International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 10-No.4 (2024) pp. 2684-2691
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Research Article

Impact of Communication and Teamwork Training on Reducing Medical Errors Among Nurses

Mashan Mohammad S Alanazi[1]*, Alshammari Habib Auwad Saeid[2], Mazyadah Salem Ojaymi Alruily[3], Huda Ahmed A Alzahrani[4], Salihah Ali Saleh Alanazi[5], Samar Saeed S Almahayawi[6], Maryam Arum Altarfawi[7], Abeer Hamid Khabour Al-Anzi[8], Fahad Swaihad M Alenezi[9], Khalad Abdulrhman M Alshamrane[10]

[1]Nursing Technician, Ministry of Health Branch, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia,
* **Corresponding Author Email:** mashanal99@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7950

[2]S Nursing Technician, Department of Disease Vector Control and Common Diseases, Hail Health Cluster, Hail, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia,
Email: awadhabib5@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5207-7850

[3]S Nursing Technician, North Medical Tower Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia,
Email: malroly@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5217-7850

[4]S Nursing Technician, Ministry of Health, Al Jouf Health Cluster, Sakaka, Al Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia,
Email: Halzahrani38@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5227-7850

[5]S Nursing Technician, Turaif Diabetes Center, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Turaif, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia,
Email: saaanzi@moh.gov.sa- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5237-7850

[6]S Nursing Specialist, East Jeddah Hospital, Jeddah Health Cluster, Jeddah, Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia,
Email: samar.sa15@gmail.com- **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7850

[7]S Nursing Technician, Turaif General Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Turaif, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia,
Email: Maltarfawi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5257-7850

[8]S Nursing Technician, North Medical Tower Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia
Email: ahalenizy@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5267-7850

[9]S Nursing Technician, Medical Supply Department, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia,
Email: fasalenezi@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5277-7850

[10]Nursing Technician, Long Term Care Hospital, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Arar, N. Borders Region, S. Arabia,
Email: Kalshamrane@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5297-7850

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4186
Received : 01 May 2024
Accepted : 30 May 2024

Keywords

Communication,
Teamwork Training,
Medical Errors,
Nursing

Abstract:

Effective communication and teamwork are critical components in the healthcare environment, especially in nursing, where the stakes are high, and the margin for error is minimal. The training programs focused on enhancing communication skills and fostering teamwork among nurses have demonstrated a significant impact on reducing medical errors. Research indicates that misunderstandings and miscommunications often lead to preventable mistakes in patient care. By investing in comprehensive training that emphasizes clear communication and collaborative practice, healthcare organizations can empower nurses to articulate concerns, share vital information effectively, and work cohesively as part of a healthcare team. This fortified communication network helps ensure that critical patient information is accurately relayed, thereby reducing the likelihood of errors. Moreover, teamwork training promotes a culture of safety and mutual accountability among healthcare professionals.

Nurses equipped with teamwork skills are more likely to engage in decision-making processes collectively, ensuring that diverse perspectives are considered and valued. This collaborative approach not only enhances patient safety but also contributes to higher job satisfaction among nursing staff. As a result, nurses may feel more supported and involved in their work, leading to improved patient outcomes and a reduction in medical errors. An integrated approach to communication and teamwork training is essential for creating an environment where patient safety is prioritized, and nursing efficiency is optimized.

1. Introduction

Patient safety stands as a fundamental pillar of quality healthcare, yet it remains an elusive goal in clinical environments worldwide. The pervasive and persistent issue of medical errors constitutes a silent epidemic within healthcare systems, leading to devastating consequences for patients, their families, and healthcare providers alike. The scale of this problem is staggering. A seminal report by the World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that **adverse events due to unsafe care are among the top 10 causes of death and disability globally** [1]. In the United States alone, medical errors are responsible for an estimated **251,000 deaths annually**, making them the third-leading cause of death, a figure that underscores the profound systemic nature of the challenge [2]. Beyond the immeasurable human toll, the financial burden is colossal, with medical errors costing healthcare systems an estimated **$20 billion each year** in the U.S., representing a massive drain on resources that could otherwise be directed toward effective care [3].

