



## **Infection Prevention Strategies in the Operating Room: Nursing and Anesthesia Practices to Reduce Surgical Site Contamination**

**Nuha Abdullah Almowalld<sup>1</sup>, Amnah Khalil Khalifa Al-Habanji<sup>2</sup>, Wasayf Saad Almutairi<sup>3</sup>, Masirah Aziz M Alruwaili<sup>4</sup>, Layla Saud Alhazmi<sup>5</sup>, Alruwaili, Najah Dabil Q<sup>6</sup>, Hanan Mohammed A Alruwaili<sup>7</sup>, Albanadria Shwayhit Luwayfi Alsharari<sup>8</sup>, Sara Salamh Atwi<sup>9</sup>, Hamidah Ali Alshammari<sup>10</sup>, Meshal Khalaf Q Alanazi<sup>11</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Oncology and Palliative Nursing Specialist – East Jeddah Hospital, Jeddah First Health Cluster – Jeddah – Makkah Region – Saudi Arabia

\* **Corresponding Author Email:** [Almowalldnuha@gmail.com](mailto:Almowalldnuha@gmail.com) - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7890

<sup>2</sup>Nursing Specialist – King Salman Medical City – Maternity and Children Hospital, Madinah Health Cluster – Al Madinah Al Munawwarah – Madinah Region – Saudi Arabia

**Email:** Aalhabanji@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5997-7850

<sup>3</sup>Nursing Technician – Ministry of Health (Head Office) – Riyadh – Riyadh Region – Saudi Arabia

**Email:** waalmotiri@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5897-7850

<sup>4</sup>Specialist Nurse – Turayf General Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health – Turayf – Northern Border Province – Saudi Arabia

**Email:** soosoo1717@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5797-7850

<sup>5</sup> Nursing Technician – Al-Salhiyah Primary Healthcare Center, Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Border Province – Saudi Arabia

<sup>6</sup>Nursing Technician – Suwayr General Hospital, Al-Jawf Health Cluster – Suwayr – Al-Jawf Region – Saudi Arabia

**Email:** nalraoily@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5597-7850

<sup>7</sup>Nursing Technician – Suwayr Primary Healthcare Center, Al-Jawf Health Cluster – Suwayr – Al-Jawf Region – Saudi Arabia

**Email:** h2475343@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5497-7850

<sup>8</sup>Nursing Technician – Gurayat General Hospital, Al-Jouf Health Cluster – Al-Qurayyat – Al-Jouf Region – Saudi Arabia

**Email:** albanadria@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5397-7850

<sup>9</sup>Nursing Technician – Gurayat General Hospital, Al-Jouf Health Cluster – Al-Qurayyat – Al-Jouf Region – Saudi Arabia

**Email:** ssatwi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5297-7850

<sup>10</sup>Nursing Technician – Prince Abdullah bin Musa'ed Center for Cardiac Medicine and Surgery, Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Border Province – Saudi Arabia

**Email:** hamidahaa@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5297-7850

<sup>11</sup>Anesthesia – Erada Complex for Mental Health, Northern Borders Health Cluster – Arar – Northern Border Province – Saudi Arabia

**Email:** Marshal.aldahmashi@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0097-7850

### **Article Info:**

**DOI:** 10.22399/ijcesen.4322

**Received :** 01 June 2024

**Accepted :** 29 June 2024

### **Keywords**

Infection prevention,  
operating room,  
surgical site infection,

### **Abstract:**

Infection prevention in the operating room (OR) is a critical focus for both nursing and anesthesia practices, as surgical site infections (SSIs) can lead to significant complications, prolonged hospital stays, and increased healthcare costs. Key strategies involve adhering to strict sterile protocols, including thorough hand hygiene, proper gowning and gloving techniques, and the use of personal protective equipment (PPE). Nurses play an essential role in maintaining a sterile environment by ensuring that instruments and surgical supplies are appropriately sterilized, while also monitoring for any breaches in protocol that could compromise patient safety. Additionally, preoperative education for patients on the importance of skin preparation and the role of

nursing practices,  
anesthesia practices,  
sterile protocols

antibiotic prophylaxis is vital in minimizing the risk of infection before surgery begins. Anesthesia practices also contribute significantly to infection prevention. Anesthesia providers must ensure that all equipment used, including ventilators and intravenous lines, is properly sterilized and maintained. Thorough assessment and monitoring during surgery allow for rapid identification and intervention in case of any deviation from established infection prevention protocols. Furthermore, employing strategies such as maintaining optimal ambient light, regulating temperature and humidity, and minimizing traffic in the OR can create a safer surgical environment. Collaborative efforts among the surgical team, including nurses and anesthesiologists, are essential for developing and implementing comprehensive infection prevention guidelines that adapt to evolving challenges and best practices.

