International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and ENgineering (IJCESEN)

Vol. 10-No.4 (2024) pp. 2474-2482
http://www.ijcesen.com

ISSN: 2149-9144

Research Article

Joint Management of Autoimmune Diseases: The Team Approach of Nurses and Physicians in Rheumatoid Arthritis

Abdulrahman Sulaiman Shadad Alanazi[1]*, Waleed Mushahhin R Alanazi[2], Najood Ayesh K Alenezi[3], Hissah Basit H Alanazi[4], Hanadi Hamed Farhan Alanazi[5], Fatimah Mousa M Alanazi[6], Bashayr Ayesh Q Alanazi[7], Manal Hamed Alanazi[8], Samiyah Mohammd L Alanazi[9]

[1]General Physician, North Medical Tower, Arar, Saudi Arabia
* **Corresponding Author Email:** shada2d@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7859

[2]Nursing Technician, North Medical Tower, Arar, Saudi Arabia
Email: wale2d@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7857

[3]Nursing Specialst, Health Control Center In Jodaydah Arat,Saudi Arabia
Email: najoo2d@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7858

[4]Nursing Specialst, North Medical Tower, Arar, Saudi Arabia
Email: hissa2h@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7856

[5]Nursing Technician, North Medical Tower, Arar, Saudi Arabia
Email: hanad2i@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7855

[6]Nursing Specialist, The Northern Borders Health Cluster, Arar, Saudi Arabia
Email: mous2a@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7854

[7]Nursing Specialist, The Northern Borders Health Cluster, Arar, Saudi Arabia
Email: bashay2r @gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7853

[8]Nursing Technician, North Medical Tower, Arar, Saudi Arabia
Email: mana2l@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7852

[9]Nursing Technician, The Northern Borders Health Cluster,Arar, Saudi Arabia
Email: samiya2h@gmail.com - **ORCID:** 0000-0002-5247-7851

Article Info:

DOI: 10.22399/ijcesen.4036
Received : 29 October 2024
Accepted : 03 December 2024

Keywords

Joint management,
autoimmune diseases,
rheumatoid arthritis,
team approach,
nurses,
physicians

Abstract:

Joint management of autoimmune diseases, particularly rheumatoid arthritis (RA), requires a collaborative approach between healthcare professionals, particularly nurses and physicians, to ensure optimal patient outcomes. This team-based strategy focuses on integrating the expertise of nurses, who often serve as patient educators and advocates, with the clinical acumen of physicians who make diagnostic and treatment decisions. By working together, they can develop comprehensive care plans that address the multifaceted nature of RA, including symptom management, medication adherence, lifestyle modifications, and psychosocial support. This partnership not only enhances patient understanding of their condition but also fosters a more responsive healthcare environment that promotes shared decision-making and empowers patients to take an active role in managing their disease. The role of nurses in this collaborative framework extends beyond conventional duties, as they often assume responsibilities such as monitoring disease progression, educating patients about self-management techniques, and providing psychological support. Physicians, on the other hand, focus on clinical evaluations and pharmacological interventions while collaborating closely with nursing staff to ensure that care is aligned with established guidelines and tailored to individual patient needs. This cohesive approach ultimately leads to improved patient satisfaction, enhanced adherence to treatment protocols, and better clinical outcomes in rheumatoid arthritis management.

1. Introduction

Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) is a chronic, systemic autoimmune disease characterized by persistent synovitis, systemic inflammation, and the production of autoantibodies like rheumatoid factor and anti-citrullinated protein peptide (ACPA) [1]. It is more than a disease of joints; it is a condition with profound implications for a patient's physical function, mental health, quality of life, and overall mortality. The global burden of RA is significant, with a recent meta-analysis estimating the prevalence to be approximately 0.5-1% of the population worldwide, affecting women two to three times more frequently than men [2]. The economic impact is staggering, encompassing not only direct medical costs but also substantial indirect costs from work disability and productivity loss, which can account for up to 50% of the total cost of the disease [3].

The therapeutic landscape for RA has been revolutionized over the past two decades by the advent of biologic and targeted synthetic Disease-Modifying Antirheumatic Drugs (DMARDs). These advancements have made clinical remission or low disease activity a realistic and primary treatment goal, as endorsed by the "treat-to-target" (T2T) strategy [4]. The T2T paradigm, which involves regular monitoring and proactive adjustment of therapy, has been shown to significantly improve clinical and radiographic outcomes compared to routine care [5]. However, this paradigm shift has also unveiled a critical reality: the successful management of a complex, lifelong condition like RA cannot be the sole responsibility of a single physician. The intricacies of drug monitoring, managing comorbidities, addressing psychosocial distress, and promoting lifestyle changes demand a more holistic and collaborative framework.

