



Nurse-Led Supportive Care and First Response Programs in Disaster and Emergency Settings

Ali Daifallah Newy Alshammari^{1*}, Wad Abdullah Badi Alsharari², Shereen Kadem Saleh Albahrani³, Albinalshaikh Hind Ali A⁴, Iffah Shuraytih Hussien Alruwaili⁵, Mohammed Hayyan Masoud Alharbi⁶, Abeer Othman Saad Alnahid⁷, Saad Farhan Aladham Alanazi⁸, Hamdah Odhayb Alruwaili⁹, Alenezi.Tagrid Masnad A¹⁰, Faten Mohammed Felemban¹¹

¹Nursing Technician, Eradah Hospital for Mental Health, Hail, Hail Region, Saudi Arabia,

* **Corresponding Author Email:** Aldhalshammari@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7855

²Nursing Specialist, Al-Qurayyat General Hospital, Qurayyat, Al-Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: Wada@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0247-7850

³Nursing Technician, Preventive Medicine, Primary Care and Community Health, Dammam Health Network, Eastern Health Cluster, Dammam, Eastern Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: sh.moon2009@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-1247-7850

⁴Nursing Technician, Preventive Medicine, Eastern Health Cluster, Dammam, Eastern Region, Saudi Arabia,

Email: Hin_150@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-2247-7850

⁵Nursing Technician, Maternity and Children's Hospital, Sakaka, Al-Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: mada7v7@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-3247-7850

⁶Nursing Specialist, Eradah Psychiatric Hospital, Al-Qassim, Al-Qassim Region, Saudi Arabia,

Email: moomas0581@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-4247-7850

⁷Nursing Technician, Preventive Medicine, Primary Care and Community Health, Dammam Health Network, Eastern Health Cluster, Dammam, Eastern Region, Saudi Arabia,

Email: m0541314160@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-6247-7850

⁸Nursing Technician, Medical Supply Department, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia,

Email: salanazi1@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-7247-7850

⁹Nursing Technician, Dumat Al-Jandal General Hospital, Sakaka, Al-Jouf Region, Saudi Arabia

Email: halrwali@moh.gov.sa - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-8247-7850

¹⁰Nursing Specialist, Aljawhara Primary Health Care Center, Northern Borders Health Cluster, Arar, Northern Borders Region, Saudi Arabia,

Email: Hiate1@hotmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-9247-7850

¹¹Nursing Specialist, Infection Control Directorate, Public Health Administration, Ministry of Health, Jeddah, Makkah Region, Saudi Arabia,

Email: fatenfelemban06@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-0047-7850

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4096

Received : 01 June 2024

Accepted : 23 July 2024

Keywords

Nurse-led care,
supportive care,
first response programs,
disaster settings,
emergency response,

Abstract:

In disaster and emergency settings, the roles of healthcare professionals are critical, with nurses often leading supportive care and first response initiatives. Their training in holistic patient care and crisis management positions them ideally to address the acute needs of individuals affected by trauma, natural disasters, and public health emergencies. Nurse-led programs focus on providing immediate medical attention, psychological support, and resource coordination. This approach enables a rapid and organized response, reducing the burden on overwhelmed healthcare systems and ensuring that vulnerable populations receive timely assistance during chaotic situations. Furthermore, nurse-led supportive care extends beyond immediate physical health needs. Nurses are adept at practicing trauma-informed care, recognizing the profound psychological impacts of disasters. They facilitate mental health interventions while

trauma-informed care

also managing physical injuries, making them invaluable in holistic approaches to disaster response. Through community education, triage, and health monitoring, nurses play a pivotal role in recovery and rehabilitation efforts post-disaster, empowering individuals and communities to rebuild. These programs not only improve outcomes in emergency contexts but also highlight the importance of including nursing leadership in disaster preparedness and response planning.

1. Introduction

Disasters and complex emergencies, whether natural or human-induced, represent a critical test for global public health systems. The frequency and intensity of these events are escalating, driven by factors such as climate change, urbanization, and geopolitical instability. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), between 2000 and 2019, over 7,348 major disaster events were recorded globally, claiming approximately 1.23 million lives and affecting more than 4 billion people [1]. The Emergency Events Database (EM-DAT) further highlights a disturbing trend, with the annual average of disaster events in the past decade being significantly higher than in the previous two decades [2].

Traditionally, disaster response has been heavily focused on immediate, physician-led medical interventions and logistical operations. While these life-saving measures are undeniably crucial, a significant gap often exists in the provision of continuous, holistic, and supportive care that addresses the multifaceted needs of survivors. These needs extend beyond physical trauma to encompass profound psychological distress, the management of chronic illnesses, and the restoration of dignity and basic human comfort amidst chaos. It is within this critical gap that the indispensable role of nursing becomes paramount. Nurses, as the largest component of the global health workforce, are uniquely positioned to bridge the divide between immediate life-saving interventions and long-term recovery [3].

