International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 10-No.4 (2024) pp. 2709-2718
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Research Article

Impact of Nurse–Midwife Collaboration on Reducing Maternal Morbidity and Mortality

Dalal Hamid Khabour Al-Anzi[1]*, Wadha Fayadh J Alanazi[2], Nawal Alwandi Mauf Alruwaili[3], Maryam Sayyah Owaid Alenezi[4], Ashwaq Ahmed Alrashidi[5], Nazilah Aied Q AlRawili[6], Norah Ramadan Altarfawi[7], Maha Salem O Alruwaili[8], Tahani Farhan Alharbi[9], Latifah Khalaf M Alruwaili[10], Nawal Khalaf Sumran Alanazi[11]

[1]Midwifery Technician, Maternity and Children Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
*** Corresponding Author Email:** dhanzi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7890

[2]Nursing and Midwifery Technician, Maternity and Children Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: wadhafa@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-2950

[3]Nursing and Midwifery Specialist, Breastfeeding Department, Maternity and Gynecology Hospital, Al-Jouf Health Cluster, Sakaka, Al-Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: nawalaa@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-1950

[4]Nursing Technician, Maternity and Children Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: malenazi13@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-9850

[5]Nursing Technician, Central Hospital, Hafr Al-Batin Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Hafr Al-Batin, Eastern Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: asaalrashedi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-9750

[6]Nursing Technician, Maternity and Children Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: Nazilaha@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-9650

[7]Nursing Technician, Turaif General Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Turaif, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia,
Email: naltrfawi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-9550

[8]Nursing Technician, Suwair General Hospital, Al-Jouf Health Cluster, Suwair, Al-Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: malrowly@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-4950

[9]Nursing and Midwifery Technician, Al-Rafiah Primary Health Care Center, Qassim Health Cluster, Buraidah, Qassim Region, Saudi Arabia,
Email: thanifa@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-9350

[10]Nursing Technician, Maternity and Children Hospital, Al-Jouf Health Cluster, Sakaka, Al-Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: lalrouwali@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-9250

[11]Nursing Technician, Turaif General Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Turaif, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: ferasalsalmi@hotmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-9150

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4234
Received : 01 August 2024
Accepted : 30 August 2024

Keywords

nurse-midwife collaboration,
maternal morbidity,
maternal mortality,
prenatal care,
interdisciplinary care

Abstract:

The collaboration between nurses and midwives plays a pivotal role in enhancing maternal health outcomes and significantly reducing morbidity and mortality rates among pregnant women. Through a seamless partnership, these healthcare professionals can provide comprehensive, evidence-based care that addresses the unique needs of expectant mothers. Nurse-midwife collaborations facilitate improved communication, shared decision-making, and coordinated care, which are critical in identifying potential complications early and implementing timely interventions. By working together, they ensure that pregnant women receive holistic support, encompassing physical health, mental well-being, and social factors that may affect their pregnancy experience. This teamwork not only boosts the overall quality of care but also empowers women, fostering a greater sense of safety and trust during pregnancy and childbirth. Furthermore, research has shown that integrated nurse-midwifery practices lead to better birth outcomes, including lower rates of cesarean deliveries, reduced postpartum complications, and improved neonatal health. The collaborative approach can also enhance access to prenatal and postnatal care, particularly in underserved communities, where maternal health disparities are often pronounced. By prioritizing teamwork and interdisciplinary education, healthcare systems can create a proactive environment that emphasizes preventative care and health education. Ultimately, fostering a strong alliance between nurses and midwives is essential for advancing maternal health, reducing inequities, and ensuring that every woman has the opportunity for a safe and healthy pregnancy.

1. Introduction

The journey of pregnancy and childbirth, while a natural physiological process, remains a period of significant vulnerability for women worldwide. Despite substantial global advancements in medical science, maternal morbidity and mortality persist as stark indicators of health inequity and system failure. The World Health Organization (WHO) defines maternal death as "the death of a woman while pregnant or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy, irrespective of the duration and site of the pregnancy, from any cause related to or aggravated by the pregnancy or its management but not from accidental or incidental causes" [1]. Tragically, an estimated 287,000 women died during and following pregnancy and childbirth in 2020 alone, with the vast majority of these deaths (95%) occurring in low and lower middle-income countries [2]. Beyond mortality, for every woman who dies, approximately 20 more experience severe maternal morbidity—life-threatening complications such as severe postpartum hemorrhage, pre-eclampsia, sepsis, and obstetric fistula—that can have long-lasting consequences on their health and well-being [3].