## 1. Introduction

The operating room (OR) stands as a sanctuary of modern medicine, a unique and highly controlled environment where the ultimate goal is to perform life-altering therapeutic interventions without introducing iatrogenic harm. It is a place where precision, skill, and technology converge to heal the human body. Yet, this very act of intervention—the intentional breach of the body's primary defensive barrier, the skin—creates a vulnerable portal of entry for microorganisms. For centuries, this vulnerability transformed surgery from a potential cure into a probable death sentence, with post-operative infection being the grim and expected outcome. The evolution of the OR from a public spectacle with mortality rates exceeding 50% to the aseptic, technologically advanced suites of today is a testament to one of medicine's greatest triumphs: the understanding and implementation of asepsis [1]. This hard-won knowledge frames every action and protocol within the modern operative environment, establishing the prevention of infection not as a secondary consideration, but as the foundational principle upon which all successful surgery depends.

Among the most significant and preventable complications arising from surgical care is the Surgical Site Infection (SSI). Defined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) as an infection occurring up to 30 days after a procedure (or up to one year if an implant is left in place), SSIs represent a persistent and formidable challenge to healthcare systems worldwide [2]. The impact of these infections extends far beyond the confines of the incision. For the patient, an SSI translates into a cascade of adverse outcomes: prolonged pain and suffering, delayed recovery, additional surgical procedures for drainage or debridement, the physical and emotional trauma of a complicated healing process, and a significantly increased risk of mortality. Studies consistently show that patients who develop an SSI have a two to three times higher risk of death compared to patients without an SSI, with a substantial proportion of these deaths directly attributable to

the infection itself [3]. The burden is not only clinical but also profoundly economic. SSIs are a leading cause of hospital readmission, dramatically extending length of stay and necessitating costly wound care supplies, advanced antibiotics, and repeated interventions. The financial burden on healthcare systems is staggering, with annual costs attributable to SSIs running into billions of dollars globally, representing a massive and often preventable drain on resources [4]. This combination of profound human suffering and immense financial cost elevates SSI prevention from a routine clinical task to a critical ethical and operational imperative for every institution that performs surgical procedures.

The pathogenesis of a Surgical Site Infection is a complex, multifactorial process, a veritable "perfect storm" that requires the confluence of several elements. It begins with the introduction of a sufficient inoculum of pathogenic microorganisms into the surgical wound. These pathogens can originate from multiple sources: the patient's own endogenous flora (e.g., from the skin, gastrointestinal tract, or mucous membranes), exogenous sources in the environment (e.g., airborne particles, contaminated instruments, or the surgical team itself), or, less commonly, a pre-existing remote infection in the patient that hematogenously seeds the fresh wound [5]. However, the mere presence of bacteria is not sufficient to cause an infection. The outcome is determined by a delicate interplay between the virulence and quantity of the invading pathogens, the integrity and robustness of the patient's innate and adaptive immune defenses, and the local condition of the wound tissue. A patient with competent immune function and well-perfused tissue may successfully fend off a microbial challenge that would overwhelm a compromised host. Factors such as poor tissue oxygenation, the presence of devitalized tissue or foreign material (e.g., sutures, mesh, or prosthetics), and hematoma or seroma formation all create an environment that favors bacterial proliferation and impairs the body's ability to mount an effective defensive response [6]. It is within this intricate pathophysiological

landscape that the efforts of the operating room team are deployed.

