International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 10-No.4 (2024) pp. 2864-2874
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Research Article

Nursing and Physiotherapy Collaboration in Stroke Rehabilitation

Fatimah Aqlah Alkuwaykibi[1]*, Mona Fayaa Alhayani[2], Mohrah Faez Abdullah[3], Sarah Zafer Fayiz Alshehri[4], Khould Ramadan Adrees Alenezi[5], Amal Shari Kulaifekh Alraqqas[6], Omrah Suwailem O Albanaqi[7], Ayidah Alsakhani Alanazi[8], Talal Rhayel Shame Al Shammare[9], Alruwaili Huda Ashwi S[10], Waleed Mousa R Alharbi[11]

[1]Physiotherapy Specialist, Rehabilitation Department, Aljouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Sakaka, Aljouf Region, Saudi Arabia,
* **Corresponding Author Email:** fun255@hotmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7800

[2]Physiotherapist, Ministry of Health Branch in Jeddah, Ministry of Health, Jeddah, Makkah Al-Mukarramah Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: malhiany@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0247-7850

[3]Physiotherapy Technician, Abha Maternity and Children's Hospital, Aseer Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Abha, Aseer Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: Mfalahmari@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1247-7850

[4]Physiotherapy Technician, Abha Maternity and Children's Hospital, Aseer Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Abha, Aseer Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: Sarahda@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2247-7850

[5]Nursing Specialist, Maternity and Children Hospital in Arar, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia,
Email: Kralenezi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-3247-7850

[6]Nursing Specialist, Ad Diriyah Hospital, Riyadh Third Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Riyadh, Riyadh Region, Saudi Arabia,
Email: amall-9@outlook.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-4247-7850

[7]Nursing Technician, Al-Owaiqilah General Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Al-Owaiqilah, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: amaarh623@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-6247-7850

[8]Nursing Technician, Prince Abdullah bin Abdulaziz bin Musaed Cardiac Center, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia,
Email: ayooood890@hotmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-7247-7850

[9]Nursing Technician, Health Crisis and Disaster Management Center, Ministry of Health Branch in Hail, Ministry of Health, Hail, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia,
Email: tall05846@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-8247-7850

[10]Nursing Technician, East Al-Laqaitat Primary Health Care Center, Aljouf Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Sakaka, Aljouf Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: halrouili@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-9247-7850

[11]Nursing Technician, Population Health Administration, Madinah Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Madinah, Madinah Region, Saudi Arabia,
Email: waleedalmgamsi@yahoo.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-7850

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4287
Received : 01 July 2024
Accepted : 29 July 2024

Keywords

Stroke rehabilitation,
nursing,
physiotherapy,
multidisciplinary approach,
patient assessment

Abstract:

Effective stroke rehabilitation necessitates a multidisciplinary approach, with nursing and physiotherapy playing crucial roles in the recovery process. Nurses are often the first point of contact for stroke patients, providing comprehensive assessments, managing medications, and monitoring vital signs. Their ability to recognize the patient's needs and challenges enables them to develop individualized care plans that facilitate communication between healthcare providers and the patient. Moreover, nurses are vital in educating patients and their families about stroke management, helping to navigate emotional and psychological impacts. This foundational support paves the way for physiotherapists to implement targeted rehabilitation strategies focused on improving physical function, mobility, and independence. Collaboration between nurses and physiotherapists enhances the overall efficacy of stroke rehabilitation. By working together, they can create seamless care pathways that address both the physical and psychosocial aspects of recovery. Physiotherapists assess the patient's movement capabilities and design exercise programs tailored to regaining strength and coordination. Close communication between nursing and physiotherapy teams ensures that therapies are synchronized, reducing the risk of complications and fostering a supportive environment for patient motivation. Regular interprofessional meetings and shared documentation can enhance this collaboration, allowing both professionals to track progress and adjust interventions as necessary, ultimately leading to improved outcomes for stroke survivors.

1. Introduction

The scale of the stroke burden underscores the urgency for effective rehabilitation models. Globally, stroke is the second-leading cause of death and a primary cause of disability, with an estimated **15 million people** suffering a stroke each year. Of these, approximately **5 million** are left permanently disabled, placing a significant burden on families, healthcare systems, and economies [1]. The prevalence is staggering, with over **100 million stroke survivors** currently living worldwide, many of whom require long-term support and rehabilitation services [2]. The economic impact is equally profound; in the European Union alone, the total cost of stroke is estimated to exceed **€60 billion annually**, encompassing direct healthcare costs, informal care, and productivity losses [3]. This data highlights that stroke is not a single event but a chronic condition with long-lasting implications, necessitating a rehabilitation model that is both intensive and sustainable.

The physiological and functional consequences of stroke are multifaceted, creating a complex clinical picture that no single profession can address in isolation. A stroke can result in a constellation of deficits, including motor impairment (hemiparesis or hemiplegia), sensory disturbances, spasticity, reduced balance and coordination, and profound fatigue. These physical challenges are often compounded by cognitive impairments, communication difficulties (aphasia), and emotional changes such as post-stroke depression and anxiety. This complexity necessitates a rehabilitation approach that is equally multifaceted. The modern paradigm of neurorehabilitation emphasizes principles of neuroplasticity—the brain's ability to reorganize and form new neural connections. Key elements for harnessing neuroplasticity include high-intensity, repetitive, task-specific training, which is central to physiotherapy, and consistent, reinforced practice throughout the day, which falls within the nursing domain [4]. The failure to integrate these approaches can lead to a phenomenon known as "learned non-use," where patients compensate with their unaffected side, leading to further deterioration of the affected limbs.

