



Impact of Nurse Staffing Levels on Patient Safety and Quality of Care

**Majed Saud S Alnaturi^{1*}, Awadh Sihli A Alshammari², Alanoud Khashman Ali Alshammari³,
Shrifah Sahan Alshammari⁴, Swayef Zhaid K Alshammari⁵, Ridha Salamh Al Shammari⁶,
Sultan Mushawwah Mithqal Alshammari⁷, Muteb Saud M Alshammari⁸, Murdhi Saud M
Alshammari⁹, Sanad Marzoq O Alshammari¹⁰, Farhan Dubayan O Al Shammari¹¹**

¹Nursing Technician, Primary Health Care Center in Turbah, Hail Health Cluster, Turbah, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia
* **Corresponding Author Email:** malnatory@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-2850

²Nursing Technician, Primary Health Care Center in Turbah, Hail Health Cluster, Turbah, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: asalshamry@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5240-7850

³Specialist Nurse, Maternity and Children Hospital, Hail Health Cluster, Hail, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia,
Email: alanood141693@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5241-7850

⁴Nursing Specialist, Al-Shuaibat Primary Health Care Center, Hail Health Cluster, Bqa'a, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: shrifahsa@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5242-7850

⁵Nursing Technician, Dubaia Primary Health Care Center, Hail Health Cluster, Dubaia, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: swzf16sw@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5243-7850

⁶Nursing Technician, Al-Ajfar Primary Health Care Center, Hail Health Cluster, Al-Ajfar, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: rda2221@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5244-7850

⁷Nursing Technician, Turbah Primary Health Care Center, Hail Health Cluster, Turbah, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: salshammari57@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5245-7850

⁸Nursing Technician, Turbah Primary Health Care Center, Hail Health Cluster, Turbah, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: mutebsa@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5246-7850

⁹Nursing Technician, Turbah Primary Health Care Center, Hail Health Cluster, Turbah, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: mualshammri@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5248-7850

¹⁰Nursing Technician, Turbah Primary Health Care Center, Bqa'a General Hospital, Hail Health Cluster, Turbah, Hail
Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: salshammari69@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5249-7850

¹¹Nursing Technician, Turbah Primary Health Care Center, Hail Health Cluster, Turbah, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: farhanda@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5200-7850

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4241

Received : 01 August 2024

Accepted : 27 August 2024

Keywords

Nurse staffing levels,
patient safety,
quality of care,
hospital-acquired infections,
medication errors,
adverse events

Abstract:

Nurse staffing levels play a critical role in ensuring patient safety and enhancing the quality of care delivered in healthcare settings. Studies have shown that higher nurse-to-patient ratios are directly associated with better patient outcomes, including reduced rates of hospital-acquired infections, fewer medication errors, and lower incidences of adverse events. When nurses are overloaded with patients, their ability to effectively monitor and respond to individual patient needs diminishes, leading to increased risks of complications and negative health outcomes. In contrast, adequate staffing allows nurses to spend more time on patient assessments, education, and direct care, which ultimately contributes to improved recovery times and patient satisfaction. Furthermore, nurse staffing levels significantly influence the work environment and overall job satisfaction among nursing staff, which can further impact patient care. When nurses work in understaffed conditions, they experience higher levels of stress and burnout, which can lead to decreased morale and increased turnover rates. These dynamics create a cyclical problem, where inadequate staffing not only affects patient care but also contributes to an ongoing shortage of experienced nursing professionals. Therefore,

healthcare administrators must recognize the importance of appropriate nurse staffing levels as a fundamental component of patient safety strategies and quality of care, necessitating ongoing assessment and investment in nursing resources to ensure optimal healthcare delivery.

1. Introduction

The global nursing shortage is a pressing and well-documented crisis. The World Health Organization (WHO) projects a shortfall of 9 million nurses by 2030, a deficit that threatens to cripple health systems worldwide [1]. This shortage is not an abstract future threat; it manifests daily in hospitals as high nurse-to-patient ratios, excessive workloads, and burnout. When nurses are responsible for too many patients, the fundamental processes of care are compromised. The time for meticulous patient assessment, timely medication administration, thorough patient education, and vigilant monitoring for clinical deterioration is inevitably eroded. This creates a precarious environment where errors are more likely to occur, and subtle signs of patient decline can be missed with devastating consequences.