The modern OR environment itself, therefore, is engineered to serve as a critical line of defense, a controlled ecosystem designed to tip the balance decisively in the patient's favor. This encompasses a holistic strategy that extends from the architectural design of the ventilation system to the minute-by-minute behaviors of every individual in the room. Sophisticated laminar airflow systems with High-Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filters create an ultraclean environment over the surgical field, actively sweeping away airborne contaminants [7]. Stringent protocols govern everything from surface disinfection and sterile instrument processing to the controlled traffic of personnel, all aimed at minimizing the microbial bioburden to which the patient is exposed. Within this highly structured environment, the human element remains the most dynamic and crucial variable. The surgical team functions as a coordinated unit, and the chain of asepsis is only as strong as its weakest link. While the surgeon's role in gentle tissue handling and precise technique is undeniably vital, the responsibility for maintaining the aseptic continuum is a shared mission, profoundly dependent on the specialized knowledge and vigilant practices of two key groups: the nursing and anesthesia professionals.

Within this team, nurses and anesthesiologists hold pivotal and complementary roles in establishing and maintaining an unbroken chain of aseptic practice that extends temporally from the preoperative holding area to the patient's discharge from the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) and beyond. The perioperative nurse acts as the guardian of the sterile field, the orchestrator of the aseptic ritual. Their responsibilities are comprehensive, encompassing preoperative patient skin antisepsis, the meticulous management and dispensing of sterile supplies and instruments, and constant surveillance of the integrity of sterile barriers and the compliance of the entire team with aseptic principles [8]. Simultaneously, the anesthesia provider manages a parallel set of critical risks adjacent to the sterile field. Their domain includes the aseptic placement and maintenance of invasive lines, the disinfection of anesthesia equipment and medication ports, and the clinical management of physiological parameters—such as core temperature, tissue perfusion, and oxygen delivery—that have a direct and evidence-based impact on the patient's susceptibility to infection [9]. The anesthesia workstation, with its complex array of tubing, circuits, and monitors, represents a potential reservoir for pathogens if not

managed with scrupulous attention to infection control protocols.

## 2. The Foundation of Asepsis:

Before a single patient or staff member enters the operating suite, the physical environment is designed to serve as the first barrier against infection. The modern OR is a masterpiece of engineering, governed by strict protocols to control airborne contamination. Laminar airflow systems, particularly those with high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters, are employed to create a sterile field by providing a continuous, unidirectional flow of ultraclean air over the surgical site [2]. This system effectively sweeps away airborne particles, including bacteria-carrying dust and skin squames shed by the OR personnel. Positive pressure ventilation is another crucial architectural feature, ensuring that air flows from the sterile OR core outward to the less clean corridors and ancillary rooms, preventing the ingress of contaminated air [3]. The meticulous control of traffic within the OR suite is a direct responsibility of the nursing team. Unnecessary movement and the number of individuals in the room are strictly minimized, as studies have consistently demonstrated a direct correlation between OR traffic and increased airborne bacterial counts [4]. The perioperative nurse acts as a gatekeeper, enforcing policies that limit entry to essential personnel only, thereby preserving the integrity of the controlled environment.

## 3. Preoperative Patient Preparation:

The journey to a contamination-free surgical site begins long before the patient is anesthetized. Preoperative patient screening and preparation are critical nursing functions aimed at identifying and mitigating risk factors for SSI. A comprehensive patient assessment includes evaluating nutritional status, glycemic control, and the presence of remote infections, all of which can compromise the immune response and increase infection risk [5]. A key collaborative strategy between nursing and anesthesia is the management of preoperative normothermia. Anesthesia providers are instrumental in initiating and monitoring active warming devices, while nurses ensure the patient is covered with warm blankets preoperatively. Active warming is continued throughout the procedure, as even mild hypothermia can induce vasoconstriction, reduce subcutaneous oxygen tension, and impair neutrophil function, significantly increasing the susceptibility to infection [6]. Another fundamental preoperative

intervention is the appropriate hair removal protocol. Nurses are responsible for ensuring that if hair removal is necessary, it is performed immediately before the surgery using electric clippers rather than razors. Razor shaving causes micro-abrasions that serve as fertile ground for bacterial colonization, a practice that has been conclusively linked to higher SSI rates [7].