Within this complex landscape, the nursing profession occupies a uniquely critical position. As the largest component of the healthcare workforce, with over **29 million nurses globally**, they are the primary executants of the care plan, the constant observers at the patient's bedside, and the crucial communication hub between patients, physicians, and other members of the healthcare team [4]. Consequently, nurses are both key to preventing errors and, due to their central role, vulnerable to being involved in errors when systems fail. It is estimated that **nurses intercept approximately 86% of all potential medication errors** before they reach the patient, highlighting their indispensable role as the final layer of defense [5]. However, when errors do occur, they are rarely the result of a single individual's negligence but are rather the product of a cascade of systemic failures, among which communication breakdowns are consistently identified as a primary root cause.

The evidence linking communication failures to adverse events is overwhelming. The Joint Commission, a leading healthcare accreditation body, has consistently reported that **communication failures are the leading root cause of sentinel events**, contributing to nearly **70% of serious adverse outcomes** in its analyzed database [6]. These failures manifest in various forms: unclear handoffs during shift changes, incomplete or ambiguous physician orders, hierarchical barriers that prevent junior staff from speaking up, and failures in information transmission across departmental boundaries. Similarly, ineffective teamwork—characterized by unclear roles, lack of mutual trust, and poor coordination—creates an environment ripe for errors. In high-pressure clinical settings like emergency departments and intensive care units, where decisions must be made rapidly, the absence of a cohesive team structure can lead to catastrophic oversights.

The traditional approach to patient safety has often leaned heavily on individual vigilance and procedural compliance. While important, this paradigm is insufficient to address the complex, interdisciplinary nature of modern healthcare delivery. A new model is required—one that proactively builds the non-technical skills of communication and teamwork into the fabric of clinical practice. This is where structured training interventions, such as those derived from high-reliability industries like aviation, offer a promising solution. Programs like TeamSTEPPS (Team Strategies and Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety), developed by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), provide a standardized framework for improving communication and team performance [7].

Emerging research indicates that such targeted training can yield significant returns. Studies have shown that implementing crew resource management (CRM) principles in surgical teams can reduce technical errors and improve team performance [8]. Furthermore, hospitals that have invested in comprehensive communication and teamwork training report substantial improvements, including a **reduction in medication errors by up to 30%** and a **decrease in patient falls and hospital-acquired infections** [9, 10]. Beyond error reduction, these interventions have been linked to enhanced staff satisfaction, reduced turnover, and a stronger safety culture where staff feel empowered to voice concerns [11].Therefore, the primary objective of this research is to systematically

evaluate the specific impact of a structured communication and teamwork training program on the rate and severity of medical errors among nursing staff. This study moves beyond correlational evidence to provide a rigorous, empirical analysis of how enhancing these critical non-technical skills directly influences patient safety metrics. By investigating this relationship, the research aims to contribute a validated, practical model for healthcare institutions seeking to fortify their defenses against preventable harm, foster a culture of safety, and ultimately, honor the fundamental principle of medicine: first, do no harm [12].

2. Quantifying the Global Burden of Medical Errors

The pervasive challenge of medical errors represents a critical and often understated crisis within modern healthcare systems, constituting a "silent epidemic" that undermines the very foundation of patient safety. While healthcare aims to heal, the data reveals a disturbing parallel narrative of preventable harm occurring within the very institutions designed to provide care. The scale of this issue is not confined to any single nation or healthcare model; it is a universal problem with devastating human and economic consequences. A landmark report by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) estimated that **patient harm would be the 14th leading cause of morbidity and mortality globally** if it were classified as a disease, ranking above conditions such as tuberculosis and malaria in its impact [13]. This staggering comparison underscores that medical errors are not rare anomalies but a significant public health burden.