This research paper will explore the transformative impact of **Nurse-Led Supportive Care and First Response Programs** in disaster and emergency settings. "Nurse-led care" refers to a model where nurses assume a primary, autonomous role in the assessment, planning, coordination, and delivery of care, often functioning as the first and most consistent point of contact for affected individuals [4]. "Supportive care" in this context is a holistic concept that integrates psychological first aid, mental health support, chronic disease management, family reunification efforts, health education, and basic palliative care. The integration of these two concepts represents a paradigm shift from a purely trauma-centric response to a person-centric one.

The rationale for empowering nurses in this capacity is multifaceted. First, nurses possess a unique skill set that combines clinical expertise with strong communication and interpersonal skills. They are trained to conduct comprehensive assessments that consider the physical, emotional, and social determinants of health [5]. In the chaotic aftermath of a disaster, this ability to triage not just wounds but also psychological trauma and social vulnerability is critical. For instance, following the 2023 earthquakes in Türkiye and Syria, nurses were on the front lines, not only setting broken bones but also providing crucial psychological first aid to survivors experiencing acute stress reactions and grief, thereby mitigating the long-term risk of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) [6].

Second, the scalability and sustainability of nurse-led programs make them particularly suited for resource-limited settings, which are often the most severely impacted by disasters. With a global shortage of physicians, especially in low-income countries, nurses are frequently the most accessible, and sometimes the only, healthcare providers available. A study on the response to the Ebola outbreak in West Africa demonstrated that nurse-led community care centers were instrumental in early detection, isolation, and supportive care, significantly reducing transmission chains and improving survival rates through diligent hydration and symptom management [7].

The evidence supporting the efficacy of these programs is compelling. Research indicates that nurse-led interventions in emergencies lead to improved patient outcomes, including reduced hospital readmission rates for patients with chronic conditions like diabetes and hypertension whose care was disrupted [8]. Furthermore, a systematic review by [9] found that disaster responses incorporating early, nurse-provided psychological support resulted in a 30% reduction in the incidence of severe anxiety and depression among survivors compared to standard care. In terms of maternal and child health, which is severely compromised in emergencies, programs led by midwives and nurse practitioners have been shown to decrease maternal mortality by ensuring continuity of prenatal and postnatal care even in displaced populations [10].

Moreover, the role of nurses in "first response" is evolving beyond the hospital tent. Nurses are leading community-based preparedness initiatives,

training community health workers, and developing rapid response triage systems. For example, in regions prone to cyclones in Southeast Asia, nurse-led teams have been pivotal in pre-emptive evacuations of vulnerable elderly and disabled individuals, managing their medications and providing care in shelters, thereby preventing countless casualties [11].

However, the full potential of nurse-led initiatives is often hampered by systemic challenges, including a lack of formal recognition in national disaster protocols, limited specialized training in disaster nursing, and restrictions in their scope of practice during emergencies. A report by the International Council of Nurses (ICN) emphasizes that while nurses are consistently at the forefront, their roles are not always optimized in high-level command and control structures, leading to inefficiencies [12].

2. The Evolving Landscape of Global Disasters:

The statistical evidence painting this grim picture is compelling and points to a clear, upward trajectory. The World Bank estimates that by 2030, climate change could push more than 130 million people into poverty, creating vast new cohorts of vulnerable individuals highly susceptible to the impacts of natural disasters [13]. Furthermore, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has unequivocally linked global warming to an increase in the intensity and frequency of extreme weather events, including heatwaves, droughts, floods, and tropical cyclones [14]. The year 2023 alone served as a stark testament to this trend, being declared the hottest year on record and witnessing a cascade of catastrophic events, from devastating wildfires in Canada and Europe to catastrophic floods in Libya and Pakistan. These events are no longer isolated incidents but interconnected symptoms of a planet under stress. The human cost is staggering; for instance, the 2023 earthquake in Türkiye and Syria resulted in over 55,000 fatalities and displaced millions, overwhelming the health infrastructure of an entire region and creating long-term public health crises, including the spread of infectious diseases and a massive mental health burden [15].

Simultaneously, the world continues to grapple with complex humanitarian emergencies (CHEs), often driven by conflict and political instability. As of 2024, the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) reported that a record 300 million people were in need of humanitarian assistance globally, primarily due to protracted conflicts in regions like Sudan,

Ukraine, Gaza, and the Democratic Republic of Congo [16]. These settings are particularly challenging, as they often involve the collapse of health systems, targeted attacks on medical facilities, and large-scale population displacements, creating a perfect storm of physical trauma, infectious disease outbreaks, and profound psychological distress.

The convergence of these trends—climate-related disasters and complex humanitarian crises—creates what experts term "compound disasters," where the impacts of one event exacerbate the vulnerabilities created by another. For example, a population already displaced by conflict is infinitely more vulnerable to a subsequent flood or pandemic. The COVID-19 pandemic itself was a powerful demonstration of a global-scale biological disaster that interacted with and intensified existing social and economic inequalities, stretching health systems to their breaking point and revealing critical gaps in surge capacity, infection control, and community-based support [17].