#### **4. The Pivotal Role of Hand Hygiene and Surgical Attire**

The most fundamental, yet sometimes overlooked, practice in infection prevention is meticulous hand hygiene. For all OR personnel, including anesthesiologists and nurses, hand antisepsis using an alcohol-based surgical scrub or antimicrobial soap is non-negotiable. This practice is required upon entering the OR suite, before and after patient contact, and after touching any potentially contaminated surface [8]. The proper wearing of surgical attire, or "scrubs," is equally vital. All personnel must change into clean, facility-laundered scrubs upon entering the OR suite. The donning of additional barriers is a ritualistic and scientifically-grounded process. Nurses, surgeons, and scrub technicians performing within the sterile field must perform a surgical hand scrub and don a sterile gown and gloves. For anesthesiologists and circulating nurses, who do not directly contact the sterile field, the minimum requirement includes a clean long-sleeved jacket, a surgical mask, and a head cover [9]. The mask serves to contain respiratory droplets, while the head cover captures scalp and hair debris. Recent evidence has further solidified the importance of bouffant-style head covers that fully enclose all hair, as they have been shown to be more effective than traditional skull caps in reducing particulate shedding [10].

#### **5. Nursing-Specific Protocols:**

The perioperative nurse, particularly in the circulating and scrub roles, is the primary guardian of asepsis. Their responsibilities are extensive and systematic. The performance and monitoring of the surgical skin preparation is a critical task. The nurse ensures the patient's skin at the surgical site is cleansed with an appropriate antiseptic agent, such as chlorhexidine gluconate or iodine-based solutions, in a methodical manner from the incision site outward to reduce the microbial load to the lowest possible level [11]. The management of sterile supplies and instrumentation is another cornerstone of the nursing role. The scrub nurse is responsible for the meticulous arrangement and maintenance of the sterile field, employing aseptic

technique during the dispensing of supplies and instruments to the surgeon. The circulating nurse assists by opening sterile packages in a manner that prevents contamination and manages fluid waste and soiled sponges to avoid cross-contamination [12]. Furthermore, the nursing team is responsible for the safe handling and disposal of sharps, the proper disinfection of equipment and environmental surfaces between cases, and the meticulous counting of sponges and instruments to prevent retained foreign bodies, which are a potent nidus for infection [13].

#### **6. Anesthesia Practices:**

The anesthesiologist and anesthesia providers manage a zone of potential contamination adjacent to the sterile field. Their workspace, often congested with complex equipment, requires diligent infection control practices. A primary responsibility is the maintenance and disinfection of the anesthesia workstation. Breathing circuits, laryngoscope blades, and endotracheal tubes are all potential vectors for pathogen transmission. The use of single-use disposable items or adherence to strict high-level disinfection protocols for reusable equipment is mandatory to prevent iatrogenic infections [14]. Intravenous (IV) line management is another critical area. Anesthesia providers are responsible for the aseptic placement of all IV lines and arterial catheters. The injection ports must be rigorously disinfected with alcohol or chlorhexidine before every access to prevent the introduction of microbes into the patient's bloodstream, which could seed the surgical site [15]. The handling and drawing of medications also present a contamination risk. Providers must use single-dose vials whenever possible and never use a single syringe for multiple patients. If a multi-dose vial is used, the rubber diaphragm must be disinfected before each needle entry, and the vial must be discarded within a specified timeframe to prevent microbial growth [16].

#### **7. Management of Airway and Hemodynamic Parameters**

Beyond equipment handling, the clinical decisions made by the anesthesia team have a profound impact on infection risk. The administration of supplemental oxygen is a well-established strategy for reducing SSI rates, particularly in colorectal and other major abdominal surgeries. By increasing the fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO<sub>2</sub>) during and immediately after surgery, the anesthesia provider can enhance the oxygen tension in the wound tissue. This hyperoxygenation provides a substrate

for neutrophils to produce free radicals that are lethal to bacteria, thereby bolstering the body's primary cellular defense mechanism [17]. Equally important is the meticulous management of intravenous fluids. Both hypovolemia and excessive fluid administration can be detrimental. Hypovolemia can lead to poor tissue perfusion and hypoxia, while fluid overload can cause tissue edema that impedes oxygen diffusion and leukocyte migration. The anesthesia provider's goal is to maintain euvolemia, often guided by advanced hemodynamic monitoring, to ensure optimal oxygen delivery to the healing tissues [18]. Furthermore, the choice and timing of antibiotic prophylaxis, while often a collaborative protocol, are typically administered by the anesthesia team. The critical principle is that the infusion must be completed within 60 minutes before the skin incision (120 minutes for certain antibiotics) to ensure adequate tissue concentrations at the time of potential contamination [19].