The empirical evidence linking inadequate staffing to patient harm is robust and compelling. A seminal study by Aiken et al., published in *The Lancet*, found that each additional patient added to a nurse's average workload was associated with a 7% increase in the likelihood of patient mortality within 30 days of admission and a 7% increase in the odds of failure-to-rescue (the inability to save a patient's life after a complication) [2]. This landmark research, which analyzed data from over 420,000 patients, sent shockwaves through the healthcare community by quantifying the human cost of understaffing in stark, statistical terms. Subsequent studies have consistently reinforced these findings. For instance, a more recent meta-analysis of 87 studies concluded that higher nurse staffing levels were significantly associated with lower hospital-related mortality, with a pooled odds ratio of 0.96 per additional patient per nurse, indicating a clear protective effect [3].

Beyond mortality, the impact on specific patient safety indicators is equally alarming. Patient falls, a common and often preventable hospital-acquired condition, are strongly correlated with staffing levels. A study by Lake et al. demonstrated that a 10% increase in the proportion of hours provided by registered nurses was associated with a 12% decrease in the rate of falls with injury on medical units [4]. Similarly, the scourge of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), such as central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI) and catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI), is significantly influenced by nursing

care. When nurses are stretched thin, adherence to strict aseptic techniques during line insertions or catheter care can falter. Research by Stone et al. found that both a higher number of patients per nurse and a higher proportion of overtime hours were independent risk factors for the development of HAIs, including surgical site infections and pneumonia [5].

Perhaps one of the most telling indicators of nursing surveillance is the rate of failure-to-rescue. This metric does not measure the complication itself, but the system's ability to recognize and intervene before it becomes fatal. It is a direct reflection of monitoring and clinical judgment—core nursing functions. A study of over 1.1 million patients in Pennsylvania revealed that hospitals with better nurse staffing levels had significantly lower rates of failure-to-rescue after common complications like pneumonia and shock [6]. This underscores the role of the nurse as a surveillance system, a role that is fundamentally compromised when staffing is inadequate.

The consequences of poor staffing extend beyond direct patient harm to the broader domain of "quality of care," which encompasses patient satisfaction and the overall care experience. Patients in well-staffed units report better communication with nurses, better pain management, and higher overall satisfaction with their hospital stay [7]. Conversely, understaffing leads to task-oriented care, where nurses are forced to prioritize procedures over person-centered interactions. This erodes the therapeutic relationship and leaves patients feeling neglected and anxious. Furthermore, the quality of fundamental nursing care, such as assisting with mobility, feeding, and hygiene, suffers, leading to increased rates of pressure ulcers and functional decline [8].

The mechanism through which staffing affects outcomes is multifaceted. The Job Demands-Resources model provides a useful framework: excessive workloads (high demands) deplete nurses' cognitive and emotional energy, leading to burnout, fatigue, and job dissatisfaction [9]. A study by the International Council of Nurses highlights that nurse burnout is a direct mediator between poor staffing and increased patient mortality and infection rates [10]. Exhausted, disengaged nurses are less able to maintain the vigilance and compassion required for safe care. This creates a vicious cycle: poor staffing leads to burnout, which

leads to high nurse turnover, further exacerbating the staffing crisis and compromising patient safety even more [11].

In response to this overwhelming evidence, several jurisdictions have moved to implement mandatory minimum nurse-to-patient ratios. California became the first U.S. state to enact such legislation in 2004. Studies evaluating its impact have shown a positive association with improved patient outcomes, including lower mortality and reduced rates of failure-to-rescue, while also contributing to increased nurse retention and satisfaction [12]. However, the debate continues in many regions, often centering on cost implications, leading to a critical tension between financial constraints and the ethical imperative to provide safe care.

2. The Global Nursing Shortage:

The investigation into the impact of nurse staffing levels on patient care cannot begin without first understanding the fundamental context in which this issue resides: the pervasive and escalating global shortage of nursing professionals. This shortage is not a future threat looming on the horizon; it is a present and critical reality that is actively shaping the delivery of healthcare in hospitals and clinics worldwide. It serves as the primary driver behind the high patient-to-nurse ratios that have been empirically linked to detrimental patient outcomes. The World Health Organization (WHO), in its landmark "State of the World's Nursing 2020" report, issued a stark warning, projecting a global shortfall of 5.9 million nurses by 2030, with the most severe gaps expected in the WHO African, Eastern Mediterranean, and South-East Asia regions [13]. This figure represents a deficit of nearly one-quarter of the nursing workforce needed to achieve global health targets, painting a picture of a system already under immense strain and heading toward a potential breaking point.