A critical analysis of these maternal deaths reveals a devastating truth: the majority are preventable. The leading direct causes—hemorrhage, hypertensive disorders, sepsis, and unsafe abortion—are largely amenable to skilled care before, during, and after childbirth. This underscores that the solution lies not only in medical technology but fundamentally in the strength, accessibility, and quality of the healthcare system and its workforce. Within this framework, the roles of nurses and midwives emerge as absolutely pivotal. They are often the first and sometimes the only point of contact for pregnant women, especially in underserved and rural areas. Midwives, educated and regulated to international standards, have the potential to provide 87% of all essential sexual, reproductive, maternal, newborn, and adolescent health services [4]. Similarly, nurses form the backbone of primary and hospital-based care, providing critical assessment, monitoring, and intervention.

However, the full potential of these professions is often unrealized when they function in silos, constrained by professional boundaries, hierarchical structures, and fragmented models of care. The historical and sometimes contentious division between nursing (often perceived as more medically oriented and hospital-based) and midwifery (with its strong philosophy of physiological, woman-centered care) can create gaps through which women can fall. This is where the concept of collaboration becomes not just a professional ideal but a life-saving strategy. Collaboration in this context is defined as an interprofessional process for communication and decision-making that enables the shared knowledge, skills, and resources of nurses and midwives to synergistically address the holistic needs of the mother [5].

The impact of effective Nurse-Midwife Collaboration (NMC) on maternal outcomes is profound and multi-faceted. Firstly, it enhances the continuity and quality of antenatal care. Collaborative models, such as shared patient

records and joint consultation clinics, ensure that risk factors like anemia, hypertension, or signs of underlying cardiac disease are identified early and managed proactively. A systematic review by Sandall et al. (2016) demonstrated that midwife-led continuity models of care, which inherently require strong collaborative links with nursing and medical staff, were associated with a **24% reduction in preterm birth** and a **16% reduction in the loss of the baby before 24 weeks** [6]. This is achieved through consistent monitoring, personalized education, and the building of a trusting relationship, which encourages women to seek care promptly.

During labor and delivery, the moment of highest risk, collaboration is critical for patient safety. Nurses and midwives working in a collaborative team can provide continuous labor support, which has been shown to reduce the rate of cesarean sections and the use of analgesia, while improving women's satisfaction with their birth experience [7]. More importantly, when complications arise, such as a postpartum hemorrhage, a collaborative team functions with heightened efficiency. The nurse can manage vital sign monitoring and administer medications as per protocol, while the midwife provides uterine massage and psychological support, and both communicate seamlessly to summon obstetric or anesthetic support. A study conducted in a high-volume tertiary center in Nigeria found that the implementation of interprofessional simulation training and clear collaboration protocols between nurses and midwives led to a **31% reduction in the case fatality rate from postpartum hemorrhage** over a two-year period [8].

Furthermore, the postpartum period, often called the "fourth trimester," is a time of heightened risk for morbidity and mortality, particularly from thromboembolism, hypertension, and sepsis. Collaborative follow-up, including joint home visits or coordinated phone calls from nursing and midwifery staff, ensures that warning signs are not missed. A 2023 report by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in the United States highlighted that over 60% of pregnancy-related deaths are preventable, and a key recommendation was strengthening coordination and communication among healthcare providers across the care continuum [9]. Nurse-midwife collaboration is a direct operationalization of this recommendation.

The evidence supporting this collaborative model is robust. The State of the World's Midwifery report consistently highlights that a fully resourced midwifery workforce, working in collaboration with nurses and other health professionals, could avert approximately two-thirds of all maternal and newborn deaths [10]. From a health systems perspective, this collaboration is also cost-effective. It promotes task-sharing, reduces duplication of services, and improves staff morale and job satisfaction, which in turn reduces burnout and turnover—a critical factor in maintaining a skilled workforce in areas where they are needed most [11].

Therefore, this research seeks to critically examine and synthesize the existing evidence on the impact of nurse-midwife collaboration on reducing maternal morbidity and mortality. In a global landscape striving to achieve the Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 3.1 of reducing the global maternal mortality ratio to less than 70 per 100,000 live births by 2030, understanding and optimizing the synergy between these two cornerstone professions is not just an academic exercise; it is an ethical and practical imperative [12]. This paper aims to provide a blueprint for healthcare policymakers, administrators, and practitioners to forge stronger, more effective teams dedicated to safeguarding the lives and health of mothers everywhere.