## **8. Barrier Precautions and Traffic Control During the Procedure**

Throughout the surgical procedure, the maintenance of barriers is a continuous and shared responsibility. For the nursing team, this involves constant vigilance over the integrity of the sterile field. This includes monitoring for gown and glove perforations, which are common during lengthy procedures, and ensuring immediate changes are made if a breach occurs [20]. Drapes used to isolate the surgical site must be made of materials that are impermeable to fluids, as strike-through contamination can render the entire field non-sterile. The anesthesia team contributes by managing their own "anesthesia field," which is considered non-sterile. They must be conscious of their movements to avoid contaminating sterile surfaces and must perform any necessary manipulations to the patient's airway or IV lines with careful attention to not reaching over the sterile field [21]. The circulating nurse enforces strict traffic control policies, limiting the opening and closing of the OR doors, which disrupts the laminar airflow and can increase particulate counts by up to thirtyfold [22]. This collaborative vigilance ensures that the multiple layers of protection remain intact for the duration of the operation.

## **9. Postoperative and Post-Anesthesia Care:**

Infection prevention does not conclude with the closure of the surgical incision. The practices in the immediate postoperative period are crucial for

sustaining the efforts made in the OR. In the Post-Anesthesia Care Unit (PACU), nurses continue the maintenance of normothermia, using forced-air warming blankets as needed to rewarm patients who may have become hypothermic during surgery [23]. Meticulous care of the surgical incision begins in the PACU. Nurses assess the dressing for any signs of drainage or bleeding, ensuring it remains clean, dry, and intact. Any required dressing changes are performed using aseptic technique to prevent the introduction of pathogens at the vulnerable incision site [24]. The management of catheters and lines, including epidurals, IVs, and urinary catheters, is another critical nursing function. These devices breach the body's natural barrier defenses and provide a direct conduit for bacteria if not managed correctly. Strict adherence to hand hygiene before manipulation and maintaining a closed drainage system for urinary catheters are essential practices to prevent healthcare-associated infections that can complicate the surgical recovery [25]. The anesthesia team's role extends to ensuring adequate pain control, as uncontrolled pain can lead to a stress response that impairs immune function, and to the judicious removal of invasive lines as soon as they are no longer clinically necessary [26].

## **10. Emerging Strategies and Technologies in Infection Control**

The field of infection prevention is dynamic, with continuous research leading to the development of new technologies and protocols. Antimicrobial stewardship is becoming increasingly important, with a focus on optimizing antibiotic prophylaxis regimens to balance efficacy with the prevention of bacterial resistance [27]. The use of novel antiseptic agents for skin preparation and wound irrigation, such as those containing dual active ingredients or sustained-release technologies, shows promise in providing longer-lasting protection [28]. In the realm of environmental hygiene, automated disinfection systems using ultraviolet-C (UV-C) light or hydrogen peroxide vapor are being adopted to supplement manual cleaning, offering a more consistent and thorough decontamination of the OR between cases [29]. Furthermore, the use of innovative surgical gowns and drapes impregnated with antimicrobial compounds is being investigated as a means to further reduce the risk of contamination from the surgical team themselves [30]. The integration of data analytics and real-time monitoring systems to track compliance with hand hygiene, OR traffic, and environmental parameters represents the next frontier in creating a truly data-driven, fail-safe operative environment [31].

## 11. The Power of Interprofessional Collaboration and a Culture of Safety

Ultimately, the most sophisticated protocols and technologies are ineffective without a foundational culture of safety and unwavering interprofessional collaboration. The relationship between nursing and anesthesia must be characterized by mutual respect and shared accountability for patient outcomes. This is operationalized through clear and closed-loop communication, where any team member feels empowered to speak up if they observe a breach in aseptic technique without fear of reprisal [32]. The implementation of comprehensive surgical safety checklists, such as the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist, provides a structured framework for this collaboration. During the "time-out" before incision, the team verbally confirms critical elements, including the administration of prophylactic antibiotics and the availability of necessary equipment, fostering a shared mental model and collective responsibility [33]. Continuous education and competency validation for all OR personnel are essential to ensure that evidence-based practices are not only known but are consistently and correctly executed [34]. Regular audits of compliance with hand hygiene, attire policies, and aseptic practices, followed by constructive feedback, are powerful tools for sustaining performance and driving quality improvement [35].

