin enhancement, and fulfillment cost reduction
Partner Infrastructure Launch	Eight to twelve weeks	Sandbox provisioning, documentation portal creation, certification suite development	Integration timeline reduction, support effort decrease, per-partner cost savings
Supplier Tenancy Pilot	Twelve to sixteen weeks	Limited supplier onboarding, settlement validation, and operational procedure testing	Assortment growth, revenue contribution, and payback timeline achievement

4. Conclusions

The transformation from single-channel legacy systems to composable multichannel platforms addresses the fundamental challenge facing modern retailers and distributors competing in increasingly complex environments. Organizations successfully navigating this transformation position themselves to respond dynamically to market changes, launch channels and partnerships rapidly, and evolve business models toward platform operator roles, creating compounding value through network effects. The rivalry in the retail sector is further aggravated by digital native branding, brick-and-mortar enterprises, and online marketplace platforms that vie to establish their presence in the market and achieve a competitive advantage, which makes technical architecture a strategic distinguishing factor that directly influences organizational capacity to fulfill customer expectations, conduct operations effectively, and innovate fast. Demonstrated executive dedication, rigorous performance of roadmaps of implementation in phases, and never-ending investment in organizational capabilities needed to execute composable architectures successfully are also the pillars of success. The final award is being able to be strategically agile and adaptable so that organizations can respond to discontinuities within the business environment as opportunities arise, by exploring new business models and market opportunities instead of being constrained by structural constraints of past generations of technology.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper

- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

[1] Emily Pfeiffer, "Predictions 2025: Fundamental Disconnects Will Challenge Digital Commerce," Forrester Research, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.forrester.com/blogs/predictions-2025-digital-commerce/

[2] Alokai, "Composable Commerce Trends Report 2025". [Online]. Available: https://8443671.fs1.hubspotusercontent-na1.net/hubfs/8443671/Alokai%20-%20Resources-%20Composable%20Trends%202025%20(18-Nov-2024)%20(1).pdf

[3] Boomi, "What Are the Principles of MACH Architecture?" Boomi Corporation, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://boomi.com/blog/principles-of-mach-architecture/

[4] McFadyen Digital, "Embracing Composable MACH Architecture When Replatforming Retail Ecommerce," 2025. [Online]. Available: https://mcfadyen.com/2024/07/17/embracing-composable-mach-architecture-when-replatforming-retail-ecommerce/

[5] Fynd, "Know About Omnichannel Order Management (2025 Detail Guide)", 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.fynd.com/blog/omnichannel-order-management

[6] Gartner, "Market Guide for Distributed Order Management Systems," 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.gartner.com/en/documents/6659334

[7] Alexander Graf and Lina Gallagher, "Winning In The Platform Economy: For Commerce Professionals," Amazon Digital Services, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.amazon.in/WINNING-

PLATFORM-ECONOMY-commerce-professionals-ebook/dp/B0D35KRD6X

[8] Composable, "Composable Commerce in 2025: Proven, Packaged, and Ready for the Mainstream". [Online]. Available: https://composable.com/insights/composable-commerce-in-2025-proven-packaged-and-ready-for-the-mainstream

[9] Gartner, "Retail Digital Transformation: Strategic Priorities and Key Initiatives". [Online]. Available: https://www.gartner.com/en/industries/retail-digital-transformation

[10] Technavio, "E-Commerce Retail Market to Grow by USD 4.06 Trillion (2024-2028), Advent of Personalized Shopping Boosting the Market, with AI Impacting Market Trends - Technavio," PR Newswire, Jan. 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/e-commerce-retail-market-to-grow-by-usd-4-06-trillion-2024-2028-advent-of-personalized-shopping-boosting-the-market-with-ai-impacting-market-trends---technavio-302364364.html