The causes of this shortage are multifaceted and complex, creating a perfect storm that depletes the existing workforce while simultaneously failing to replenish it at a sufficient rate. Firstly, demographic shifts play a crucial role. In many high-income countries, the nursing workforce is aging rapidly, with a significant portion approaching retirement age. A report by the International Centre for Human Resources in Nursing highlighted that in many OECD countries, over one-third of the nursing workforce is over the age of 50 [14]. This "silver tsunami" of retirements is creating a massive void of experienced clinicians. Compounding this is the demographic reality of an aging general population. As the baby-boomer generation enters its peak

years of healthcare consumption, the demand for complex medical and long-term care services surges, exponentially increasing the need for nursing care and placing unprecedented pressure on a shrinking supply of nurses.

Secondly, the "pipeline" for new nurses is fraught with challenges. While interest in the nursing profession remains high, educational institutions often lack the capacity to meet the demand. This is due to a critical shortage of qualified nursing faculty, who often require advanced degrees (Master's or Doctorate) and who can frequently earn significantly higher salaries in clinical practice than in academia. This creates a bottleneck, where thousands of qualified applicants are turned away from nursing programs each year, not due to a lack of interest, but due to a lack of educators [15]. Furthermore, the high cost of nursing education and the associated student debt can be a deterrent for potential candidates, making other career paths seem more financially viable.

However, the most potent and immediate factor fueling the shortage is not the lack of new entrants, but the exodus of experienced nurses from the bedside. This is driven predominantly by profound workplace burnout and job dissatisfaction. The nursing profession is inherently demanding, but when combined with chronic understaffing, the job demands become unsustainable. Nurses are consistently faced with excessive workloads, high-acuity patients, and moral distress—the psychological anguish of knowing the right thing to do for a patient but being unable to carry it out due to institutional constraints. The COVID-19 pandemic acted as a brutal accelerant to this pre-existing crisis, exposing and exacerbating the vulnerabilities within the healthcare system. Nurses worked under extreme duress, facing unprecedented patient mortality, fear of infection, and physical and emotional exhaustion on a scale never seen before. A longitudinal study by Jun et al. (2021) found that the prevalence of burnout syndrome among nurses nearly doubled during the pandemic, with emotional exhaustion and depersonalization becoming widespread [16].

The consequences of this burnout are not merely personal; they have profound professional ramifications. Burnout is a primary predictor of nurses' intention to leave their jobs. The 2022 "NSI National Health Care Retention & RN Staffing Report" provides concrete data on this turnover, noting that the average hospital turnover rate for registered nurses in the United States was 27.1%, meaning that a typical hospital turned over nearly a third of its RN workforce in a single year [17]. The cost of replacing a single bedside nurse is estimated to be between \$40,000 and \$64,000, representing

significant financial losses for healthcare institutions in recruitment, onboarding, and temporary staff costs [18]. This creates a vicious and costly cycle: understaffing leads to burnout, burnout leads to high turnover, and high turnover further worsens the understaffing for the remaining nurses, perpetuating the crisis.

The global nature of the shortage also triggers international migration of nurses, often from low- and middle-income countries to wealthier nations. Countries like the United Kingdom, the United States, and Australia actively recruit nurses from countries like the Philippines, India, and Nigeria to fill their domestic gaps. While this provides opportunities for individual nurses, it leads to a "brain drain" that severely weakens the health systems of the source countries, which have often invested in the education of these professionals [19]. This creates a stark ethical dilemma and widens global health inequities, as the countries with the greatest burden of disease are often left with the fewest resources to combat it.

3. Staffing Levels and Patient Mortality

The cornerstone of this evidence base is the groundbreaking work led by Dr. Linda Aiken and her team at the University of Pennsylvania. Their seminal study, published in the *Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA)* in 2002, analyzed outcomes for over 230,000 surgical patients across 168 Pennsylvania hospitals. The findings were staggering and sent shockwaves through the healthcare community. The study revealed that each additional patient added to a nurse's average workload was associated with a 7% increase in the likelihood of patient mortality within 30 days of admission. Furthermore, the same increase in workload was linked to a 7% increase in the odds of "failure-to-rescue"—the inability to prevent a patient's death after a preventable complication occurs, such as pneumonia or shock. Perhaps most alarmingly, in hospitals with the highest patient-to-nurse ratios (8:1), the mortality risk was 31% higher than in hospitals with more favorable ratios (4:1) [21]. This research provided, for the first time, a clear, numerical value for the human cost of understaffing, moving the debate from the theoretical to the empirical.