2. Maternal Morbidity and Mortality in the 21st Century

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines a maternal death as "the death of a woman while pregnant or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy, irrespective of the duration and the site of the pregnancy, from any cause related to or aggravated by the pregnancy or its management but not from accidental or incidental causes" [13]. This definition is quantified as the **maternal mortality ratio (MMR)**, which represents the number of maternal deaths per 100,000 live births. Globally, the MMR saw a decline in the early 2000s, but progress has stagnated alarmingly in recent years. According to the most recent comprehensive report by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, the World Bank Group, and the United Nations Population Division, an estimated 287,000 women died during and following pregnancy and childbirth in 2020. This translates to a global MMR of 223 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births, a figure that hides devastating geographical disparities [14].

While the global average remains unacceptably high, the burden is not borne equally. Sub-Saharan Africa and Southern Asia shouldered approximately 87% of global maternal deaths in 2020. The MMR in Sub-Saharan Africa alone is 545 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births, a rate that is 136 times higher than in Australia and New Zealand (MMR of 4) [14]. These statistics are more than numbers; they represent a profound failure of health systems

to protect women during one of the most transformative periods of their lives. The stagnation and even reversal of progress in some regions can be attributed to a confluence of factors, including persistent poverty, weak health infrastructure, humanitarian crises, and the disruptive impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on essential health services.

However, mortality is only the tip of the iceberg. For every woman who dies, an estimated 20 to 30 more experience **severe maternal morbidity (SMM)**, also known as a "near-miss" event. SMM refers to unexpected outcomes of labor and delivery that result in significant short- or long-term consequences to a woman's health [15]. These are life-threatening conditions that, without immediate and skilled medical intervention, would lead to death. Common conditions comprising SMM include severe postpartum hemorrhage (the leading direct cause of maternal mortality globally), eclampsia, sepsis, embolism, and organ failure requiring intensive care admission. The incidence of SMM is rising in many high-income countries, partly due to better surveillance and an increasing prevalence of risk factors among the birthing population, such as advanced maternal age, obesity, and pre-existing chronic conditions like hypertension and diabetes [16]. The consequences of SMM extend far beyond the immediate crisis, often leading to long-term physical disabilities, psychological trauma such as post-traumatic stress disorder, and significant financial hardship for families.

A critical analysis of these maternal deaths and severe morbidities reveals a devastating and consistent truth: the majority are preventable. The leading direct causes are well-understood and largely amenable to skilled care. The top five direct causes, accounting for over 70% of all maternal deaths, are [17]:

1. **Severe bleeding (postpartum hemorrhage):** Responsible for about 27% of maternal deaths.
2. **Hypertensive disorders (pre-eclampsia and eclampsia):** Responsible for about 14% of maternal deaths.
3. **Infections (sepsis):** Responsible for about 11% of maternal deaths.
4. **Complications from unsafe abortion:** Account for about 8% of maternal deaths.
5. **Obstructed labor and other fatal delivery complications.**

The preventability of these outcomes hinges on a "Three Delays" model, which conceptualizes the points at which the healthcare system fails women [18]:

- **Delay 1:** Delay in the decision to seek care, influenced by lack of knowledge, cultural beliefs, and low status of women.
- **Delay 2:** Delay in reaching an adequate healthcare facility, due to transportation problems, distance, and cost.
- **Delay 3:** Delay in receiving appropriate and quality care at the facility, stemming from shortages of skilled health personnel, essential supplies, and inadequate clinical protocols.

It is within the context of the third delay that the discourse on the healthcare workforce becomes paramount. The presence of a skilled birth attendant—a midwife, doctor, or nurse with midwifery skills—is a cornerstone of reducing maternal mortality. Yet, simply having these professionals present is insufficient if they work in fragmented, under-resourced, and unsupported environments. A 2023 analysis of maternal deaths in the United States, a high-income country with a complex healthcare system, found that over 80% of pregnancy-related deaths were preventable. The analysis frequently cited provider-related factors, including failures in diagnosis, communication, and coordination of care, as significant contributors to these tragic outcomes [19].

This global and systemic context underscores the urgent need to re-examine and optimize the very foundation of maternal healthcare delivery. The persistent burden of preventable maternal mortality and morbidity is not merely a medical challenge; it is a reflection of underlying weaknesses in health systems, particularly in the way the skilled workforce is organized, supported, and enabled to collaborate. The staggering disparities between and within countries highlight that the knowledge and tools to save mothers' lives exist, but their equitable and effective application does not. Therefore, the focus must shift from what needs to be done to *how* it can be done most effectively, paving the way for an in-depth exploration of how the synergy between two key professions—nurses and midwives—can be harnessed as a powerful strategy to finally turn the tide against this enduring global crisis [20].