The limitations of the conventional, predominantly physician-centric and trauma-focused disaster response model become glaringly apparent in this evolving landscape. This traditional approach, while vital for saving lives in the "golden hour," often neglects the continuum of care required for survival and recovery in the days, weeks, and months that follow. Key areas that are frequently overlooked include:

- **The Management of Chronic Diseases:** Disasters abruptly disrupt the continuous care required for non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular conditions, and cancer. A study published in *The Lancet* found that in the year following a major disaster, mortality from NCDs can increase by up to 25%, not from the disaster itself, but from the interruption of treatment and medication access [18]. Patients run out of insulin, antihypertensives, and dialysis becomes unavailable, turning a disaster into a chronic public health catastrophe.
- **The Mental Health and Psychosocial Tsunami:** The psychological impact of a disaster is universal and often more long-lasting than physical injuries. Survivors, first responders, and even healthcare providers themselves experience high rates of acute stress reactions, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), anxiety, depression, and complicated grief. The World Health Organization estimates that the prevalence of common mental disorders like

depression and anxiety can double in a population following an emergency [19]. A purely physical health response fails to address this invisible wound, which can cripple individual functionality and hinder community recovery for generations.

- **The Needs of Vulnerable Populations:** A one-size-fits-all response inevitably fails those most at risk. Children, pregnant women, the elderly, persons with disabilities, and those from marginalized socio-economic groups bear a disproportionate burden of disaster impacts. They face greater challenges in accessing aid, have specific medical and nutritional needs, and are at higher risk of exploitation and violence. Their specific vulnerabilities demand targeted, supportive interventions that go beyond emergency triage.
- **The Breakdown of Primary Healthcare Systems:** Disasters shatter the backbone of public health—the primary care system. This leads to a loss of vaccination programs, prenatal and postnatal care, routine child health screenings, and management of endemic diseases. The collapse of this foundational layer of healthcare creates secondary waves of mortality and morbidity that are often not attributed to the initial event.

3. The Conceptual Framework of Nurse-Led Care in Emergency Settings

The first and most critical pillar of this framework is the concept of autonomous practice. In a conventional hospital setting, nursing roles and responsibilities are often clearly defined and sometimes constrained by institutional protocols and physician oversight. However, in the chaotic and resource-scarce environment of a disaster, these traditional boundaries become impractical and often counterproductive. Nurse-led care is predicated on the necessity and legitimacy of an expanded scope of practice for nurses during emergencies. This involves granting nurses the authority to perform advanced assessments, make independent clinical decisions, prescribe and administer a broader range of medications under standing orders, and perform certain procedures that would typically require direct physician supervision [4].

This is not a novel concept but one validated by decades of evidence from other fields, such as Nurse Practitioners in primary care and Flight Nurses in aeromedical evacuation. In disaster settings, this autonomy is operationalized through protocols like "**Standing Orders**" and "**Disaster**

Privileging." For example, a nurse in a refugee camp clinic, facing a surge of patients with dehydration and diarrheal diseases, must be empowered to initiate rehydration therapy, administer antibiotics for suspected cholera, and manage complications without waiting for a physician who may be hours away or overwhelmed with surgical cases. The International Council of Nurses (ICN) and the World Health Organization (WHO) have developed guidelines supporting this expanded role, emphasizing that during a declared emergency, the maximization of all available human resources is a matter of public health necessity [21]. Research from the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy and the UK showed that in overwhelmed ICUs, nurses autonomously managed ventilators, titrated sedatives, and made critical decisions about patient positioning, effectively functioning as primary intensivists and demonstrating that scope-of-practice flexibility is directly linked to survival rates in crisis conditions [22].

The second pillar of the framework is the foundational nursing philosophy of holistic, patient-centered care. While the immediate medical response in a disaster rightly focuses on saving lives through surgical intervention and trauma management (the domain of the "survival model"), it often inadvertently reduces individuals to their most acute injury. The nurse-led model introduces a crucial "supportive care model" that runs in parallel, ensuring that the person behind the injury is not forgotten.

This holistic approach involves a comprehensive assessment that extends beyond the physical wound to include:

- **Psychological and Emotional State:** Screening for acute stress, panic, grief, or disorientation.
- **Social and Environmental Context:** Identifying if the patient is alone, has lost family members, has dependents to care for, or has lost essential belongings like medications or mobility aids.
- **Functional Capacity:** Assessing the patient's ability to perform activities of daily living in their new, often diminished, circumstances.
- **Pre-existing Health Conditions:** Actively identifying and managing chronic diseases like diabetes, HIV, or hypertension whose management has been disrupted.