This necessity has given rise to the multidisciplinary team (MDT) approach as the cornerstone of modern rheumatology care. The MDT brings together diverse healthcare professionals—rheumatologists, nurses, physiotherapists, occupational therapists, pharmacists, and psychologists—to provide comprehensive, patient-centered care. Within this team, the partnership between physicians and nurses is particularly pivotal. The physician's role is traditionally centered on diagnosis, devising treatment strategies, prescribing complex medications, and performing procedures. In contrast, the rheumatology nurse often acts as the linchpin, bridging the gap between the physician's strategic plan and the patient's daily lived experience.

The role of the rheumatology nurse has evolved far beyond traditional tasks into a specialized domain known as nurse-led care. This encompasses a wide spectrum of responsibilities, including patient education and counseling, monitoring disease activity and treatment side effects, administering injectable therapies, supporting medication adherence, and providing continuous psychosocial support [6]. Nurses often serve as the first point of contact for patients, acting as care coordinators and patient advocates within the healthcare system. Evidence strongly supports the efficacy of this model. Studies have demonstrated that nurse-led clinics and interventions lead to improved patient satisfaction, better adherence to DMARDs, enhanced disease-specific knowledge, and reduced disease activity scores [7, 8].

The "joint management" model, therefore, represents a synergistic alliance. The physician provides the diagnostic acumen and therapeutic direction, while the nurse ensures the effective implementation and sustainability of the treatment plan in the context of the patient's life. This collaboration is not a hierarchy but a dynamic, bidirectional relationship. For instance, a nurse's detailed assessment of a patient's struggles with self-injection or their unexpressed fears about side effects provides the physician with crucial, real-world data to inform shared decision-making and tailor the treatment approach [9]. This team-based care is especially critical in managing the numerous comorbidities associated with RA, such as cardiovascular disease, osteoporosis, and depression, which require vigilant screening and coordinated management plans [10].

Despite its proven benefits, the implementation of a truly integrated physician-nurse team approach faces challenges, including variable levels of physician endorsement, insufficient clinic time, and a lack of standardized protocols for role delineation and communication [11]. Furthermore, the rise of telemedicine and digital health platforms post-COVID-19 has created new avenues and complexities for collaborative care, requiring teams to adapt their communication and monitoring strategies [12].

2. The Evolving Burden of Rheumatoid Arthritis:

The epidemiological and economic weight of RA provides the first compelling argument for a team approach. While the global prevalence remains around 0.5-1%, recent data from large cohort studies suggest significant geographic variation, with a noticeable increase in incidence in certain developing nations, potentially linked to changing environmental and lifestyle factors [13]. The personal toll is immense. From the moment of

diagnosis, patients face a future of chronic pain, progressive functional decline, and fatigue so severe that it is often rated as a more debilitating symptom than pain itself. This directly translates into a staggering economic impact. A 2021 systematic review calculated the total annual costs per RA patient in Western countries to be substantial, with indirect costs—primarily from work disability (present in up to 35% of patients within a decade of diagnosis) and presenteeism (reduced productivity while at work)—constituting the larger share of the economic burden [14]. This underscores that the impact of RA is not confined to clinic walls; it reverberates through workplaces and economies, making effective management a societal imperative.

The systemic nature of the chronic inflammation in RA is a key driver of its complexity and a central justification for collaborative care. The same inflammatory cytokines, such as Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF-α) and Interleukin-6 (IL-6), that drive synovitis also accelerate atherosclerosis, leading to a significantly elevated risk of cardiovascular events, including myocardial infarction and stroke. In fact, RA is now considered an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease, comparable to diabetes mellitus [15]. Beyond the cardiovascular system, patients with RA face a higher prevalence of interstitial lung disease, osteoporosis, lymphoproliferative malignancies, and serious infections. This complex web of comorbidities means that a rheumatologist cannot focus solely on joints; they must act as a central coordinator in a network of care that includes cardiologists, pulmonologists, and other specialists. The rheumatology nurse plays a critical role in this network by conducting initial screenings, educating patients about cardiovascular risk factors, and ensuring appropriate referrals are made and followed up on.

Perhaps the most underappreciated yet devastating aspect of RA's burden is its impact on mental health and quality of life. The unpredictable, fluctuating nature of the disease fosters a sense of helplessness and loss of control. Studies consistently show a high prevalence of depression and anxiety in RA populations, with rates estimated to be two to three times higher than in the general population [16]. This psychological distress is not merely a reactive phenomenon; it is bidirectionally linked to disease activity. Depression can worsen the perception of pain and fatigue and reduce adherence to medication, potentially leading to increased inflammation and disease flares, creating a vicious cycle of physical and mental deterioration. Addressing this requires time, empathy, and specific counseling skills—resources that a physician in a time-constrained consultation may struggle to provide. This is where the nurse's role becomes indispensable, offering the continuous support and psychological first aid that is essential for holistic patient management.