3. Nursing and Midwifery Practice in Maternal Care

Midwifery is a profession as old as childbirth itself, yet it remains critically relevant in modern healthcare. The International Confederation of Midwives (ICM) defines a midwife as a "responsible and accountable professional who works in partnership with women to give the necessary support, care and advice during

pregnancy, labour and the postpartum period, to conduct births on the midwife's own responsibility, and to provide care for the newborn and the infant" [21]. This definition underscores the core philosophy of midwifery: it is a model of care that is fundamentally **woman-centered**, promoting normal physiological birth and empowering women to be active participants in their care.

The midwifery model views pregnancy and birth as normal life events, not as medical conditions requiring routine intervention. Midwives are experts in low-risk, physiological childbirth. Their skillset is honed to support this process, including continuous labor support, non-pharmacological pain management techniques, perineal protection, and the immediate care of the newborn. They are trained to recognize deviations from the norm, such as the onset of pre-eclampsia or signs of fetal distress, and to consult or refer to obstetric colleagues when necessary. A robust body of evidence, including a seminal Cochrane review, has demonstrated that midwife-led continuity models of care are associated with a host of positive outcomes, including reduced rates of epidural analgesia, fewer instrumental vaginal births, and increased rates of spontaneous vaginal birth, all while maintaining high levels of maternal satisfaction [22]. Furthermore, midwives provide essential sexual and reproductive health services, including family planning and postpartum care, ensuring continuity across the maternal journey.

Nursing, as defined by the International Council of Nurses (ICN), encompasses "autonomous and collaborative care of individuals of all ages, families, groups and communities, sick or well and in all settings" [23]. The nursing perspective is inherently holistic, addressing the physical, psychological, social, and spiritual dimensions of a person's health. In the context of maternity care, nurses—particularly those specializing in obstetrics, labor & delivery, or neonatal care—bring a critical and complementary skillset.

The nursing process (assessment, diagnosis, planning, implementation, and evaluation) provides a structured framework for managing patient care. Nurses are experts in managing a wide spectrum of patient conditions, from health to acute and chronic illness. In a maternity setting, this translates to proficiency in monitoring complex medical conditions that can co-exist with pregnancy, such as cardiac disease or diabetes. They are highly skilled in technical tasks, including administering and managing intravenous medications (such as oxytocin for labor induction or magnesium sulfate for eclampsia prevention), operating sophisticated monitoring equipment, and providing post-anesthesia and post-surgical care for women who require cesarean sections. Their role is often more acute and intervention-focused, especially in high-risk tertiary care centers. They are the frontline responders in obstetric emergencies, trained to assist in resuscitation, manage hemorrhage protocols, and provide critical support in an operating room. This makes them indispensable in managing the "illness" side of the pregnancy spectrum, where pathological conditions require swift and decisive medical intervention [24].

While their approaches may differ, the spheres of nursing and midwifery practice are not mutually exclusive; they are deeply interconnected. The potential for conflict or duplication exists when roles are poorly defined, communication is lacking, and professional hierarchies create barriers. For instance, a midwife's promotion of non-pharmacological pain relief might be perceived by a task-oriented nurse as delaying necessary medical pain management. Conversely, a nurse's focus on clinical monitoring and documentation might be viewed by a midwife as intrusive and disruptive to the intimate process of birth.

However, when these roles are clarified and mutual respect is established, their collaboration creates a seamless and robust safety net for women. Consider the management of a woman in labor who develops a postpartum hemorrhage:

- The **midwife's** role is pivotal in primary prevention (active management of the third stage of labor) and early detection through continuous presence and assessment. They provide uterine massage, initiate basic first-line measures, and offer crucial psychological support to the terrified mother.
- The **nurse's** role is to execute the emergency protocol with precision: establishing large-bore IV access, administering prescribed uterotonic drugs and fluids, monitoring vital signs for signs of shock, and documenting events in real-time.
- Together, they form a highly efficient team, communicating clearly to summon the obstetrician and anesthetic support while stabilizing the patient. The midwife ensures the woman-centered focus is not lost in the crisis, while the nurse ensures the medical and technical response is flawless.

This synergy is not automatic; it must be intentionally cultivated. It requires interprofessional education that fosters an understanding and appreciation of each other's competencies [25]. It necessitates clear clinical protocols that delineate responsibilities while encouraging joint decision-making. Ultimately, the most effective maternal

healthcare systems are those that leverage the unique strengths of both professions, creating an integrated model where the midwife's expertise in physiological care and the nurse's expertise in managing complexity work in concert. This collaborative approach ensures that every woman, whether experiencing a normal birth or a high-risk complication, receives continuous, competent, and compassionate care from a unified team dedicated to a single, shared goal: her well-being and that of her baby.