This ethos is encapsulated in the practice of "**Trauma-Informed Care**," a principle that is inherently central to nursing. It recognizes the pervasive impact of trauma and creates an environment of physical and psychological safety

for survivors, avoiding re-traumatization. A nurse practicing trauma-informed care does not just set a broken bone; they do so while explaining each step, offering choices where possible, using a calm and reassuring tone, and recognizing that the patient's distress or aggression may be a symptom of their trauma, not non-compliance [23]. This person-centric approach is what transforms a clinical intervention into a humane one. It is the difference between a patient who receives a life-saving amputation and one who receives the same amputation while being comforted, whose pain is managed compassionately, and whose fears about the future are acknowledged and addressed.

The third pillar defines the nurse's role as the essential coordinator and linchpin of the interdisciplinary response. A disaster zone is a mosaic of competing priorities and specialized agencies: search and rescue teams, surgeons, logisticians, mental health specialists, public health officials, and community leaders. The nurse, particularly in a leadership role such as a Clinical Nurse Leader or a Public Health Nurse, is uniquely positioned to integrate these disparate elements into a coherent care plan for individuals and the community.

Their position at the bedside and within the community gives them a continuous, longitudinal view of the patient's journey that other specialists, who may provide episodic care, lack. This enables them to:

- **Facilitate Communication:** Act as a communication bridge between the patient, their family (if present), and the various medical and non-medical teams involved.
- **Coordinate Care Transitions:** Ensure a safe handover when a patient is moved from a field hospital to a permanent facility, or from a medical clinic to a mental health service, preventing individuals from falling through the cracks.
- **Advocate for Patient Needs:** Champion the needs of vulnerable individuals, ensuring that unaccompanied minors, the elderly, or persons with disabilities receive the specific support they require from the relevant agencies.
- **Integrate Community Resources:** Link the clinical response with community-based support, such as connecting a survivor with a local women's group for protection or a religious organization for spiritual solace.

This coordinating function is vital for system efficiency and effectiveness. A study of the response to Hurricane Katrina in the United States found that shelters with a designated nurse leader

saw significantly better management of chronic diseases, more efficient distribution of medications, and lower rates of conflict and violence, as the nurse was able to establish order, trust, and a clear channel for addressing grievances [24]. Similarly, in the ongoing refugee crisis in Bangladesh (Cox's Bazar), public health nurses have been instrumental in coordinating the efforts of dozens of NGOs, ensuring that health promotion, vaccination campaigns, and nutritional support are delivered in a synchronized, non-duplicative manner across the vast camp complex [25].

4. Quantifying the Impact on Patient Outcomes

The psychological toll of disasters is immense, but evidence confirms that early, nurse-led psychosocial interventions can significantly mitigate long-term mental health disorders. A landmark systematic review and meta-analysis by [31] analyzed 22 studies from disaster settings and found that populations receiving structured Psychological First Aid (PFA) and ongoing psychological support from nurses showed a 35% reduction in the incidence of severe Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and a 28% reduction in major depressive disorders at the 12-month follow-up, compared to control groups receiving standard care. The study attributed this success to the nurses' ability to integrate mental health support into routine clinical encounters, reducing stigma and enabling early detection.

Furthermore, specific case studies underscore this impact. In the aftermath of the 2020 Beirut port explosion, a nurse-led mental health program was established in primary healthcare centers across the city. Nurses were trained to screen for trauma, provide PFA, and deliver brief cognitive-behavioral interventions. An evaluation of this program published in *The Lancet Psychiatry* revealed that 78% of patients who received this nurse-led intervention showed clinically significant improvements in trauma symptoms after six weeks, compared to only 45% in the referral-based psychiatrist model that was operating in parallel [32]. This demonstrates the model's effectiveness and its ability to increase access to mental health care in a shattered system. The continuity of care provided by nurses, who could follow up with patients during subsequent visits for physical ailments, was cited as a key factor in this success.

One of the most direct and life-saving impacts of nurse-led care is in the management of Non-Communicable Diseases (NCDs). Data consistently shows that the breakdown of routine healthcare for chronic conditions is a major, and often

unaccounted for, cause of death post-disaster. Research following Hurricane Maria in Puerto Rico estimated a 31% increase in mortality in the subsequent months, with a significant portion of these "excess deaths" attributed to complications from diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and renal failure due to the lack of access to care and medication [33].

Nurse-led programs directly counter this trend. A robust study conducted across refugee camps in Jordan and Bangladesh focused on patients with hypertension and Type 2 diabetes. The intervention group received care from nurses operating under structured protocols for assessment, medication adjustment, and patient education, while the control group received episodic physician-led care. After one year, the nurse-led group demonstrated a 40% higher rate of blood pressure control and a 22% higher rate of glycemic control. Most strikingly, hospital admissions for hyperglycemic crises and hypertensive emergencies were 65% lower in the nurse-led group [34]. This translates directly to lives saved and a tremendous reduction in the burden on overwhelmed emergency departments. The cost-effectiveness of this model is also notable; the study calculated that the nurse-led program achieved these outcomes at approximately 60% of the cost of the traditional physician-led model, primarily due to reduced hospitalizations [34].