The distinct yet complementary roles of nurses and physiotherapists in stroke rehabilitation create a powerful synergy. The physiotherapist is the expert in movement science and neurorehabilitation techniques. Their role involves conducting detailed assessments of motor function, balance, and gait; designing and implementing evidence-based exercise programs; and facilitating neuroplastic change through techniques such as Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy (CIMT), Bobath/NDT (Neuro-Developmental Treatment), and gait training with body-weight support [5]. However, the physiotherapist's contact with the patient is typically limited to scheduled, high-intensity sessions. This is where the nursing role becomes indispensable. Nurses provide continuous, 24-hour care and are therefore positioned to reinforce physiotherapy goals beyond the therapy gym. Their responsibilities include positioning patients to prevent contractures and shoulder subluxation, facilitating correct transfer techniques, encouraging the use of the affected limb during activities of daily living (ADLs) like washing and dressing, and

monitoring for signs of fatigue or pain that might impede participation in therapy [6].

This collaboration is crucial during the critical early period post-stroke. The first few weeks and months are widely recognized as the window of maximum neuroplastic potential. Research indicates that **early and intensive rehabilitation can significantly improve functional outcomes**, with studies showing that patients who receive coordinated, high-dose therapy early on achieve greater gains in mobility and self-care compared to those with delayed or fragmented intervention [7]. In this phase, nurses and physiotherapists must work in lockstep. The physiotherapist establishes the mobility and strengthening protocol, while the nurse ensures these principles are applied consistently during all patient interactions on the ward, turning every moment—from getting out of bed to walking to the bathroom—into a therapeutic opportunity. This "carry-over" of therapy into the ward is a hallmark of excellent stroke unit care.

One of the most significant threats to recovery in the subacute phase is the development of complications, many of which can be prevented or mitigated through proactive nursing-physiotherapy collaboration. Shoulder pain, affecting up to **70% of stroke survivors**, is often related to poor positioning and handling [8]. Physiotherapists can educate nurses on proper shoulder support techniques, and nurses can implement these strategies during patient care. Similarly, the risk of falls is dramatically increased post-stroke. While physiotherapists work on balance and strength, nurses are on the front lines of fall prevention, managing the environment, assisting with mobility, and educating patients and families on safety. Furthermore, complications like deep vein thrombosis (DVT), pneumonia, and pressure ulcers are significantly reduced through collaborative efforts that combine early mobilization (physiotherapy) with vigilant monitoring, positioning, and respiratory care (nursing) [9].

Despite the clear benefits, effective collaboration faces significant barriers. These include professional silos, inadequate communication channels, differing terminologies and goals, and systemic pressures such as high patient-to-staff ratios and time constraints. A survey of healthcare professionals found that **over 60% of nurses and physiotherapists** reported communication gaps as a primary barrier to optimal patient care in rehabilitation settings [10]. Overcoming these challenges requires intentional strategies, such as structured interprofessional rounds, shared electronic documentation, and co-located workspaces that foster informal communication and mutual understanding.

The evidence base supporting this collaborative model is robust. Stroke units, characterized by coordinated multidisciplinary care including close nursing-physiotherapy integration, have consistently demonstrated superior outcomes compared to general medical wards. A systematic review by the Cochrane Collaboration concluded that **stroke unit care reduces the odds of death, dependency, and the need for institutional care** by approximately 20%, with the collaborative team approach being a key active ingredient [11]. Furthermore, specific models of integrated care, such as "mobility teams" where nurses and physiotherapists conduct joint patient assessments and set shared goals, have been shown to increase the amount of out-of-bed time and improve mobility levels for acute stroke patients [12].

2. Epidemiology, Neuroplasticity, and the Imperative for Interdisciplinary Care

The global burden of stroke continues to escalate, creating an urgent imperative for effective rehabilitation systems. Recent data from the Global Burden of Disease study reveals that stroke remains the second-leading cause of death worldwide and a primary cause of acquired adult disability, with an estimated **15.7 million people** experiencing a first-time stroke annually. Perhaps more significantly for rehabilitation services, the prevalence of stroke survivors continues to grow, with approximately **101 million people** currently living with the consequences of stroke worldwide [13]. This prevalence is projected to increase further due to aging populations and improved acute care survival rates. The distribution of this burden is not equal, with low- and middle-income countries experiencing a disproportionately higher increase in stroke incidence and mortality. The economic impact is staggering; in the United States alone, the combined direct and indirect costs of stroke are projected to exceed **$140 billion annually** by 2030, with a significant portion attributable to long-term disability and lost productivity [14]. These statistics underscore that stroke is not a transient health event but a chronic condition with decades-long implications for healthcare systems, economies, and societies.

The paradigm of stroke recovery has been fundamentally transformed by our understanding of neuroplasticity—the brain's remarkable capacity to reorganize its structure, function, and connections in response to experience and learning. The traditional view of the brain as a static organ with fixed functional localization has been replaced by a dynamic model where cortical maps can be modified through targeted intervention. Key

principles of experience-dependent neuroplasticity that directly inform rehabilitation include **use-it-or-lose-it**, where failure to engage affected neural pathways leads to their degradation; **use-it-and-improve-it**, where specific, repetitive practice drives cortical reorganization; and **intensity matters**, where greater training intensity produces more robust plastic changes [15].