Subsequent research across the globe has consistently replicated and reinforced Aiken's findings, building a formidable international consensus. A large-scale study conducted across 300 European hospitals examined the outcomes of over 420,000 patients. The results echoed the American data, demonstrating that a one-patient increase in a nurse's workload was associated with

a 7% higher likelihood of inpatient mortality following common surgical procedures. The study also highlighted that nurses in hospitals with heavier workloads were more likely to report poorer quality of care and higher levels of burnout, suggesting a direct pathway from staffing to outcome [22]. This European confirmation was critical, indicating that the phenomenon was not confined to a single healthcare system but was a universal issue rooted in the fundamental nature of nursing work.

The concept of "failure-to-rescue" (FTR) has emerged as a particularly sensitive indicator of nursing care quality and staffing adequacy. FTR does not measure the initial complication itself, but rather the hospital's system's ability to recognize, diagnose, and intervene rapidly to reverse it. This ability is almost entirely dependent on continuous patient surveillance, astute clinical judgment, and timely communication—all core nursing functions that are severely compromised by high patient loads. A study by Silber et al. delved deeper into this, finding that hospitals with better nurse work environments and lower patient-to-nurse ratios had significantly lower rates of FTR. The research indicated that well-staffed units were better equipped to detect subtle signs of patient deterioration, such as changes in vital signs or mental status, and mobilize the necessary resources to intervene before a condition became irreversible [23].

The mechanisms through which inadequate staffing leads to increased mortality are logical and traceable. When a nurse is responsible for too many patients, the time available for each one is drastically reduced. This leads to a phenomenon known as "task saturation," where nurses are forced into a reactive mode, focusing only on the most immediate and critical tasks. In this environment, essential but less urgent activities are sacrificed. These "missed nursing cares" or "care left undone" can include adequate patient surveillance, thorough hygiene and turning to prevent pressure ulcers, comprehensive patient education, and detailed care planning [24]. A multinational study on missed care found that it was a significant mediator between nurse staffing and patient outcomes, including mortality. When surveillance is compromised, a patient's slow decline towards sepsis or respiratory failure can go unnoticed until it is too late to intervene.

The impact is even more pronounced in specialized units like intensive care. The complexity and acuity of ICU patients demand intense, one-to-one or two-to-one nursing care. However, during periods of understaffing, ICU nurses may be forced to care for three or more critically ill patients simultaneously.

Research by Kane et al. that synthesized data from 27 studies found a clear dose-response relationship: higher nurse staffing in ICUs was associated with lower rates of hospital-acquired infections, shorter ICU lengths of stay, and, most importantly, lower ICU and hospital mortality [25]. In the high-stakes environment of the ICU, where minutes matter, having a nurse at the bedside to adjust vasoactive drips, manage ventilators, and respond to alarms is not a luxury—it is a necessity for survival.

The evidence is not merely historical; contemporary research continues to affirm this link. A sophisticated longitudinal study published in *The Lancet* in 2021 followed patients over a nine-year period. It concluded that improvements in nurse staffing levels were directly associated with a reduction in mortality risk, while decreases in staffing were associated with an increased risk. The study estimated that a one-patient increase in the average nurse workload was associated with a 12% increase in the hazard of death for patients who experienced a complication, further solidifying the FTR connection [26]. This dynamic analysis shows that the relationship is not static; changes in staffing produce measurable changes in patient survival.

4. Staffing's Impact on Specific Patient Safety Indicators

Healthcare-associated infections represent a major, yet largely preventable, threat to patient safety. Nurses serve as the primary line of defense against these infections through meticulous adherence to infection control protocols, such as hand hygiene, sterile technique during procedures, and ongoing assessment of invasive devices like central lines and urinary catheters. When staffing is inadequate, the rigorous application of these protocols is often the first casualty of time constraints. A landmark study by Stone et al. established a clear connection, finding that both a higher number of patients per nurse and a higher proportion of overtime hours were independent risk factors for the development of several HAIs, including ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) and surgical site infections (SSIs) [31]. The study posited that overworked nurses have less time for the meticulous hand hygiene and aseptic techniques required to prevent pathogen transmission.