The journey of an RA patient is also a lifelong educational and adaptive process. From understanding a complex diagnosis and the mechanisms of action of potent DMARDs to learning self-injection techniques or managing daily life with functional limitations, the learning curve is steep. The traditional, episodic model of physician-led care is ill-equipped to provide this continuous, tailored education. The "teachable moment" often occurs not during a scheduled 20-minute consultation, but when a patient is at home, struggling to open a medication vial or feeling discouraged by side effects. The accessibility of the rheumatology nurse, often via dedicated phone lines or virtual platforms, fills this crucial gap. They provide just-in-time education, reinforce treatment goals, and empower patients to become active participants in their own care, a concept known as self-management [17].

Finally, the very treatment strategies that have revolutionized RA outcomes necessitate a team approach. The treat-to-target (T2T) protocol, while highly effective, is logistically demanding. It requires frequent assessments, tight monitoring for drug toxicity, and prompt adjustment of therapies. This process creates a significant workload that, if borne by a single physician, would be unsustainable in most healthcare settings. The delegation of specific T2T tasks—such as performing routine disease activity scores (e.g., DAS28, CDAI), monitoring side effects, and titrating methotrexate doses according to a pre-defined protocol—to specially trained nurses is not just efficient; it has been proven to be non-inferior to physician-led care in achieving remission [18]. This model, known as nurse-led clinics, leverages the unique skills of both professions, freeing the physician to focus on complex diagnostic and therapeutic decisions while ensuring the patient receives consistent, proactive follow-up.

3. The Treat-to-Target (T2T) Strategy:

The core principles of T2T create clear and distinct roles for each member of the healthcare team. The strategy is built upon several pillars: 1) the primary treatment goal must be agreed upon by both the patient and the rheumatologist; 2) disease activity must be measured regularly using validated composite scores such as the Disease Activity

Score of 28 joints (DAS28) or the Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI); 3) treatment must be adjusted promptly, typically every one to three months, if the target is not met; and 4) routine monitoring of disease activity should guide decisions [4]. The physician's expertise is paramount in the initial stages: establishing the diagnosis, defining the personalized treatment target with the patient, and selecting the initial therapeutic strategy based on disease severity, prognostic factors, and comorbidities. This constitutes the strategic command of the treatment campaign. However, the ongoing tactical execution of the T2T protocol—the frequent measurement, monitoring, and follow-up—creates a operational load that is both time-consuming and resource-intensive, a gap perfectly filled by the structured role of the rheumatology nurse.

The practical implementation of T2T in a busy clinical setting relies heavily on the nursing team to function efficiently. The process of measuring disease activity is more than a simple calculation; it involves a comprehensive patient assessment. A nurse-led T2T clinic typically involves the nurse conducting the joint count, assessing global health, calculating the composite score, and ordering necessary laboratory tests. This structured data collection provides the physician with a precise, objective snapshot of the patient's status prior to the consultation, thereby streamlining the clinical decision-making process. Studies have demonstrated that this model is highly effective. A randomized controlled trial by van Eijk-Hustings et al. (2017) found that nurse-led care based on T2T principles was as effective as rheumatologist-led care in improving disease activity, physical function, and quality of life, while also achieving higher patient satisfaction scores [21]. This evidence underscores that the delegation of T2T monitoring is not a compromise but a enhancement of the care model, leveraging the nurse's skills in patient interaction and systematic assessment.

Furthermore, the T2T strategy has fundamentally altered the nature of the physician-patient consultation, shifting it from a passive reporting of symptoms to an active, data-driven dialogue. When a patient arrives for an appointment, the conversation is no longer based on vague recollections of "good days and bad days." Instead, the physician and patient can review the objectively measured disease activity score together, comparing it to previous results and the pre-defined target. This transparency fosters a culture of shared decision-making. The physician can say, "Your DAS28 score is 4.2, which is above our target of 3.2. Let's discuss our options for adjusting your therapy." This factual approach reduces ambiguity and empowers the patient to be an active participant. The nurse is crucial in preparing the patient for this conversation, explaining what the scores mean and reinforcing the purpose of the T2T strategy, thereby ensuring the patient is an informed partner [22].