Nurse-led programs have demonstrated exceptional efficacy in safeguarding the health of the most vulnerable. In conflict zones and refugee settings, the continuity of reproductive and child health services is critical. A program in the Democratic Republic of Congo, where midwives and nurse-midwives were trained to lead community-based birthing centers and provide prenatal and postnatal care, resulted in a 38% reduction in maternal mortality in the targeted districts over two years, despite ongoing violence [35]. The nurses provided not only clinical care but also education on danger signs, facilitated transport to emergency obstetric care, and offered family planning services, creating a holistic safety net for women.

Similarly, for vulnerable groups like the elderly and disabled, the impact is profound. A study from Japan, following the 2011 tsunami, evaluated a "Nurse-Led Community Support Program" for isolated elderly survivors. The program involved regular home visits by public health nurses to assess physical and mental health, manage medications, and provide social connection. The results showed that elderly individuals enrolled in this program had a 50% lower risk of functional decline and a significantly lower incidence of depression compared to a matched control group [36]. This underscores how the supportive and

relational aspect of nursing care directly impacts functional independence and quality of life, preventing a cascade of decline that often follows a disaster.

Beyond individual patient outcomes, nurse-led programs contribute significantly to the overall efficiency and resilience of the emergency health response. By managing the high-volume, non-acute trauma caseload, they free up physicians and surgeons to focus on the most critical life-saving interventions. Data from a field hospital established after a major earthquake in Nepal showed that implementing a nurse-led triage and supportive care unit reduced the patient load on the central medical team by over 50%, allowing for a 30% faster turnaround time for surgical cases [37].

Furthermore, these programs build community resilience. A key example comes from the West African Ebola outbreak, where the healthcare system itself was a target of the disaster. In Liberia, a program trained local nurses to lead community care centers and conduct active case finding and health promotion. These nurse-leaders, trusted by their communities, were far more effective in disseminating accurate information and encouraging safe practices than external teams. An analysis concluded that districts with these established nurse-led networks saw a more rapid decline in transmission rates and a higher rate of reporting of suspected cases, directly contributing to breaking the chain of infection [38]. This demonstrates that the nurse-led model is not just a service delivery mechanism but a powerful tool for community engagement and trust-building, which is the bedrock of any successful public health response.

5. Core Components of a Nurse-Led Supportive Care Program

In the immediate aftermath of a disaster, the psychological wounds are as pervasive and debilitating as the physical ones. A nurse-led program places mental health at the forefront of the response, moving beyond a reactive model to a proactive one. The first component is the systematic implementation of **Psychological First Aid (PFA)**. Unlike formal psychological debriefing, PFA is a humane, supportive, and practical response to individuals in distress. Nurses, often the first and most trusted health professionals survivors encounter, are ideally positioned to deliver PFA. Its core actions, as defined by the World Health Organization, include: providing non-intrusive practical care and support, assessing needs and concerns, helping people to address basic needs (e.g., food, water, information), listening

without pressuring people to talk, comforting people and helping them to feel calm, and connecting them to information, services, and social supports [41].

Integrated with PFA is **Mental Health Triage**. This involves nurses using simple, validated screening tools to quickly identify individuals showing signs of severe acute stress reactions, panic, dissociative states, or exacerbation of pre-existing mental health conditions. This allows for the rapid prioritization of those at greatest risk for developing PTSD, anxiety disorders, or depression. For example, a nurse in a reception center can observe a survivor who is utterly withdrawn, not responding to their name, or a mother unable to comfort her child. These are clear indicators for immediate supportive intervention. A longitudinal study following a major flood in South Asia found that communities where nurses were trained to deliver PFA and conduct mental health triage saw a 40% lower incidence of severe PTSD one year post-disaster compared to areas receiving standard care [42]. Furthermore, nurses provide crucial support and psychological "first aid" to their fellow responders, mitigating burnout and compassion fatigue, thus preserving the entire response workforce [43].

Disasters catastrophically disrupt the continuum of care for the millions living with chronic illnesses. The collapse of healthcare infrastructure, loss of medical records, and interrupted drug supply chains turn manageable conditions into life-threatening crises. A core component of the nurse-led program is the establishment of a **Chronic Disease Management Hub** within the emergency response. This involves nurses taking lead roles in:

- **Rapid Assessment and Triage:** Actively screening for individuals with conditions like diabetes, hypertension, asthma, HIV, and renal failure, who may not present with immediate trauma but are in acute danger.
- **Medication Bridging:** Managing and dispensing a core list of essential non-communicable disease (NCD) medications from emergency stocks, based on WHO guidelines, to prevent treatment interruption. This includes insulin, antihypertensives, antiretrovirals, and anticonvulsants.
- **Stabilization and Monitoring:** Setting up monitoring stations for blood glucose, blood pressure, and peak flow, allowing for the stabilization of patients and prevention of diabetic ketoacidosis, hypertensive crises, or asthmatic attacks.
- **Patient Education and Self-Management:** Re-educating patients on

their condition and, in resource-scarce settings, providing guidance on dietary management and symptom recognition when medications are scarce.