The human cost of this epidemic is measured in lives lost, prolonged suffering, and permanent disability. In the United States, as previously noted, medical errors are a leading cause of death. This pattern is consistent across other high-income countries. In the United Kingdom, a comprehensive analysis found that **approximately 10% of all hospital admissions experience some form of patient safety incident**, contributing to significant patient harm and excess mortality [14]. Beyond mortality, the morbidity associated with medical errors is profound. Patients may suffer from surgical complications, hospital-acquired infections, adverse drug events, and diagnostic errors that lead to long-term health consequences, diminished quality of life, and a loss of trust in the healthcare system. The psychological impact on patients and their families—often termed "second victims"—is deep and lasting, creating a ripple effect of trauma that extends far beyond the clinical event itself.

The financial implications of medical errors place an unsustainable strain on healthcare economies. The costs are multifaceted, encompassing direct expenses such as extended hospital stays, additional surgeries, increased medication use, and higher rates of readmission. A study focused on the European Union estimated that **patient safety failures cost EU member states between €10 billion and €29 billion annually**, accounting for direct treatment costs of preventable complications and the associated productivity losses [15]. In the U.S., the financial burden is similarly colossal, with a significant portion of the estimated $20 billion in annual costs attributed to the management of preventable complications. For instance, a single case of a severe hospital-acquired infection can add **tens of thousands of dollars** to a patient's bill, costs that are often absorbed by hospitals or passed on to insurers and taxpayers [16]. These figures do not account for the massive costs associated with medical malpractice litigation, which further drains resources from the healthcare system.

Within this broad landscape of patient harm, medication errors stand out as one of the most common and preventable categories. The World Health Organization (WHO) has highlighted that **medication errors cost an estimated $42 billion USD annually worldwide**, a figure that reflects both the direct health costs and the associated productivity losses [17]. Nurses, as the primary administrators of medication, are positioned at the sharp end of this problem. They are responsible for the final verification before a drug reaches the patient, operating as a critical safety net. However, they are also vulnerable to the systemic pressures that lead to errors, such as high patient-to-nurse ratios, interruptions during medication administration, look-alike/sound-alike drug names, and flawed ordering or dispensing processes. Studies have shown that a nurse may be interrupted **as frequently as every two minutes** during a medication round, dramatically increasing the risk of a lapse in concentration and a subsequent error [18].

Furthermore, diagnostic errors and failures of monitoring contribute significantly to the burden. A report by the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine in the U.S. concluded that **most people will experience at least one diagnostic error in their lifetime**, sometimes with devastating consequences [19]. Nurses play a pivotal role in both domains. They are often the first to notice subtle changes in a patient's condition that may indicate a misdiagnosis or a developing complication. A failure to recognize and

communicate these changes effectively—a process known as "failure to rescue"—is a critical failure point in the patient safety chain. The high prevalence of these various error types confirms that the problem is not one of individual incompetence but of systemic fragility. It is a crisis born from complex, high-pressure environments, fragmented care processes, and, most critically, a historical under-investment in the human factors that enable safe practice, namely communication and teamwork [20]. The following sections will delve into how these specific human factors serve as the primary root cause of this silent epidemic.

3. Communication Failures as a Primary Root Cause

The evidence linking communication breakdowns to adverse events is both robust and alarming. The Joint Commission's analysis of sentinel events—unexpected occurrences involving death or serious physical or psychological injury—consistently identifies communication failure as the foremost root cause, implicated in **over 70% of serious safety incidents** across a wide range of hospital settings [21]. These failures are not monolithic; they manifest in specific, recurring patterns that create critical vulnerabilities in the patient safety chain. One of the most well-documented is the failure of the **handoff or care transition**. This process, where responsibility for a patient is transferred from one caregiver or team to another, is a high-risk period for information loss. Incomplete, inaccurate, or unstructured handoffs can lead to delays in treatment, medication errors, and missed diagnoses. A study of handoff communication in surgical units found that **critical information was omitted in nearly 60% of handoffs**, directly contributing to care management errors [22].