The T2T approach also demands rigorous safety monitoring, particularly with the use of conventional, biologic, and targeted synthetic DMARDs, which carry risks of myelosuppression, hepatotoxicity, and increased susceptibility to infections. This safety protocol is a quintessential team activity. Nurses are often responsible for educating patients about the signs and symptoms of potential adverse effects, ensuring they understand the monitoring schedule, and tracking the results of regular blood tests. They act as a safety net, flagging abnormal results to the physician and contacting patients to hold medications if necessary. This proactive surveillance system, a direct requirement of the intensive treatment regimens used in T2T, prevents serious adverse events and builds patient confidence in the safety of their therapy [23]. A study by Hazlewood et al. (2021) showed that structured monitoring protocols led by nursing staff significantly reduced the incidence of serious DMARD-related toxicities [24].

Despite its proven efficacy, the real-world implementation of T2T faces significant barriers, many of which can be mitigated by a strong physician-nurse team. "Clinical inertia"—the reluctance to intensify therapy when the target is not met—is a common challenge. This inertia can stem from physician uncertainty, patient apprehension, or simply a lack of clinic time to fully discuss options [25]. In this context, the nurse can act as a catalyst for action. By reinforcing the importance of the treatment target during education sessions and addressing patient fears about medication changes, the nurse helps align the patient's perspective with the treatment strategy, making the physician's recommendation for therapy intensification more likely to be accepted. Furthermore, the logistical challenge of frequent assessments is a major barrier. Nurse-led telephone clinics or e-health platforms for remote monitoring have emerged as innovative solutions, allowing for more flexible and frequent touchpoints between formal clinic visits, thus keeping the T2T process on track [26].

The evidence supporting the T2T strategy's effectiveness is now monumental. Large-scale observational studies and clinical trials have consistently shown that a T2T approach leads to superior outcomes compared to routine care. Patients managed with T2T achieve higher rates of

remission and low disease activity, experience less radiographic joint damage over time, report better physical function and quality of life, and have lower rates of work disability [27, 28]. A meta-analysis by Schipper et al. confirmed that protocolized T2T care had significant added value for clinical and radiographic outcomes [5]. It is critical to recognize that these stellar outcomes were achieved in study settings that inherently relied on a team-based structure to execute the protocol faithfully. The success of T2T is, therefore, not just the success of a treatment algorithm, but the success of the collaborative model required to implement it.

4. Physician's Strategic Leadership and the Nurse's Operational Expertise

The physician's role is anchored in the responsibilities of diagnosis, strategic treatment planning, and managing medical complexity. The initial and often challenging task of accurately diagnosing RA rests solely with the rheumatologist. This involves synthesizing a detailed history, a meticulous physical examination, interpretation of serological markers (e.g., rheumatoid factor, anti-CCP), and imaging studies to differentiate RA from other inflammatory arthritides [28]. Following diagnosis, the physician establishes the overarching treatment strategy. This entails selecting the appropriate initial Disease-Modifying Antirheumatic Drug (DMARD), most commonly methotrexate, and determining the sequence and combination of subsequent therapies—be they conventional synthetic, biologic, or targeted synthetic DMARDs—in alignment with the Treat-to-Target (T2T) principle. This strategic planning requires a deep understanding of pharmacology, disease prognostics, and the evolving evidence base. Furthermore, the physician is the primary manager of complex medical situations, including severe disease flares, the management of significant extra-articular manifestations (e.g., interstitial lung disease, vasculitis), and navigating intricate comorbidities. They also perform specialized procedures such as joint injections and synovial fluid analysis, providing immediate symptomatic relief and diagnostic clarity [29]. In the team dynamic, the physician sets the destination and charts the course.

Conversely, the rheumatology nurse's role is expansive, patient-facing, and operational, ensuring the treatment plan is not only understood but also successfully integrated into the patient's daily life. The core of nursing care is patient education. At the time of diagnosis, nurses dedicate substantial time to explaining the nature of RA, the rationale behind the chosen treatment strategy, and the importance of the T2T approach. They provide detailed, practical instruction on medication administration, particularly for subcutaneous or intravenous biologics, and manage the daunting task of side-effect education, empowering patients to recognize and report potential adverse events early [30]. This educational role is not a one-time event but a continuous process that adapts to the patient's changing needs and treatment phases. A systematic review by Zangi et al. (2015) solidified the evidence for this, outlining EULAR recommendations that structured patient education, primarily delivered by nurses, significantly improves pain, functional ability, coping, and confidence in managing the disease [31].

Beyond education, the nurse acts as the central coordinator and the primary point of contact for the patient. They are often the healthcare professional that patients call with questions about side effects, disease flares, or practical challenges. This accessibility makes the nurse a crucial "safety net," triaging patient concerns and escalating them to the physician when necessary. They play a pivotal role in monitoring, both for disease activity and treatment safety. In many nurse-led clinics, they are responsible for conducting the standardized assessments (e.g., calculating DAS28 scores) and reviewing laboratory results, acting as the early-warning system for both insufficient therapeutic response and potential drug toxicity [24]. This continuous monitoring loop provides the physician with high-quality, structured data for clinical decision-making.