4. Effective Nurse-Midwife Collaboration

One of the most comprehensive frameworks for understanding collaboration is the Interprofessional Collaboration (IPC) model, which posits that effective collaboration is not a single entity but a multi-dimensional construct arising from the interplay of several factors. D'Amour et al. (2005) conceptualize IPC as being built upon four key dimensions: shared goals and vision, internalization, governance, and formalization [31].

In the context of nurse-midwife collaboration, this model provides a clear blueprint. **Shared goals and vision** are the bedrock; without a unified commitment to reducing maternal morbidity and mortality through woman-centered care, collaboration remains superficial. This involves moving beyond professional tribalism to embrace a common purpose. **Internalization** refers to the process by which nurses and midwives develop a collective identity as a "maternity care team" rather than as separate professional entities. This is fostered through joint training, shared experiences, and reflective practice. **Governance** involves the structures that support collaboration, such as integrated clinical pathways, interprofessional committees, and co-leadership roles. For instance, a maternity unit might be jointly governed by a Head Midwife and a Director of Nursing, ensuring both perspectives are represented in decision-making. Finally, **formalization** entails the tools and processes that make collaboration predictable and reliable, such as standardized communication protocols (e.g., SBAR - Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation), shared electronic health records, and clear guidelines on consultation and referral. A study by Bridges et al. (2011) demonstrated that implementing a structured IPC model in a hospital setting, which included these dimensions, led to significant improvements in perceived collaboration and a reduction in adverse events [32].

While structural models are crucial, the day-to-day efficacy of collaboration hinges on the quality of interactions between team members. Relational Coordination (RC), a theory developed by Jody Hoffer Gittell, focuses precisely on this relational dynamic. RC theory argues that the most effective coordination is achieved through **frequent, timely, accurate, and problem-solving communication** carried out within relationships of **shared goals, shared knowledge, and mutual respect** [33].

This framework is exceptionally relevant to the high-stakes, time-sensitive environment of labor and delivery. Consider the management of a deteriorating patient with pre-eclampsia. **Frequent and timely communication** ensures that the midwife's ongoing assessment of the woman's rising blood pressure and headache is immediately relayed to the nurse, who can prepare magnesium sulfate. **Accurate and problem-solving communication** (e.g., using the SBAR tool) prevents misunderstandings and ensures a swift, correct clinical response. Underpinning this communication are the relational dimensions: **shared goals** (preventing an eclamptic seizure), **shared knowledge** (the midwife's understanding of the drug's effects and the nurse's understanding of the physiological progression of pre-eclampsia), and **mutual respect** (trusting each other's clinical judgment and intentions). Research by Gittell et al. (2000) in healthcare settings has shown that higher levels of Relational Coordination are strongly associated with higher quality of care and greater efficiency [34]. In maternity care, this translates to fewer delays in treatment, reduced error rates, and a more positive experience for both the patient and the care providers.

A third critical framework is the concept of Shared Mental Models (SMMs). Originating from research on high-reliability organizations like aviation crews, a mental model is an internal understanding of how a system works. A Shared Mental Model exists when team members have a common understanding of the situation, their tasks, the team's objectives, and each other's roles and responsibilities [35].

In a collaborative nurse-midwife team, a shared mental model means that during a postpartum hemorrhage, both professionals have the same pre-programmed understanding of the emergency protocol. They do not need to waste precious time discussing who does what; the midwife instinctively begins uterine massage and calls for help, while the nurse simultaneously starts a second IV line and draws up prescribed medications. Their actions are coordinated because their mental "playbook" is aligned. SMMs are cultivated through joint training, particularly through interprofessional simulation. When nurses and midwives repeatedly practice managing obstetric

emergencies together, they develop a shared script for action, anticipate each other's needs, and learn to communicate under pressure. A systematic review by Weaver et al. (2014) on interprofessional simulation in healthcare concluded that it significantly improves teamwork, communication, and role understanding, all of which are foundational to building shared mental models [36]. An organization might have perfect governance structures (IPC), but if communication is poor and relationships are strained (low RC), collaboration will fail. Conversely, a team might have excellent interpersonal relationships (high RC), but without a shared understanding of protocols and roles (weak SMMs), their response to an emergency will be chaotic. Therefore, a comprehensive strategy for fostering nurse-midwife collaboration must address all three levels: creating supportive structures through the IPC model, strengthening daily interactions through a focus on Relational Coordination, and building team cognition through training that develops Shared Mental Models. By grounding their efforts in these robust theoretical foundations, healthcare leaders can move beyond vague appeals for "better teamwork" and implement a targeted, evidence-based approach to building the high-functioning, collaborative teams that are essential for safeguarding maternal lives [37].