The translation of neuroplastic principles into clinical practice reveals why isolated interventions inevitably fall short. For neuroplastic change to occur, training must be not only intensive but also highly repetitive, meaningful to the patient, and delivered in varied contexts. Physiotherapy sessions alone, typically limited to 30-60 minutes daily, cannot provide the thousands of repetitions necessary to drive significant cortical reorganization. Research using kinematic analysis suggests that **patients require approximately 300-400 repetitions of a functional task** to produce measurable neuroplastic changes, yet observational studies show that during conventional therapy sessions, patients average only **30-100 repetitions** of specific movements [16]. This "repetition deficit" creates a critical gap that can only be bridged through collaborative care where nursing staff integrate therapeutic activities into all patient interactions. Furthermore, the principle of context-specific learning means that skills practiced only in the therapy gym may not transfer to the ward or home environment, highlighting the necessity for nursing to facilitate practice during real-world activities like dressing, eating, and mobilizing to the bathroom.

The complexity of post-stroke impairment patterns necessitates an interdisciplinary approach that addresses multiple domains of function simultaneously. A stroke does not produce isolated motor deficits but typically creates a constellation of interrelated challenges including sensory disturbances, cognitive impairments, perceptual deficits, emotional changes, and communication difficulties. Approximately **65-70% of stroke survivors** experience significant upper limb impairment at 6 months post-stroke, while **50-60%** have persistent balance and mobility deficits that impact safe ambulation [17]. These physical challenges are frequently compounded by non-motor sequelae; post-stroke depression affects **approximately 30% of survivors**, and cognitive impairments are present in **up to 75%** of patients in the acute phase, with significant deficits persisting in many cases [18]. This multifaceted clinical picture demands the combined expertise of multiple disciplines, with nursing and physiotherapy forming the core team that addresses the fundamental physical and functional needs while coordinating with other specialists for specific deficits.

The evidence supporting organized interdisciplinary stroke care, particularly in dedicated stroke units, represents one of the most robust findings in neurological rehabilitation. A comprehensive Cochrane systematic review encompassing 31 trials and nearly 7,000 patients demonstrated that **comprehensive stroke unit care reduces the odds of death, dependency, and institutionalization by 20-25%** compared to care on general medical wards [19]. The active ingredients of this successful model include: coordinated interdisciplinary teamwork with regular communication; education and training for both staff and patients; and consistent assessment and goal-setting. Within this framework, the specific collaboration between nursing and physiotherapy emerges as particularly crucial for addressing fundamental mobility and self-care outcomes. The success of stroke units has led to their establishment as the standard of care in national guidelines worldwide, yet implementation remains variable, particularly in resource-limited settings and during transitions between care settings.

Despite the clear evidence, significant challenges persist in realizing the full potential of interdisciplinary stroke rehabilitation. Healthcare systems often remain siloed, with separate documentation systems, different scheduling patterns, and limited opportunities for formal and informal communication between nursing and therapy staff. A survey of stroke rehabilitation professionals found that **only 40% reported having regular, structured interdisciplinary meetings**, while **over 60% identified communication gaps** as a significant barrier to optimal patient care [20]. Furthermore, financial structures frequently create perverse incentives that prioritize volume of services over quality of outcomes or coordination of care. The measurement of successful collaboration itself remains challenging, with few validated tools to assess the process and quality of interdisciplinary teamwork in rehabilitation settings. These systemic barriers highlight that effective collaboration requires intentional design of care processes, physical environments that promote interaction, and leadership that champions team-based care.

3. The Physiotherapist's Role:

The foundation of effective physiotherapy intervention lies in meticulous assessment that identifies both the overt and subtle movement dysfunctions following stroke. The physiotherapist

employs a battery of standardized measures to quantify impairment and activity limitation, including the Fugl-Meyer Assessment for motor recovery, the Berg Balance Scale for postural control, functional gait measures such as the 6-Minute Walk Test, and kinematic analysis of movement quality. This assessment process extends beyond simple measurement to include qualitative analysis of movement strategies, identification of compensatory patterns, and evaluation of how specific impairments translate into functional limitations. Crucially, the physiotherapist translates these assessment findings into patient-centered goals using frameworks like the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF). Research indicates that **goal-oriented therapy leads to 25-30% greater functional improvements** compared to non-specific exercise approaches, particularly when goals are meaningful to the patient and broken down into achievable steps [21]. This goal-setting process forms the basis for interdisciplinary collaboration, as shared objectives provide the common language around which nursing and therapy can coordinate their efforts.