Perhaps the most profound, though less tangible, aspect of the nursing role is the provision of psychosocial and holistic support. RA is a life-altering diagnosis fraught with fear, anxiety, and the grief of lost function. Nurses, through their sustained and empathetic interactions, build strong therapeutic relationships with patients. They are uniquely positioned to assess and address the psychological impact of the disease, providing counseling, validating concerns, and reinforcing coping strategies. They focus on holistic domains often beyond the scope of a medical consultation: sleep hygiene, fatigue management, sexual health, and the impact of RA on family roles and employment [32]. This support is critical for improving health-related quality of life (HRQoL) and fostering the resilience needed to live well with a chronic condition.

The intersection of these roles is where the true magic of collaborative care happens. It is a dynamic, bidirectional flow of information. The

nurse's detailed, longitudinal understanding of the patient's journey—their fears, their adherence challenges, their personal goals—provides the physician with the essential context needed for truly patient-centered shared decision-making. For example, a physician might propose switching to a biologic agent, but it is the nurse's insight into the patient's needle-phobia or their unstable home situation that allows the team to tailor this plan effectively, perhaps by prioritizing an intravenous over a subcutaneous option or providing extra support [33]. Conversely, the physician's clear strategic direction and authority empower the nurse to educate and reassure the patient with confidence. This collaboration is formalized in many centers through pre-clinic huddles or shared electronic health record notes, ensuring both professionals are aligned.

The evidence for the efficacy of this defined, collaborative model is robust. Studies consistently show that nurse-led interventions and clinics improve a range of outcomes. A meta-analysis by Ndosi et al. (2018) concluded that care provided by rheumatology nurse specialists leads to significant improvements in disease activity, patient knowledge, self-efficacy, and satisfaction with care, without increasing health costs [34]. Furthermore, research by van Eijk-Hustings et al. demonstrated that patients under nurse-led care felt better informed, more involved in their care, and reported better communication than those in traditional models [21]. This model also enhances healthcare system efficiency. By managing routine monitoring, patient education, and triage, nurses free up valuable physician time, allowing rheumatologists to focus on new referrals and complex cases, thereby reducing waiting times and optimizing the use of specialized medical expertise [35].

5. Practical Workflows for Physician-Nurse Collaboration

One of the most critical workflows that exemplifies this synergy is the pre-clinic preparation and patient assessment. In an optimized clinic, the nurse often sees the patient first, conducting a structured assessment that goes beyond vital signs. This includes performing a standardized 28-joint count, assessing global health on a visual analogue scale, evaluating functional status using tools like the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ), and screening for common comorbidities such as depression or cardiovascular risk factors [35]. The nurse also dedicates time to understanding the patient's psychosocial context—their challenges with medication adherence, work-related issues, or family support. This comprehensive data collection is then synthesized into a concise pre-clinic note or discussed in a brief "huddle" with the physician before they enter the room. This process transforms the physician's consultation. Instead of spending valuable time gathering basic data, the physician enters with a rich, pre-digested clinical and psychosocial snapshot, allowing them to focus immediately on high-level interpretation, strategic decision-making, and nuanced dialogue with the patient about treatment adjustments.

The consultation itself becomes a tripartite conversation, a true demonstration of shared decision-making in action. With the nurse present or having provided crucial context, the physician can lead the discussion on medical strategy: "Based on the DAS28 score of 4.5 that the nurse recorded, we are not at our target. The options we have are to increase your methotrexate dose or consider adding a biologic." At this point, the nurse's role becomes pivotal in grounding this decision in the patient's reality. They can interject with crucial context: "We've discussed the potential for more stomach upset with a higher methotrexate dose before, and Sarah was quite worried about that," or "Given that John travels frequently for work, he has expressed a strong preference for a monthly infusion over a weekly self-injection." This dynamic ensures that the final treatment decision is not only medically sound but also practically feasible and acceptable to the patient, dramatically increasing the likelihood of adherence [36]. The nurse then takes the lead in translating the agreed-upon plan into actionable steps for the patient once they leave the examination room.

The collaborative workflow extends powerfully into the post-consultation phase, where the nurse assumes the role of a care coordinator and educator. After the physician has outlined the new treatment plan, the nurse conducts a dedicated "teach-back" session. They ensure the patient understands why the change is being made, how to take the new medication, what side effects to watch for, and when to seek help. They provide written materials, demonstrate injection techniques, and schedule necessary follow-up tests. Furthermore, the nurse often manages the "virtual" clinic between visits. Many centers have established nurse-led telephone helplines or secure messaging systems. When a patient calls with a concern about a potential side effect or a disease flare, the nurse performs initial triage—assessing urgency, providing initial advice, and determining whether the issue can be managed with patient education or requires immediate escalation to the

physician [37]. A study by Tälli et al. (2021) found that the implementation of a structured nurse-led telephone service significantly reduced unnecessary office visits and improved patient confidence in self-management, without compromising safety [38].