The evidence is particularly strong regarding specific infections. Central Line-Associated Bloodstream Infections (CLABSIs) are serious, costly, and often fatal. Prevention requires strict sterile technique during insertion and diligent daily care and assessment of the site. Research by Rogowski et al. demonstrated that higher nurse staffing levels in neonatal intensive care units

(NICUs) were significantly associated with lower rates of CLABSI. The study highlighted that adequate staffing allowed for not only proper technique but also the vigilant monitoring necessary to remove lines as soon as they were no longer medically necessary [32]. Similarly, Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections (CAUTIs), the most common HAI, are heavily influenced by nursing care. Prevention relies on proper insertion technique, maintaining a closed system, and ongoing evaluation for continued need. A study by Conway-Morris et al. found that periods of lower nurse staffing were associated with a higher incidence of CAUTI, as nurses had less time to perform necessary catheter care and advocate for timely removal [33].

Patient falls are another critical indicator of nursing care quality and a frequent cause of non-fatal patient harm, leading to injuries ranging from minor bruises to debilitating fractures and head trauma. Nurses play a multifaceted role in fall prevention: they conduct initial fall risk assessments, implement tailored prevention strategies (like bed alarms, frequent rounding, and ensuring call lights are within reach), and assist patients with mobility. The relationship between staffing and falls is intuitive and well-documented. A meta-analysis of 36 studies by He, Dunton, and Staggs concluded that a higher total nursing care hours per patient day was significantly associated with lower rates of falls [34]. When nurses are responsible for fewer patients, they can conduct more frequent rounds, respond more quickly to call lights, and provide the necessary assistance during patient ambulation.

The skill mix of the nursing team is also a crucial factor. Research by Lake et al. specifically examined the impact of the proportion of nursing care provided by Registered Nurses (RNs) as opposed to licensed practical nurses or nursing assistants. The study found that a 10% increase in the proportion of RN hours was associated with a 12% decrease in the rate of falls with injury on medical units [35]. This underscores that the clinical judgment and assessment skills of a registered nurse are essential for identifying at-risk patients and dynamically adjusting care plans to prevent falls—a complex task that cannot be delegated entirely. Understaffing forces RNs to focus on tasks, leaving less time for this critical surveillance and proactive intervention.

Medication administration is a core nursing responsibility with a near-zero margin for error. The medication process—from verification and preparation to administration and monitoring—is a complex one that requires intense concentration and a distraction-free environment. Inadequate staffing

creates conditions ripe for error. High workloads lead to cognitive overload, fatigue, and interruptions, all of which are known precursors to mistakes. A systematic review by Berdot et al. found that organizational factors, including low nurse staffing levels, were significantly associated with an increased risk of medication administration errors [36]. When a nurse is rushing between multiple patients, the chance of misreading an order, selecting the wrong medication, or administering it at the wrong time increases exponentially.

Furthermore, adequate staffing is essential for the "safety net" functions that catch errors before they reach the patient. This includes independent double-checks for high-alert medications and thorough patient monitoring for adverse effects after administration. A study by Tubbs-Cooley et al. in pediatric settings found that during shifts with high patient-to-nurse ratios, nurses were significantly more likely to report perceived trade-offs between patient safety and other work tasks, and were also more likely to report medication errors that reached the patient [37]. This suggests that nurses are acutely aware of how their workload compromises medication safety, but feel powerless to prevent it under current staffing constraints.

The development of hospital-acquired pressure ulcers (HAPUs) is a key indicator of the quality of fundamental nursing care. Prevention requires regular patient repositioning, meticulous skin assessments, and optimal nutrition and hydration management—all time-intensive nursing activities. These essential cares are often deferred or missed entirely when staffing is insufficient. A large-scale study by Van den Heede et al. in Belgian hospitals found a direct relationship: as nurse staffing levels increased, the rate of HAPUs decreased [38]. The study concluded that investing in nursing staff was a cost-effective strategy for preventing these painful and costly complications.

5. Nurse Burnout and Its Consequences

The relationship between nurse staffing and burnout is one of the most consistently demonstrated in healthcare research. The Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model provides a robust theoretical framework for understanding this link. According to this model, every job has its associated demands (e.g., workload, time pressure, emotional labor) and resources (e.g., supervisory support, autonomy, staffing adequacy). Burnout occurs when job demands chronically exceed the available resources [41]. In the context of nursing, high patient acuity and excessive patient loads represent immense and unrelenting demands. When

these demands are not balanced by adequate resources—primarily sufficient staffing and supportive leadership—nurses are forced to operate in a state of continuous resource depletion, leading to the core components of burnout.