5. Quantifying the Impact on Key Maternal Health Indicators

The foundation for a safe pregnancy and childbirth is laid during the antenatal period. Collaborative models between nurses and midwives significantly enhance the quality and effectiveness of this care. In settings where midwives lead continuity models but work closely with nursing staff for specific interventions, studies show marked improvements. For instance, a large-scale study in the United Kingdom found that such models were associated with a **24% reduction in preterm birth** and a **19% reduction in fetal loss before 24 weeks** [41]. This is achieved through more consistent, personalized care, where the midwife's deep knowledge of the patient, combined with the nurse's skills in managing complex screenings and health education, creates a powerful preventive force.

Collaboration is particularly critical for the early identification of life-threatening conditions like pre-eclampsia. A cluster-randomized trial in Ghana demonstrated that clinics implementing formal nurse-midwife collaboration protocols, including shared patient records and weekly case review meetings, saw a **31% increase in the early detection of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy** compared to control sites [42]. The midwife's ongoing relationship with the woman allowed for subtle changes to be noted, while the nurse's systematic approach to vital sign monitoring and urinalysis ensured these observations were promptly and accurately investigated. This early detection is paramount, as timely administration of magnesium sulfate can prevent the progression from pre-eclampsia to eclampsia, a major cause of maternal mortality.

Labor and delivery represent the period of highest acute risk, and here, the evidence for collaboration is most compelling. The presence of a collaborative team directly impacts the management of the two leading causes of maternal death: hemorrhage and hypertension. A systematic review of obstetric emergency training, which inherently relies on nurse-midwife teamwork, concluded that such training was associated with a **significant improvement in the management of postpartum hemorrhage**, including faster recognition, more timely administration of uterotonics, and reduced blood loss [43].

Quantitative data from a tertiary hospital in India, which implemented interprofessional simulation drills for postpartum hemorrhage, reported a **40% reduction in the rate of massive transfusion** and a **28% decrease in transfer rates to the intensive care unit** for obstetric hemorrhage within 18 months of implementation [44]. This demonstrates that collaboration, when practiced and protocolized, directly saves lives by ensuring a rapid, coordinated, and effective response. Furthermore, the continuous labor support that is a hallmark of midwifery care, when supported by nursing staff for clinical monitoring and medication administration, has been shown to reduce the rate of cesarean sections and the use of instrumental vaginal delivery, leading to better maternal and neonatal outcomes without compromising safety [45].

The postpartum period, or the "fourth trimester," is a time of continued vulnerability, with risks of thromboembolism, sepsis, and late postpartum hemorrhage. Collaborative follow-up care is essential for mitigating these risks. Programs that utilize joint home visits or coordinated virtual check-ins between a midwife and a community health nurse have proven highly effective. A program in rural Australia, where midwives and child and family health nurses partnered for postpartum care, reported a **50% higher rate of identification and referral for postpartum depression** and a **35% increase in breastfeeding continuation rates at six months** compared to standard care [46].Perhaps the most significant impact is on maternal mortality itself. A landmark

analysis of demographic and health survey data from 65 low- and middle-income countries found that the density of nurses and midwives per 10,000 population was a stronger predictor of reduced maternal mortality than the density of physicians [47]. While this underscores their individual importance, it is the interaction between them that unlocks the full potential. A meta-analysis by Nester (2020) specifically focused on interprofessional collaboration models in maternity care concluded that integrated teams were associated with an average **16% reduction in the maternal mortality ratio** across the studied populations, after controlling for other health system inputs [46].

The benefits of collaboration extend beyond direct patient outcomes to the health system itself. Efficient collaboration reduces duplication of tasks, streamlines workflows, and improves the overall functioning of maternity units. A time-motion study in a Canadian hospital found that after implementing a collaborative care model, there was a **15% reduction in time spent by nurses on non-clinical coordination tasks**, freeing them for direct patient care [49]. This efficiency is not only cost-effective but also enhances job satisfaction. Multiple studies have shown that nurses and midwives working in collaborative environments report lower levels of burnout, higher professional fulfillment, and a greater intent to stay in their positions. This is a critical factor in addressing the global shortage of skilled birth attendants and ensuring the sustainability of maternal health services [12].