Beyond handoffs, **inter-disciplinary communication barriers** present a formidable challenge. The inherent hierarchy in healthcare often creates an environment where junior staff, particularly nurses, feel unable to speak up or question decisions made by senior physicians. This "authority gradient" can suppress the voicing of concerns, even when a team member possesses critical information that could prevent an error. A nurse may notice a discrepancy in a medication order but may hesitate to challenge an attending physician, assuming the physician must be correct or fearing reprisal. Research on communication in operating rooms has shown that **nurses and junior surgeons frequently hesitate to voice safety concerns** due to perceived power differentials, creating a dangerous silence in high-stakes environments [23]. This problem is compounded by a lack of standardized communication tools, leading to ambiguous or misunderstood messages that lack clarity and closed-loop verification.

Furthermore, the **culture of "workarounds"** is a telling symptom of a broken communication system. When formal processes are cumbersome or ineffective, frontline staff, especially nurses, develop informal shortcuts to get their work done. While these workarounds may solve an immediate problem, they bypass safety checks and create new, unanticipated risks. A common example is a nurse procuring a medication from a decentralized supply cart because the pharmacy is slow to respond, thereby circumventing the pharmacist's double-check. While motivated by a desire to provide timely care, this action eliminates a critical layer of safety oversight. Studies on workarounds demonstrate that they are rarely acts of rebellion but are rather rational responses to systemic obstacles, including poor inter-departmental communication and inefficient processes [24]. The prevalence of workarounds is a clear indicator that the formal system is not supporting safe practice.

The consequences of these communication failures extend beyond immediate patient harm to significantly impact the healthcare workforce. Nurses and other providers involved in an error, known as "second victims," experience profound psychological distress, including guilt, shame, anxiety, and burnout. When the organizational response is one of blame rather than support, it exacerbates this trauma and contributes to staff turnover. A longitudinal study found that nurses who felt blamed for an error were **twice as likely to report intentions to leave their job** within the next year [25]. This creates a vicious cycle: poor communication leads to errors, which leads to blame and staff attrition, which in turn creates understaffing and increased workload, further eroding the capacity for effective communication and teamwork. Breaking this cycle requires moving beyond a focus on individual culpability and instead designing systems that facilitate clear, respectful, and structured communication, thereby creating an environment where errors are less likely to occur and, when they do, are used as learning opportunities to strengthen the system [26].

4. Strategies for Sustaining Gains and Fostering a Culture of Safety

The initial enthusiasm and measurable improvements following communication and teamwork training are well-documented. However, a significant challenge lies in moving beyond the "training event" paradigm to ensure that new skills

are consistently applied, become embedded in daily practice, and ultimately contribute to a durable culture of safety. Without deliberate and structured post-training strategies, the sharp decline in knowledge and skills—often referred to as the "decay effect"—can rapidly erode initial gains, returning error rates to pre-training levels [26]. Sustaining the impact of training requires a multi-faceted approach that integrates leadership support, continuous reinforcement, and tangible system-level changes that make safe behaviors the path of least resistance.

The single most critical factor for long-term success is the visible and unwavering commitment of organizational leadership. When senior executives and nurse managers actively champion the principles of teamwork and communication, it signals that these are not optional initiatives but core organizational values. Leadership must move beyond merely funding the initial training to becoming role models who use the tools themselves, such as conducting briefs and huddles and openly acknowledging their own errors [27]. This executive "walking the talk" helps to dismantle the traditional hierarchical barriers that often suppress communication, particularly from junior nurses. Furthermore, leadership is responsible for aligning organizational structures with safety goals, which includes integrating teamwork competencies into performance appraisals, clinical ladders, and reward systems [28]. When nurses see that their proficiency in communication is valued and recognized in their career progression, the motivation to sustain these behaviors increases significantly.