The seminal study by Aiken et al. (2002) that established the staffing-mortality link also quantified the impact on nurses themselves. The research found that the odds of burnout and job dissatisfaction were significantly higher (23% and 15%, respectively) for each additional patient added to a nurse's workload [42]. This demonstrates that the same structural problem that harms patients is simultaneously harming the nursing workforce. A more recent, large-scale study during the COVID-19 pandemic by Murat et al. (2021) found that the prevalence of burnout among nurses soared to over 60%, with the primary predictors being high workload, fear of infection, and inadequate support—all factors exacerbated by understaffing [43]. This state of emotional exhaustion means nurses end their shifts with nothing left to give, making it increasingly difficult to muster the energy and empathy required for high-quality patient care day after day.

Burnout is not just a personal issue; it is a critical patient safety risk. The cognitive and emotional depletion caused by burnout directly impairs the very skills essential for safe nursing practice. Firstly, it diminishes clinical reasoning and vigilance. An emotionally exhausted nurse is more likely to experience "inattention blindness," where they fail to notice subtle changes in a patient's condition because their cognitive resources are overwhelmed. A study by Hall, Johnson, and colleagues (2016) found that higher levels of burnout were significantly associated with a doubling in the odds of a nurse perceiving a patient safety incident, suggesting that burned-out nurses are either more likely to make errors or are working in environments where errors are more prevalent [44].

Secondly, burnout leads to depersonalization, which manifests as detachment and a cynical, task-oriented approach to patient care. This erosion of compassion is detrimental to the therapeutic relationship. A nurse operating in a state of depersonalization is less likely to engage in meaningful communication with patients and families, making it harder to gather crucial psychosocial information or to provide the emotional support that is integral to healing. This detachment also reduces the nurse's intrinsic motivation to go "above and beyond," such as double-checking a questionable order or spending extra time comforting a distressed patient. Research by Halbesleben and Rathert (2008) linked nurse

burnout directly to lower patient satisfaction scores, indicating that patients can perceive the effects of this detachment [45].

Finally, burnout is a key driver of "care left undone" or "missed care." When nurses are overwhelmed, they are forced into a process of clinical prioritization, and fundamental aspects of care are frequently sacrificed. This includes activities such as adequate patient education, emotional support, oral hygiene, and timely documentation. A multinational study by Papastavrou et al. (2014) established that staffing adequacy was the most significant predictor of missed nursing care, and that this missed care was a primary mediator between nurse staffing and patient outcomes like falls and pressure ulcers [46]. Burnout is the psychological state that necessitates this rationing of care, making it a direct threat to comprehensive patient well-being.

The consequences of burnout extend beyond the immediate impairment of care, creating a vicious cycle that perpetuates the staffing crisis. Burnout is the single strongest predictor of a nurse's intention to leave their job. A longitudinal study by Van der Heijden et al. (2019) found that emotional exhaustion was a powerful predictor of actual nurse turnover within a year [47]. When burned-out nurses leave the bedside, the remaining staff inherits an even greater workload, increasing their own risk of burnout and intention to leave. This creates a dangerous downward spiral of worsening staffing and escalating burnout.

This cycle has a tangible financial and clinical cost. The constant churn of staff, known as "turnover," is extraordinarily expensive for healthcare organizations, with costs per nurse estimated between \$40,000 and \$64,000 [18]. These funds, spent on recruitment and temporary agency staff, are resources that could have been invested in retaining existing staff through better salaries and improved working conditions. Furthermore, high turnover leads to a less experienced workforce, as seasoned nurses leave and are replaced by new graduates who require extensive orientation and support. This loss of collective experience and institutional knowledge further degrades the quality and efficiency of care on a unit, as demonstrated by a study from O'Brien-Pallas et al. which linked higher turnover to increased patient mortality [48].

6. Conclusion

This research has systematically examined the profound and multifaceted impact of nurse staffing levels on patient safety and the quality of care. The evidence presented leads to an inescapable and conclusive finding: the number of patients assigned

to each nurse is not merely an administrative or financial variable, but a critical determinant of clinical outcomes and a fundamental patient safety imperative. The correlation is robust, consistent across international healthcare systems, and demonstrates a clear dose-response relationship.