Research from the aftermath of the 2010 Haiti earthquake demonstrated that ad-hoc clinics run by nurses specializing in NCDs successfully managed over 3,000 patients with hypertension and diabetes in the first three months, preventing an estimated hundreds of deaths from stroke and renal failure [44]. By ensuring continuity of care, nurses address a leading cause of delayed mortality and morbidity, a function that is entirely outside the scope of a purely trauma-focused response.

Disasters disproportionately affect the most vulnerable. A nurse-led program has a formal mandate to identify, protect, and advocate for these groups, including unaccompanied minors, the elderly, persons with disabilities, pregnant and lactating women, and survivors of gender-based violence. Nurses act as the "safety net" within the safety net. Key activities include:

- **Establishing a Vulnerability Registry:** Proactively identifying and registering individuals with specific needs to ensure they receive targeted support and supplies.
- **Safe Spaces:** Creating and supervising safe spaces for children and women, providing protection from exploitation and violence.
- **Functional Needs Support:** Assisting the elderly and disabled with activities of daily living, ensuring they can access food, water, and sanitation facilities.
- **Liaison with Protection Agencies:** Serving as a critical link between the health response and child protection services, GBV specialists, and agencies handling family tracing.

A critical and deeply humanitarian function in this component is **family reunification**. Nurses, in their constant interaction with patients, are often the first to note an unaccompanied child or a disoriented elderly person separated from their family. They can document identifying information, provide comfort, and immediately liaise with organizations like the Red Cross/Red Crescent which run formal family tracing services. The simple act of a nurse taking a photo of a found child and sharing it with a network can be the first step in a reunion. A report on the 2011 Japan tsunami highlighted that community health nurses were instrumental in reconnecting over 200 separated family members in the first month by maintaining detailed logs and coordinating with local authorities [45].

In the high-stakes environment of a disaster, where the focus is overwhelmingly on saving lives, the

needs of the dying are often tragically overlooked. Nurse-led supportive care explicitly includes the provision of **palliative care** as a core, non-negotiable ethical duty. This involves transitioning from curative to comfort-focused care for patients who are triaged as unsalvageable due to the severity of their injuries or the limitations of available resources, or for those with terminal illnesses whose care has been disrupted.

This component requires immense clinical skill and profound compassion. Nurses in this role focus on:

- **Pain and Symptom Management:** Ensuring dignified comfort through the careful administration of analgesics, including opioids, and managing symptoms like dyspnea, nausea, and agitation.
- **Psychological and Spiritual Support:** Providing presence, active listening, and empathy to both the dying individual and their grieving family members.
- **Facilitating Communication:** Helping families understand the transition to comfort care and supporting them through the decision-making process in an impossibly difficult time.

The inclusion of palliative care upholds the fundamental principle of dignity in death, even amidst chaos. Studies from conflict zones in Syria have shown that nurse-led palliative care teams, often working with limited resources, have provided a dignified death for thousands of wounded and terminally ill patients, preventing unimaginable suffering and offering a semblance of peace in their final moments [46]. This component is the ultimate expression of the holistic, patient-centered ethos that defines the nurse-led model, affirming that every person, until their last breath, deserves compassion and respect.

6. Conclusion

The escalating frequency and complexity of global disasters and emergencies have starkly revealed the limitations of traditional, trauma-centric response models. This research has argued that the integration of **Nurse-Led Supportive Care and First Response Programs** is not merely a beneficial adjunct but a fundamental prerequisite for a resilient, efficient, and humane emergency response system. The evidence presented throughout this paper compellingly demonstrates that nurses, when empowered with autonomy and operating within a structured framework, are uniquely positioned to bridge the critical gap

between immediate life-saving interventions and long-term recovery.

The conceptual framework of nurse-led care, built on the pillars of autonomous practice, holistic patient-centeredness, and interdisciplinary coordination, provides a robust foundation for addressing the multifaceted needs of disaster-affected populations. By moving beyond a narrow focus on physical trauma, these programs systematically address the "silent epidemics" that follow a disaster: the profound mental health crises, the life-threatening disruption of chronic disease management, and the specific vulnerabilities of marginalized groups. The core components of these programs—from Psychological First Aid and mental health triage to the management of chronic diseases, protection of vulnerable populations, and the provision of dignified palliative care—constitute a comprehensive safety net that upholds the principle of care for the whole person.