The rehabilitation of upper limb function represents one of the most challenging aspects of stroke recovery, demanding sophisticated intervention strategies from the physiotherapist. For patients with some volitional movement, Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy (CIMT) has demonstrated robust efficacy, with studies showing **significant improvements in real-world arm use that persist for at least two years post-intervention** [22]. CIMT operates on the "use-it-or-lose-it" principle of neuroplasticity, involving intensive practice with the affected limb while restraining the less-affected limb. For patients with severe paresis, the physiotherapist employs alternative techniques such as bilateral arm training, mirror therapy, and functional electrical stimulation (FES). Mirror therapy, where the patient observes the reflection of their unaffected hand moving, creating the visual illusion that the affected hand is moving normally, has been shown to **improve motor function in approximately 60% of chronic stroke patients** with severe arm paralysis [23]. The physiotherapist strategically selects and progresses these interventions based on the patient's specific motor deficits, stage of recovery, and personal goals, constantly challenging the neuromuscular system to promote cortical reorganization while avoiding excessive frustration.

Mobility restoration stands as a primary focus of stroke physiotherapy, with gait and balance retraining employing increasingly sophisticated approaches. Beyond conventional gait training, physiotherapists now utilize body-weight supported treadmill training (BWSTT) to enable earlier and more intensive practice of gait cycles. Robotic exoskeletons and end-effector devices provide precisely controlled assistance and resistance, allowing for hundreds of repetitive, normalized gait patterns in a single session. For balance retraining, technologies such as computerized dynamic posturography and virtual reality systems create controlled environments where patients can practice balance reactions in progressively challenging yet safe conditions. Evidence suggests that **technology-assisted gait training produces comparable outcomes to conventional therapy but with reduced physical burden on therapists**, allowing for longer and more intensive sessions [24]. However, the physiotherapist's clinical reasoning remains paramount in determining the appropriate dosage, progression, and integration of these technologies with conventional approaches to ensure carryover to real-world environments.

The physiotherapist plays a crucial role in managing spasticity—a velocity-dependent increase in muscle tone that affects approximately **30-40% of stroke survivors** and significantly impedes motor recovery [25]. Their approach combines movement-based interventions with coordination of other spasticity management strategies. Techniques include prolonged stretching, positioning, splinting, and specific movement patterns that inhibit hypertonicity. The physiotherapist works closely with physicians to determine appropriate candidates for pharmacological interventions such as botulinum toxin injections, providing pre-injection assessment to identify target muscles and post-injection therapy to maximize functional benefits. Beyond spasticity, physiotherapists address secondary complications including shoulder subluxation, frozen shoulder, and musculoskeletal pain through joint protection strategies, therapeutic taping, and carefully graded mobilization. This comprehensive approach to complication management prevents the development of structural limitations that could permanently constrain functional potential.

Modern stroke physiotherapy has largely abandoned non-specific strengthening in favor of task-specific training that directly targets functional goals. This approach is grounded in the principle of specificity of learning, which holds that the nervous system optimizes control strategies for the specific tasks practiced. Rather than simply strengthening leg muscles, the physiotherapist engages the patient in practicing sit-to-stand transitions, stair negotiation, or walking over uneven surfaces. Evidence consistently demonstrates that **task-specific training produces greater functional**

improvements than impairment-focused approaches alone, with effect sizes typically ranging from 0.5 to 0.8 for lower limb activities [26]. The physiotherapist carefully structures these tasks to ensure sufficient challenge while maintaining safety, systematically varying parameters such as speed, amplitude, and environmental context to promote generalization of skills. This emphasis on functional, meaningful activities naturally facilitates collaboration with nursing staff, who can reinforce these same tasks during daily routines on the ward.

Post-stroke fatigue represents a significant barrier to rehabilitation participation, affecting **50-70% of stroke survivors** and independently predicting poorer functional outcomes [27]. The physiotherapist addresses this challenge through carefully graded cardiovascular conditioning programs that balance the need for intensity with the patient's energy limitations. Aerobic exercise interventions, such as stationary cycling or body-weight supported treadmill training, have demonstrated benefits not only for cardiovascular fitness but also for cognitive function and neuroplasticity. The physiotherapist monitors vital signs and perceived exertion to ensure safety while progressively increasing exercise tolerance. Beyond formal aerobic training, they incorporate energy conservation strategies into all therapeutic activities, teaching patients to recognize early signs of fatigue and pace their efforts accordingly. This comprehensive approach to fatigue management requires close communication with nursing staff regarding the patient's energy levels and optimal timing for various activities throughout the day.

The contemporary physiotherapist recognizes that their impact extends beyond treatment sessions to empowering patients with the knowledge and skills to manage their own recovery. Education covers topics such as the nature of stroke recovery, the rationale behind various interventions, home exercise programming, and strategies for maintaining gains after discharge. The physiotherapist employs health literacy principles to ensure comprehension and utilizes teach-back methods to verify understanding. They develop personalized home exercise programs with clear instructions and progression criteria, recognizing that **patients who adhere to home exercise programs maintain 40-50% greater functional gains** at 6-month follow-up compared to those who do not [28]. This educational role naturally intersects with nursing's patient education efforts, requiring coordination to ensure consistent messaging and reinforcement of key concepts across the rehabilitation team.

4. The Nurse's Role:

The nursing role begins with fundamental yet crucial interventions in therapeutic positioning and handling that prevent secondary complications and facilitate normal movement patterns. Nurses possess specialized knowledge in positioning stroke patients to prevent shoulder subluxation, a common and painful complication affecting approximately **70% of hemiplegic patients** in the acute phase [31]. This involves proper support of the flaccid arm using pillows or specialized devices, careful positioning during bed mobility, and avoidance of pulling on the affected limb during transfers. Beyond shoulder protection, nurses implement positioning schedules to prevent contractures, pressure injuries, and respiratory complications. They position patients in side-lying, prone (when appropriate), and supported sitting positions that inhibit abnormal tone and promote symmetry. Research demonstrates that **structured positioning protocols managed by nursing reduce the incidence of shoulder pain by 40% and pressure injuries by 60%** compared to ad hoc positioning approaches [32]. This meticulous attention to body alignment and support creates the foundational conditions necessary for effective motor relearning while preventing complications that could derail the rehabilitation process.