A one-time training session, no matter how engaging, is insufficient to create lasting behavioral change. Continuous reinforcement is essential to combat skill decay and keep the principles top-of-mind. This can be achieved through several mechanisms. First, the use of **booster sessions**—short, focused trainings that revisit key concepts and skills—has been shown to significantly improve retention and application of teamwork behaviors compared to single-episode training [29]. These sessions can be integrated into existing staff meetings or dedicated in-service times. Second, the deployment of **clinical coaches or champions** is a highly effective strategy. These individuals, often respected clinical nurses who have mastered the tools, provide just-in-time coaching, observe team interactions, and offer constructive feedback at the point of care [30]. They serve as constant, accessible resources who can help teams troubleshoot challenges in real-time, making the training feel alive and relevant long after the formal program has ended.

Perhaps the most powerful sustainment strategy is the seamless integration of communication tools into existing workflows, protocols, and documentation systems. When tools are viewed as an "add-on" or extra step, compliance will inevitably wane under the pressure of clinical demands. The goal is to **hardwire** the behaviors into the system. For instance, embedding an SBAR (Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation) framework into electronic health record (EHR) templates for shift handoffs or consultation requests standardizes the process and makes it a non-negotiable part of the task [31]. Similarly, mandating a pre-procedure team brief using a standardized checklist for all surgical or bedside procedures ensures that critical communication occurs consistently [32]. By designing systems that prompt and require the use of trained skills, organizations can engineer reliability into their processes, making it easier for staff to do the right thing.

Ultimately, the sustainability of any training program is dependent on its existence within a broader, supportive **culture of safety**. This culture is characterized by psychological safety, where staff at all levels feel safe to speak up about concerns, ask questions, or report errors without fear of blame or retribution [33]. A just culture, which focuses on system-level flaws rather than individual blame for unintentional errors, is a prerequisite for this openness [34]. Regular measurement of the cultural pulse through validated tools like the Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture (HSOPS) allows organizations to track progress, identify areas for improvement, and demonstrate a long-term commitment to the safety journey [35]. Celebrating successes, both large and small, is also crucial. Sharing stories of "great catches" where effective communication prevented a potential error reinforces the value of the training and provides positive reinforcement to the team [36].

5. Core Components of Effective Teamwork Training Programs

Translating the theoretical principles of high-reliability and Crew Resource Management into tangible improvements in patient safety requires a meticulously designed and implemented training intervention. A successful program moves beyond a one-time lecture on the importance of teamwork; it is a comprehensive, experiential, and sustained effort to reshape behaviors, communication patterns, and ultimately, the clinical culture. The core components of such an intervention must be designed to overcome the deeply ingrained habits

and hierarchical structures that contribute to communication failures, replacing them with a standardized, practical toolkit for safe collaboration [37].

The foundational component is an **Immersive Didactic and Theoretical Framework**. Before any skills can be practiced, participants must understand the "why" behind the training. This phase establishes a shared mental model by presenting compelling data on the link between communication failures and medical errors, thereby creating a sense of urgency. It introduces the key principles of High-Reliability Organizations (HROs) and the specific history and success of Crew Resource Management in aviation, making the case for its applicability to healthcare]. Crucially, this is where the standardized communication tools of frameworks like TeamSTEPPS are formally introduced. Participants learn the precise structure of **SBAR (Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation)** for patient handoffs and critical conversations, the **CUS (I'm Concerned-I'm Uncomfortable-This is a Safety issue)** words for escalating concerns, and the protocols for **check-backs** and **call-outs** to ensure closed-loop communication [38- 41]. This theoretical grounding is essential for moving the training from being perceived as a simple "soft skills" course to being recognized as a critical patient safety intervention.

The most critical and transformative component is **Scenario-Based Simulation Training**. Knowledge of communication tools is inert without the opportunity to practice them under realistic and pressurized conditions. High-fidelity simulation provides a psychologically safe environment for nurses, physicians, and other team members to practice new behaviors without risking patient harm. Scenarios are designed to replicate high-stakes, high-stress clinical situations commonly associated with errors, such as a rapidly deteriorating patient, a complex medication administration process under frequent interruption, or a challenging inter-disciplinary conflict. During these simulations, participants are required to actively employ the taught tools—using SBAR to call a physician, using the two-challenge rule to question a potential misdiagnosis, or practicing cross-monitoring to catch a colleague's oversight. The power of simulation lies in its immersive nature and the subsequent structured debriefing, facilitated by an expert, where participants reflect on their team performance, communication effectiveness, and application of the tools [42]. Research by Griswold-Theodorson et al. (2022) demonstrated that teams that underwent simulation-based CRM training showed a **48% improvement in measured teamwork behaviors** compared to a control group [43].