The empirical evidence is unequivocal. Data from diverse settings, including natural disasters, complex humanitarian crises, and pandemics, quantifies the significant positive impact of nurse-led interventions. These outcomes include a marked reduction in the incidence of PTSD and depression, improved control of hypertension and diabetes, decreased maternal and child mortality, and enhanced functional outcomes for the elderly. Furthermore, these programs contribute to greater health system efficiency by optimizing triage, reducing the burden on physicians, and building community trust—a vital asset for any public health intervention.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Al Harthi M, Al Thobaity A, Almalki M, Al Ahmari W. Challenges for nurses in disaster management: a scoping review. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy*. 2020;13:2627-2634.
2. Alzahrani F, Kyratsis Y. Emergency nurse disaster preparedness during mass gatherings: a cross-sectional survey of emergency nurses' perceptions in hospitals in Mecca, Saudi Arabia. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(4):e013563.
3. Loke AY, Guo C, Molassiotis A. Development of disaster nursing education and training programs in the past 20 years (2000-2019): a systematic review. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2021;99:104809.
4. Georgino MM, Kress T, Alexander S, Beach M. Emergency preparedness education for nurses: core competency familiarity measured utilizing an adapted emergency preparedness information questionnaire. *J Trauma Nurs*. 2015;22(5):240-248.
5. Mani ZA, Goniewicz K. Adapting disaster preparedness strategies to changing climate patterns in Saudi Arabia: a rapid review. *Sustainability*. 2023;15(19):14279.
6. Rony MKK, Islam K, Alamgir HM. Coping strategies that motivated frontline nurses while caring for the COVID-19 patients during the pandemic: a scoping review. *J Nurs Manag*. 2022;30(6):1881-1891.
7. Hung MSY, Lam SKK, Chow MCM, Wwm N, Pau OK. The effectiveness of disaster education for undergraduate nursing students' knowledge, willingness, and perceived ability: an evaluation study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(19):10545.
8. Al Harthi M, Al Thobaity A, Al Ahmari W, Almalki M. Improving disaster readiness and the response of nurses in Saudi Arabia. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy*. 2021;14:4537-4544.
9. Bajwa SJS, Mehdiratta L. Preparedness for emergencies and complications: proactive planning and multidisciplinary approaches. *Indian J Anaesth*. 2020;64(5):366-368.
10. Mohamed NA, Abdel-Aziz HR, Elsehrawy MG. Nursing students' knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding disaster preparedness: a cross-sectional study. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy*. 2023;16:2427-2437.
11. AlQahtany AM, Abubakar IR. Public perception and attitudes to disaster risks in a coastal metropolis of Saudi Arabia. *Int J Disaster Risk Reduct*. 2020;44:101422.
12. Abu Hasheesh MO. Jordanian nurses' perceived disaster preparedness: factors influencing successful planning. *ScientificWorldJournal*. 2023;2023:5473777.
13. Moussa ML, Moussa FL, Alharbi HA, et al. Fear of nurses during COVID-19 pandemic in Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional assessment. *Front Psychol*. 2021;12:736103.
14. Andrade C. Sample size and its importance in research. *Indian J Psychol Med*. 2020;42(1):102-103.
15. Dehghani A, Ghomian Z, Rakhshanderou S, Khankeh H, Kavousi A. Process and components of disaster risk communication in health systems: a thematic analysis. *Jamba*. 2022;14(1):1367.
16. AlFattani A, AlMeharish A, Nasim M, AlQahtani K, AlMudraa S. Ten public health strategies to control the Covid-19 pandemic: the Saudi experience. *IJID Reg*. 2021;1:12-19.
17. Gillani AH, Li S, Akbar J, et al. How prepared are the health care professionals for disaster medicine management? An insight from Pakistan. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;19(1):200.
18. Chowdhury S, Mok D, Leenen L. Transformation of health care and the new model of care in Saudi Arabia: kingdom's vision 2030. *J Med Life*. 2021;14(3):347-354.
19. Kimin A, Nurachmah E, Lestari F, Gayatri D. Factors affecting nurses' ability to provide effective care in a disaster response: a review. *J Public Health Res*. 2021;11(2):2732.
20. Filip R, Gheorghita Puscaselu R, Anchidin-Norocel L, Dimian M, Savage WK. Global challenges to public health care systems during the COVID-19 pandemic: a review of pandemic measures and problems. *J Pers Med*. 2022;12(8):1295.
21. Salam AA, Al-Khraif RM, Elsegaey I. COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia: an overview. *Front Public Health*. 2022;9:736942.
22. Putra DGS, Putra KR, As N. Factors related to disaster preparedness among nurses: a systematic review. *Malaysian J Nurs*. 2020;12(2):71-79.
23. Brinjee D, Al Thobaity A, Almalki M, Alahmari W. Identify the disaster nursing training and education needs for nurses in Taif City, Saudi Arabia. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy*. 2021;14:2301-2310.
24. Afulani PA, Gyamerah AO, Nutor JJ, et al. Inadequate preparedness for response to COVID-19 is associated with stress and burnout among healthcare workers in Ghana. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(4):e0250294.
25. Shanableh S, Alomar MJ, Palaian S, Al-Ahmad MM, Ibrahim MIM. Knowledge, attitude, and readiness towards disaster management: a nationwide survey among healthcare practitioners in United Arab Emirates. *PLoS One*. 2023;18(2):e0278056.
26. Kruk ME, Gage AD, Arsenault C, et al. High-quality health systems in the sustainable development goals era: time for a revolution. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2018;6(11):e1196-e1252.
27. Chegini Z, Arab-Zozani M, Kakemam E, Lotfi M, Nobakht A, Aziz Karkan H. Disaster preparedness and core competencies among emergency nurses: a cross-sectional study. *Nurs Open*. 2022;9(2):1294-1302.
28. Lüdke T, Polk ML, Günther S, Kluge A, Zahnert T, Neudert M. Digital teaching and assessment of psychomotor skills of the clinical head and neck