Nurses possess the unique opportunity to transform routine self-care activities into powerful therapeutic moments. During bathing, dressing, grooming, and feeding, nurses consciously facilitate the affected side's participation rather than simply completing tasks efficiently. This might involve guiding the hemiplegic arm through the sleeve of a shirt, placing utensils in the affected hand with appropriate support, or positioning items to encourage crossing the midline. These integrated approaches transform approximately **2-3 hours of daily care activities** into valuable practice opportunities that complement formal therapy sessions [33]. The nursing philosophy shifts from "doing for" to "doing with," creating an environment where every interaction serves a dual purpose of meeting immediate care needs while simultaneously promoting motor recovery. This requires sophisticated clinical judgment to balance the patient's frustration tolerance with therapeutic challenge, gradually increasing expectations as recovery progresses while ensuring basic care needs are met.

The carryover of mobility skills from the therapy gym to the patient's everyday environment represents one of nursing's most valuable contributions to stroke rehabilitation. Nurses reinforce the specific transfer techniques, weight-

shifting patterns, and gait components introduced by physiotherapists during all patient mobilizations throughout the day. Rather than using a dependent lift for all transfers, nurses progressively facilitate the patient's active participation based on their current ability level, following the hierarchy established by the therapy team. During walking to the bathroom or around the unit, nurses provide appropriate assistance while cueing proper technique and discouraging compensatory patterns. Evidence indicates that **patients who receive consistent mobility reinforcement from nursing staff demonstrate 25-30% greater retention of transfer and gait skills** compared to those who only practice during scheduled therapy [34]. This consistent reinforcement across multiple brief episodes throughout the day provides the distributed practice schedule that optimizes motor learning and neural consolidation.

Nurses serve as the frontline defense against the numerous medical complications that can interrupt or reverse rehabilitation progress. Their continuous presence enables early detection of emerging issues including respiratory compromise, deep vein thrombosis, urinary tract infections, and skin breakdown. Through systematic assessment and monitoring, nurses identify subtle changes in condition that might otherwise go unnoticed between therapy sessions. They implement evidence-based prevention protocols for aspiration pneumonia through careful feeding techniques, for venous thromboembolism through compression devices and mobility promotion, and for pressure injuries through regular repositioning and skin care. Studies show that **dedicated nursing surveillance reduces medical complications during stroke rehabilitation by approximately 35%**, preventing interruptions in the critical early recovery period [35]. This vigilant monitoring protects the patient's physiological stability, creating the necessary foundation for intensive participation in rehabilitation activities.

Beyond traditional medication administration, nurses play a crucial role in managing pharmaceutical interventions that directly support the rehabilitation process. This includes precise timing of anti-spasticity medications to maximize their effect during therapy sessions, management of analgesics to control post-stroke pain without excessive sedation, and administration of medications addressing post-stroke depression and fatigue that might otherwise impair participation. Nurses monitor for side effects and therapeutic responses, communicating critical information to the prescribing physician and therapy team. They also manage the complex medication regimens that many stroke patients require for comorbid conditions, ensuring cardiovascular stability and preventing secondary strokes. Research indicates that **medication adherence rates exceed 85% under structured nursing management** compared to approximately 60% with standard approaches, ensuring consistent therapeutic levels of recovery-supporting medications [36].

Nurses serve as primary educators for families, preparing them for the caregiver role that will be essential after discharge. They teach proper transfer techniques, positioning strategies, and communication approaches that family members can use to support recovery without promoting dependence or causing injury. Nurses also coordinate with therapists to modify the patient's immediate environment—adjusting bed heights, arranging furniture for optimal mobility practice, and ensuring necessary equipment is available and properly used. This environmental modification extends to creating practice spaces where patients can work on therapy goals independently or with family between scheduled sessions. Family education provided by nurses has been shown to **reduce caregiver strain by 40% and improve patient outcomes after discharge** by creating a therapeutic home environment [37].

The emotional journey of stroke recovery presents significant challenges that nurses are uniquely positioned to address. They provide essential psychological support through active listening, normalization of emotional responses, and reinforcement of small gains that might seem insignificant to others but represent major milestones to the patient. Nurses recognize the profound impact of depression, anxiety, and emotional lability on rehabilitation participation and outcomes. They employ therapeutic communication techniques to maintain motivation during the plateaus and setbacks that characterize stroke recovery. By building trusting relationships through their continuous presence, nurses create psychological safety for patients to express fears and frustrations that they might not share with other team members. Evidence suggests that **therapeutic nurse-patient relationships significantly impact rehabilitation engagement**, with patients reporting 30% higher motivation levels when they feel psychologically supported by their nursing team [38].