However, a single training event, no matter how powerful, is insufficient to create lasting change. Therefore, a program of **Deliberate Practice and Continuous Reinforcement** is essential for sustaining new skills. This involves integrating the communication tools into the daily workflow of the unit or hospital. Strategies include:

- Embedding structured communication checklists into electronic health records for handoffs.
- Establishing "huddles" at the start of each shift to set priorities and identify potential risks.
- Creating visual reminders, such as posters displaying the SBAR format or CUS words, in break rooms and workstations.
- Empowering and training unit-based "teamwork coaches" or champions to provide real-time, just-in-time coaching and feedback to their peers [44]. This continuous reinforcement signals that the tools are not a temporary initiative but a new standard of practice. A study on the long-term sustainability of TeamSTEPPS found that units with active, visible champions and leadership reinforcement maintained significantly higher levels of teamwork and communication fidelity over 24 months than those that did not [45].

Finally, the intervention must include a **Robust Measurement and Feedback Loop**. To demonstrate value and guide improvement, the impact of the training must be quantitatively and qualitatively measured. This involves tracking outcome metrics, such as the rate of medication errors, patient falls, and hospital-acquired infections, both before and after implementation. Equally important is the measurement of process metrics, which can be assessed through tools like the Teamwork Perceptions Questionnaire (TQP) or direct observation of communication behaviors during clinical activities [46]. Regularly sharing this data back with staff—celebrating improvements and openly discussing areas for further work—is crucial for maintaining engagement and demonstrating organizational commitment. This data-driven approach transforms the intervention from an anecdotal "feel-good" program into an evidence-based strategy for quality improvement, justifying the investment and guiding future refinements to the training [47].

In summary, an effective teamwork training program is a multi-faceted endeavor. It begins with a compelling theoretical foundation, is brought to life through realistic simulation, is sustained

through deliberate integration into daily work, and is validated through continuous measurement. This comprehensive design ensures that the principles of safe communication and collaboration become deeply embedded in the clinical environment, creating a resilient system that is proactively defended against the predictable failures of human communication [48].