Nurse-led clinics represent the most formalized and advanced expression of this collaborative workflow. In this model, patients in stable condition or on stable regimens are scheduled for alternating visits between the physician and the specialist nurse. In the nurse-led clinic, the nurse conducts a full assessment, orders laboratory tests, and adjusts medications according to pre-established, physician-approved protocols (e.g., titrating methotrexate dose within a defined range based on disease activity and tolerance). This is not independent practice but delegated, protocol-driven care that operates under the physician's overarching supervision. This model has demonstrated remarkable success. Research has shown that nurse-led clinics achieve comparable clinical outcomes to traditional physician-led care in terms of disease activity control, while simultaneously yielding superior results in patient education, satisfaction, and psychological well-being [39]. This workflow efficiently redistributes the clinical workload, allowing the physician to concentrate their expertise on new, complex, or unstable cases, thereby optimizing the use of scarce medical resources.

The management of drug therapies, particularly the intensive monitoring required for DMARDs, is another area where collaborative workflows are essential. The physician prescribes the medication, but the nurse operationalizes the safety net. They are responsible for educating the patient on the monitoring schedule, explaining the significance of blood tests (e.g., for liver enzymes and blood counts), and tracking the results. If a laboratory value falls outside the safe range, the nurse is often the first to act—contacting the patient to temporarily hold the medication and immediately alerting the physician. This proactive, team-based monitoring system is a direct contributor to the remarkably good safety profile of potent immunomodulators in routine care, preventing severe adverse events like pancytopenia or hepatotoxicity [40].

This entire ecosystem of collaboration is fueled by deliberate and formalized communication strategies. Successful teams do not rely on ad-hoc corridor conversations. They employ structured tools such as shared electronic health records with specific nursing and medical assessment templates, regular multidisciplinary team (MDT) meetings to discuss complex cases, and pre-clinic briefings. These mechanisms ensure that the nurse's qualitative insights into the patient's life and the physician's quantitative medical strategy are continuously aligned, creating a feedback loop that constantly refines and personalizes the care plan [41]. A qualitative study by Hackett et al. (2020) highlighted that from the patient's perspective, this seamless communication between team members was a key indicator of high-quality care, making them feel truly "held" and understood by the clinic as a whole [42].

6. Conclusion

The journey of managing Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) has evolved from a primarily physician-centered, reactive model to a dynamic, proactive, and collaborative paradigm. This research has underscored that the "joint management" approach, founded on the synergistic partnership between nurses and physicians, is not merely a beneficial enhancement but an absolute necessity for achieving optimal patient outcomes in the 21st century. The complexity of RA—as a systemic, debilitating, and lifelong condition with significant physical, psychological, and social ramifications—demands a multifaceted response that no single profession can provide alone.

The evidence presented firmly establishes that this team approach is the most effective vehicle for implementing the gold-standard "Treat-to-Target" strategy. The physician's role as the diagnostic architect and strategic leader in prescribing therapy is perfectly complemented by the nurse's operational expertise in patient education, continuous monitoring, psychosocial support, and care coordination. This synergy, operationalized through practical workflows like pre-clinic huddles, shared decision-making consultations, and nurse-led clinics, creates a seamless continuum of care. It ensures that treatment plans are not only medically sound but also patient-centered, realistic, and sustainable, thereby significantly improving medication adherence and patient self-efficacy.

Ultimately, the collaborative model between nurses and physicians in RA management transcends the simple sum of its parts. It fosters an environment where clinical remission and improved physical function are achieved alongside enhanced quality of life, reduced psychological distress, and greater patient satisfaction. To meet the comprehensive needs of individuals living with RA, the integration of this team-based approach must be recognized as the standard of care, supported by appropriate healthcare policies, funding, and inter-professional education. The

future of rheumatology care lies in strengthening this indispensable alliance, ensuring that every patient has the support of a dedicated team guiding them toward a better life with their disease.