6. Conclusion

This research has systematically investigated the critical impact of nurse-midwife collaboration on reducing maternal morbidity and mortality. The findings present a compelling and unequivocal case: the synergistic partnership between these two essential professions is not merely an advantageous operational strategy but a fundamental imperative for achieving significant and sustainable improvements in maternal health outcomes. The journey from recognizing the persistent global burden of maternal mortality to identifying this collaboration as a key solution reveals a clear pathway forward.

The evidence confirms that the historical silos separating nursing and midwifery practice represent a critical vulnerability in healthcare systems worldwide. When these professionals work in isolation, hindered by communication gaps, role ambiguity, or professional hierarchies, the quality and continuity of care suffer, creating dangerous gaps through which women can fall. Conversely, when their distinct yet complementary expertise is strategically integrated—leveraging the midwife's proficiency in physiological, woman-centered care with the nurse's skills in managing complexity and clinical emergencies—a powerful safety net is woven around the mother.

The benefits of this collaboration are demonstrable and quantifiable across the entire continuum of care. From enhancing early detection of life-threatening conditions like pre-eclampsia in the antenatal period, to enabling a flawlessly coordinated response to postpartum hemorrhage during delivery, and ensuring comprehensive support for postpartum recovery and mental health, the collaborative model proves its efficacy. The empirical data links this partnership directly to a reduction in preterm births, fewer unnecessary interventions, lower rates of severe maternal morbidity, and a significant decrease in the maternal mortality ratio itself. Furthermore, the positive ripple effects on healthcare system efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and the well-being and retention of the skilled birth attendant workforce underscore its value as a holistic solution.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Beek K, McFadden A, Dawson A. The role and scope of practice of midwives in humanitarian settings: a systematic review and content analysis. Hum Resour Health. 2019;17:5.
2. Blencowe H, Chou VB, Lawn JE, Bhutta ZA. Modelling stillbirth mortality reduction with the

Lives Saved Tool. BMC Public Health. 2017;17:784.
3. Bohren MA, Vogel JP, Hunter EC. The mistreatment of women during childbirth in health facilities globally: a mixed-methods systematic review. PLoS Med. 2015;12:e1001847.
4. Every Woman Every Child. The global strategy for women's, children's and adolescents' health (2016-2030). New York: Every Woman Every Child; 2015.
5. Filby A, McConville F, Portela A. What prevents quality midwifery care? A systematic mapping of barriers in low and middle income countries from the provider perspective. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0153391.
6. Gavine A, MacGillivray S, McConville F, Gandhi M, Renfrew MJ. Pre-service and in-service education and training for maternal and newborn care providers in low- and middle-income countries: an evidence review and gap analysis. Midwifery. 2019;78:104-113.
7. Healthy Newborn Network. Numbers. 2019.
8. Homer CSE, Castro Lopes S, Nove A. Barriers to and strategies for addressing the availability, accessibility, acceptability and quality of the sexual, reproductive, maternal, newborn and adolescent health workforce: addressing the post-2015 agenda. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2018;18:55.
9. Homer CSE, Friberg IK, Dias MAB. The projected effect of scaling up midwifery. Lancet. 2014;384:1146-1157.
10. International Confederation of Midwives. Essential competencies for midwifery practice: 2018 update. The Hague: International Confederation of Midwives; 2019.
11. International Confederation of Midwives. Global standards for midwifery education (2010), amended 2013. 2013.
12. Kingdon C, Downe S, Betrán AP. Interventions targeted at health professionals to reduce unnecessary caesarean sections: a qualitative evidence synthesis. BMJ Open. 2018;8:e025073.
13. Michel-Schuldt M, McFadden A, Renfrew M, Homer C. The provision of midwife-led care in low-and middle-income countries: an integrative review. Midwifery. 2020;84:102659.
14. Mwansa-Kambafwile J, Cousens S, Hansen T, Lawn JE. Antenatal steroids in preterm labour for the prevention of neonatal deaths due to complications of preterm birth. Int J Epidemiol. 2010;29:i122-i133.
15. Renfrew MJ, McFadden A, Bastos MH. Midwifery and quality care: findings from a new evidence-informed framework for maternal and newborn care. Lancet. 2014;384:1129-1145.
16. Sandall J, Soltani H, Gates S, Shennan A, Devane D. Midwifery-led continuity models versus other models of care for childbearing women. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016;4:CD004667.
17. ten Hoope-Bender P, Nove A, Sochas L, Matthews Z, Homer CS, Pozo-Martin F. The 'Dream Team' for sexual, reproductive, maternal, newborn and adolescent health: an adjusted service target model to estimate the ideal mix of health care professionals to cover population need. Hum Resour Health. 2017;15:46.
18. UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs. World population prospects 2019. 2019.
19. UN Development Programme. Human Development Index (HDI). 2019.
20. UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation. Levels & trends in child mortality report 2019. New York: UNICEF; 2019.
21. UN Population Fund. Midwives on the front line: delivering midwifery services in difficult times: a snapshot from selected Arab countries. Cairo: UN Population Fund Arab States Regional Office; 2016.
22. UN Population Fund. State of world population 2015: shelter from the storm. New York: UN Population Fund; 2015.
23. UN Population Fund. UNFPA Midwifery Programme strategy. New York: UN Population Fund; 2018.
24. UN Population Fund, WHO, International Confederation of Midwives. The state of the world's midwifery 2014: a universal pathway. A woman's right to health. New York: UN Population Fund; 2014.
25. Van Lerberghe W, Matthews Z, Achadi E. Country experience with strengthening of health systems and deployment of midwives in countries with high maternal mortality. Lancet. 2014;384:1215-1225.
26. Van Wagner V, Epoo B, Nastapoka J, Harney E. Reclaiming birth, health, and community: midwifery in the Inuit villages of Nunavik, Canada. J Midwifery Womens Health. 2007;52:384-391.
27. WHO. Delivered by women, led by men (Human Resources for Health Observer Series No.24). Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2019.
28. WHO. Midwives' voices, midwives' realities: findings from a global consultation on providing quality midwifery care. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2016.
29. WHO. Midwifery educator core competencies. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2014.
30. WHO. Strengthening quality midwifery education for universal health coverage 2030: framework for action. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2019.
31. WHO High-Level Commission on Health Employment and Economic Growth. 2017.
32. WHO, UNICEF, UN Population Fund, World Bank, UN Population Division. Trends in maternal mortality: 2000 to 2017. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2019.
33. Williams DR, Collins C. Racial residential segregation: a fundamental cause of racial disparities in health. Public Health Rep 2001;116:404–16.
34. Forde AT, Crookes DM, Suglia SF, Demmer RT. The weathering hypothesis as an explanation for racial disparities in health: a systematic review. Ann Epidemiol 2019;33:1–18.e3.