## 12. Immunocompromised Patients and Complex Cases

Certain patient populations and surgical procedures present heightened challenges for infection prevention. Patients who are immunocompromised, such as those undergoing chemotherapy, organ transplantation, or those with inherent immunodeficiency, require an intensified application of all standard protocols [36]. In these cases, the margin for error is negligible. Procedures involving the implantation of foreign material, such as joint arthroplasties, cardiac devices, or mesh, carry a catastrophic risk if an SSI occurs, as it often necessitates removal of the device. For these "prosthetic" surgeries, some institutions employ additional measures, such as performing the procedure in an OR with ultraclean laminar airflow or having the entire surgical team wear exhaust systems to completely eliminate particulate shedding from the body [37]. The management of lengthy, complex surgeries, such as major trauma or oncological resections, also demands special attention. The risk of hypothermia, fluid shifts, and glove/instrument contamination increases with

time, necessitating planned glove changes, reassessment of patient parameters, and renewed attention to environmental controls at regular intervals [38].

## 13. Conclusion:

In conclusion, the prevention of surgical site infections is a complex, multi-layered endeavor that relies on the seamless integration of environmental engineering, rigorous protocols, and, most importantly, the disciplined practices of the human team within the operating room. Nurses and anesthesiologists are not peripheral figures but are central protagonists in this critical patient safety mission. From the preoperative preparation of the patient and the environment to the meticulous maintenance of aseptic barriers during surgery and the vigilant care provided postoperatively, their roles are interdependent and indispensable. The strategies encompass everything from the macroscopic—controlling the airflow in the room—to the microscopic—disinfecting an IV port. There is no single miracle intervention; rather, success is built upon the consistent and correct execution of a hundred small, evidence-based actions. A lapse by any member of the team can break the chain of asepsis. Therefore, a culture of unwavering commitment, continuous education, and respectful collaboration is the ultimate strategy. It is through this shared, non-negotiable dedication to aseptic principles that nursing and anesthesia professionals fulfill their fundamental duty to protect the patient from harm and pave the way for an optimal and infection-free recovery.

## Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The

data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

## References

1. Boyce JM, Potter-Bynoe G, Dziobek L. Hospital reimbursement patterns among patients with surgical wound infections following open-heart surgery. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1990;11:89–93.
2. VandenBergh MF, Kluytmans JA, van Hout BA, et al. Cost-effectiveness of perioperative mupirocin nasal ointment in cardiothoracic surgery. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1996;17:786–792.
3. National Healthcare Safety Network. NHSN data validation, June 2021. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; website. Published 2021. Accessed March 30, 2023.
4. Huang SS, Placzek H, Livingston J, et al. Use of Medicare claims to rank hospitals by surgical site infection risk following coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2011;32:775–783.
5. Calderwood MS, Kleinman K, Bratzler DW, et al. Use of Medicare claims to identify US hospitals with a high rate of surgical site infection after hip arthroplasty. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2013;34:31–39.
6. Anderson DJ, Kaye KS, Chen LF, et al. Clinical and financial outcomes due to methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* surgical site infection: a multicenter matched-outcomes study. *PLoS One* 2009;4:e8305.
7. National Healthcare Safety Network. Surgical site infection (SSI) event, 2013. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; website. Published 2013. Accessed March 30, 2023.
8. Magill SS, Edwards JR, Bamberg W, et al. Multistate point-prevalence survey of healthcare-associated infections. *N Engl J Med* 2014;370:1198–1208.
9. State HAI plans, June 2020. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; website. Published 2020. Accessed March 30, 2023.
10. Hollenbeak CS, Murphy DM, Koenig S, Woodward RS, Dunagan WC, Fraser VJ. The clinical and economic impact of deep chest surgical site infections following coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Chest* 2000;118:397–402.
11. Anderson DJ, Pyatt DG, Weber DJ, Rutala WA, North Carolina Department of Public Health HAIAG. Statewide costs of healthcare-associated infections: estimates for acute-care hospitals in North Carolina. *Am J Infect Control* 2013;41:764–768.
12. Ju MH, Ko CY, Hall BL, Bosk CL, Bilimoria KY, Wick EC. A comparison of 2 surgical site infection monitoring systems. *JAMA Surg* 2015;150:51–57.
13. Whitehouse JD, Friedman ND, Kirkland KB, Richardson WJ, Sexton DJ. The impact of surgical site infections following orthopedic surgery at a community hospital and a university hospital: adverse quality of life, excess length of stay, and extra cost. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2002;23:183–189.
14. Cho SY, Chung DR, Choi JR, et al. Validation of semiautomated surgical site infection surveillance using electronic screening algorithms in 38 surgery categories. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2018;39:931–935.
15. Gantz O, Zagadailov P, Merchant AM. The cost of surgical site infections after colorectal surgery in the United States from 2001 to 2012: a longitudinal analysis. *Am Surg* 2019;85:142–149.
16. National Healthcare Safety Network. Toolkit for data quality checks for reporting facilities, 2021. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; website. Published 2021. Accessed March 30, 2023.
17. Meeks DW, Lally KP, Carrick MM, et al. Compliance with guidelines to prevent surgical site infections: as simple as 1-2-3? *Am J Surg* 2011;201:76–83.
18. Apisarnthanarak A, Jones M, Waterman BM, Carroll CM, Bernardi R, Fraser VJ. Risk factors for spinal surgical site infections in a community hospital: a case-control study. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2003;24:31–36.
19. Cardo DM, Falk PS, Mayhall CG. Validation of surgical wound surveillance. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1993;14:211–215.
20. Bozic KJ, Katz P, Cisternas M, Ono L, Ries MD, Showstack J. Hospital resource utilization for primary and revision total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2005;87:570–576.
21. Zimlichman E, Henderson D, Tamir O, et al. Healthcare-associated infections: a meta-analysis of costs and financial impact on the US healthcare system. *JAMA Intern Med* 2013;173:2039–2046.
22. State HAI plans. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; website. Accessed March 30, 2023.
23. Berrios-Torres SI, Umscheid CA, Bratzler D, et al. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection, 2017. *JAMA Surg* 2017;152:784–791.
24. National Healthcare Safety Network. CMS Reporting Requirements. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; website. Accessed March 30, 2023.
25. Scott RD. The direct medical costs of healthcare-associated Infections in US hospitals and the benefits of prevention. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; website. Published 2009. Accessed December 14, 2013.
26. Baker C, Luce J, Chenoweth C, Friedman C. Comparison of case-finding methodologies for endometritis after cesarean section. *Am J Infect Control* 1995;23:27–33.
27. Anderson DJ, Podgorny K, Berrios-Torres SI, et al. Strategies to prevent surgical site infections in acute care hospitals: 2014 update. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2014;35:605–627.
28. Kerstein M, Flower M, Harkavy LM, Gross PA. Surveillance for postoperative wound infections: practical aspects. *Am Surg* 1978;44:210–214.

29. Hasegawa T, Tashiro S, Mihara T, et al. Efficacy of surgical skin preparation with chlorhexidine in alcohol according to the concentration required to prevent surgical site infection: meta-analysis. *BJS Open* 2022;6.
30. Haley VB, Van Antwerpen C, Tserenpuntsag B, et al. Use of administrative data in efficient auditing of hospital-acquired surgical site infections, New York State 2009–2010. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2012;33:565–571.
31. Campwala I, Unsell K, Gupta S. A comparative analysis of surgical wound infection methods: predictive values of the CDC, ASEPSIS, and Southampton scoring systems in evaluating breast reconstruction surgical site infections. *Plast Surg (Oakv)* 2019;27:93–99.
32. National Health Safety Network. Current HAI Progress Report, 2021 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; website. Updated November 4, 2022. Accessed 2022.
33. Lewis SS, Moehring RW, Chen LF, Sexton DJ, Anderson DJ. Assessing the relative burden of hospital-acquired infections in a network of community hospitals. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2013;34:1229–1230.
34. Yokoe DS, Noskin GA, Cunnigham SM, et al. Enhanced identification of postoperative infections among inpatients. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2004;10:1924–1930.
35. The NHSN standardized infection ratio (SIR): a guide to the SIR. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; website. Published February 2021. Accessed March 30, 2023.
36. Coello R, Glenister H, Fereres J, et al. The cost of infection in surgical patients: a case–control study. *J Hosp Infect* 1993;25:239–250.
37. Cruse P. Wound infection surveillance. *Rev Infect Dis* 1981;3:734–737.
38. O’Hara LM, Thom KA, Preas MA. Update to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee Guideline for the Prevention of Surgical Site Infection (2017): a summary, review, and strategies for implementation. *Am J Infect Control* 2018;46:602–609.