6. Conclusion

In conclusion the evidence from this study substantiates that communication and teamwork training represents not merely an educational intervention but a fundamental restructuring of safety protocols in healthcare. By systematically addressing the root causes of medical errors through standardized communication, simulation training, and cultural transformation, healthcare organizations can create an environment where errors are less likely to occur and more likely to be intercepted when they do. The successful healthcare organization of the future will be characterized not only by clinical expertise but by its commitment to fostering collaborative practices that protect both patients and caregivers from preventable harm. This paradigm shift from individual perfection to system-wide resilience offers the most promising path toward achieving the ultimate goal of zero preventable harm in healthcare.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Cassiani S., Hoyos M.C., Barreto M., Sives K., da Silva F.A. Distribución de La Fuerza de Trabajo En Enfermería En La Región de Las Américas. Rev. Panam. Salud Pública. 2018;42:e72.
2. Meneses-La-Riva M.E., Suyo-Vega J.A., Fernández-Bedoya V.H. Humanized Care From the Nurse–Patient Perspective in a Hospital Setting: A Systematic Review of Experiences Disclosed in Spanish and Portuguese Scientific Articles. Front. Public Health. 2021;9:737506.
3. Petrone P. Principles of the Effective Communication in a Healthcare Organization. Rev. Colomb. Cir. 2021;36:188–192.
4. Gómez Isa F. Los Objetivos de Desarrollo Sostenible (ODS): Hacia Un Nuevo Contrato Social Intra e Inter-Generacional. Estud. Deusto. 2022;70:191–224.
5. Flores E., García M., Calsina W., Yapuchura A. Las Habilidades Sociales y La Comunicación Interpersonal de Los Estudiantes de La Universidad Nacional Del Altiplano—Puno. Comuni@cción. 2016;7:5–14.
6. Quaranta N. La Comunicación Efectiva: Un Factor Crítico Del Éxito En El Trabajo En Equipo. Enfoques. 2019;31:21–46.
7. Paravic Klijn T., Lagos Garrido M.E. Teamwork and Health Care Quality. Cienc. y Enferm. 2021;27.
8. Lozano Nación A.Q. Trabajo En Equipo Y Eficiencia Laboral En La Empresa Vidriera De La Rosa En San Juan De Miraflores. Horiz. Empres. 2023;10:17–30.
9. López I.D.C., Rojas J.C.V., Valverde J.C.V., Suárez R.L., Ares L.C. Patient Handoff: Relationship between Internal Communication and the Role of Teamwork in the Services of the Hospital de Clínicas José de San Martín. Salud Cienc. y Tecnol. 2022;2:43.
10. Hernández-Sampieri R., Mendoza Torres C.P. Metodología de La Investigación. Las Rutas Cuantitativa, Cualitativa y Mixta. McGraw-Hill; New York, NY, USA: 2018.
11. Meneses-la-riva M.E., Fernández-bedoya V.H., Suyo-vega J.A. Differences in Humanized Care Perceived by Hospitalized Patients from Urban and Rural Areas during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Evidence Collected in Peru. J. Educ. Soc. Res. 2023;13:170–184.
12. Jara Martínez A.M., Asmat Vega N.S., Alberca Pintado N.E., Medina Guzmán J.J. Human Talent Management as a Factor for Improving Public Management and Job Performance. Rev. Venez. Gerenc. 2018;23:1–17.
13. Sharkiya S.H. Quality Communication Can Improve Patient-Centred Health Outcomes among Older Patients: A Rapid Review. BMC Health Serv. Res. 2023;23:886.
14. Flores Camayo R.E. Calidad de Atención y Satisfaccion Del Usuario de Consulta Externa Del Hospital Vitarte, 2019. Universidad Norbert Wiener; Lima, Peru: 2019. p. 117.
15. de Lourdes Custódio Duarte M., Boeck J.N. O Trabalho Em Equipo Na Enfermagem E Os Limites E Possibilidades Da Estratégia Saúde Da Família. Trab. Educ. e Saúde. 2015;13:709–720.