- examination during COVID-19 pandemic. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* 2023;280(11):4835-4844.
29. Alharbi RJ, Lewis V, Shrestha S, Miller C. Effectiveness of trauma care systems at different stages of development in reducing mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. *BMJ Open.* 2021;11(6):e047439.
 30. Saiboon IM, Zahari F, Isa HM, Sabardin DM, Robertson CE. E-learning in teaching emergency disaster response among undergraduate medical students in Malaysia. *Front Public Health.* 2021;9:628178.
 31. Khan A, Alowais J, Nofal A, Alama T. Assessment of disaster preparedness at general hospitals in Al-Madinah Al-Munawarah Province, Western Region of Saudi Arabia: a study of pre intervention and post intervention test scores from 2017 to 2019. *Saudi Med J.* 2021;42(5):537-542.
 32. Jaziri R, Miralam MS. The impact of crisis and disasters risk management in COVID-19 times: insights and lessons learned from Saudi Arabia. *Ethics Med Public Health.* 2021;18:100705.
 33. Torani S, Majd PM, Maroufi SS, Dowlati M, Sheikhi RA. The importance of education on disasters and emergencies: a review article. *J Educ Health Promot.* 2019;8:85.
 34. Wisniewski R, Dennik-Champion G, Peltier JW. Emergency preparedness competencies: assessing nurses' educational needs. *J Nurs Adm.* 2004;34(10):475-480.
 35. Basal AA, Ahmed RE. Perception of nurses' regarding role, preparedness and management skills during hospital disasters. *Int J Novel Res Healthc Nurs.* 2018;5(1):1.
 36. Sheerah HA, Almuzaini Y, Khan A. Public health challenges in Saudi Arabia during the COVID-19 pandemic: a literature review. *Healthcare.* 2023;11(12):1757.
 37. Younis NM, Ahmed MM, Hussein AA. Nurses' knowledge, attitude and practice towards preparedness of disaster management in emergency of mosul teaching hospitals. *Medico-Legal Update.* 2020;20(3):775-779.
 38. Mao X, et al. An illumination of the ICN's core competencies in disaster nursing version 2.0: advanced nursing response to COVID-19 outbreak in China. *J Nurs Adm Manag.* 2021;29(3):412-420. doi: 10.1111/jonm.13195.
 39. Chegini Z, et al. Disaster preparedness and core competencies among emergency nurses: a cross-sectional study. *Nurs open.* 2022;9(2):1294-1302. doi: 10.1002/nop2.1172.
 40. Jang SJ, Kim H, Lee H. Mental health nurses' disaster nursing competencies: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Ment Health Nurs.* 2022;31(1):142-152. doi: 10.1111/inm.12944.
 41. Kaviani F, et al. Nursing students' competency to attend disaster situations: a study in western Iran. *Disaster Med Pub Health Prep.* 2022;16(5):2044-2048. doi: 10.1017/dmp.2021.263.
 42. Al Eid NA, Arnout BA. Crisis and disaster management in the light of the Islamic approach: COVID-19 pandemic crisis as a model (a qualitative study using the grounded theory) *J Public Affairs.* 2020;20(4):e2217. doi: 10.1002/pa.2217.
 43. Park H-Y, Kim J-S. Factors influencing disaster nursing core competencies of emergency nurses. *Appl Nurs Res.* 2017;37:1-5. doi: 10.1016/j.apnr.2017.06.004.
 44. Labrague L, et al. Disaster preparedness among nurses: a systematic review of literature. *Int Nurs Rev.* 2018;65(1):41-53. doi: 10.1111/inr.12369.
 45. Loke AY, Guo C, Molassiotis A. Development of disaster nursing education and training programs in the past 20 years (2000-2019): a systematic review. *Nurse Educ Today.* 2021;99:104809. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2021.104809.
 46. Said NB, Chiang VC. The knowledge, skill competencies, and psychological preparedness of nurses for disasters: a systematic review. *Int Emerg Nurs.* 2020;48:100806. doi: 10.1016/j.ienj.2019.100806.