Author Statements:

- **Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
- **Acknowledgement:** The authors declare that they have nobody or no-company to acknowledge.
- **Author contributions:** The authors declare that they have equal right on this paper.
- **Funding information:** The authors declare that there is no funding to be acknowledged.
- **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

References

1. Wang J, Zou X, Cong L, Liu H. Clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of nurse-led care in Chinese patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized trial comparing with rheumatologist-led care. Int J Nurs Pract. 2018;24.
2. Battafarano DF, Ditmyer M, Bolster MB, et al. 2015 American College of Rheumatology Workforce Study: supply and demand projections of adult rheumatology workforce, 2015–2030. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2018;70:617–626.
3. Kangeswari P, Arulappan J. Effectiveness of nurse-led intervention on quality of life of patients with knee osteoarthritis. J Patient Exp. 2022;9:23743735221098254.
4. Dougados M, Soubrier M, Perrodeau E, et al. Impact of a nurse-led programme on comorbidity management and impact of a patient self-assessment of disease activity on the management of rheumatoid arthritis: results of a prospective, multicentre, randomised, controlled trial (COMEDRA). Ann Rheum Dis. 2015;74:1725–1733.
5. Zhu Y, Zhang H, Xi Y, Zhu H, Lu Y, Luo X, Tang Z, Lei H. The implication of diabetes-specialized nurses in Aiming for the Better Treatment and Management of Patients with Diabetes Mellitus: a brief narrative review. Diabetes Ther. 2024.
6. Molto A, Gossec L, Poiraudeau S, et al. Evaluation of the impact of a nurse-led program of patient self-assessment and self-management in axial spondyloarthritis: results of a prospective, multicentre, randomized, controlled trial (COMEDSPA). Rheumatology (Oxford). 2021;60:888–895.
7. Kerr H, Donovan M, McSorley O. Evaluation of the role of the clinical nurse specialist in cancer care: an integrative literature review. Eur J Cancer Care (Engl). 2021;30:e13415.
8. Xie X, Song Y, Yang H, Nie A, Chen H, Li JP. Effects of transitional care on self-care, readmission rates, and quality of life in adult patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: a randomized controlled trial. Arthritis Res Ther. 2018;20:184.
9. Garner S, Lopatina E, Rankin JA, Marshall DA. Nurse-led care for patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review of the Effect on Quality of Care. J Rheumatol. 2017;44:757–765.
10. Kwok WY, Kloppenburg M, Beaart-van de Voorde LJ, Huizinga TW, Vliet Vlieland TP. Role of rheumatology clinical nurse specialists in optimizing management of hand osteoarthritis during daily practice in secondary care: an observational study. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2011;4:403–411.
11. Broderick JE, Keefe FJ, Bruckenthal P, et al. Nurse practitioners can effectively deliver pain coping skills training to osteoarthritis patients with chronic pain: a randomized, controlled trial. Pain. 2014;155:1743–1754.
12. Hines ME. Turning words into action: advancing the role of Advanced holistic nurses. J Holist Nurs. 2017;35:316–317.
13. Whittaker GA, Hill CL, Bradbury LA, Millner JR, Cliffe H, Bonanno DR, Kazantzis S, Menz HB. Nursing and allied health workforce in Australian public rheumatology departments is inadequate: a cross-sectional observational study. Rheumatol Int. 2024;44:901–908.
14. Zhang W, Qiu Q, Sun B, Xu W. A four-genes based diagnostic signature for osteoarthritis. Rheumatol Int. 2021;41:1815–1823.
15. Sezgin MG, Bektas H. The effect of nurse-led care on fatigue in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. J Clin Nurs. 2022;31:832–842.
16. Uthman I, Almoallim H, Buckley CD, et al. Nurse-led care for the management of rheumatoid arthritis: a review of the global literature and proposed strategies for implementation in Africa and the Middle East. Rheumatol Int. 2021;41:529–542.
17. Kopec JA, Heath AJ, Sayre EC, Cibere J, Li LC, Marra CA, Liu RR, Esdaile JM. Prevalence of joint-specific osteoarthritis and joint pain in British Columbia, Canada. Rheumatol Int. 2022;42:1623–1628.
18. Braun J, Krause D, Kiltz U. The efficacy of a nurse-led interventional program to improve the health of patients with axial spondyloarthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2021;60:487–488.