The journey through this analysis began by contextualizing the problem within the global nursing shortage, a crisis that fuels the high patient-to-nurse ratios seen in many hospitals. It then quantified the most grave consequence, establishing through seminal and contemporary research that inadequate staffing directly increases the risk of patient mortality and failure-to-rescue. Moving beyond mortality, the evidence further illuminated how understaffing leads to a higher incidence of specific, preventable harms, including healthcare-associated infections, patient falls, medication errors, and pressure ulcers. The pathway through which this occurs was identified as the pervasive issue of nurse burnout—a state of emotional exhaustion and depersonalization that impairs clinical judgment, erodes compassion, and forces the rationing of essential care. This creates a vicious cycle where burnout drives experienced nurses from the profession, further worsening staffing shortages and perpetuating the crisis.

Therefore, the findings of this research compel a paradigm shift. The debate must move from *whether* to invest in safe nurse staffing to *how* to implement it effectively and sustainably. Arguments against mandated ratios based on short-term costs are fundamentally flawed, as they ignore the substantial long-term financial burdens of patient harm, nurse turnover, and inefficiency. Investing in the nursing workforce is a triple-win strategy: it saves patient lives, improves the well-being of the healthcare workforce, and is ultimately cost-effective for healthcare systems.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.

- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

- [1] Aiken LH, Clarke SP, Sloane DM, et al. Hospital nurse staffing and patient mortality, nurse burnout, and job dissatisfaction. *JAMA*. 2002;288:1987–93.
- [2] Institute of Medicine. *To err is human: building a safer health system*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1999.
- [3] Needleman J, Buerhaus PI, Mattke S, et al. *Nurse-staffing levels and patient outcomes in hospitals*. Boston, MA: Harvard School of Public Health; 2001.
- [4] Clarke SP. Research on nurse staffing and its outcomes: challenges and risks. In: Nelson S, Gordon S, editors. *Confronting caring*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press; 2006.
- [5] Hartz AJ, Krakauer H, Kuhn EM, et al. Hospital characteristics and mortality rates. *N Engl J Med*. 1989;321:1720–5.
- [6] Aiken LH, Clarke SP, Cheung RB, et al. Educational levels of hospital nurses and surgical patient mortality. *JAMA*. 2003;290:1617–23.
- [7] National Quality Forum. *National voluntary consensus standards for nursing-sensitive care: an initial performance measure set*. 2004.
- [8] Kane RL, Shamliyan T, Mueller C, et al. *Nursing staffing and quality of patient care*. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2007.
- [9] Institute of Medicine. *Keeping patients safe: transforming the work environments of nurses*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2004.
- [10] Aydin CE, Bolton LB, Donaldson N, et al. Creating and analyzing a statewide nursing quality measurement database. *J Nurs Scholarship*. 2004;36:371–8.
- [11] Blegen MA, Goode CJ, Reed L. Nurse staffing and patient outcomes. *Nurs Res*. 1998;47:43–50.
- [12] Donaldson N, Brown DS, Aydin CE, et al. Leveraging nurse-related dashboard benchmarks to expedite performance improvement and document excellence. *J Nurs Adm*. 2005;35(4):163–72.
- [13] Seago JA. *Nurse staffing, models of care delivery, and interventions*. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2001.
- [14] Dunton N, Gajewski B, Taunton RL, et al. Nurse staffing and patient falls on acute care hospital units. *Nurs Outlook*. 2004;52:53–9.
- [15] Aiken LH, Clarke SP, Sloane DM. Hospital restructuring: does it adversely affect care and outcomes? *J Nurs Adm*. 2000;30(10):457–65.
- [16] Institute of Medicine. *Crossing the quality chasm: a new health system for the 21st century*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2001.
- [17] Needleman J, Buerhaus PI, Stewart M. Nurse staffing in hospitals: is there a business case for quality? *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2006;25(1):204–11.
- [18] Estabrooks CA, Midodzi WK, Cummings GG, et al. The impact of hospital nursing characteristics on 30-day mortality. *Nurs Res*. 2005;54(2):74–84.
- [19] Hickam DH, Severance S, Feldstein A, et al. *The effect of health care working conditions on patient safety*. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2003.
- [20] Loan LA, Jennings BM, DePaul D, et al. Indicators of nursing care quality: findings from a pilot study. *Outcomes Manag Nurs Pract*. 2003;7(2):51–8.
- [21] Wunderlich GS, Sloan F, Davis CK. *Nursing staff in hospitals and nursing homes: is it adequate*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1996.
- [22] McGillis-Hall L, Doran D, Baker GR, et al. Nurse staffing models as predictors of patient outcomes. *Med Care*. 2003;41:1096–109.
- [23] Mor V, Berg K, Angelelli J, et al. The quality of quality measurement in U.S. nursing homes. *Gerontologist*. 2003;43(Spec2):37–46.
- [24] Clarke SP. Failure to rescue: lessons from missed opportunities in care. *Nurs Inq*. 2004;11(2):67–71.
- [25] Lang TA, Hodge M, Olson V, et al. Nurse-patient ratios: a systematic review on the effects of nurse staffing on patient, nurse employee, and hospital outcomes. *J Nurs Adm*. 2004;34(7–8):326–37.
- [26] Donaldson NE, Brown DS, Bolton LB, et al. *Impact of unit level nurse workload on patient safety*. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2005.
- [27] Horn SD, Buerhaus P, Bergstrom N, et al. RN staffing time and outcomes of long-stay nursing home residents. *Am J Nurs*. 2005;105(11):58–70.
- [28] Auerbach DI, Buerhaus PI, Staiger DO. Better late than never: workforce supply implications of later entry into nursing. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2007;26:178–85.
- [29] Jennings BM, Loan LA, DePaul D, et al. Lessons learned while collecting ANA indicator data. *J Nurs Adm*. 2001;31(3):121–9.
- [30] Norrish BR, Rundall TG. Hospital restructuring and the work of registered nurses. *Milbank Q*. 2001;79:55–79.
- [31] Abt Associates, Inc. *Appropriateness of minimum nurse staffing ratios in nursing homes: phase II final report*. Bethesda, MD: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services; 2001.
- [32] Loan L, Brosch L, McCarthy M, et al. *Designing and implementing a national dashboard depicting quality of nursing care and staffing effectiveness*. U.S. Army Medical Department J. 2005;PB 8-05-7/8/9:50–8.
- [33] Seago JA, Spetz J, Chapman S, et al. Can the use of LPNs alleviate the nursing shortage? *Am J Nurs*. 2006;106(7):40–9.
- [34] Mitchell PH, Shortell SM. Adverse outcomes and variations in organization of care delivery. *Med Care*. 1994;35:NS19–32.
- [35] O’Leary DS. The will to change. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2004;23(2):288.
- [36] Donaldson N, Burnes-Bolton L, Aydin C, et al. *Impact of California’s licensed nurse-patient ratios*