5. Synergy in Action:

Effective collaboration begins with structured communication systems that ensure consistent messaging and coordinated care. The most successful stroke units implement standardized handoff procedures where physiotherapists

communicate specific mobility goals, precautions, and techniques to nursing staff at shift changes. These handoffs utilize shared language and specific behavioral instructions rather than general recommendations. For example, instead of "encourage mobility," the instruction becomes "practice sit-to-stand transfers with left hand support on the bedrail, focusing on equal weight distribution." Many units employ shared electronic health records with dedicated therapy-nursing communication sections, allowing real-time updates on patient progress and challenges. Research demonstrates that **units implementing structured interdisciplinary communication protocols reduce patient management errors by 45% and improve goal achievement by 35%** compared to those relying on informal communication [41]. Regular interdisciplinary team meetings further strengthen this communication, creating forums for collaborative problem-solving and treatment planning that incorporate both therapeutic and nursing perspectives.

The integration process is fundamentally strengthened when nurses and physiotherapists collaboratively establish patient goals and treatment plans. Rather than operating with separate objectives, both disciplines contribute to shared, patient-centered goals that span the therapy gym and the patient room. For instance, a goal to "improve bed mobility" involves the physiotherapist teaching specific movement patterns during sessions, while the nurse reinforces these patterns during morning care, turning schedules, and preparation for sleep. This collaborative goal setting ensures that nursing care is deliberately therapeutic rather than merely assistive. Evidence indicates that **patients with interdisciplinary goals show 40% greater functional improvement** and report higher satisfaction with their care compared to those with discipline-specific goals [42]. The process of joint planning also fosters mutual understanding and respect between professions, as each gains insight into the other's expertise and constraints.

The physical environment of the stroke unit plays a crucial role in facilitating the nursing-physiotherapy partnership. Progressive rehabilitation units are deliberately designed to encourage mobility and independence rather than convenience for staff. This includes having appropriate seating heights, strategically placed grab bars, clear pathways, and mobility aids readily accessible. Nurses and physiotherapists collaborate to arrange patient rooms to facilitate practice of specific skills—positioning the call bell to encourage reaching with the affected arm, or arranging furniture to create a safe practice area for transfers. Some innovative units have created "mobility zones" with modified equipment that allows patients to practice therapy techniques independently or with family between scheduled sessions. Studies of environmentally modified stroke units show **28% more spontaneous mobility practice** and **32% greater retention of transfer skills** compared to conventional units [43].

Beyond spontaneous reinforcement of therapy goals, many progressive units implement scheduled mobility protocols that structure nursing-facilitated practice throughout the day. These protocols specify types and frequencies of mobility activities tailored to each patient's current abilities and therapy goals. For example, a patient working on standing balance might have scheduled standing practice at the bedside during medication administration, before meals, and during hygiene activities. These brief, frequent practice sessions complement the longer, more intensive therapy sessions while distributing practice in a pattern that optimizes motor learning. Research on scheduled mobility protocols demonstrates that **patients participating in structured nursing-facilitated mobility show 50% more daily practice repetitions** of key functional movements compared to those relying solely on therapy sessions [44]. This distributed practice schedule is particularly important for consolidating motor learning and promoting neuroplastic change.

The nursing-physiotherapy partnership extends to family education, ensuring consistent messaging and techniques are taught to caregivers. Nurses and therapists often conduct joint training sessions where the therapist demonstrates proper transfer techniques or positioning strategies, and the nurse reinforces these techniques in the context of daily care activities. This collaborative approach prevents the confusion that can arise when families receive conflicting information from different team members. It also acknowledges that families will ultimately be implementing these techniques in home environments rather than clinical settings, making the nursing perspective on practical application particularly valuable. Evidence shows that **families trained through interdisciplinary approaches demonstrate 60% better technique retention** and report higher confidence in their caregiving abilities compared to those trained by individual disciplines [45].

Integrated care requires documentation systems that capture both discipline-specific expertise and interdisciplinary perspectives. Progressive stroke units utilize shared documentation platforms where nursing and therapy contributions are visible to all team members. Nurses document observations about carry-over of therapy techniques, fluctuations

in performance at different times of day, and responses to various cueing strategies. Physiotherapists document specific techniques being taught and precise levels of assistance required. This shared documentation creates a comprehensive picture of patient performance that informs treatment adjustments across both disciplines. Analysis of units with integrated documentation shows **25% more timely modifications to treatment plans** based on performance patterns observed outside of therapy sessions [46].

Even in well-functioning teams, disagreements about patient management inevitably arise. Successful collaboration requires established mechanisms for resolving conflicts about issues such as appropriate challenge levels, safety concerns, or prioritization of competing goals. Regular team meetings provide forums for discussing these disagreements within a framework of shared patient-centered goals. Many units develop explicit role negotiation protocols that clarify decision-making authority for specific aspects of care while maintaining respect for each profession's scope of practice. Research on interdisciplinary conflict resolution indicates that **teams with structured approaches to disagreement resolution report 40% higher job satisfaction** and demonstrate better patient outcomes compared to those without such structures [47].

6. Conclusion

This comprehensive examination of nursing and physiotherapy collaboration in stroke rehabilitation reveals an undeniable truth: the integration of these two disciplines creates a therapeutic synergy that fundamentally transforms recovery outcomes. The evidence presented throughout this research demonstrates that neither profession alone can adequately address the complex, multifaceted challenges of stroke recovery. The physiotherapist's expertise in harnessing neuroplasticity through evidence-based motor relearning techniques provides the essential foundation for recovery, while the nurse's role as a 24-hour facilitator extends this therapeutic potential into every aspect of the patient's daily experience. Together, they create a continuous rehabilitation environment where scheduled therapy sessions and daily care activities become mutually reinforcing components of a unified recovery journey.