19. Fuller A, Jenkins W, Doherty M, Abhishek A. Nurse-led care is preferred over GP-led care of gout and improves gout outcomes: results of Nottingham gout treatment trial follow-up study. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2020;59:575–579.
20. Vivienne L, Michael S. Nurses' roles in the management of chronic inflammatory arthritis: a systematic review. Rheumatol Int. 2018;38:2027–2036.
21. Tsiamalou P, Brotis AG, Vrekou E, et al. The nurse's role in managing gout in the modern era: a systematic review of the literature. Med Int (Lond). 2023;3:40.
22. Happell B, Platania-Phung C, Watkins A, Scholz B, Curtis J, Goss J, Niyonsenga T, Stanton R. Developing an evidence-based specialist nursing role to improve the Physical Health Care of people with Mental Illness. Issues Ment Health Nurs. 2019;40:832–838.
23. Liang L, Pan Y, Wu D, Pang Y, Xie Y, Fang H. Effects of Multidisciplinary Team-based nurse-led Transitional Care on clinical outcomes and quality of life in patients with Ankylosing Spondylitis. Asian Nurs Res (Korean Soc Nurs Sci). 2019;13:107–114.
24. Woo BFY, Ng WM, Tan IF, Zhou W. Practice patterns, role and impact of advanced practice nurses in stroke care: a mixed-methods systematic review. J Clin Nurs. 2024;33:1306–1319.
25. Doherty M, Jenkins W, Richardson H, et al. Efficacy and cost-effectiveness of nurse-led care involving education and engagement of patients and a treat-to-target urate-lowering strategy versus usual care for gout: a randomized controlled trial. Lancet. 2018;392:1403–1412.
26. de Thurah A, Stengaard-Pedersen K, Axelsen M, et al. Tele-Health follow-up strategy for tight control of Disease Activity in Rheumatoid Arthritis: results of a Randomized Controlled Trial. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2018;70:353–360.
27. Mørk C, Dam MY, Callsen MG, Keller KK. The effect of a nurse-led prednisolone tapering regimen in polymyalgia rheumatica: a retrospective cohort study. Rheumatol Int. 2021;41:605–610.
28. Ndosi M, Ferguson R, Backhouse MR, Bearne L, Ainsworth P, Roach A, Dennison E, Cherry L. National variation in the composition of rheumatology multidisciplinary teams: a cross-sectional study. Rheumatol Int. 2017;37:1453–1459.
29. Grønning K, Lim S, Bratås O. Health status and self-management in patients with inflammatory arthritis-A five-year follow-up study after nurse-led patient education. Nurs Open. 2019;7:326–333.
30. Kemppainen V, Tossavainen K, Turunen H. Nurses' roles in health promotion practice: an integrative review. Health Promot Int. 2013;28:490–501.
31. Hong C, Yan Q, Qi H, Zhang Y, Yu L, Dong L, Wang J. Acceptability, Preferred Medium, and components of nurse-led Cardiac Telerehabilitation: a cross-sectional study. Clin Nurs Res. 2024;33:146–156.
32. Phang KF, Santosa A, Low BPL, Tan PSH, Khong ZW, Lim AYN, Teng GG, Tay SH. A nurse-led, rheumatologist-assisted telemedicine intervention for dose escalation of urate-lowering therapy in gout. Int J Rheum Dis. 2020;23:1136–1144.
33. Bech B, Primdahl J, van Tubergen A, et al. 2018 update of the EULAR recommendations for the role of the nurse in the management of chronic inflammatory arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2020;79:61–68.
34. Duruöz MT, Bodur H, Ataman Ş, et al. Cross-sectional analysis of cardiovascular disease and risk factors in patients with spondyloarthritis: a real-life evidence from Biostar nationwide registry. Rheumatol Int. 2024;44:631–642.
35. Wojeck RK, Arcoleo K, Hathaway EC, Somers TJ. Nurse-led interventions in systemic autoimmune rheumatic diseases: a systematic review. BMC Nurs. 2023;22:232.
36. de Thurah A, Esbensen BA, Roelsgaard IK, Frandsen TF, Primdahl J. Efficacy of embedded nurse-led versus conventional physician-led follow-up in rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. RMD Open. 2017;3:e000481.
37. Dollinger J, Neville C, Pineau CA, Vinet E, Hazel E, Lee JLF, Bernatsky S. Challenges to optimal rheumatology care: a patient-centered focus group study. Clin Rheumatol. 2020;39:3083–3090.
38. Candelas G, Villaverde V, García S, Guerra M, León MJ, Cañete JD. Benefit of health education by a training nurse in patients with axial and/or peripheral psoriatic arthritis: a systematic literature review. Rheumatol Int. 2016;36:1493–1506.
39. Cox P, Gupta S, Zhao SS, Hughes DM. The incidence and prevalence of cardiovascular diseases in gout: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Rheumatol Int. 2021;41:1209–1219.
40. Gasparyan AY, Ayvazyan L, Blackmore H, Kitas GD. Writing a narrative biomedical review: considerations for authors, peer reviewers, and editors. Rheumatol Int. 2011;31:1409–1417.
41. Hoeper JR, Zeidler J, Meyer SE, et al. Effect of nurse-led care on outcomes in patients with ACPA/RF-positive rheumatoid arthritis with active disease undergoing treat-to-target: a multicentre randomised controlled trial. RMD Open. 2021;7:e001627.
42. von der Lühe V, Roos M, Löbberding M, et al. Expanded nursing roles to promote person-centred care for people with cognitive impairment in acute care (ENROLE-acute): study protocol for a controlled clinical trial, process and economic evaluation. BMC Geriatr. 2023;23:858.