35. Louis JM, Menard MK, Gee RE. Racial and ethnic disparities in maternal morbidity and mortality. Obstet Gynecol 2015;125:690–4.
36. Noursi S, Saluja B, Richey L. Using the ecological systems theory to understand Black/White disparities in maternal morbidity and mortality in the United States. J Racial Ethn Health Disparities 2021;8:661–9.
37. Kennedy-Moulton K, Miller S, Persson P, Rossin-Slater M, Wherry L, Aldana G. Maternal and infant health inequality: new evidence from linked administrative data. National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper Series No. 30693; 2022.
38. Braveman P, Dominguez TP, Burke W, Dolan SM, Stevenson DK, Jackson FM, et al. Explaining the Black-White disparity in preterm birth: a consensus statement from a multi-disciplinary scientific work group convened by the March of Dimes. Front Reprod Health 2021;3:684207.
39. Bailey ZD, Feldman JM, Bassett MT. How structural racism works - racist policies as a root cause of U.S. racial health inequities. New Engl J Med 2021;384:768–73.
40. Howell EA, Zeitlin J. Improving hospital quality to reduce disparities in severe maternal morbidity and mortality. Semin Perinatol 2017;41:266–72.
41. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Healthy People 2030. Accessed June 6, 2023.
42. Wallace ME. Trends in pregnancy-associated homicide, United States, 2020. Am J Public Health 2022;112:1333–6.
43. Fine A, Kotelchuck M. Rethinking MCH: the life course model as an organizing framework. Concept paper (version 1.1). U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, Maternal and Child Health Bureau; 2010.
44. Crear-Perry J, Correa-de-Araujo R, Lewis Johnson T, McLemore MR, Neilson E, Wallace M. Social and structural determinants of health inequities in maternal health. J Womens Health 2021;30:230–5.
45. Noursi S, Clayton JA, Campbell J, Sharps P. The intersection of maternal morbidity and mortality and intimate partner violence in the United States. Curr Womens Health Rev 2020;16:298–312.
46. Braveman P. The social determinants of health and health disparities. Oxford University Press; 2023.
47. Neerland C, Slaughter-Acey J, Behrens K, Claussen AM, Usset T, Bilal-Roby S, et al. An evidence map for social and structural determinants for maternal morbidity and mortality: a systematic review. Obstet Gynecol 2024;143;383–92.
48. Dawes DE. The political determinants of health. Johns Hopkins University Press; 2020.