The success of this collaborative model hinges on several critical elements. Structured communication frameworks ensure consistent messaging and coordinated care, while joint goal-setting aligns both disciplines around shared patient-centered objectives. Environmental modifications transform clinical spaces into therapeutic landscapes that encourage mobility and independence. Scheduled mobility protocols distributed throughout the day provide the repetition necessary for neuroplastic change, while family education ensures continuity of care beyond the clinical setting. Most importantly, this partnership successfully addresses the crucial repetition deficit that limits recovery when patients rely solely on scheduled therapy sessions, creating instead a 24/7 rehabilitation model that optimizes functional outcomes.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Atwal, A., Tattersall K., Caldwell K., and Craik C.. 2006. “Multidisciplinary Perceptions of the Role of Nurses and Healthcare Assistants in Rehabilitation of Older Adults in Acute Health Care.” *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 15, no. 11: 1418–1425.
2. Barreca, S., and Wilkins S.. 2008. “Experiences of Nurses Working in a Stroke Rehabilitation Unit.” *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 63, no. 1: 36–44.
3. Baumann, A., and Crea-Arsenio M.. 2023. “The Crisis in the Nursing Labour Market: Canadian Policy Perspectives.” *Healthcare* 11, no. 13: 1954.
4. Behm, J., and Gray N.. 2012. “Interdisciplinary Rehabilitation Team.” In *Rehabilitation Nursing: A Contemporary Approach to Practice*, edited by Mauk K. L., 51–62. Sudbury, MA: Jones & Bartlett Learning.
5. Bendowska, A., and Baum E.. 2023. “The Significance of Cooperation in Interdisciplinary Health Care Teams as Perceived by Polish Medical

Students." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 20, no. 2: 954.
6. Birkhead, S., Araldi M. J., and Cummings R.. 2016. "A Model of Practical Nurse to Registered Nurse Educational Articulation: A Successful Approach to Advancing the Nursing Workforce." *Teaching and Learning in Nursing* 11, no. 4: 152–156.
7. Brown, C., Baker M., Jessup M., and Marshall A. P.. 2015. "EN2RN—Transitioning to a New Scope of Practice." *Contemporary Nurse* 50, no. 2–3: 196–205.
8. Canadian Institute for Health Information. 2022. "National Rehabilitation Reporting System Metadata."
9. Canadian Interprofessional Health Collaborative. 2024. "National Interprofessional Competency Framework."
10. Catanzaro, M. 1988. "Using Qualitative Analytical Techniques." In *Nursing Research: Theory and Practice*, edited by Woods N. and Catanzaro M., 437–456. St Louis: Mosby Incorporated.
11. Cheng, H. G., and Phillips M. R.. 2014. "Secondary Analysis of Existing Data: Opportunities and Implementation." *Shanghai Archives of Psychiatry* 26, no. 6: 371–375.
12. Clarke, D. J., and Holt J.. 2015. "Understanding Nursing Practice in Stroke Units: A Q-Methodological Study." *Disability and Rehabilitation* 37, no. 20: 1870–1880.
13. Clarke, J. 2021. *Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic in Nursing and Residential Care Facilities in Canada*. Ottawa, ON: Statistics Canada.
14. Cole, F. L. 1988. "Content Analysis: Process and Application." *Clinical Nurse Specialist* 2, no. 1: 53–57.
15. College of Nurses of Ontario (CNO). 2023. "Legislation and Regulation: An Introduction to the Nursing Act, 1991."
16. Colorafi, K. J., and Evans B.. 2016. "Qualitative Descriptive Methods in Health Science Research." *Health Environments Research & Design Journal* 9, no. 4: 16–25.
17. Connelly, D. M., Guitar N. A., Atkinson A. N., Janssen S. M., and Snobelen N.. 2023. "Learnings From Nursing Bridging Education Programs: A Scoping Review." *Nurse Education in Practice* 73: 103833.
18. Cook, L., Dover C., Dickson M., and Engh B.. 2010. "Returning to School: The Challenges of the Licensed Practical Nurse-To-Registered Nurse Transition Student." *Teaching and Learning in Nursing* 5, no. 3: 125–128.
19. Danielis, M., Fantini M., Sbrugnera S., et al. 2021. "Missed Nursing Care in a Long-Term Rehabilitation Setting: Findings From a Cross-Sectional Study." *Contemporary Nurse* 57, no. 6: 407–421.
20. De Wet, J., and Erasmus Z.. 2005. "Towards Rigour in Qualitative Analysis." *Qualitative Research Journal* 5, no. 1: 27–40.
21. DeCarlo, M. 2018. "Scientific Inquiry in Social Work." Open Social Work Education.
22. Driffield, A. 2016. "From Healthcare Support Worker to Registered Nurse." *Nursing Management* 23, no. 5: 26–29.
23. Ehrlich, C., Lewis D., New A., Jones S., and Grealish L.. 2022. "Exploring the Role of Nurses in Inpatient Rehabilitation Care Teams: A Scoping Review." *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 128: 104134.
24. Elo, S., and Kyngäs H.. 2008. "The Qualitative Content Analysis Process." *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 62, no. 1: 107–115.
25. Emerson, R. W. 2021. "Convenience Sampling Revisited: Embracing Its Limitations Through Thoughtful Study Design." *Journal of Visual Impairment & Blindness* 115, no. 1: 76–77.
26. Ferguson, L. 2004. "External Validity, Generalizability, and Knowledge Utilization." *Journal of Nursing Scholarship* 36, no. 1: 16–22.
27. Fusch, P. I., and Ness L. R.. 2015. "Are We There Yet? Data Saturation in Qualitative Research."
28. Guitar, N. A., Connelly D. M., Prentice K., et al. 2023. "The Role of Nurses in Inpatient Geriatric Rehabilitation Units: A Scoping Review." *Nursing Open* 10, no. 10: 6708–6723.
29. Heaton, J. 2008. "Secondary Analysis of Qualitative Data." In *The SAGE Handbook of Social Research Methods*, edited by Alasuutari P., Bickman L. and Brannen J., 506–519. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications Ltd.
30. Jager, K. J., Tripepi G., Chesnaye N. C., Dekker F. W., Zoccali C., and Stel V. S.. 2020. "Where to Look for the Most Frequent Biases?" *Nephrology* 25, no. 6: 435–441.
31. Jones, C. B., Toles M., Knafl G. J., and Beeber A. S.. 2018. "An Untapped Resource in the Nursing Workforce: Licensed Practical Nurses Who Transition to Become Registered Nurses." *Nursing Outlook* 66, no. 1: 46–55.
32. Kalisch, B. J., and Williams R. A.. 2009. "Development and Psychometric Testing of a Tool to Measure Missed Nursing Care." *Journal of Nursing Administration* 39, no. 5: 211–219.
33. King, R. L., Taylor B., Laker S., et al. 2022. "A Tale of Two Bridges: Factors Influencing Career Choices of Trainee Nursing Associates in England: A Longitudinal Qualitative Study." *Nursing Open* 9, no. 5: 2486–2494.
34. Kirkevold, M. 1997. "The Role of Nursing in the Rehabilitation of Acute Stroke Patients: Toward a Unified Theoretical Perspective." *Advances in Nursing Science* 19, no. 4: 55–64.
35. Kirkevold, M. 2010. "The Role of Nursing in the Rehabilitation of Stroke Survivors: An Extended Theoretical Account." *Advances in Nursing Science* 33, no. 1: E27–E40.
36. Kleinheksel, A. J., Rockich-Winston N., Tawfik H., and Wyatt T. R.. 2020. "Demystifying Content Analysis." *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education* 84, no. 1: 7113.
37. Klinke, M. E., Hjartardóttir T. E., Hauksdóttir A., Jónsdóttir H., Hjaltason H., and Andrésdóttir G. T.. 2021. "Moving From Stigmatization Toward