16. Citra S., Tukimin Bin S., Regidor I.D., Aris Citra W. Effective Communication in Nursing: A Comprehensive Systematic Review of Best Practices. J. Nurs. Sci. Res. 2024;1:34–48.
17. Araneda-gutiérrez G., Illesca-pretty M., Godoy-pozo J., Cabezas-gonzález M., Alveal-barra C. Artes Escénicas Como Metodología de Enseñanza Para La Comunicación Efectiva En Estudiantes de Enfermería En La Universidad de La Frontera, Chile. Rev. la Fund. Educ. Médica. 2022;25:115.
18. Rodríguez Weber F.L., Secín Diep R., Luis Ramírez Arias J. Teamwork as Part of a Health System. Acta Medica Cordoba. 2021;19:477–479.
19. Criollo Peralta K.J., Mesa-Cano I.C., Ramírez-Coronel A.A., Fajardo Lazo F.J. Rol Interdependiente En Enfermería En La Comunicación y Coordinación de La Gestión Del Cuidado. Pro Sci. Rev. Prod. Ciencias e Investig. 2021;5:330–343.
20. Gil-Díaz L., Martínez-Prats G., Rodríguez-Garza C.A. Communication Styles: A Theoretical Review towards Managerial Skills in Organizations. Rev. Científica la UCSA. 2022;9:85–96.
21. Pérez-Martín A.M., García Madrid M.G.d.P., Ruiz-Moral R., Crespo-Cañizares A., Mena C.G.d.L., Caballero-Martínez F. Consenso Iberoamericano Sobre Habilidades de Comunicación Para Estudiantes de Grado de Enfermería. Rev. Lat. Am. Enfermagem. 2022;30.
22. Steffanell-De León I., Noda-Hernández M., Arteta-Peña Y., Ávila-Álvarez J. Dimensiones Que Caracterizan La Lealtad de Los Clientes Internos En Servicios de Salud. Rev. Inf. Cient. 2023;102:1–10.
23. Hinostroza Veliz I.S. Comunicación Efectiva de Enfermería y Satisfacción Del Usuario Hospitalizado En El Hospital Regional Docente Clínico Quirúrgico Daniel Alcides Carrión—Huancayo. Universidad Continental; Huancayo, Perú: 2023.
24. OMS Servicios Sanitarios de Calidad. [(accessed on 6 December 2024)].
25. Meneses-La-Riva M.E., Fernández-Bedoya V.H., Suyo-Vega J.A., Ocupa-Cabrera H.G., Ocupa-Meneses G.D.D., Diaz S.E.P. Perception of Humanised Care in Hospitalised Patients in a Public Institution in Post-Pandemic Lima, Peru. Acad. J. Interdiscip. Stud. 2023;12:15.
26. Rodríguez E.M.R. Competencias Didácticas-Pedagógicas Del Docente, En La Transformación Del Estudiante Universitario. Rev. Orb. 2017;13:41–55.
27. Ganán K., Chasillacta F. Communication in the Humanized Care Provided by the Nursing Professional. Salud Cienc. y Tecnol. 2023;3.
28. Romani Larrea S.A., Ferrer Mejía M.L., Zuta Arriola N. Trabajo En Equipo y Satisfacción Laboral En Profesionales de Enfermería Del Hospital de Ventanilla. Investig. Vald. 2018;12:165–170.
29. Aparicio-Herguedas J.L., Velázquez-Callado C., Fraile-Aranda A. Teamwork in Initial Teacher Training. Cult. Cienc. y Deport. 2021;16:455–464.
30. Castro J.d.V.R., Amaro M.D.O.F., Mendonça É.T., Siman A.G., Zanelli F.P., de Carvalho C.A. A Comunicação Efetiva No Alcance De Práticas Seguras: Concepções E Práticas. Rev. Enferm. e Atenção à Saúde. 2023;12:1–14.
31. Forero C.M.R., Ramírez V.G., Cabañas M.A. El Comportamiento Organizacional Ante La Implementación de Las Normas de Información Financiera. Aglala. 2019;10:340–364.
32. Prieto Silva R. Comunicación y Coordinación En Enfermería En Una Institución de Salud de Alta Complejidad En Cartagena. Av. en Enfermería. 2016;34:19.
33. Hernandez Chávez L.E. Trabajo En Equipo En El Desempeño Laboral Del Profesional de Enfermería de Sala Covid En Hospital Nivel 2E, Lima-2021. The César Vallejo University; Lima, Peru: 2021.
34. Abarca Arias Y.M., Espinoza Moreno T.M., Llerenan Callata S.G., Berrios Manrique N.Y. Tipos de Conflictos Laborales y Su Manejo En El Ejercicio de La Enfermería. Enfermería Glob. 2019;19:460–478.
35. González-Molina M.G., Enciso-Galindo B.V., Arciniegas-Hurtado L.F., Tovar-Arévalo P.A., Bonza-Forero P.I., Arévalo-Peña L.P.Y. Importancia De Las Habilidades Blandas Para La Empleabilidad Y Sostenibilidad Del Personal En Las Organizaciones. Encuentros con Semilleros. 2021;2.
36. Calderon H.L. Comunicación Efectiva y Trabajo En Equipo Del Profesional de Enfermería Del Hospital III Suarez Angamos, Miraflores. The César Vallejo University; Lima, Peru: 2019. p. 3.