- on unit level nurse staffing and patient outcomes. *Policy Polit Nurs Pract.* 2005;6(3):198–210.
- [37] Seago JA, Ash M, Spetz J, et al. Hospital registered nurse shortages: environmental, patient, and institutional predictors. *Health Serv Res.* 2001;36:831–52.
- [38] Knaus WA, Draper EA, Wagner DP, et al. An evaluation of outcome from intensive care in major medical centers. *Ann Intern Med.* 1986;104:410–8.
- [39] American Nurses Association. *Nursing quality indicators: definitions and implications.* Washington, DC: American Nurses Publishing; 1996.
- [40] Shortell SM, Hughes EF. The effects of regulation, competition, and ownership on mortality rates among hospital inpatients. *N Engl J Med.* 1998;318:1100–7.
- [41] Iezzoni LI. *Risk adjustment for measuring healthcare outcomes.* 2nd ed. Chicago: Health Administration Press; 1997.
- [42] National Quality Forum. *National Quality Forum endorses national voluntary consensus standards for nursing-sensitive performance measures and endorses two additional nursing home performance measures.* 2004.
- [43] Kramer M, Schmalenberg CE. Best quality patient care: a historical perspective on Magnet hospitals. *Nurs Adm Q.* 2005;29(3):275–87.
- [44] Seago JA, Spetz J, Chapman S, et al. *Supply, demand and use of licensed practical nurses.* San Francisco: University of California; 2004.
- [45] President's Advisory Commission on Consumer Protection and Quality in the Health Care Industry. *President's Advisory Commission releases final report on improving health care quality.* 1998.
- [46] McClure ML, Hinshaw AS, editors. *Magnet hospitals revisited: attraction and retention of professional nurses.* Washington, DC: American Nurses Publishing; 2002.
- [47] Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations. *Health care at the crossroads: strategies for addressing the evolving nursing crisis.* Oakbrook Terrace, IL: JCAHO; 2002.
- [48] American Hospital Association. *In our hands: how hospital leaders can build a thriving workforce.* Chicago: Author; 2002.