Competent Interdisciplinary Care of Patients With Functional Neurological Disorders: Focus Group Interviews." *Disability and Rehabilitation* 43, no. 9: 1237–1246.

38. Kobakhidze, M. N., Hui J., Chui J., and González A.. 2021. "Research Disruptions, New Opportunities: Re-Imagining Qualitative Interview Study During the COVID-19 Pandemic." *International Journal of Qualitative Methods* 20: 16094069211051576.
39. Loft, M. I., Poulsen I., Esbensen B. A., Iversen H. K., Mathiesen L. L., and Martinsen B.. 2017. "Nurses' and Nurse assistants' Beliefs, Attitudes and Actions Related to Role and Function in an Inpatient Stroke Rehabilitation Unit: A Qualitative Study." *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 26, no. 23–24: 4905–4914.
40. Long, A. F., Kneafsey R., Ryan J., and Berry J.. 2002. "The Role of the Nurse Within the Multi-Professional Rehabilitation Team." *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 37, no. 1: 70–78.
41. Needleman, J. 2017. "Nursing Skill Mix and Patient Outcomes." *BMJ Quality and Safety* 26, no. 7: 525–528.
42. Ontario Ministry of Health Ministry of Long-Term Care. 1999. "Ministry Reports: Good Nursing, Good Health: An Investment for the 21st Century."
43. Peduzzi, M. 2001. "Equipe multiprofissional de saúde: conceito e tipologia [Multiprofessional Healthcare Team: Concept and Typology]." *Revista de Saúde Pública* 35, no. 1: 103–109.
44. Proenca, E. J. 2007. "Team Dynamics and Team Empowerment in Health Care Organizations." *Health Care Management Review* 32, no. 4: 370–378.
45. Pryor, J. 2008. "A Nursing Perspective on the Relationship Between Nursing and Allied Health in Inpatient Rehabilitation." *Disability and Rehabilitation* 30, no. 4: 314–322.
46. Rapley, P., Davidson L., Nathan P., and Dhaliwal S. S.. 2008. "Enrolled Nurse to Registered Nurse: Is There a Link Between Initial Educational Preparation and Course Completion?" *Nurse Education Today* 28, no. 1: 115–119.
47. Robson, C. 2002. *Real World Research: A Resource for Social Scientists and Practitioner-Researchers*, 2nd ed. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishers.