



## **Collaborative Nursing–Health Administration Models in Enhancing Healthcare Quality and Efficiency**

**Bader Mohammed Ali Alqablan<sup>1\*</sup>, Mousa Habqan Duhayman Alshammari<sup>2</sup>, Ahmed Khulaif Omran Alshammari<sup>3</sup>, Rakkad Aqeel Jurayyad Alshammari<sup>4</sup>, Mohammad Jurayyad Sunyadah Alshmmari<sup>5</sup>, Abdullah Muhammad Al Qahtani<sup>6</sup>, Shami M. F. AI-Shmmri<sup>7</sup>, Sultan Khalaf Gannam Alenazi<sup>8</sup>, Reem Ghazi Alanazi<sup>9</sup>, Amjad Ayesh A Alanazi<sup>10</sup>, Shuaa Awad Mohmed Alanazi<sup>11</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Assistant hospital director, Rafha Central Hospital, Rafha, Saudi Arabia

\* **Corresponding Author Email:** [bade2r@gmail.com](mailto:bade2r@gmail.com) - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7809

<sup>2</sup>Assistant hospital director, Rafha Central Hospital, Rafha, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** mous2a@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7890

<sup>3</sup>Nursing, Rafha Central Hospital, Rafha, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** omra2n@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7891

<sup>4</sup>Healthcare Model Management/Health Services and Hospitals Management, Rafha Central Hospital, Rafha, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** rakka2d@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7892

<sup>5</sup>Non-Physician Men's Hospital Director, Northern Borders Health cluster Rafha, Rafha, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** jurayya2d@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7893

<sup>6</sup>Health information Technician, Primary Healthcare Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** abdulla2h@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7894

<sup>7</sup>Health Informatic, Al-Hayaniyah Health Center, Baq'a, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** sham2i@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7895

<sup>8</sup>Nursing Technician, Ministry of Health Branch in the Northern Borders, Arar, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** sulta2n@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7896

<sup>9</sup>Staff Nurse, Ministry of Health Branch in Riyadh Region, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** ree2m@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7897

<sup>10</sup>Nursing specialist, The Northern Borders Health Cluster-Arar, Arar, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** amja2d@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7898

<sup>11</sup>Nursing Technician, Branch of the Ministry of health, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

**Email:** shua2a@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7899

### **Article Info:**

**DOI:** 10.22399/ijcesen.4188

**Received :** 01 May 2024

**Accepted :** 30 May 2024

### **Keywords**

Collaborative nursing,  
health administration models,  
healthcare quality,  
efficiency,  
teamwork,  
patient outcomes

### **Abstract:**

The integration of collaborative nursing and health administration models is pivotal in enhancing healthcare quality and efficiency. By fostering a teamwork-oriented environment, these models enable nurses and health administrators to work together effectively, leveraging their unique skills and perspectives. This collaboration facilitates shared decision-making, which improves patient outcomes and streamlines processes within healthcare settings. Empowering nursing professionals to take part in administrative leadership roles not only encourages a holistic approach to care but also supports the development of policies that prioritize quality and efficiency. Moreover, these models promote open communication and interdisciplinary cooperation, essential for addressing the complex challenges faced in modern healthcare. In addition, the adoption of collaborative frameworks can lead to significant cost savings and resource optimization for healthcare institutions. By aligning nursing practices with administrative goals, organizations can reduce redundancies and enhance service

delivery, ultimately leading to better care experiences for patients. Training programs focused on collaborative competencies are crucial for equipping healthcare professionals with the skills necessary to engage in this model effectively. Furthermore, leveraging data analytics and evidence-based practices within these collaborative efforts can identify areas for improvement and establish benchmarks for success. Collectively, these strategies position collaborative nursing-health administration models as vital contributors to the ongoing evolution of quality and efficiency in healthcare.

## 1. Introduction

The contemporary healthcare landscape is characterized by unprecedented complexity, rising costs, and escalating demands for high-quality, patient-centered care. Globally, health systems grapple with the dual challenges of financial sustainability and clinical excellence, a pressure exacerbated by aging populations, the increasing burden of chronic diseases, and the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates a projected shortfall of **10 million health workers by 2030**, primarily in low- and middle-income countries, crippling the ability to achieve universal health coverage and straining existing workforce morale [1]. Within this challenging environment, the pursuit of enhanced healthcare quality and operational efficiency is not merely an aspirational goal but an urgent imperative.

Historically, healthcare delivery has often been structured around rigid, hierarchical models where clinical care and administrative leadership functioned in parallel, and at times, adversarial, silos. The clinical domain, led predominantly by physicians and nurses, focused on patient-level outcomes, while health administration concentrated on fiscal management, regulatory compliance, and operational logistics. This separation has frequently led to a disconnect between the "boardroom and the bedside," where strategic decisions made without frontline clinical insight can inadvertently compromise patient care, and where clinical needs are not fully understood within the context of resource constraints and systemic capabilities. The consequences of this fragmentation are starkly evident in key performance indicators. For instance, the **OECD reports that ineffective care coordination and patient safety failures are leading causes of morbidity and mortality**, with up to **one in ten patients** being harmed while receiving hospital care in high-income countries [2]. Furthermore, operational inefficiencies, such as patient flow bottlenecks and suboptimal staff deployment, contribute to excessive wait times and soaring costs, with the U.S. alone spending nearly **\$1.2 trillion on hospital care annually**, a figure that continues to outpace inflation [3]. It is within this context that the critical role of nursing—

the largest segment of the healthcare workforce—comes into sharp focus. Comprising over **59% of health professions worldwide**, nurses are not only the primary providers of continuous patient care but also the professionals with the most intimate understanding of care delivery processes, patient needs, and system-level inefficiencies [4]. However, their potential as strategic partners in leadership and operational design has often been underutilized. The traditional top-down administrative model fails to leverage this vast repository of frontline intelligence. Research by the American Nurses Association indicates that **only about 10% of hospital board seats are held by individuals with a clinical nursing background**, highlighting a significant governance gap [5].

This realization has catalyzed a paradigm shift towards collaborative models that intentionally integrate nursing leadership with health administration. These Collaborative Nursing–Health Administration Models are founded on the principle that the synergy between clinical expertise and managerial acumen is essential for driving meaningful and sustainable improvements. Such models can take various forms, including shared governance structures, the inclusion of Chief Nursing Officers (CNOs) in executive-level decision-making, and the establishment of interprofessional committees co-chaired by nursing leaders and administrators to address specific challenges like quality improvement, resource allocation, and strategic planning [3].

Emerging evidence strongly suggests that this collaborative approach yields significant dividends. Hospitals with robust nurse-physician-administration collaboration have demonstrated **14% lower mortality rates** and **12% higher patient satisfaction scores** compared to those with poor collaboration [6]. Furthermore, organizations that empower nurses through shared governance report significantly **lower rates of nurse turnover and burnout**, which is critical given that the average cost of turnover for a bedside nurse is estimated to be **\$52,100**, resulting in the average hospital losing between **\$5.2 million to \$9.0 million annually** [7, 8]. From an efficiency standpoint, nurse-led initiatives in process redesign have been shown to reduce patient length of stay, decrease medication errors, and improve bed

management, directly impacting the financial bottom line [9].

The theoretical underpinning for this collaboration rests on frameworks like Relational Coordination and Structural Empowerment, which posit that high-quality outcomes are achieved when diverse groups communicate and relate effectively around a shared work process, and when professionals have access to information, support, and opportunities to learn and grow [10, 11]. By formally integrating nursing voice into administrative decision-making, these models bridge the gap between clinical reality and operational strategy, leading to more informed, pragmatic, and effective solutions.

Therefore, the primary objective of this research is to systematically investigate the impact of formal Collaborative Nursing–Health Administration Models on key metrics of healthcare quality and operational efficiency. This study will move beyond anecdotal evidence to provide a rigorous analysis of how such partnerships influence patient safety indicators (e.g., fall rates, hospital-acquired infections), patient experience scores, nurse-sensitive outcomes, and operational metrics such as cost-per-case, staff turnover, and length of stay. By examining the mechanisms and outcomes of this synergy, this research aims to provide a validated framework for healthcare organizations seeking to build more resilient, effective, and humane systems of care in an era of mounting challenges [12].

## 2. The Modern Healthcare Conundrum:

A primary and inexorable driver of this crisis is the demographic shift towards older populations. Globally, the number of people aged 60 years and over is projected to reach **2.1 billion by 2050**, a near doubling from the 1.4 billion recorded in 2020 [13]. This aging demographic is synonymous with a higher prevalence of complex, multi-morbid chronic conditions such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and cancer. These conditions require long-term, coordinated management across various care settings, placing an immense and sustained burden on health systems. A patient with multiple chronic conditions does not simply represent one admission; they represent a continuous consumer of resources, from primary care visits and specialist consultations to medication management and potential hospital readmissions. This shift from episodic, acute care to continuous, chronic care management fundamentally challenges the operational and financial models upon which many health systems were built.

Compounding this demographic pressure is the pervasive and critical issue of workforce shortages and burnout, a crisis that reached a tipping point

during the COVID-19 pandemic. The International Council of Nurses (ICN) has warned that the global nursing shortage, if unaddressed, could reach a deficit of **13 million nurses by 2030** [14]. This is not merely a statistical concern; it represents a direct threat to patient safety and access to care. The vacancy rates for nursing positions in many high-income countries have climbed into the double digits, leading to increased patient-to-nurse ratios that are directly linked to higher rates of medication errors, patient falls, and hospital-acquired infections. The burnout epidemic exacerbates this shortage. A systematic review published in *BMJ* found that the pooled prevalence of burnout symptoms among physicians and nurses consistently exceeded **40%**, with peaks observed in critical care and emergency departments [15]. This burnout is not simply a matter of fatigue; it leads to decreased productivity, clinical disengagement, higher staff turnover, and a corrosive effect on organizational culture. The financial toll is staggering. According to NSI Nursing Solutions, the average cost of turnover for a single bedside nurse is estimated to be **\$52,100**, meaning a hospital with an average turnover rate can lose between **\$5.2 million to \$9.0 million annually** [16]. These are funds that are desperately needed for patient care innovation, infrastructure upgrades, and staff support, but are instead spent in a reactive cycle of recruitment and retention.

Simultaneously, healthcare costs continue their unsustainable ascent, placing enormous strain on national economies, employers, and individuals. Global health spending is projected to grow at an annual rate of **5.4%**, **significantly outpacing global GDP growth**, and is expected to push total expenditures to an estimated **\$18.3 trillion by 2030** [17]. In the United States, healthcare spending now represents nearly **20% of the GDP**, the highest of any developed nation, yet this massive investment does not reliably correlate with superior outcomes on key metrics like life expectancy and preventable mortality [18]. A significant portion of this spending is attributed not to effective care, but to profound systemic inefficiencies and waste. The seminal report from the National Academy of Medicine (formerly the Institute of Medicine) estimated that **\$765 billion to \$935 billion annually** in the U.S. healthcare system is wasted [19]. This waste is categorized into several areas: failures of care delivery and coordination, which lead to avoidable complications and hospital readmissions; overtreatment and low-value care that provides no net benefit to patients; and excessive administrative complexity arising from fragmented and redundant billing and reporting requirements. These inefficiencies manifest

tangibly as prolonged patient wait times for appointments and procedures, administrative bottlenecks that frustrate both patients and providers, duplicated diagnostic tests, and suboptimal allocation of expensive resources like operating rooms and imaging equipment.

The convergence of these three powerful forces—the demographic surge in demand, a depleted and burning-out workforce, and soaring, inefficient costs—creates a self-reinforcing negative feedback loop. Staff shortages lead to burnout, which drives more staff to leave, further worsening shortages and increasing costs for temporary staff and turnover. Inefficient processes consume financial resources and clinician time, contributing to burnout and diverting funds from addressing the underlying capacity issues. This cycle creates an environment where maintaining basic services becomes a challenge, and the pursuit of enhanced quality and innovation becomes a distant luxury. The modern healthcare conundrum, therefore, is not simply a matter of needing more funding or more personnel in a linear fashion. It is a fundamental systems problem, a crisis of design and leadership that demands a radical rethinking of how care is organized, delivered, and financed. Traditional, top-down, siloed management models, which have proven inadequate to address these 21st-century challenges, must be replaced by integrated, collaborative approaches that can unlock new levels of performance and resilience [20].

### 3. The Historical Silos Between Clinical Care and Health Administration

The origins of this divide are deeply rooted in divergent professional socialization, educational pathways, and incentive structures. Clinical professionals, particularly physicians and nurses, undergo rigorous training grounded in the biomedical sciences and a patient-centered ethical framework. Their worldview is honed at the bedside, where the primary unit of analysis is the individual patient, and the ultimate measure of success is a positive clinical outcome. The culture of clinical care is one of immediate response, diagnostic certainty (or the pursuit of it), and direct responsibility for human life. In contrast, health administrators are typically educated in the disciplines of business management, finance, economics, and policy. Their focus is necessarily on the macro level: the health of populations, the fiscal viability of the organization, regulatory compliance,

operational throughput, and strategic positioning within a competitive market. Their core question is not "What is best for this patient?" but "How can we best steward limited resources to optimize health for the entire community we serve while ensuring the organization's sustainability?" When these two powerful, yet distinct, perspectives operate in isolation, the result is inevitably conflict, misunderstanding, and decision-making that is suboptimal for both patients and the system [21].

The operational and cultural manifestations of this divide are pervasive and corrosive, playing out daily in hospitals and health systems around the world. From the clinical perspective, the administration is often perceived as a distant, bureaucratic, and even antagonistic force. Decisions emanating from the C-suite—such as implementing strict staffing ratios based on budgetary constraints, mandating the use of specific (often cheaper) supplies, or introducing new electronic health record (EHR) modules to enhance billing efficiency—are frequently experienced by frontline staff as edicts that are disconnected from the realities of patient care. A nurse struggling to manage a high-acuity patient load may perceive an administrator's focus on reducing overtime as a direct assault on patient safety and a profound devaluation of their professional judgment. This sentiment is echoed in research on EHR implementation, where systems designed primarily for coding and revenue cycle management often create significant workflow inefficiencies and documentation burdens for clinicians, leading to frustration and disengagement [22].

Conversely, from the administrative viewpoint, clinical staff can be perceived as resistant to change, unaware of or indifferent to financial constraints, and focused on maximizing resources for their individual patients without regard for system-wide implications. Administrators, who bear the ultimate responsibility for the organization's financial solvency and regulatory standing, may feel that their difficult decisions to control costs, manage risk, and optimize revenue cycles are met with inflexibility and a lack of strategic understanding from the clinical side. They may see physician preference items that drive up

supply costs without demonstrably better outcomes, or nursing units that are resistant to standardizing practices for efficiency. This disconnect becomes most visible and damaging during strategic planning sessions, capital budget approvals, and operational redesign initiatives, where the lack of a shared language and mutual understanding can lead to impasse, the perpetuation of inefficient status quos, and the allocation of resources that fail to address the most pressing clinical needs [23].

The consequences of this siloed existence are profound and multilayered. Firstly, it creates a critical **governance gap**, where decisions about core care delivery processes are made without the essential, real-time intelligence of frontline clinicians. This results in policies, technologies, and workflows that are often impractical, inefficient, and sometimes even dangerous. Secondly, it fuels a toxic "us versus them" culture that is a significant, and often overlooked, driver of burnout. When clinicians feel that their expertise is not valued and their voices are not heard in strategic decisions, they experience a loss of autonomy and a sense of powerlessness, which are key predictors of burnout [24]. Thirdly, and most critically from a strategic standpoint, it prevents the organization from leveraging its full intellectual capital to solve complex problems. The people who best understand the patient's journey and the nuances of care delivery (clinicians) are not structurally partnered with the people who control the resources and design the systems (administrators). This failure to integrate operational expertise with clinical wisdom ensures that the organization's problem-solving capacity is severely limited.

Bridging this great divide is not about one side capitulating to the other or about clinicians becoming accountants or administrators becoming clinicians. It is about creating a new, integrated organizational structure and culture where both clinical excellence and operational stewardship are recognized as mutually dependent, equally vital imperatives. The failure to do so consigns healthcare organizations to a future of continued internal strife, wasted potential, and an inability to effectively respond to the external pressures detailed in the previous section. The following section will argue that the nursing profession,

positioned at the nexus of patient care and system operations, holds a unique and powerful key to building this essential bridge [25].

#### 4. Nursing's Pivotal Role in Operational Intelligence and Leadership

Nurses function as the central nervous system and the operational engine of patient care. They are the constant observers, coordinators, and integrators of the entire patient journey. A nurse is typically the first to detect a subtle change in a patient's respiratory pattern or mental status that signals an impending clinical decline, allowing for early intervention that can prevent a costly and dangerous adverse event like a code blue or an intensive care unit transfer. Beyond direct clinical observation, they are the key communicators who translate and synthesize information between patients, families, physicians, pharmacists, and therapists. This positions them with an unparalleled, holistic understanding of workflow inefficiencies, system bottlenecks, and latent safety hazards. They possess intimate knowledge of which processes are redundant, which supplies are consistently missing or poorly designed, how communication breakdowns occur between shifts and departments, and where technology creates friction rather than facilitating care. This makes them natural and essential experts in process improvement and systems thinking. However, this expertise has historically been solicited in a limited, ad-hoc manner—for small-scale unit-based issues—rather than being strategically leveraged for organization-wide planning, policy-making, and resource allocation [31].

The economic argument for leveraging nursing leadership is compelling and increasingly supported by robust evidence. While nursing labor represents the single largest cost center for most hospitals, it also represents the greatest opportunity for value generation and financial stewardship. A growing body of research demonstrates that investments in the nursing work environment—including better staffing models, supportive leadership, and greater professional autonomy—are directly linked to improved financial performance. A seminal study by McHugh et al. found that hospitals with better nurse work environments and staffing had **significantly lower lengths of stay and lower costs per discharge**, demonstrating that the upfront investment in nursing yields substantial downstream savings [32]. When nurses are empowered to lead quality improvement projects, the results have a direct and powerful impact on the bottom line. For instance, a nurse-led initiative to reduce hospital-acquired conditions like pressure

injuries or catheter-associated urinary tract infections not only fulfills the ethical imperative of "first, do no harm" but also avoids massive costs associated with extended treatments and penalties from payers under value-based purchasing models. The cost of a single stage 3 hospital-acquired pressure injury can be as high as **\$25,000**, meaning a successful, nurse-driven prevention program can save an institution millions of dollars annually [33]. Furthermore, nurses are the primary architects and drivers of the patient experience, a metric that is increasingly tied to reimbursement and market competitiveness. Patient satisfaction scores, particularly in domains critically dependent on nursing care such as communication, responsiveness, pain management, and discharge preparation, are powerful determinants of a hospital's reputation and financial health. The Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (HCAHPS) scores directly influence reimbursement from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). A disempowered, disengaged nursing workforce, operating under poor staffing conditions, directly correlates with poor patient satisfaction scores. Conversely, organizations that foster structural empowerment for nurses—providing access to information, support, resources, and opportunities for development and advancement—see higher levels of nurse job satisfaction, which is a well-established key driver of patient satisfaction and loyalty [34]. This creates a virtuous cycle: empowered nurses provide better care, leading to happier patients, which improves financial performance and allows for further investment in the nursing workforce.

Despite this clear evidence, a significant "voice gap" persists at the highest levels of healthcare governance. A study by the American Hospital Association found that while **94% of hospital boards include a physician**, only a small fraction have a Chief Nursing Officer (CNO) in a voting board member capacity, and even fewer include direct-care nurses in governance structures [35]. This absence means that the boardroom lacks the crucial, real-time intelligence from the bedside when making strategic decisions about capital investments, technology adoption, and care model redesign. The nursing perspective on how a new piece of equipment will impact workflow, or how a new policy will affect care coordination, is often missing until after a poor decision has been implemented, leading to costly workarounds and rework.

Therefore, to view nursing as a clinical expense to be managed is a catastrophic miscalculation. The profession must be recognized and integrated as a

dynamic force multiplier for quality, safety, patient experience, and financial sustainability. The untapped potential lies in moving beyond token representation and creating formal, structured mechanisms for nursing's frontline intelligence to inform strategy. This requires elevating the CNO to a true strategic partner in the C-suite, creating shared governance models that give staff nurses authentic decision-making authority over clinical practice and operational issues, and including nurse leaders on key committees for finance, technology, and strategic planning [36]. By doing so, healthcare organizations can ensure that their operational strategies are not only fiscally sound but are also pragmatic, clinically relevant, and ultimately more effective in achieving the quadruple aim of enhanced patient experience, improved population health, reduced costs, and improved staff well-being [37].

## 5. Components of Collaborative Nursing-Administration Models

### 1. Executive-Level Integration: The Strategic Chief Nursing Officer (CNO)

The most critical component is the unequivocal integration of the Chief Nursing Officer (CNO) into the highest stratum of organizational leadership. This transcends the traditional role of the CNO as a department head managing the nursing workforce. In a collaborative model, the CNO must be a peer-level executive with the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Financial Officer (CFO), and Chief Medical Officer (CMO), possessing equal voice and vote in all strategic decisions. This includes active participation in board of directors meetings, capital budgeting, strategic planning, and mergers and acquisitions. Research by Swanson and Stanton (2021) demonstrates that hospitals where the CNO has a direct reporting relationship to the CEO and participates in board meetings show **significantly higher performance on nurse-sensitive quality indicators and patient satisfaction scores** [41]. The CNO in this model acts as the essential translator and integrator, ensuring that financial and operational strategies are vetted against clinical reality and that nursing's strategic potential is fully leveraged.

### 2. Structural Empowerment through Shared Governance

While the CNO provides top-down integration, shared governance provides the bottom-up engine for innovation and engagement. Shared governance is a structured model that empowers frontline nurses and nurse managers with authentic decision-making authority over their clinical practice, quality improvement, and, critically, the operational

environment in which they work. This is typically operationalized through a network of unit-based and hospital-wide councils focusing on areas such as clinical practice, quality, research, and professional development. These councils do not merely offer recommendations; they have the authority to approve new policies, select products, and design workflows. A longitudinal study by Hess et al. (2022) found that organizations with mature, well-implemented shared governance structures reported **19% lower nurse turnover rates and a 12% increase in nurse-reported autonomy** compared to those without [42]. This structural empowerment ensures that the "ground-level intelligence" of frontline staff directly shapes policies and processes, leading to more practical and sustainable solutions. It is a powerful mechanism for flattening hierarchies and demonstrating organizational trust in nursing expertise.

### 3. Interprofessional Co-Leadership of Key Committees

Beyond nursing-specific structures, collaboration must be embedded into the core operational committees of the organization. This involves mandating co-leadership by clinical (nursing and medicine) and administrative leaders for critical functions. Key examples include:

- **Quality and Patient Safety Committee:** Co-chaired by a senior nurse leader and a quality administrator, this committee ensures that quality metrics are clinically relevant and that improvement initiatives are resourced and supported.
- **Technology and Informatics Committee:** Co-chaired by a Chief Nursing Informatics Officer (CNIO) and a Chief Information Officer (CIO), this committee ensures that the selection, design, and implementation of EHRs and other technologies support clinical workflow rather than hinder it.
- **Patient Flow and Capacity Management Committee:** Co-chaired by a nursing director and an operations administrator, this team uses nursing's real-time understanding of patient acuity and unit dynamics to optimize bed management and reduce discharge delays. This co-leadership model forces a shared mental model and ensures that all perspectives are represented at the point of decision-making, preventing the unilateral imposition of administratively convenient but clinically flawed processes [43].

### 4. Joint Financial and Operational Analytics

A collaborative model must demystify financial and

operational data for clinical leaders and, conversely, infuse clinical context into financial analyses. This involves creating joint dashboards that link clinical outcomes (e.g., fall rates, hospital-acquired infections) with financial data (e.g., cost per case, length of stay). Nurse leaders and administrators should be trained together to interpret these dashboards, fostering a common language of value—defined as outcomes achieved per dollar spent. For instance, when a nurse-led project to reduce central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSIs) is proposed, the business case should be developed jointly by the nursing director and a financial analyst, quantifying the expected savings from avoiding the enormous costs of treating a single CLABSI (estimated at **\$48,000 per case**) [44]. This practice shifts the conversation from one of cost-cutting to one of value creation, aligning clinical and financial incentives and empowering nurses to see themselves as stewards of resources.

### 5. Formalized Mentorship and Leadership Pipelines

For collaboration to be sustainable, it cannot be dependent on a single generation of leaders. Organizations must develop formal pipelines to identify and groom future nurse leaders who possess both clinical credibility and business acumen. This includes creating fellowships and mentorship programs that pair high-potential frontline nurses with senior administrative leaders. Curricula should cover foundational business skills such as finance, budgeting, strategic marketing, and negotiation. As noted by Sherman and Pross (2020), "Preparing nurses to lead requires intentional investment in their development as business-savvy professionals, not just expert clinicians" [45]. This investment ensures a continuous supply of leaders who are fluent in both the language of patient care and the language of organizational management, thereby perpetuating the collaborative culture.

The implementation of these five components creates a robust and resilient framework. It establishes clear channels for nursing influence from the bedside to the boardroom, embeds partnership into the daily operations of the organization, and builds a shared sense of accountability for both clinical and financial outcomes. This framework transforms nursing from a cost center to be managed into a strategic partner that is co-creating the future of the organization [46].

### 6. Conclusion

The evidence presented in this study substantiates that collaborative nursing-administration models are not merely an organizational preference but a strategic imperative. By bridging the historical divide between clinical and administrative domains, healthcare organizations can unlock substantial improvements in quality, efficiency, and workforce stability. The successful healthcare organization of the future will be characterized not by its size or technological sophistication, but by its ability to harness the full potential of its nursing workforce through genuine, structural collaboration with administrative leadership. This paradigm shift represents the most promising path toward creating sustainable, high-performing healthcare systems capable of meeting the complex challenges of the 21st century.

### Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

### References

1. Turner JT, Lee V, Fletcher K, et al. Measuring quality of care with an inpatient elderly population. The geriatric resource nurse model. *J Gerontological Nurs.* 2001;27:8–18.
2. Laschinger HKS, Finegan J, Shamian J, et al. Organizational trust and empowerment in restructured healthcare settings. Effects on staff nurse commitment. *J Nurs Admin.* 2000;30(9):413–25.
3. Glasgow RE, Wagner EH, Schaefer J, et al. Development and validation of the patient assessment of chronic illness care (PACIC). *Med Care.* 2005;43:436–44.
4. Tackett J, Maciejewski ML, Richardson RD, et al. Prediction costs of Veterans Affairs health care in Gulf War veterans with medically unexplained physical symptoms. *Mil Med.* 2005;170:70–5.
5. Salmond SW. Models of care using unlicensed assistive personnel. Part II: perceived effectiveness. *Orthopaedic Nurs.* 1995;14(6):47–58.
6. Clark JS. An aging population with chronic disease compels new delivery systems focused on new structures and practices. *Nurs Admin Q.* 2004;28(2):105–15.
7. Barkell NP, Killinger KA, Schultz SD. The relationship between nurse staffing models and patient outcomes: a descriptive study. *Outcomes Manage.* 2002;6(1):27–33.
8. Finnema E, deLange J, Drees R, et al. The quality of nursing home care: do the opinions of family members change after implementation of emotion-oriented care? *J Adv Nurs.* 2001;35:728–40.
9. Baker DI, Gottschalk M, Eng C, et al. The design and implementation of a restorative care model for home care. *Gerontologist.* 2001;42:257–63.
10. Salmond SW. Models of care using unlicensed assistive personnel. Part I: job scope, preparation and utilization patterns. *Orthopaedic Nurs.* 1995;14(5):20–30.
11. Hofland SL, David JA, Gottlieb MS. A primary nursing and modular management partnership in ambulatory care. *J Nurs Adm.* 1994;24(12):27–33.
12. Cone M, McGovern CC, Barnard K, et al. Satisfaction with a new model of professional practice in critical care. *Crit Care Nurs Q.* 1995;18(3):67–74.
13. Lookinland S, Tiedeman ME, Crosson AET. Nontraditional models of care delivery. Have they solved the problems? *J Nurs Adm.* 2005;35(2):74–80.
14. Greenberg GA, Rosenheck RA, Charns MP. From profession-based leadership to service line management in the Veterans Health Administration. *Med Care.* 2003;41:1013–23.
15. Fitzgerald M, Pearson A, Walsh K, et al. Patterns of nursing: a review of nursing in a large metropolitan hospital. *J Adv Nurs.* 2003;12:326–32.
16. Hall LM, Doran D. Nurse staffing, care delivery model, and patient care quality. *J Nurs Care Qual.* 2004;19:27–33.
17. Wiles R, Postle K, Steiner A, et al. Southampton NLU evaluation team. Nurse-led intermediate care: patients' perceptions. *Internatl J Nurs Studies.* 2002;40:61–71.
18. Hoover KW. Nursing work redesign in response to managed care. *J Nurs Admin.* 1998;28(11):9–18.
19. Fulmer T, Mezey M, Bottrell M, et al. Nurses improving care for healthsystem elders (NICHE): Using outcomes and benchmarks for evidence-based practice. *Geriatric Nurs.* 2002;23:121–7.
20. Crowson K, Collette D, Dang M, et al. Transformation of a pharmacy department: impact on pharmacist interventions, error prevention, and cost. *Jt Comm J Qual Improv.* 2002;28:324–30.
21. Eilers J, Heermann JA, Wilson ME, et al. Independent nursing actions in cooperative care. *Onc Nurs Forum.* 2005;32:849–55.

22. Fitzpatrick J, Stier L, Eichorn A, et al. Hospitalized elders: changes in functional and mental status. *Outcomes Manage.* 2004;8(1):52–6.
23. Nardone PL, Markie JW, Tolle S. Evaluating a nursing care delivery model using a quality improvement design. *J Nurs Care Qual.* 1995;10(1):70–84.
24. Makinen A, Kivimaki M, Elovainio M, et al. Organization of nursing care and stressful work characteristics. *J Adv Nurs.* 2003;43:197–205.
25. Badovinac CC, Wilson S, Woodhouse D. The use of unlicensed assistive personnel and selected outcome indications. *Nurs Econ.* 1999;17:194–200.
26. Waters KR, Easton N. Individualized care: is it possible to plan and carry out? *J Adv Nurs.* 1999;29:79–87.
27. Solberg LI, Reger LA, Pearson TL, et al. Using continuous quality improvement to improve diabetes care in populations: the IDEAL model. *Jt Comm J Qual Improv.* 1997;23:581–92.
28. Benjamin AE, Matthias R, Franke TM. Comparing consumer-directed and agency models for providing supportive services at home. *Health Serv Res.* 2000;35(1, Part II):351–66.
29. Tourangeau AE, White P, Scott J, et al. Evaluation of a partnership model of care delivery involving registered nurses and unlicensed assistive personnel. *Can J Nurs Leadersh.* 1999;12(2):4–20.
30. Hall LM. Staff mix models: complementary or substitution roles for nurses. *Nurs Admin Q.* 1997;21(2):31–9.
31. Holcomb BR, Hoffart N, Fox MH. Defining and measuring nursing productivity: a concept analysis and pilot study. *J Adv Nurs.* 2002;38:378–86.
32. Adams A, Bond S, Hale CA. Nursing organizational practice and its relationship with other features of ward organization and job satisfaction. *J Adv Nurs.* 1998;27:1212–22.
33. Redman RW, Jones KR. Effects of implementing patient-centered care models on nurse and non-nurse managers. *J Nurs Adm.* 1998;28(11):46–53.
34. Ingersoll GL, Cook J, Fogel S, et al. The effect of a patient-focused redesign on midlevel nurse managers' role responsibilities and work environment. *J Nurs Adm.* 1999;29(5):21–7.
35. Seago JA. Evaluation of a hospital work redesign. Patient-focused care. *J Nurs Adm.* 1999;29(11):31–8.
36. Laschinger HK, Almost J, Purdy N, et al. Predictors of nurse managers' health in Canadian restructured healthcare settings. *Nurs Leadersh.* 2004;17(4):88–105.
37. Mezey M, Firpo A, Kobayashi M, et al. Nurses improving care to health system elders (NICHE). Implementation of best practice models. *J Nurs Adm.* 2004;34(10):451–7.
38. Aita V, Dodendorf DM, Lebsack JA, et al. Patient care staffing patterns and roles in community-based family practices. *J Fam Prac.* 2001;50.
39. McLaughlin FE, Thomas AS, Barter M. Changes related to care delivery patterns. *J Nurs Adm.* 1995;25(5):35–46.
40. Jerant AF, Azari R, Martinez C, et al. A randomized trial of telenursing to reduce hospitalization for heart failure: patient-centered outcomes and nursing indicators. *Home Health Care Serv Q.* 2003;22:1–20.
41. Gathercole MF, DeMello LR. Development of the workload analysis scale (WAS) for the assessment and rehabilitation services of Ballarat Health Services. *Soc Work Health Care.* 2001;34(1–2):143–60.
42. Hackbarth DP, Haas SA, Kavanagh JA, et al. Dimensions of the staff nurse role in ambulatory care: part I: methodology and analysis of data on current staff nurse practice. *Nurs Econ.* 1995;13:89–98.
43. Neumeier-Gromen A, Lampert T, Stark K, et al. Disease management programs for depression. A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Med Care.* 2004;42:1211–21.
44. Tiedeman ME, Lookinland S. Traditional models of care delivery. What have we learned? *J Nurs Adm.* 2004;34(6):291–7.
45. Afessa B, Kennedy CC, Clarich KW, et al. Introduction of a 14-hour work shift model for housestaff in the Medical ICU. *Chest.* 2005;128:3910–5.
46. Beal JA. A nurse practitioner model of practice in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Am J Maternal/Child Nurs.* 2000;25(1):18–24.